State Profiles of Child Well-Being








The Annie E. Casey Foundation

2006 KIDS COUNT DATA BOOK





State Profiles of Child Well-Being

The Annie E. Casey Foundation

2006 KIDS COUNT

DATA BOOK

Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care: Strengthening a Critical Resource to Help Young Children Succeed



© 2006 Annie E. Casey Foundation 701 St. Paul Street, Baltimore, MD 21202 www.aecf.org

Permission to copy, disseminate, or otherwise use information from this *Data Book* is granted as long as appropriate acknowledgment is given.

Designed by KINETIK www.kinetikcom.com

Photography by Susie Fitzhugh and Carol Highsmith, © 2006

Data compiled by Population Reference Bureau www.prb.org



Printed and bound in the United States of America on recycled paper using soy-based inks.

ISSN 1060-9814

The Annie E. Casey Foundation's *KIDS COUNT Data Book* could not be produced and distributed without the help of numerous people. The publication was assembled and produced under the general direction of Dr. William P. O'Hare, Senior Fellow, with help from Laura Beavers, Research Associate. Other Casey staff who contributed to this report include Cory Anderson, Tony Cipollone, Debbie Cohen, Don Crary, Connie Dykstra, Cindy Guy, and Ruth Mayden.

Most of the data presented in the *Data Book* were collected and organized by the staff at the Population Reference Bureau. We are especially grateful to Jean D'Amico, Kelvin Pollard, Kerri Rivers, and Dia Adams, who assembled, organized, checked, and re-checked the figures used here.

We also owe a special thanks to the staff of Child Trends, for providing data on children in family-based child care, and to Martye T. Scobee of the Urban Studies Institute at the University of Louisville, for providing data on children living in low-income households where no adults work.

Special thanks are also due the staff at KINETIK Communication Graphics, Inc., for design and production services; the staff at Hager Sharp, for helping to promote and disseminate the *Data Book*; and Jayson Hait of eye4detail, for proofreading and copyediting.

Finally, we would like to thank the state KIDS COUNT projects (listed on page 179), for making the *Data Book* available to national, state, and local leaders across the country.

Permission to copy, disseminate, or otherwise use information from this *Data Book* is granted as long as appropriate acknowledgment is given.

The 2006 KIDS COUNT Data Book can be viewed, downloaded, or ordered on the Internet at www.kidscount.org.

Outreach Partners

The Annie E. Casey Foundation wishes to thank our Outreach Partners for their support and assistance in disseminating the 2006 KIDS COUNT Data Book. With the help of our partners, data on the status and well-being of kids and families are shared with policymakers, advocates, practitioners, and citizens to help enrich local, state, and national discussions on ways to improve outcomes for America's most vulnerable children.

To learn more about the Annie E. Casey Foundation's 2006 KIDS COUNT Outreach Partners, please visit www.aecf.org/kidscount for a complete list of organizations.

Essay **Summary and Findings** 24 National Indicator Maps: 30 State Rates **Profiles 52** United States Profile 54 Profiles in alphabetical 56 order for 50 states and the District of Columbia 158 **Appendices** Appendix 1: Multi-Year 160 State Trend Data for KIDS COUNT Indicators Appendix 2: Multi-Year **172** State Trend Data for Overall Ranks 174 **Definitions and Data Sources Criteria for Selecting** 178 **KIDS COUNT Indicators Primary Contacts for** 179 **State KIDS COUNT Projects About the Annie E. Casey** 184

Foundation and KIDS COUNT









ESSAY

Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care: Strengthening a Critical Resource to Help Young Children Succeed

Since 1990, the Annie E. Casey Foundation has released an annual, updated KIDS COUNT Data Book to report on the well-being of America's children. Each year, we focus on the needs and conditions of America's most disadvantaged children and families, as well as on the statistical trends. Our goal is not only to increase public awareness of the challenges facing vulnerable families and at-risk kids, but also to heighten public interest in strategies and policies that we believe hold promise for meeting some of those challenges.

In recent years, our KIDS COUNT essays have highlighted the importance of, and potential for, helping particularly vulnerable older youth make a more successful transition to adulthood; examined how and why families living in poor neighborhoods pay disproportionately higher costs for basic goods and services; and promoted new approaches for helping persistently unemployed parents productively connect to the workforce.

This year, we zero in on one of the most important challenges facing our nation: improving early childhood development opportunities for young children living in low-income neighborhoods so that more of these kids will start school healthy and prepared to learn and succeed. In particular, we examine the critical issue of child care.

Although parents are and always will be their children's primary caregivers and teachers, the importance of quality child care and its influence on early childhood learning are well established. High-quality child care nurtures, stimulates, and supports children as they build the confidence and critical capacities they need to thrive in school and in life. It keeps children safe, promotes good health and nutrition, fosters positive trusting relationships with other children and adults, and supports and supplements parents' role as their children's first and primary teachers. In addition, quality child care helps parents succeed as workers by providing them with the security of knowing that their children are safe and well supervised while they are on the job. In short, quality child care is a key element in assuring that millions of kids receive a good start in life, and it's a critical resource in any strong family-supporting community. Moreover, access to good child care can help close the growing economic and academic gaps between America's low-income and affluent kids, families, and communities.

This year, our 17th annual KIDS COUNT Data Book essay looks at a critical component in the continuum of child-care options that millions of families, especially low-income families, use. It is a form of child care that we refer to as "family, friend, and neighbor care," which is offered in a home-based setting outside a child's own home, by both regulated and unregulated providers. We also refer to it as home-based and family-based care. It includes the many local caregivers who are paid to work with small groups of children in their homes and who do so as a business enterprise that contributes to the economic and social fabric of their communities. It also includes the grandparents, aunts, and other relatives who

open their homes daily to help family members, often for free.

We recognize that there are various audiences, including some child-care advocates, who make further distinctions among this population, particularly in relation to regulated versus unregulated providers. We have chosen not to make this distinction for two reasons. First, there is such significant variation across states surrounding regulation requirements that these distinctions, at least for the purposes of this essay, become far less meaningful. In fact, the differences in definition among states, organizations, and advocates are indicators of the need for greater attention and clarity in the field. Second, we believe that many of the issues—as well as the types of supports we advocate in this essay—are quite pertinent to both regulated and non-regulated family providers.

Family, friend, and neighbor child-care providers contribute to the healthy development of young children, and they help determine how ready millions of American children are to learn and succeed. At the same time, these critical caregivers often are undervalued and under-supported. Although they represent a huge and longstanding segment of our nation's child-care providers, there are relatively few organized efforts to improve and enhance the quality of the care they deliver. If we strengthen and reinforce their effectiveness, then we can improve outcomes for the children and families who rely on these caregivers. In the pages that follow, we examine why family, friend, and neighbor care is so vital to the well-being of millions of kids and why we need to do much more to support and strengthen it. We also illustrate a number of promising efforts across the country that are taking on this challenge in new and creative ways.

The Importance of Quality Child Care

The majority of American children under age 6, regardless of their parents' income level, now spend a good portion of time in the care of people other than their parents. According to the 2003 National Survey of Children's Health, about 15.5 million children, or 65 percent of all children under age 6, regularly receive non-parental child care.

In addition to helping and supporting parents, non-parental caregivers can make a huge difference in advancing a child's early development of vital foundational skills and capacities. Because development occurs so rapidly and dynamically in the early years of life, experiences during this period can set "either a sturdy or a fragile stage for what follows." Research on brain development shows that from birth to age 5, children make dramatic progress in their linguistic and mental abilities; their emotional, social, and moral development; and their ability to learn self-control.

In each of these domains, early care practices can have a profound influence, helping children reach critical developmental milestones. Research has clearly documented the impact of the home environment on learning. But other early care settings are also crucial. A large body of research underscores how quality child care enables young children to build the cognitive and social skills that will help them learn, build positive social relationships, and experience academic success once they enter school.²

Although child care that provides engaging activities is important for all young children, it is vital for poor kids who too often lack access to enriching learning experiences and arrive at school already academically disadvantaged. Children in the lowest socioeconomic groups, on average, start school

months behind their middle-class peers in prereading and pre-math skills. This gap almost triples when the poorest children are compared to the most affluent 20 percent.³

These gaps are particularly pronounced for children of color. The Early Childhood Education Longitudinal Study, a nationally representative sample of nearly 23,000 kindergartners, shows that black and Hispanic children score substantially below white children at the beginning of kindergarten on math and reading readiness achievement. The Family and Child Experiences Survey, administered to 3- and 4-year-olds entering Head Start, shows that those who qualify for the program are disproportionately minority children from low-income families. These kids already fall well short in vocabulary, early reading, and early math. 4

Given the critical importance of school readiness on a child's future success and the achievement gaps that exist across income and racial groups, we must reach our youngest children early and help them develop the capacities they need to succeed in school and in life. One of the most valuable ways to do this is to bolster the quality of child care that lowincome children, in particular, receive. Research confirms what most people intuitively know: Quality child care that encompasses strong developmental experiences has a long-term positive impact on academic achievement and provides important social benefits for vulnerable children at risk of poor outcomes. For lots of kids, these early formed benefits extend through adolescence and into adulthood.5

The Role and Use of Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care

Clearly, quality child care can make a critical contribution to improving children's prospects

of starting school healthy and prepared to succeed. But where do families typically get such care? As we noted earlier, nationally, 65 percent of children under age 6—almost 15.5 million children—regularly receive non-parental child care.6 Many of these children are enrolled in programs such as Head Start or in other formal child-care centers. Over the years, these programs have helped millions of children get a good start in life. A large number of children, however, are cared for in other settings. Of the 15.5 million children in child care, almost 42 percent—some 6.5 million children—spend all or part of their time in a home-based, rather than center-based, setting. Two and a half million of these children (about 39 percent) come from families with incomes below 200 percent of the poverty line.⁷

For these 6.5 million children, family, friends, and neighbors shape a significant part of their early childhood experiences. In some cases, this means local providers who regularly care for small numbers of children in their homes. Some of these providers are formally regulated or licensed, but many are not. In other cases, it often means uncompensated care in the home of a grandparent, aunt, or other relative, or a nearby neighbor.

Although most states and cities don't consistently or regularly track how many individuals provide this type of care, the number of family, friend, and neighbor providers is significant. For example, a recent study reports that approximately 2.3 million people are paid to provide care for children from birth to age 5 in any given week. Of these, 35 percent (about 804,000) are paid relatives, and 28 percent (some 650,000) are non-related persons providing care in home-based settings. Only 24 percent of all paid providers (roughly 550,000) work in center-based settings.

Nationally, about 1.5 million children under age 6 receive their care *exclusively* from family, friends, and neighbors. An additional 5 million split their time between these caregivers and other, mostly center-based, child-care providers. Family, friend, and neighbor care is particularly common for the youngest children. According to a national survey, while half of the children from birth to age 2 receive their care entirely from parents, more than half of the rest—51 percent—are in the care of these home-based providers.⁹

Many families rely on family, friends, and neighbors to supplement the care they receive through child-care centers. Others turn to their family members, friends, and neighbors when their children are infants and toddlers. Then, they enroll them, for at least a portion of their day, in center-based care for more formal pre-school experiences. Data from the National Survey of American Families reflect this pattern: 38 percent of children younger than 5 who were in non-parental care regularly experienced multiple care arrangements.

According to the National Survey of Children's Health, the use of non-parental family-based care ranges from highs of 47 percent in South Dakota; 41 percent in North Dakota; 39 percent in Mississippi; and 38 percent in Nebraska; to lows of 18 percent in Utah; 22 percent in Nevada and Massachusetts; and 23 percent in Arizona, Illinois, New York, and Washington. Generally, states with the highest use of family-based child care also have the highest rates of children in non-parental child care overall.

Black families are the most likely to use family, friend, and neighbor care of any racial and ethnic group (37 percent), but a substantial number of white families also rely on it (27 percent). Hispanic families are much more likely to rely exclusively on parental care than either blacks or whites. When they do use out-of-home care, they use family, friend, and neighbor care more than center-based care.¹⁰

In general, lower-income families—incomes below 200 percent of poverty—rely most on parent-only care for children from birth to age 5 (41 percent), but family, friend, and neighbor care is their most commonly used form of non-parental care (25 percent). However, as more low-income mothers enter the workforce to pursue careers or as a result of welfare reform, both the need for and use of family, friend, and neighbor care will increase.

Why Families Choose Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care

Families who rely on family, friend, and neighbor care rather than formal child-care centers do so for varied and often intertwined reasons that are both practical and personal. For example, parents' employment status and schedules influence the kind of care they choose, especially for those who don't work 9-to-5 jobs. In a 2002 study of children from birth to age 5 in lowincome families, an estimated 28 percent of those living in single-parent families had a parent working nonstandard hours, and 66 percent of those living in two-parent families had at least one parent who worked nonstandard hours. 12 In addition, many parents in low-wage jobs have fluctuating schedules that sometimes require them to work an unpredictable mix of nights, days, and weekends. Child-care options that only operate during standard workday hours simply don't meet these parents' needs.

Transportation issues also play a role in determining what child-care settings parents use. Dropping their children off at a provider's home

a block or two away is more convenient than driving to a child-care center that may not be on the route to work. For the many low-income parents without access to a car, using public transportation to bring their child to a child-care center is simply not feasible, particularly given the erratic hours inherent in certain jobs.¹³

Cost is also a consideration when families make decisions about child-care settings. Generally, families who use family, friend, and neighbor care spend considerably less if they spend anything at all. Estimates are that two-thirds of children in this type of care are in unpaid care.14 In 2005, families who paid for relative care spent, on average, about \$60 per week on child care compared with \$86 per week for families using centerbased care. 15 The comparative affordability of family, friend, and neighbor care is important because child-care costs can be an enormous burden for poor families who, on average, spend about 25 percent of their income for child care compared with 7 percent in higherincome families.16

Although convenience, access, and affordability are important reasons why many parents choose family, friend, and neighbor care, research indicates that other compelling factors also influence their decision—factors that have as much to do with preference, trust, personal comfort, culture, and relationships as they do with economics and practicality. One is parents' belief that their children will receive more personalized attention in family, friend, and neighbor settings because child-to-adult ratios tend to be lower than in formal child-care centers. On average, child-to-adult ratios range from one to four children per adult in family, friend, and neighbor care and from five to seven children per adult in center-based care.¹⁷

Research confirms what most people intuitively know: Quality child care that encompasses strong developmental experiences has a long-term positive impact on academic achievement and provides important social benefits for vulnerable children at risk of poor outcomes. For lots of kids, these early formed benefits extend through adolescence and into adulthood.

Our focus on family, friend, and neighbor care should not be interpreted as a failure to appreciate the critical importance of quality center-based care. Indeed, it would be difficult to overstate the invaluable contributions of center-based care to millions of children, including millions of low-income children and their families.

An even bigger factor, for many, is having their young children in a home-like environment with someone they know and trust. This is particularly true for parents using relative care, since leaving young children in the care of a loved one frequently provides a stronger sense of security. Parents also choose family, friend, and neighbor care because they want a provider who shares their culture, values, or child-rearing beliefs; and for many immigrant families, having a provider who speaks the parents' primary language is critical. All of these important personal issues underscore the fact that for lots of parents, family, friend, and neighbor care is a purposeful and positive choice, not something they access only in the absence of affordable center-based care opportunities.

The Case for Improving Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care


Our focus on family, friend, and neighbor care should not be interpreted as a failure to appreciate the critical importance of quality center-based care. Indeed, it would be difficult to overstate the invaluable contributions of center-based care to millions of children, including millions of lowincome children and their families. Over the past several decades, tens of millions of children have benefited from attending quality programs in regulated child-care centers. Well-established programs, such as Head Start and Early Head Start, have significantly improved the lives and learning prospects of America's low-income kids.¹⁸ Low-income kids who participate in highquality center-based care, compared to those who do not, typically arrive at school with a stronger set of language and cognitive skills. Compared to children in other forms of care, kids in center care score higher on measures of language and cognitive development at 2 and 3 years of age,

as well as on measures of language and memory at $4\frac{1}{2}$ years. ¹⁹ On the whole, research indicates that across all types of child care, center programs appear to have the strongest track record for improving outcomes related to success in school.

Unfortunately, these successes have not yet attracted the public financial support required to make high-quality center-based care available for all of the kids who need it and all of the parents who want it—despite ongoing evidence-driven campaigns by child-care advocates. Although research indicates that quality center-based programs have long-term positive effects, proposals to bring these programs to scale have not received sufficient funding to serve the vast number of potentially eligible children. For example, despite steady increases in Head Start funding, the program still only serves about half of the eligible population. Funding for Early Head Start, a spin-off program that provides intensive support for children from birth to age 3 and their families, limits its reach to less than 3 percent of the infants and toddlers who qualify.²⁰ The growth in child-care subsidies has never kept pace with the expanding demand for child care triggered by increased numbers of parents (especially mothers) in the workplace—numbers that have been fueled by both the demands of the economy and welfare reform.

We believe that it is crucial to expand the financial support required to scale up the most effective center-based programs and make them more available to and affordable for low-income families. We also strongly believe that much can be gained by increasing the type and amount of support available to family, friend, and neighbor care providers. Many families—even those who use center-based care—need and want family, friend, and neighbor options to help care for and nurture their children.









This form of child care has been used for generations and will, undoubtedly, be an important resource for generations to come. For the foreseeable future, it will represent the most common type of child care for low-income children under age 6 whose parents are working, especially those in entry-level jobs with non-traditional schedules.

We need to acknowledge, however, that even though family, friend, and neighbor care is a prevalent and often predominant form of care for low-income kids, it is not without serious issues and limitations. On average, general education and child-care training levels are substantially lower for family, friend, and neighbor caregivers than for center-based providers.²¹ Many studies highlight the positive features of home-based settings, such as low child-toadult ratios and close connections and positive interactions between caregivers and children.²² However, they also raise some important health and safety issues, as well as questions about the ability of some family, friend, and neighbor providers to effectively nurture children's physical, cognitive, and social development. 23, 24

Some may view these concerns as an argument against greater support for family-based care. We believe that they underscore the need to pay even more attention to strategies that can improve it—particularly given the evidence that family, friend, and neighbor care will continue to play a significant role in the lives of low-income families. A serious commitment to ensuring that all children have a better shot at starting school with the cognitive, social, and emotional skills they need to succeed requires a focus on ways to improve all of the settings where children spend critical time.

Clearly, this means assuring that parents have the knowledge and support they need

to promote solid development at home. It also means connecting family, friend, and neighbor providers more closely to information and resources that will increase the quality and positive effects of the care they provide. Family, friend, and neighbor care is the only non-parental setting that many pre-school children—particularly those in low-income communities—experience before kindergarten. Therefore, trying to improve it is an idea that not only makes good sense, but one with significant potential to help narrow the school readiness gap between low-income and more affluent children.

The Casey Foundation is not alone in this advocacy. Across America, there is a growing recognition that more needs to be done to help family-based providers. Several federal programs provide support to help improve the quality of family, friend, and neighbor care. These include financial assistance such as the Child Care and Development Fund and the Child and Adult Care Food Program, which enables providers to offer healthy meals and snacks to children in their care and offers home visits from nutritionists who are well versed in child development. Nationally, more than half of the states now fund initiatives to improve the quality of care in family, friend, and neighbor settings; and several new networks of practitioners, policymakers, and researchers interested in this issue have been established. In addition, there is growing support from a number of national and local foundations and organizations.²⁵

The Challenges of Improving Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care

Enhancing the quality of family, friend, and neighbor care represents both a significant opportunity to improve outcomes for kids and a series of challenges. Family, friend, and neighbor caregivers encompass a range of diverse and distinct provider groups, with differing needs, strengths, and potential. Many of these caregivers are not interested in becoming professional child-care providers; they are motivated by a commitment to their family and community, or their love for nurturing children. Nonetheless, many still want support for their role—albeit often different from that sought by professional care providers. For example, a relative or friend taking care of one or two children may benefit greatly from some advice and information about early child learning and school readiness, and they may prefer to receive this information at home or in an informal neighborhood setting. Someone running a small child-care program in their home, on the other hand, may want and need business advice or access to credentialing opportunities, in addition to information that helps them to improve the safety, quality, and teaching components of the care they deliver.

In addition, many home-based caregivers, unlike center-based professionals, are typically more isolated from other providers and networks of support that could be sources of new ideas, knowledge, and enrichment opportunities. Family, friend, and neighbor providers are also less likely to know about—or have the transportation to take advantage of—community resources such as play groups, museums, library story times, or cultural programs that can enhance child-care quality. They also lack connections to publicly supported opportunities for training or sharing ideas with other providers. Creative outreach strategies will play a crucial role.

The cost of improving services is also an issue facing many family, friend, and neighbor caregivers. As a rule, they tend to be paid and

subsidized less than center-based providers, who themselves are often underpaid.

Often, families using family, friend, and neighbor care don't apply for assistance even though they may be eligible for it. Some families are unaware of their eligibility, while others don't realize that subsidies can be used to pay for family-based care. According to the U.S. Government Accountability Office, less than one-third of all child-care vouchers are used by parents to pay home-based providers, even though this is a widely used form of care.²⁶

In addition, family, friend, and neighbor care providers often miss out on funds set aside under the Child Care and Development Fund for quality improvements, most of which go to center-based care. Investing money on improving the quality of their settings with home repairs, new safety equipment, outside play areas, books, and other materials can pose an insurmountable challenge for family, friend, and neighbor care providers.

Despite these very real issues, a growing number of promising new approaches and programs are emerging across the country to help enhance the quality and positive impact of family, friend, and neighbor care. To date, community-based efforts are the most promising. They go to great lengths to provide accessible and affordable help to family-based caregivers, and they creatively connect providers to the information, people, and resources they need. We discuss some of the most encouraging of these approaches in the next section.

Advancing the Quality of Family, Friend, and Neighbor Care

Many states and local communities have begun to test tailored strategies that are helping family, friend, and neighbor providers get the supports they need and want. Some of these efforts involve establishing venues that serve as "hubs" where providers can come together to obtain information and materials, receive training, and interact with child development professionals and other providers. Other programs deliver information and assistance directly to these providers through home visits, mobile vans, newsletters, and electronic media. In addition, because some family, friend, and neighbor providers are interested in obtaining credentials that would enable them to become licensed child-care professionals, we highlight several states that support these aspirations.

Creating New Hubs for Learning

Several states and cities have developed sites that family, friend, and neighbor providers can visit to access information, programs, materials, and development opportunities. These settings often become hubs of support that pool resources from different community institutions (e.g., museums, libraries, family support centers, child-care centers), offer formal and informal training, and present opportunities for homebased caregivers to share ideas with and learn from other providers who work in homes and child-care centers.

The Boston Children's Museum, for example, is making a positive impact on early childhood development city-wide through a program called Leveling the Sandbox. The museum partnered with Head Start, as well as kindergarten and child-care teachers around the city, to promote development activities for families and home-based caregivers. They created a course that includes a half-day seminar; three child-focused field trips to the museum; and three family nights at the museum for the caregivers, the children they care for, and the

Often, families using family, friend, and neighbor care don't apply for assistance even though they may be eligible for it. Some families are unaware of their eligibility, while others don't realize that subsidies can be used to pay for family-based care.

Many states and local communities have begun to test tailored strategies that are helping family, friend, and neighbor providers get the supports they need and want. Some of these efforts involve establishing venues that serve as "hubs" where providers can come together to obtain information and materials, receive training, and interact with child development professionals and other providers.

children's families. All caregivers are invited, regardless of license status. Caregivers and families receive free museum memberships and help shape the content of the learning sessions. Currently, 96 families and caregivers in this initiative are participating, and the Children's Museum is partnering with Head Start, child-care resource and referral organizations, churches, and other community groups to recruit additional caregivers.


The Arizona Kith and Kin Project also helps family, friend, and neighbor caregivers through support and training groups. The groups meet weekly for 14 weeks to discuss topics such as guidance and discipline, daily schedule planning, nutrition, parent/caregiver relationships, business practices, health and safety, language development, and literacy. To spur attendance, free on-site child care and transportation to and from the meetings are provided. This project receives city and state government funding, as well as support from private foundations, the United Way, and several local businesses.

Responding to a need for neighborhood gathering places where families and caregivers could connect with each other, Hawaii's Good Beginnings Alliance helped create Play and Learn Centers throughout the state. These neighborhood gathering places are staffed by volunteers and early childhood education specialists. They offer venues for area parents and caregivers to get together, learn about child development, and participate in informal leadership development activities. The centers also provide early childhood learning materials and supplies to area families. Many neighborhood participants have been inspired to pursue further child-care and child development training after participating in center activities.

Another example of a resource hub is the Family Support Center run by the Ashe County Partnership for Children in North Carolina, a nonprofit organization led by local volunteers. Staffed by a coordinator, early childhood caregivers, and therapists, the Family Support Center focuses on teaching caregivers how to better promote early literacy skills. Many of the participants in the literacy training program are grandparents caring for children of their teenage daughters. The Partnership also supports a Cooperative Play Center, open to the entire community, that helps reduce the isolation common to home-based family, friend, and neighbor caregivers. The play center has a wide variety of resources, including a kitchen, science center, playroom, infant center, and music room.

In addition to offering resources and training to networks of providers, several programs also help manage the program and business aspects of running a home-based child-care service. Infant/Toddler Family Day Care, Inc. in Fairfax, Virginia, oversees a network of more than 100 participating providers who receive ongoing skills training and home mentoring visits from the program's child-care specialists. In Lowell, Massachusetts, Acre Family Day Care serves primarily low-income immigrant women by providing them with education, supplies, and small-business loans to build their own careers as home-based childcare providers. The agency's network of nearly 50 providers, most of whom are Hispanic, Cambodian, or African American, care for 280 children from low-income families in the community every day.

Some communities are offering family, friend, and neighbor provider support on a much wider scale. Several of the communities are part of the Casey Foundation's *Making*









Connections initiative that seeks to build strong, family-supportive neighborhoods. In San Antonio, the Department of Community Initiatives contracts with agencies city-wide to provide support for family, friend, and neighbor care providers by linking them to learning opportunities; resources; and activities with schools, museums, libraries, community centers, and churches. More than 180 workshops are being held in 2006 on such topics as early brain development, social/emotional development, communications skills and language development, health and nutrition, and home and personal safety. The partnering agencies tailor their services to the needs of local family, friend, and neighbor care providers, offering everything from basic information to help in pursuing credentials and licensing to networking events. Some also provide child care, food, and other incentives to make it easier for providers to become involved.

Providence, Rhode Island, has also developed a community-based approach called Ready to Learn Providence (R2LP), a program centered on improving the school readiness of children across the city. From its inception in the fall of 2003, R2LP has devoted a large part of its work to connecting Spanish-speaking family child-care providers in the neediest neighborhoods to one another and to community resources. Included in R2LP's services are mini-grants, which can be used to purchase educational materials and resources, and English as a Second Language classes conducted by the local community college at R2LP offices. These classes use materials such as children's literature to help family child-care providers improve their own English language skills. In addition, R2LP provides the National Head Start Association's Heads Up! Reading

program on child literacy to Spanish-speaking providers in Providence. As of early 2006, nearly 300 providers had completed the program. R2LP has also developed *Heads Up! Reading* clubs where small groups of providers meet on a weekly basis to implement lessons learned during classes.

Bringing Information and Materials to Neighborhoods and Homes

In addition to establishing centers and providing city-wide workshops, some communities are creatively delivering child development information and educational materials to large numbers of neighborhood-based home-care providers through home visiting programs, mobile vans, television, and newsletters. This breadth of delivery options is important since many providers—because they lack time or transportation—are unable or unwilling to take advantage of formal training programs.

Popularized by such programs as Parents as Teachers, home visits have been used effectively to offer parents information on health and safety, child development, and appropriate and stimulating activities for young children. Evaluations of home visiting programs working with parents in low-income communities have shown positive results in reducing child maltreatment and promoting positive child development, including greater school readiness.²⁷ Given their success, we believe that they also represent a potentially sound strategy for supporting family, friend, and neighbor providers.

One example of a home visiting program that specifically targets home-based providers is the Head Start At-Home Partners Project. In this effort, the Child Care Resource Center in Cambridge, Massachusetts, partnered with Head Start to work with 25 families using in-

home relative care. The families became Head Start families, and the services were provided to the caregiver in the family's home. Services include health and dental screenings, child development learning activities, and field trips with other caregivers. The Cambridge Child Care Resource Center also created a home video, "When a Relative, Friend, or Neighbor Takes Care of Your Child," which provides one-on-one technical assistance to parents and caregivers.

Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. is currently evaluating a pilot program that offers home visiting to home-based childcare providers as part of the Early Head Start program. The Enhanced Home Visiting Pilot Project, a 3-year study launched in 2004, provides caregivers with 60- to 90-minute visits once or twice a month by experienced childcare professionals. The program, which is being implemented in 23 Early Head Start sites, also provides caregivers with health and safety equipment, children's books, toys, art supplies, car seats, highchairs, cribs, and other necessities. Preliminary findings show that this pilot has been particularly helpful in coordinating activities and information between the parent and the caregiver. Such communication ensures continuity in their approach with the children and the developmental skills taught. Early evidence also indicates positive changes in caregivers' practices.²⁸

Another creative effort is the Begin at Birth Health Promotores Initiative, which addresses the needs of a rural Latino population. Along the rural U.S.—Mexico border, neighborhood health promoters (promotores) help working families address critical public health needs. These families live in unincorporated rural subdivisions (colonias) that often lack roads, running water, and electricity. Begin

at Birth, an El Paso, Texas, early childhood development agency, partnered with these promoters to recruit and offer training to the many family, friend, and neighbor caregivers in the colonias. Many participating caregivers are now getting GEDs and seeking further education.

Illinois uses some of its federal childcare quality funds to support Quality Counts Vans. These vans travel to neighborhoods and are a ready resource for caregivers and young children. Created to serve family, friend, and neighbor providers, as well as center-based programs, these vans bring lending libraries, toys, supplies, consumer education, and health and safety information to neighborhoods on a regular basis. Similarly, Memphis, Tennessee, has created a program called Training Wheels Vans. The public library "visits" neighborhoods in a van loaded with books to lend and give away, along with learning materials, games, and other resources for grandparents who care for young children. Operating with a librarian and early childhood educator on board, this library-on-wheels initiative is part of the national Born to Read program and functions as a mobile classroom.

There are also programs that use television and the Internet to convey valuable information to children and their caregivers. Although research has shown that watching some "entertainment" television can actually contribute to aggressive behavior, anxiety, and obesity in young children, there is also evidence that quality educational television, when thoughtfully designed and used at the appropriate developmental stage, can promote cognitive development in young children. For example, one 1999 study showed that quality educational TV viewing at 2 and 3 years of age had a positive school readiness impact among low- to moderate-income children. ²⁹

One good example of the potential of television is "Ready To Learn," a Public Broadcasting Service (PBS) program focused on helping all children begin school ready to learn. PBS and its member stations help promote school readiness in a number of ways, including offering high-quality children's television programming based on specific educational goals. They also provide interactive online resources for kids and their caregivers; offer on-air educational messages that teach kids important skills; conduct community outreach sessions and distribute educational materials; and offer TV tips for parents and caregivers. From 2000 to 2005, PBS sponsored a rigorous evaluation of "Ready To Learn" services and resources. Evaluations conducted by Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. and, more recently, by WestEd found PBS' "Ready To Learn" services to be effective in improving children's school readiness outcomes, as well as increasing caregivers' and parents' involvement in actively using media and more traditional approaches to help their children learn.³⁰

In California, PBS stations throughout the state air a weekly 1-hour program in both English and Spanish. The English version is called "A Place of Our Own." The Spanish version, "Los Niños en su Casa," won an Emmy Award last year. The programs provide critical child development and school readiness information for parents and caregivers. The shows target parents, grandparents, friends, babysitters, and anyone who frequently takes care of young children. The series offers viewers advice and tips on such topics as language and literacy skills development, behavior, special needs, and nutrition. The series is supported with extensive outreach throughout California, both in communities and online. Workshops offered

Although research has shown that watching some "entertainment" television can actually contribute to aggressive behavior, anxiety, and obesity in young children, there is also evidence that quality educational television, when thoughtfully designed and used at the appropriate developmental stage, can promote cognitive development in young children.

We believe that the federal government, states, researchers, and the philanthropic community need to work together to develop a thoughtful agenda that would support better understanding of the numbers of providers offering care; consensus on the measures that benchmark high-quality care in home-based settings; and wider agreement on which approaches for improving family-provider quality work best.

in partnership with local community organizations teach caregivers how to apply ideas and activities from the series, while providing a much-needed opportunity for caregivers to share their experiences. Research conducted with 200 caregivers who viewed the program's first season confirmed that these caregivers increased their knowledge in featured areas, including problem solving, managing aggressive behavior, and sharing. In addition, the series led to significant positive changes in actual caregiver behavior.³¹

Finally, in New York, Children in My Care, a partnership between the state's Office of Children and Family Services and Cornell University's Cooperative Extension creates and distributes specialized newsletters for family, friend, and neighbor caregivers. These educational materials focus on a variety of topics, including promoting parent/provider communication, understanding infant and toddler development, practicing positive discipline, helping children learn to love reading, and keeping children safe and healthy.

Providing Opportunities and Incentives for Credentialing

For many family providers, particularly relatives and neighbors who may be caring for a child or two in order to help a parent work, becoming licensed or pursuing professional credentials may not be a need or desire. However, in several low-income communities, a number of non-credentialed caregivers take care of small groups of children in their homes to earn a living. Many of these small-business operators live in the same low-income communities as the children and families they serve, and they make important contributions to the economic and social fabric of their neighborhoods.

For these caregivers, obtaining professional credentials represents a way to strengthen their child-care business, increase their earnings, and enhance their effectiveness. In fact, several studies of both home-based and center-based care validate the idea that child-care quality is highly correlated with increased provider credentialing and education.

A number of states are actively encouraging credentialing for interested providers. For example, Maryland's voluntary Child-Care Credential program creates a career ladder for child-care providers. The program offers six levels of professional development, and providers qualify for larger child-care subsidies as they complete each stage of education and training. The Child Development Associate (CDA) credential, developed for the Head Start program, is now included in the child-care licensing regulations in 46 states and the District of Columbia. The program provides performancebased training, assessment, and credentialing to child-care center staff, home visitors, and regulated family child-care providers.

Maine Roads to Quality is a multifaceted program established by the state of Maine to improve child-care quality. This program includes the development of a career ladder for individuals providing care to children. Participants begin by receiving a foundation in health and safety, basic child development principles, and business training. The program also includes scholarships for the pursuit of the CDA credential and higher education degrees, including PhDs at local colleges and universities. Providers with CDAs and above are eligible to apply for Quality Certificates that can lead to increased reimbursement rates for care. Parents who seek out caregivers with Quality Certificates receive incentives, including a higher child-care

tax credit for enrolling their children in childcare homes with such certificates. The Virginia Department of Social Services also has a scholarship program that provides tuition reimbursement to child-care workers, including family, friend, and neighbor providers.

Recommendations

To enable more of our children to arrive at school healthy and prepared to succeed requires that we take advantage of every opportunity to help support the development of our youngest kids, especially those at greatest risk. Research tells us that after their parents, young children spend the most time with their child-care providers. Given that low-income families rely on family-based providers so heavily, it makes sense to enhance the ability of this care to improve early childhood development and school readiness outcomes for those who need the most help. Toward this end, we've highlighted several exemplary efforts that provide family, friend, and neighbor caregivers with good information and materials and connect them to center-based child-care professionals and other resources in their communities.

Although these efforts are quite promising, the reality is that if we're really going to make significant progress in narrowing the achievement gaps between poor and more affluent children, more must be done. Toward this end, we offer the following specific recommendations for next steps.


■ Improve the levels of data, research, and evaluation related to family, friend, and neighbor care. While such child care is widely used, the data and research surrounding it are quite sparse. We believe that the federal government, states, researchers, and the philanthropic

community need to work together to develop a thoughtful agenda that would support better understanding of the numbers of providers offering care; consensus on the measures that benchmark high-quality care in home-based settings; and wider agreement on which approaches for improving family-provider quality work best. This can only be done through an intensive research and evaluation effort designed to document the characteristics of home-based settings, caregivers, and practices that result in positive educational, social, and emotional outcomes for kids. This research agenda should also examine the wider cost-benefits associated with high-quality homebased care, similar to analyses done in relation to center-based services. For example, it is widely recognized that comprehensive programs that combine parent education with high-quality center-based services (such as Perry Pre-School and the Carolina Abecedarian Project) generate returns on investment as great as \$17 for every child-care dollar spent. We should also pay attention to the returns on investment of more modest programs proven to improve child outcomes in a home-based setting. This type of information is critical for developing an advocacy agenda aimed at increased funding for child care, in general, and increased reimbursement rates for family, friend, and neighbor care, in particular.

■ Promote stronger local organizational integration and linkages between effective, high-quality child-care centers and the family, friend, and neighbor providers in their communities. To advance the quality of care that children receive in family, friend, and neighbor settings requires exploring new child-care organizational models that use centers as hubs in care networks that include home-based

providers. We need more communities moving toward a better integrated "system" of child care that facilitates and enables center-based and home-based providers to communicate more effectively; work more collaboratively; and share information, expertise, and resources—including financial resources—more freely and purposefully. Some communities are moving toward this goal. For example, in Atlanta, as part of a Casey-funded revitalization effort in the city's Pittsburgh neighborhood, leaders in that community are piloting an integrated set of services and supports for young children that links family, friend, and neighbor providers and formal child-care centers. This effort will promote several of the ideas highlighted in this essay, including home visits, play and learning centers, and mobile learning vans.

■ Expand technical assistance and promotion of best practices to improve family, friend, and neighbor care. Efforts to systematically research and document best practices in home-based settings must be paired with communities' increased commitment to expand and replicate strategies that successfully strengthen family, friend, and neighbor care. If we are serious about promoting and advancing higher-quality early care in all child development settings, then we need a community response to strengthen family, friend, and neighbor care. The various systems and institutions that have a responsibility for advancing better outcomes for kids—health, education, family support, Head Start and child-care centers, libraries, museums, colleges and universities, and others—need to become involved in replicating and advancing best practices in improving family, friend, and neighbor care. In addition, it is absolutely









critical that government, businesses, and philanthropy step up; work together; and commit to support, evaluate, and expand innovations that demonstrate the best results.

- Increase and strengthen community-wide efforts to help parents make the best child-care choices. Many working parents, for a variety of reasons, believe that family, friend, and neighbor care is their best child-care option. Even so, we need to do more to help parents look for the highest quality and most appropriate care when they are making decisions about which familybased provider to choose. Outreach campaigns that help parents understand what constitutes quality care also play an important role, and we need to involve a variety of community-based resources in these efforts. Churches, schools, health care facilities, and other settings are capable of conducting information sessions to help parents assess various child-care options and access financial supports that can be used for child-care services. In addition, other community settings that parents frequent, such as local retailers, can distribute written information about how to identify quality care. Public access television and radio stations can and should be information sources, as well.
- Urge states to do more to encourage quality improvements in subsidized family-based care. Across states, while child-care funding formulas vary greatly and are widely believed to be below the true cost of quality care, reimbursement for family-based care is judged by all observers as consistently low. Given this, states should establish more-adequate reimbursement rates that enable and encourage family providers to enhance their skills or improve their programs.

Although the concept of linking reimbursement rates to increased training and education among family providers is just emerging and not yet well tested, it should be carefully explored. Such incentives could become a valuable tool for increasing quality, safety, and positive outcomes. It would also increase the economic stability of caregivers who serve low-income families and who frequently have low incomes themselves. One example to consider is a tiered reimbursement system, in which payment rates are keyed to evidence of quality. Illinois and Oregon are already considering such efforts.

Make early care and development a higher policy and funding priority at both the state and federal levels. It's tough to find anyone who disputes that the first few years of life are critical for helping children succeed in school and in life. At the same time, it's difficult to square this recognition with our national and state spending patterns for early childhood development. As a nation, we are far from providing the public funding required to make cost-effective, high-quality child care—whether it is center-based or home-based—broadly available to the children and families who need it most.

Over the past decade there has been a large increase in the number of low-income, single mothers entering the labor force. Yet public child-care funding, measured in real dollars, is not keeping pace. According to the National Association of Child Care Resource and Referral Agencies, the administration's own estimates show that if child-care appropriations proposed in the Fiscal Year 2007 budget are accepted, there will be 400,000 fewer children receiving federal child-care subsidies in 2011 than in 2005. For

As a nation, we are far from providing the public funding required to make cost-effective, high-quality child care—whether it is center-based or home-based—broadly available to the children and families who need it most.

At the Casey Foundation, we believe strongly that influencing the quality of family, friend, and neighbor care, particularly in America's low-income communities, is an opportunity to improve school readiness for the millions of kids who need it most.

example, proposals include terminating the Community Service Block Grant; cutting Title XX (Social Services Block Grant); and freezing funding for the Child Care and Development Block Grant, Head Start, and other children's programs. Spending by many states is in decline, as well. In 2004, a total of 30 states cut child-care assistance, valued at more than \$600 million.³² This is occurring at a time when thousands of families have their children on waiting lists for child-care vouchers—even though state waiting lists don't capture all of the eligible families. For example, a study of Philadelphia families found that fully 50 percent of all of the subsidy-eligible families surveyed did not apply for subsidies.³³ Some states have even stopped taking registrations for child care.

Conclusion

No American ideal is more important than the promise of equal opportunity. Realizing this promise turns on many variables. Few are more pivotal than assuring that all children begin life on a level playing field and that they enjoy an even start. We have a long way to go. In schools across the country, too many of our poorest and most vulnerable children arrive without the developmental skills and competencies that all kids need to learn and succeed. By not having access to the resources and experiences available to more affluent kids, these students start school at a distinct disadvantage. They face an achievement gap that starts early and too often continues to grow over time.

But it doesn't have to be this way. We can narrow the school readiness gap and give more kids the even start that they deserve. In this 2006 KIDS COUNT Data Book essay, we assert that one powerful approach is to enhance the quality of child care that many children receive.

In particular, we believe that much can be gained by doing more to help and support our nation's home-based child-care providers—providers who care for some 6.5 million American children.

From our perspective, this aspiration is attainable, and we have noted a number of promising state and local efforts that are moving in the right direction. Furthermore, we have put forth several recommendations that can help communities and the child-care field scale up these ideas for greater impact. However, the models and recommendations cited in this essay can only go so far. To make a real difference for kids, national and local policymakers, civic and community leaders, community residents, and child-care providers must be willing to commit the time, resources, and energy to act on these proposals.

We need leaders who acknowledge the critical role that these home-based providers play in every community's child-care continuum and who put as much faith in their value and their natural assets as the millions of parents who trust these providers to care for their children. We especially need leaders who are willing to experiment with new ideas that can stimulate and support stronger collaborations between home-based and center-based providers.

At the Casey Foundation, we believe strongly that influencing the quality of family, friend, and neighbor care, particularly in America's low-income communities, is an opportunity to improve school readiness for the millions of kids who need it most. It is an opportunity that our communities—and our nation—can ill afford to disregard.

Douglas W. Nelson, President The Annie E. Casey Foundation

- 1. Shonkoff, J.P. and D. Phillips (Eds.), 2000, From Neurons to Neighborhoods: The Science of Early Child Development, National Academy of Sciences Press, Washington, DC.
- 2. Ibid.
- 3. Bridges, M., B.C. Fuller, R. Rumberger, and L. Tran, 2004, Preschool for California's Children: Promising Benefits, Unequal Access, PACE Policy Brief 04-3, Policy Analysis for California Education (PACE), Berkeley, CA.
- 4. Haskins, R. and C. Rouse, 2005, Closing Achievement Gaps: The Future of Children, Policy Brief, Brookings Institution, Washington, DC.
- 5. Karoly, et al., Fall 2005, *RAND Review*, "Many Happy Returns: Early Childhood Programs Entail Costs, But the Paybacks Could Be Substantial," accessed at www.rand.org/publications/randreview (May 4, 2006).
- 6. Annie E. Casey Foundation analysis of the 2003 National Survey of Children's Health.
- 7. Annie E. Casey Foundation analysis of the 2003 National Survey of Children's Health. The data are based on children under age 6 whose parent answered "yes" to the question, "During the past month did your child regularly attend family-based child care outside the child's home?"
- 8. Brandon, R., et al., 2002, Estimating the Size and Components of the U.S. Child-Care Workforce and Caregiving Population, Center for Child-Care Workforce and Human Service Policy Center, Washington, DC, and Seattle, WA.
- 9. Annie E. Casey Foundation analysis of the 2003 National Survey of Children's Health.

10. Ibid.

11. Ibid.


- 12. Zaslow, M., G. Acs, C. McPhee, and S. Vandivere, 2006, "Children in Low-Income Families: Change and Continuity in Family Context and Measures of Well-Being," paper prepared for The Urban Institute and Child Trends Roundtable on Children in Low-Income Families, January 12, 2006.
- 13. According to a 2001 report by the Center for Budget and Policy Priorities, 36 percent of low-income single parents do not have a vehicle compared to only 4 percent of middle- and upper-income families. When low-income families do own a vehicle, it is often an older, cheaper model that is unreliable and frequently in need of repairs. Goldberg, H., 2006, State- and County-Supported Car Ownership Programs Can Help Low-Income Families Secure and Keep Jobs," Center for Law and Social Policy, November, accessed at www.clasp.org (April 2006).
- 14. Brandon, R., 2005, "Enhancing Family, Friend, and Neighbor Caregiving Quality: The Research Case for Public Engagement," accessed at www.hspc.org/publications/pdf/APHSApaper05.RRF.pdf (May 2006). Note: In this report, family, friend, and neighbor care is defined as any non-parental care provided on a regular basis that is not provided in a licensed or registered child-care setting.
- 15. Iruka, I.U., and P. R. Carver, 2006, Initial Results From the 2005 NHES Early Childhood Program Participation Survey (NCES 2006-075), U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Washington, DC.
- 16. U.S. Census Bureau, 2005, "Who's Minding the Kids? Child-Care Arrangements: Winter 2002," Washington, DC.

- 17. Brandon, R., 2005, "Enhancing Family, Friend, and Neighbor Caregiving Quality: The Research Case for Public Engagement," accessed at www.hspc.org/publications/pdf/APHSA paper05.RRF.pdf (April 2006).
- 18. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2003, "Executive Summary for Head Start FACES 2000: A Whole Child Perspective on Program Performance," accessed at www.acf.hhs. gov/programs/opre/hs/faces/executive summary/exe_sum. html (April 2006).
- 19. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Early Child-Care Research Network, 2000, "The Relation of Child Care to Cognitive and Language Development," *Child Development*, Vol. 71, 958–978.
- 20. Helen Blank, Director of Leadership and Public Policy at the National Women's Law Center, personal communication, April 2006.
- 21. Brandon, R., et al., 2002, Estimating the Size and Components of the U.S. Child-Care Workforce and Caregiving Population, Center for Child-Care Workforce and Human Service Policy Center, Washington, DC, and Seattle, WA.
- 22. Brown Lyons, M., A. Robertson, and J. Layzer, 2001, Kith and Kin—Informal Child Care: Highlights From Recent Research, National Center for Children in Poverty, New York, NY.
- 23. Wrigley, J. and J. Dreby, 2005, "Fatalities and the Organization of Child Care in the United States, 1985–2003," *American Sociological Review*, Vol. 70, October.

- 24. Adams, G., M. Zaslow, and K. Tout, 2006, "Child Care for Children in Low-Income Working Families: Trends, Patterns, and Potential Policy Implications for Children's Development," paper prepared for The Urban Institute and Child Trends Roundtable on Children in Low-Income Families, January 12, 2006.
- 25. The Mile High United Way, Clayton, and Piton foundations in Denver, Colorado, together support opportunities for family providers to boost their income by providing scholarships to receive degrees and certification and helping them establish Individual Development Accounts. In addition, Piton provides training, a pre-licensing program for family providers, and access to family activities associated with Early Excellence in Casey Foundation Making Connections neighborhood schools. These include child development education, play groups, family literacy, and social events.
- The Hartford Foundation for Public Giving in Connecticut funds a weekly home visiting program for family, friend, and neighbor care providers in the Making Connections neighborhood, training providers in using Creative Curriculum.
- In Des Moines, the United Way of Central Iowa, through its Women's Leadership Connection, provides funding for the Read Rover Van, which visits a network of family, friend, and neighbor providers in the Making Connections neighborhood.
- A group of Seattle, Washington, funders, including United Way of King County, is supporting Play and Learn groups Also in Washington, the Gates Foundation has invited two communities, including White Center/Boulevard Park, a Making Connections community just outside Seattle, to develop a plan for comprehensive early childhood development and early care and education. If funded, this initiative will seek to assure that children in that neighborhood have access to high-quality early

- care and education, from the earliest years through school entry.
- The A.L. Mailman Family Foundation in New York is convening researchers, practitioners, and policymakers to share current knowledge about family, friend, and neighbor care; helping to broaden understanding of issues around quality in this field; and promoting practices that enhance the capacity of these providers to nurture babies and toddlers. Also in New York, the Rauch Foundation responded to research about the needs of its local area and determined that as part of their work in early child care, they needed to include efforts to support unregulated caregivers as part of their mission to give disadvantaged young children a better start in life.
- The Sisters of Charity
 Foundation of Canton in Ohio
 provides several levels of support
 to family-based child-care providers, including scholarships to
 obtain their Child Development
 Associate credentials, mentoring
 support, accreditation grants,
 resources through a lending
 library, and training.
- The McKnight Foundation in Minnesota has funded efforts to support family, friend, and neighbor providers through the Resource and Referral Network, and it embraces other opportunities to improve their ability to nurture the children in their care.
- 26. U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2002, Child Care: States Have Undertaken a Variety of Quality Improvement Initiatives, But More Evaluations of Effectiveness Are Needed, Washington, DC.
- 27. Cochran, M., 2004, "Rochester Home-Based Caregiver Program: Caring for Quality," project description, literature review, Cornell Early Childhood Program, Ithaca, NY.

- 28. Paulsell, D., et al., January 2006, The Enhanced Home Visiting Pilot Project: How Early Head Start Programs Are Reaching Out to Kith and Kin Caregivers, Final Interim Report, Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., Princeton, NI.
- 29. Center on Media and Child Health, Children's Hospital Boston, January 2005, "The Effects of Electronic Media on Children Ages Zero to Six: A History of Research," prepared for the Kaiser Family Foundation.
- 30. Evaluation of PBS "Ready To Learn" services, accessed at www.pbs.org/readytolearn/ research/rtl.html (April 14, 2006).
- 31. "California PBS Stations Launch New Season of Emmy-Winning 'Los Niños En Su Casa' and Emmy-Nominated 'A Place of Our Own,'" accessed at http://hispanicprwire.com/news. php?l=in&id=5480&ccha=6 (April 14, 2006).
- 32. Matthews, H. and D. Ewen, Child Care Assistance in 2004: States Have Fewer Funds for Child Care, Center for Law and Social Policy, accessed at www.clasp.org (February 21, 2006).
- 33. Shlay, A.B., M. Weinraub, M. Harmon, and H. Tran, 2004, "Barriers to Subsidies: Why Low-Income Families Do Not Use Child-Care Subsidies," *Social Science Research*, Vol. 33, No. 1.











The broad array of data we present each year in the KIDS COUNT Data Book is intended to illuminate the status of America's children and to assess trends in their well-being. By updating the assessment every year, KIDS COUNT provides ongoing benchmarks that can be used to see how states have advanced or regressed over time. Readers can also use KIDS COUNT to compare the status of children in their state with those in other states across several dimensions of child well-being. Furthermore, the annual presentation of KIDS COUNT data allows us to make incremental improvements to the Data Book as new data become available and methods are refined.

Although the 10 measures used in KIDS COUNT to rank states can hardly capture the full range of conditions shaping kids' lives, we believe these indicators possess three important attributes: (1) They reflect a wide range of factors affecting the well-being of children, such as health, adequacy of income, and educational attainment. (2) They reflect experiences across a range of developmental stages—from birth through early adulthood. (3) They permit legitimate comparisons because they are consistent across states and over time. Research shows that the 10 KIDS COUNT key indicators capture most of the yearly variation in child well-being reflected in other indices that utilize a much larger number of indicators. For more information about the criteria used to select KIDS COUNT indicators, see page 178.

The 10 indicators used to rank states reflect a developmental perspective on childhood and underscore our goal to provide a world where pregnant women and newborns thrive; infants and young children receive the support they need to enter school prepared to learn; children succeed in school; adolescents choose healthy behaviors; and young people experience a successful transition into adulthood. In all of these stages of development, young people need the economic and social assistance provided by a strong family and a supportive community.

As the KIDS COUNT Data Book has developed over time, some of the indicators used to rank states have changed because we replaced weaker measures with stronger ones. Consequently, comparing rankings in the 2006 Data Book to rankings in past Data Books does not always provide a perfect assessment of change over time. However, Appendix 2 shows how states would have ranked in past years if we had employed the same 10 measures used in the 2006 Data Book. The table in Appendix 2 is the best way to assess state changes over time in overall child well-being.

Race and Child Well-Being

Before looking at child well-being state by state, we would like to examine child well-being among major racial groups and Hispanics. Table 1 provides national statistics for each major group on each of the 10 measures of child well-being used to rank states. Similar tables for earlier years are available on the KIDS COUNT website at www.kidscount.org. Reliable data for all racial groups for all states are not available.

The differences shown in Table 1 are both large and predictable. The size of the gap between black and non-Hispanic white children

varies by indicator, but the outcomes for black children are worse on every one of the 10 indicators. The same is true for American Indian and Alaskan Native children when compared to non-Hispanic white children.

Comparing outcomes for Hispanic children with those for non-Hispanic white children poses a bit of a paradox. While Hispanic/Latino families typically have lower socioeconomic status characteristics, many birth outcomes are actually better for Hispanics than for non-Hispanic whites. The percent of Hispanic children born of low birthweight (6.7 percent) is less than that of non-Hispanic white children (7.0 percent), and the Infant Mortality Rate for Hispanics is nearly identical to that for non-Hispanic whites. The Child Death Rate and the Teen Death Rate are very similar for Hispanics and non-Hispanic whites. On the other measures of child well-being, however, Hispanics trail non-Hispanic whites.

On seven measures of child well-being, Asian-American children come out better than non-Hispanic white children. The high school dropout rate for Asian children is only half that of non-Hispanic white children. Asian-American children trail non-Hispanic white children in terms of low birthweight, parental employment, and child poverty.

KIDS COUNT State Indicators

In the pages that follow, the most recent figures are compared with corresponding data from 2000 to assess the trends over time in each state. To provide a fuller picture of children's lives and a framework for better understanding the 10 indicators of child well-being used to rank states, several background measures are provided for each state,

including measures that reflect children in family-based child care.

The 10 key indicators of child well-being used here are all derived from federal government statistical agencies and reflect the best available state-level data for tracking yearly changes in each indicator. However, it is important to recognize many of the indicators used here are derived from samples and, like all sample data, they contain some random error. Other measures (the Infant Mortality Rate and the Child Death Rate, for example) are based on relatively small numbers of events in some states and may exhibit some random fluctuation from year to year. Therefore, we urge readers to focus on relatively large differences—both across states and over time within a state. Small differences may simply reflect random fluctuations, rather than real changes in the well-being of children. Moreover, year-to-year changes in state-level measures are often insignificant. Assessing trends by looking at changes over a longer period of time is more reliable. Yearly data since 2000 for each state are presented in Appendix 1.

We include data for the District of Columbia in the *Data Book*, but we do not include the District in our state rankings because it is so different from any state that the comparisons are not meaningful. It is more useful to look at changes within the District of Columbia since 2000, or to compare the District with other large cities as we do in other KIDS COUNT publications. Data for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands are also available on the KIDS COUNT website.

The data on the following pages present a rich but complex picture of American children. Some dimensions of well-being improved, some worsened, and some showed little change.

TABLE 1 10 Key Indicators of Child Well-Being by Race and Hispanic Origin Status: 2003/2004

Indicators		national average	NON- HISPANIC WHITE	BLACK/ AFRICAN AMERICAN	ASIAN AND PACIFIC ISLANDER	AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKAN NATIVE	HISPANIC/ LATINO
Percent low-birthweight babies	2003	7.9	7.0	13.4	7.8	7.4	6.7
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2003	6.9	5.7	14.0	3.8	7.8	5.8
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1–14)	2003	21	19	29	16	30	20
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2003	66	63	80	38	97	67
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19)	2003	42	27	64	17	53	82
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)*	2004	8	6	8	3	13	15
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)*	2004	9	7	12	5	17	14
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment*	2004	33	27	50	32	51	39
Percent of children in poverty*	2004	18	11	36	14	31	29
Percent of children in single-parent families*	2004	31	23	64	15	47	35

NOTE: Data for Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives include those who are also Hispanic/Latino.

However, the overriding picture that these 10 indicators present is one of little change since 2000. (See the USA Profile on page 54.) At the national level, only 4 of the 10 indicators of child well-being showed that conditions improved since 2000, while child well-being worsened on 3 indicators, and conditions were unchanged on 3 indicators. It should be noted, however, that many of these changes were very small and may be nothing more than random fluctuations. Naturally, the portrait of child well-being varies among states, and state-level measures often mask important differences within a state. Of the 50 states, only 15 states improved on more than 5 of the 10 measures used here.

The portrait of change in child well-being since 2000 stands in stark contrast to the period just prior to 2000. Between 1996 and 2000, 8 of the 10 key indicators used in KIDS COUNT improved, and several improved dramatically. The improvement was experienced by every major racial group and in nearly all of the states.

Pre- and post-2000 trends are clearly illustrated by changes in the rate of child poverty since the mid-1990s. Between 1994 and 2000, the child poverty rate fell by 30 percent. This was the largest decrease in child poverty since the 1960s. Since 2000, however, the child poverty rate has inched up a percentage point.

Table 2 provides a summary of results from this year's *KIDS COUNT Data Book* and highlights the enormous variation among the states. The rates of the worst states are nearly two to three times those of the best states on every indicator.

The importance of reporting state-level data is underscored by the fact that most measures in most states are statistically significantly different from the national value for each measure. In

*For this measure, the data for Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks/

African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives are for persons who selected only one race.

other words, the national value for a measure does not tell you much about most states. Tables showing the statistical significance of differences among states and changes over time are provided on the KIDS COUNT website (www.kidscount.org).

The KIDS COUNT Data Book utilizes rates and percentages because that is the best way to compare states to each other and to assess changes over time within a state. However, our focus on rates and percentages may mask the magnitude of some of the problems that are examined in this report. The number of events or number of children that are reflected in each of the national rates for the 10 key indicators used to rank states are provided on corresponding indicator pages. These data underscore the fact that thousands of children die every year, and millions are at risk because of poverty, family structure, lack of parental employment, or risky behavior. As we note trends since 2000 based on rates and percentages, it is important to remember the millions of children whose futures are in jeopardy because their lives are filled with risks. Similar data showing the numbers behind the state rates are offered in Appendix 1.

In the following pages, the overall ranking of states based on all 10 indicators is reported, and each of the 10 indicators used to rank states is discussed separately.

TABLE 2 Highest and Lowest Ranking States

Indicators		HIGHEST RANKING VALUE	HIGHEST RANKING STATE(S)	LOWEST RANKING VALUE	LOWEST RANKING STATE(S)
Percent low-birthweight babies	2003	6.0	Alaska Washington	11.4	Mississippi
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2003	4.0	New Hampshire	10.7	Mississippi
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2003	12	New Hampshire	38	Alaska
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2003	40	Connecticut	105	Alaska
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2003	18	New Hampshire	63	Mississippi New Mexico Texas
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2004	3	Iowa North Dakota	13	Indiana
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2004	4	New Hampshire North Dakota	13	Louisiana
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2004	24	Nebraska	40	Alaska Louisiana
Percent of children in poverty	2004	10	Connecticut New Hampshire	31	Mississippi
Percent of children in single-parent families	2004	17	Utah	44	Louisiana

Summary and Findings

Ranking States on Composite Index


Data from all 10 key indicators are used to develop a composite index of child well-being for each state. The Overall Rank Table and Map show how states rank, based on the 10-item index.

The state that ranks highest (best), based on the composite index, is New Hampshire. Vermont ranks second, and Connecticut ranks third. The three states at the bottom of the ranking are Mississippi, Louisiana, and New Mexico.

The Overall Rank Map also reflects a couple of regional overtones. The New England states and a group of states in the Northern Plains all rank relatively high. Except for Rhode Island, all of the New England states rank in the top 11. Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin are all ranked in the top 14.

At the other end of the spectrum, states in the South and Southwest dominate the lower part of the ranking. The bottom 15 states are all located in the South or Southwest.

Overall Rank: 2006




Rank 1–13
Rank 14–25
Rank 26–38
Rank 39–50

A state's Overall Rank is determined by the sum of the state's standing on each of 10 measures of the condition of children arranged in sequential order from highest/best (1) to lowest/worst (50). See Definitions and Data Sources on page 174 for a detailed description of the methodology used to construct the composite index and rank states.

Rank	State	Rank	State
1	New Hampshire	27	Michigan
2	Vermont	28	Wyoming
3	Connecticut	29	Delaware
4	Minnesota	30	Missouri
5	lowa	31	Rhode Island
6	Utah	32	Indiana
7	New Jersey	33	Florida
8	Nebraska	34	Montana
9	North Dakota	35	Alaska
10	Massachusetts	36	Nevada
11	Maine	37	Arizona
12	Kansas	38	West Virginia
13	Wisconsin	39	Texas
14	South Dakota	40	Oklahoma
15	Oregon	41	North Carolina
16	Pennsylvania	42	Kentucky
17	Washington	43	Alabama
18	California	44	Georgia
19	Virginia	45	Arkansas
20	Idaho	46	Tennessee
21	Hawaii	47	South Carolina
22	New York	48	New Mexico
23	Maryland	49	Louisiana
24	Illinois	50	Mississippi
25	Colorado	N.R.	District of
26	Ohio		Columbia


Percent Low-Birthweight Babies



NOTE: Data for Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives include those who are also Hispanic/Latino. Babies weighing less than 2,500 grams (about 5.5 pounds) at birth have a high probability of experiencing developmental problems. Although low-birthweight babies were only 7.8 percent of all births in 2002 they accounted for 68 percent of infant deaths that year. The risk of dying during the first year of life for low-birthweight babies (59.5 deaths per 1,000 births) is nearly 25 times that for babies of normal birthweight (2.4 deaths per 1,000 births). Therefore, the Percent Low-Birthweight Babies reflects a group of children who are likely to experience a higher than average rate of problems as they grow older.

- Nationally, 324,064 babies were born weighing less than 2,500 grams in 2003. Low-birthweight babies were 7.9 percent of all births in 2003, compared to 7.6 percent in 2000.
- This represents a 4 percent increase in low-weight births over the 2000 to 2003 period.
- Between 2000 and 2003, the percent of lowbirthweight babies worsened in 47 states and improved in only 3 states, plus the District of Columbia.
- Among the states, the incidence of lowbirthweight babies in 2003 ranged from a low of 6.0 percent in Alaska and Washington to a high of 11.4 percent in Mississippi.
- The percent of black low-weight births (13.4) is at least 72 percent higher than any other group examined.

Percent Low-Birthweight Babies: 2003*




More than 20% better than state median (6.4 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (6.5 to 8.0)
Up to 20% worse than state median (8.1 to 9.6)
More than 20% worse than state median (9.7 and higher)

^{*} Babies weighing less than 2,500 grams (5.5 pounds) at birth.

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	Alaska	6.0	26	New Jersey	8.1
1	Washington	6.0	26	Pennsylvania	8.1
3	Oregon	6.1	29	Michigan	8.2
4	Minnesota	6.2	29	Virginia	8.2
4	New Hampshire	6.2	31	Illinois	8.3
6	Idaho	6.5	31	Ohio	8.3
6	Maine	6.5	33	Florida	8.5
6	North Dakota	6.5	33	New Mexico	8.5
6	Utah	6.5	33	Rhode Island	8.5
10	California	6.6	36	Hawaii	8.6
10	lowa	6.6	36	West Virginia	8.6
10	South Dakota	6.6	38	Kentucky	8.7
13	Montana	6.8	39	Arkansas	8.9
13	Wisconsin	6.8	39	Wyoming	8.9
15	Nebraska	6.9	41	Colorado	9.0
16	Vermont	7.0	41	Georgia	9.0
17	Arizona	7.1	41	North Carolina	9.0
18	Kansas	7.4	44	Maryland	9.1
19	Connecticut	7.5	45	Delaware	9.4
20	Massachusetts	7.6	45	Tennessee	9.4
21	Oklahoma	7.8	47	Alabama	10.0
22	Indiana	7.9	48	South Carolina	10.1
22	New York	7.9	49	Louisiana	10.7
22	Texas	7.9	50	Mississippi	11.4
25	Missouri	8.0	N.R.	District of	
26	Nevada	8.1		Columbia	10.9

Infant Mortality Rate

Infant Mortality Rate (deaths per 1,000 live births) by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2003




NOTE: Data for Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives include those who are also Hispanic/Latino. Since the first year of life is more precarious than later years of child-hood, negative social conditions (such as poverty and an unhealthy physical environment) have a bigger impact on newborns. The number of children who die before their first birthday is reflected in the Infant Mortality Rate, defined as the number of deaths to persons less than 1 year old per 1,000 live births during the year.

After several decades of constantly falling infant mortality rates, improvement has stalled. The Infant Mortality Rate in 2003 is the same as it was in 2000. In fact, between 2001 and 2002, the Infant Mortality Rate increased for the first time in nearly 50 years. It is also important to note that even the best performing states have higher rates than several other industrialized countries. Clearly, we can do better.

- During 2003, 28,025 infants under age 1 died in the United States, which amounts to almost 77 infant deaths each day.
- In 2003, the U.S. Infant Mortality Rate was 6.9 deaths per 1,000 live births, the same as in 2000.
- Between 2000 and 2003, the Infant Mortality Rate deteriorated in 18 states. However, infant mortality improved in 28 states (and the District of Columbia) and was unchanged in 4 others.
- Among the states, the Infant Mortality Rate in 2003 ranged from a low of 4.0 in New Hampshire to a high of 10.7 in Mississippi. It is important to note, however, that some rates are based on a relatively small number of infant deaths and may not be a very good gauge of the underlying risk of death.
- The Infant Mortality Rate among blacks (14.0 deaths per 1,000 births) is more than twice as high as the national average (6.9 deaths per 1,000 births).

Infant Mortality Rate (deaths per 1,000 live births): 2003




More than 20% better than state median (5.4 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (5.5 to 6.7)
Up to 20% worse than state median (6.8 to 8.0)
More than 20% worse than state median (8.1 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	New Hampshire	4.0	27	Kentucky	6.9
2	Minnesota	4.6	28	Alaska	7.0
3	Massachusetts	4.8	29	North Dakota	7.3
4	Maine	4.9	29	Pennsylvania	7.3
5	Utah	5.0	29	West Virginia	7.3
5	Vermont	5.0	32	Florida	7.5
7	California	5.2	32	Hawaii	7.5
8	Connecticut	5.4	34	Indiana	7.6
8	Nebraska	5.4	35	Illinois	7.7
10	lowa	5.6	35	Ohio	7.7
10	Oregon	5.6	35	Virginia	7.7
10	Washington	5.6	38	Oklahoma	7.8
13	Nevada	5.7	39	Missouri	7.9
13	New Jersey	5.7	40	Maryland	8.2
15	New Mexico	5.8	40	North Carolina	8.2
15	Wyoming	5.8	42	South Carolina	8.3
17	New York	6.0	43	Georgia	8.5
18	Colorado	6.1	43	Michigan	8.5
19	Idaho	6.3	45	Alabama	8.7
20	Arizona	6.5	45	Arkansas	8.7
20	Wisconsin	6.5	47	Louisiana	9.3
22	Kansas	6.6	47	Tennessee	9.3
22	Texas	6.6	49	Delaware	9.4
24	Rhode Island	6.7	50	Mississippi	10.7
24	South Dakota	6.7	N.R.	District of	
26	Montana	6.8		Columbia	10.5

Summary and Findings

Child Death Rate

Child Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1–14) by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2003




NOTE: Data for Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives include those who are also Hispanic/Latino. The Child Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1–14) has fallen steadily for the past several years, due in large part to advances in medical care. The general decrease in deaths from motor vehicle accidents, which accounted for nearly one-fifth of all child deaths in 2003, also has contributed to a declining Child Death Rate.

Child deaths are just the tip of the iceberg. One study found that for each death by injury, there were 160 children admitted to a hospital for an injury and about 2,000 children with emergency room visits related to injuries.

Too many young children die in automobile accidents because they are not wearing a seat belt. Nearly half of the children ages 1 to 4 who died in traffic crashes were not wearing a seat belt or other restraint.

- During 2003, 11,919 children between the ages of 1 and 14 died in the United States, which amounts to an average of 33 deaths per day.
- The Child Death Rate inched downward from 22 out of every 100,000 children in this age range in 2000, to 21 deaths per 100,000 in 2003.
- Between 2000 and 2003, the Child Death Rate decreased in 27 states and the District of Columbia, while increasing in 14 states. In 9 states, the rate was unchanged.
- Among the states, the Child Death Rate in 2003 ranged from a low of 12 in New Hampshire to a high of 38 in Alaska.
- The Child Death Rate for American Indians and Alaskan Natives (30 deaths per 100,000) is higher than any other group examined here.

Child Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1–14): 2003




More than 20% better than state median (17 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (18 to 21)
Up to 20% worse than state median (22 to 25)
More than 20% worse than state median (26 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	New Hampshire	12	26	North Carolina	22
2	Massachusetts	13	26	Oregon	22
3	Connecticut	14	29	Georgia	23
3	Delaware	14	30	Arizona	24
3	Rhode Island	14	30	Kansas	24
6	New Jersey	15	30	Missouri	24
7	New York	16	30	Montana	24
7	Vermont	16	30	Texas	24
9	Hawaii	18	30	West Virginia	24
9	Minnesota	18	36	Kentucky	25
11	California	19	36	Nebraska	25
11	Illinois	19	36	North Dakota	25
11	Nevada	19	36	South Carolina	25
11	Pennsylvania	19	36	Tennessee	25
11	Washington	19	41	Idaho	26
16	Indiana	20	42	Alabama	27
16	Maryland	20	42	Arkansas	27
16	Ohio	20	44	Louisiana	28
16	Wisconsin	20	45	New Mexico	29
20	Colorado	21	45	Oklahoma	29
20	Florida	21	47	Mississippi	33
20	Maine	21	48	South Dakota	36
20	Michigan	21	49	Wyoming	37
20	Utah	21	50	Alaska	38
20	Virginia	21	N.R.	District of	
26	lowa	22		Columbia	27

Summary and Findings

Teen Death Rate

Teen Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15–19) by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2003




NOTE: Data for Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives include those who are also Hispanic/Latino. As people move into their middle and late teenage years, they encounter many new risks that can cost them their life. The Teen Death Rate reflects deaths among 15- to 19-year-olds (deaths per 100,000 teens in this age group) from all causes. It is worth noting that deaths from accidents, homicides, and suicides accounted for 75 percent of all deaths in this age group in 2003.

Accidents continue to account for at least three times as many teen deaths as any other source, including homicide. Most of the lethal accidents are automobile accidents. The Teen Death Rate fell slightly between 2000 and 2003, and the decrease was primarily due to a lower number of teen suicides. The number of teen deaths due to accidents was exactly the same in 2003 as it was in 2000 (6,755). The number of teen deaths due to homicide increased slightly from 1,914 in 2000 to 1,938 in 2003, and the number of teen deaths due to suicide dropped from 1,621 to 1,487 during the same period.

- In 2003, 13,595 Americans ages 15–19 died, and this amounts to an average of 37 teen deaths each day. Virtually all of these were preventable deaths.
- The Teen Death Rate inched downward from 67 deaths per 100,000 teens in 2000 to 66 deaths per 100,000 in 2003, a decrease of 1 percent.
- Between 2000 and 2003, the rate of teen deaths declined in 26 states, increased in 23 states (and the District of Columbia), and remained unchanged in 1.
- Among the states, the Teen Death Rate in 2003 ranged from a low of 40 in Connecticut to a high of 105 in Alaska.
- The Teen Death Rate for American Indians and Alaskan Natives is nearly 50 percent higher than the national average.

Teen Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15–19): 2003




More than 20% better than state median (56 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (57 to 70)
Up to 20% worse than state median (71 to 84)
More than 20% worse than state median (85 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	Connecticut	40	27	Idaho	72
2	New Jersey	42	27	Texas	72
3	New Hampshire	46	29	Missouri	73
4	New York	48	30	Georgia	74
5	Massachusetts	51	31	Kentucky	75
6	Maine	53	32	Delaware	76
6	Vermont	53	32	Tennessee	76
8	Hawaii	54	34	Maryland	77
8	Washington	54	35	Arizona	80
10	Michigan	55	35	North Carolina	80
11	Ohio	57	35	Oklahoma	80
11	Oregon	57	38	South Carolina	82
13	lowa	58	38	South Dakota	82
14	Minnesota	59	40	Arkansas	84
15	California	61	41	North Dakota	85
15	Nebraska	61	41	Wyoming	85
15	Utah	61	43	Nevada	87
18	Virginia	62	44	Alabama	89
19	Indiana	63	44	Mississippi	89
20	Rhode Island	65	46	West Virginia	90
21	Colorado	66	47	Louisiana	96
22	Pennsylvania	67	48	New Mexico	97
23	Illinois	68	49	Montana	104
24	Florida	70	50	Alaska	105
24	Wisconsin	70	N.R.	District of	
26	Kansas	71		Columbia	151

Summary and Findings

Teen Birth Rate

Teen Birth Rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19) by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2003




NOTE: Data for Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives include those who are also Hispanic/Latino. Teenage childbearing is problematic because it often diminishes the opportunities of both the child and the young mother. The consequence of starting out life as the child of a teen mother can be illustrated by the following stark comparison. The poverty rate for children born to teenage mothers who have never married and who did not graduate from high school is 78 percent. On the other hand, the poverty rate for children born to women over age 20 who are currently married and did graduate from high school is 9 percent.

Nationally, the Teen Birth Rate fell from 48 births per 1,000 females ages 15 to 19 in 2000 to 42 births per 1,000 teen females in this age range in 2003. This decline was reflected among every major racial and ethnic group. It is worth noting that teen pregnancy rates and teen abortion rates have been falling as well.

- In 2003, there were 414,580 babies born to females ages 15 to 19, yielding a record-low rate of 42 births per 1,000 teens.
- Nonetheless, this means there were about 1,136 births to teens each day during 2003.
- The 2003 rate represents a drop of 13 percent from 2000, when the Teen Birth Rate was 48 births per 1,000 teens.
- Between 2000 and 2003, the Teen Birth Rate decreased in 49 states, was unchanged in 1 state, and increased in the District of Columbia.
- Among the states, the Teen Birth Rate in 2003 ranged from a low of 18 births per 1,000 females ages 15 to 19 in New Hampshire to a high of 63 births per 1,000 in Mississippi, New Mexico, and Texas.
- The Teen Birth Rate among Latinos (82 births per 1,000 females age 15 to 19) is nearly twice the national average (42 births per 1,000 females ages 15 to 19).

Teen Birth Rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19): 2003



More than 20% better than state median (31 and lower) $$
Up to 20% better than state median (32 to 39)
Up to 20% worse than state median (40 to 47)
More than 20% worse than state median (48 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	New Hampshire	18	27	California	40
2	Vermont	19	27	Illinois	40
3	Massachusetts	23	29	Kansas	41
4	Connecticut	25	29	Wyoming	41
4	Maine	25	31	Florida	43
6	New Jersey	26	31	Indiana	43
7	Minnesota	27	31	Missouri	43
7	North Dakota	27	34	Colorado	44
9	New York	28	35	Delaware	45
10	Pennsylvania	31	35	West Virginia	45
10	Rhode Island	31	37	North Carolina	49
10	Wisconsin	31	38	Kentucky	50
13	lowa	32	39	South Carolina	51
13	Washington	32	40	Alabama	52
15	Maryland	33	41	Georgia	53
16	Michigan	34	41	Nevada	53
16	Oregon	34	41	Tennessee	53
18	Montana	35	44	Louisiana	56
18	South Dakota	35	44	Oklahoma	56
18	Utah	35	46	Arkansas	59
21	Nebraska	36	47	Arizona	61
21	Virginia	36	48	Mississippi	63
23	Hawaii	37	48	New Mexico	63
24	Alaska	39	48	Texas	63
24	Idaho	39	N.R.	District of	
24	Ohio	39		Columbia	60

Percent of Teens Who Are High School Dropouts

Percent of Teens Who Are High
School Dropouts (ages 16–19) by
Race and Hispanic Origin: 2004

National Average 8

Non-Hispanic White 6

Black/African American 8


Asian and Pacific Islander
American Indian and Alaskan Native

Hispanic/Latino 15

NOTE: Data for Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives are for persons who selected only one race. Graduating from high school is critical for obtaining post-secondary education and getting a good job. Teens who drop out of high school will find it difficult to achieve financial success in life. As America moves further into the 21st century, when advanced skills and technical knowledge will be required for most good-paying jobs, the job prospects for those who have not completed high school will be even more dismal.

- Nationwide in 2004, there were about 1.1 million teens between the ages of 16 and 19 who were not in school and had not graduated from high school.
- The dropout rate in 2004 (8 percent) was 3 percentage points lower than the 11 percent rate in 2000.
- The dropout rate fell in 41 states (and the District of Columbia) between 2000 and 2004, rose in 2 states, and was unchanged in 7 others. It should be noted that many of these changes were quite small and probably not statistically significant.
- In 2004, the high school dropout rate ranged from a low of 3 percent in Iowa and North Dakota, to a high of 13 percent in Indiana.
- American Indians and Alaskan Natives (13 percent) as well as Latinos (15 percent) have high school dropout rates that are more than twice as high as that for non-Hispanic whites (6 percent).

Percent of Teens Who Are High School Dropouts (ages 16–19): 2004




More than 20% better than state median (6 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (7)
Up to 20% worse than state median (8)
More than 20% worse than state median (9 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rat
1	lowa	3	20	Virginia	7
1	North Dakota	3	20	Washington	7
3	Connecticut	4	20	West Virginia	7
3	Hawaii	4	20	Wisconsin	
3	South Dakota	4	20	Wyoming	7
3	Vermont	4	32	Colorado	
7	Alaska	5	32	Delaware	
7	Maine	5	32	Florida	
7	Minnesota	5	32	Massachusetts	
7	New Jersey	5	32	New York	
7	Pennsylvania	5	37	Montana	
7	Utah	5	37	North Carolina	9
13	California	6	37	Rhode Island	9
13	Idaho	6	37	Texas	9
13	Illinois	6	41	Kentucky	10
13	Nebraska	6	41	Louisiana	10
13	Ohio	6	41	Mississippi	1
13	Oklahoma	6	41	South Carolina	10
13	Oregon	6	45	Arizona	- 1
20	Alabama	7	45	Nevada	T
20	Arkansas	7	45	Tennessee	- 1
20	Kansas	7	48	Georgia	13
20	Maryland	7	48	New Mexico	13
20	Michigan	7	50	Indiana	13
20	Missouri	7	N.R.		
20	New Hampshire	7		Columbia	10

Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working


Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working (ages 16–19) by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2004



NOTE: Data for Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives are for persons who selected only one race. During late adolescence, young people make some critical choices that affect their transition to adulthood. The Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working (sometimes referred to as "Idle Teens" or "Disconnected Youth") reflects young people ages 16 to 19 who are not engaged in either of the core activities that usually occupy people during this crucial period in their lives. While those who have dropped out of school are clearly vulnerable, many young persons who have finished school but are not working also belong to a marginalized group.

- In 2004, almost 1.3 million teens between the ages of 16 and 19 were neither enrolled in school nor working.
- Nationwide, there was no change between 2000 and 2004 in the share of 16- to 19-year-olds who were idle, which remained at 9 percent.
- Between 2000 and 2004, the share of Idle Teens fell in 24 states, increased in 16 states (plus the District of Columbia), and remained unchanged in 10 others. It should be noted that many of these changes were quite small and probably not statistically significant.
- Among the states, the Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working in 2004 ranged from a low of 4 percent in New Hampshire and North Dakota to a high of 13 percent in Louisiana.
- For all minority groups examined here, only Asians and Pacific Islanders have a lower Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working (ages 16 to 19) than that for non-Hispanic whites.

Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working (ages 16–19): 2004




More than 20% better than state median (6 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (7 to 8)
Up to 20% worse than state median (9 to 10)
More than 20% worse than state median (11 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	New Hampshire	4	27	Colorado	9
1	North Dakota	4	27	Florida	9
3	lowa	5	27	Massachusetts	9
3	South Dakota	5	27	New York	9
5	Kansas	6	27	Oklahoma	9
5	Minnesota	6	27	Rhode Island	9
5	Nebraska	6	27	Washington	9
5	Pennsylvania	6	34	Arizona	10
5	Utah	6	34	Hawaii	10
5	Vermont	6	34	Indiana	10
5	Wyoming	6	34	Missouri	10
12	Delaware	7	34	North Carolina	10
12	Idaho	7	34	South Carolina	10
12	Maine	7	34	Texas	10
12	Maryland	7	34	West Virginia	10
12	New Jersey	7	42	Georgia	- 11
12	Wisconsin	7	42	Kentucky	- 11
18	Alabama	8	42	Nevada	- 11
18	Arkansas	8	42	Tennessee	- 11
18	California	8	46	Alaska	12
18	Connecticut	8	46	Mississippi	12
18	Illinois	8	46	Montana	12
18	Michigan	8	46	New Mexico	12
18	Ohio	8	50	Louisiana	13
18	Oregon	8	N.R.	District of	
18	Virginia	8		Columbia	13

Percent of Children Living in Families Where No Parent Has Full-Time, Year-Round Employment


Percent of Children Living in Families Where No Parent Has Full-Time, Year-Round Employment by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2004



NOTE: Data for Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives are for persons who selected only one race. In 2004, more than 24 million children had no parent in the household who worked full-time, year-round. This measure is sometimes referred to as "lack of secure parental employment." In addition to having higher poverty rates, these children are more likely to lack access to the health and family benefits that a stable job provides. We found that 15 percent of children living in families where no parent had a full-time, year-round job lacked health insurance, compared to 9 percent in other families.

- Nationally, the Percent of Children Living in Families Where No Parent Has Full-Time, Year-Round Employment increased from 32 percent in 2000 to 33 percent in 2004.
- During that period, this measure improved in 11 states, got worse in 32 others (plus the District of Columbia), and was unchanged in 7 states.
- Among the states, the 2004 figures ranged from a low of 24 percent in Nebraska to a high of 40 percent in Alaska and Louisiana.
- The percent of black and percent of American Indian/Alaskan Native children in families where no parent has a full-time, year-round job are nearly double the rate for non-Hispanic whites (27 percent).


Percent of Children Living in Families Where No Parent Has Full-Time, Year-Round Employment: 2004



More than 20% better than state median (26 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (27 to 33)
Up to 20% worse than state median (34 to 40)
More than 20% worse than state median (41 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	Nebraska	24	27	Arizona	34
2	lowa	25	27	Michigan	34
2	South Dakota	25	29	Georgia	35
4	Utah	26	29	New York	35
5	Connecticut	27	29	North Carolina	35
5	Kansas	27	29	Oregon	35
5	North Dakota	27	29	South Carolina	35
8	Maryland	28	29	Tennessee	35
8	New Jersey	28	29	Texas	35
8	Vermont	28	36	Alabama	36
11	Minnesota	29	36	California	36
11	New Hampshire	29	36	Hawaii	36
11	Virginia	29	36	Idaho	36
14	Delaware	30	36	Nevada	36
14	Wisconsin	30	36	Oklahoma	36
16	Colorado	31	36	West Virginia	36
16	Massachusetts	31	43	New Mexico	37
16	Missouri	31	43	Rhode Island	37
19	Florida	32	45	Arkansas	38
19	Illinois	32	45	Kentucky	38
19	Maine	32	45	Washington	38
19	Ohio	32	48	Mississippi	39
19	Pennsylvania	32	49	Alaska	40
19	Wyoming	32	49	Louisiana	40
25	Indiana	33	N.R.	District of	
25	Montana	33		Columbia	52

Percent of Children in Poverty




NOTE: Data for Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives are for persons who selected only one race. The Percent of Children in Poverty is perhaps the most global and widely used indicator of child well-being. This is partly due to the fact that poverty is closely linked to a number of undesirable outcomes in areas such as health, education, emotional welfare, and delinquency.

The data shown here are based on the official poverty measure as determined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The official poverty measure consists of a series of income thresholds based on family size and composition. The 2004 poverty line was \$19,157 for a family of two adults and two children.

Despite the enormous wealth in the United States, our child poverty rate is among the highest in the developed world. The gap in the child poverty rate between the United States and other developed countries is partly a product of differences in private-sector income, but differences in governmental efforts to alleviate child poverty greatly accentuate the disparities. The lack of investment in our children will put us at a competitive disadvantage in the international marketplace of the 21st century.

- In 2004, 18 percent of children were poor, which is up slightly from 17 percent in 2000. Between 2000 and 2004, child poverty increased in 36 states (plus the District of Columbia), decreased in 11 states, and remained unchanged in 3 states.
- Among the states, the child poverty rate for 2004 ranged from a low of 10 percent in Connecticut and New Hampshire to a high of 31 percent in Mississippi.
- The poverty rate for black children (36 percent) is more than three times the poverty rate for non-Hispanic white children (11 percent).

Percent of Children in Poverty: 2004




More than 20% better than state median (14 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (15 to 17)
Up to 20% worse than state median (18 to 20)
More than 20% worse than state median (21 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	Connecticut	10	27	Florida	18
1	New Hampshire	10	27	Michigan	18
3	Alaska	11	27	Ohio	18
3	Maryland	- 11	30	California	19
3	Minnesota	11	30	Montana	19
6	lowa	12	30	Nevada	19
6	Kansas	12	30	Oregon	19
6	New Jersey	12	34	Arizona	20
6	Vermont	12	34	Idaho	20
10	Massachusetts	13	36	Georgia	21
10	Nebraska	13	36	New York	21
10	Utah	13	36	Oklahoma	21
10	Virginia	13	36	Rhode Island	21
14	Delaware	14	36	Tennessee	21
14	Hawaii	14	41	North Carolina	22
14	Wisconsin	14	42	Alabama	23
14	Wyoming	14	42	South Carolina	23
18	Colorado	15	42	Texas	23
18	Indiana	15	45	West Virginia	24
18	South Dakota	15	46	Kentucky	25
21	Missouri	16	47	Arkansas	26
21	North Dakota	16	48	New Mexico	28
23	Illinois	17	49	Louisiana	30
23	Maine	17	50	Mississippi	31
23	Pennsylvania	17	N.R.	District of	
23	Washington	17		Columbia	34

Percent of Children in Single-Parent Families


Percent of Children in Single-Parent Families by Race and Hispanic Origin: 2004



NOTE: Data for Non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks/African Americans, Asians and Pacific Islanders, and American Indians and Alaskan Natives are for persons who selected only one race. Much of the public interest in family structure is linked to the fact that children growing up in single-parent families typically do not have the same economic or human resources available as those growing up in two-parent families. About 40 percent of children in female-headed families were poor in 2004, compared to 8 percent of children in married-couple families. Only about one-third of female-headed families reported receiving any child support or alimony payments in 2004. Beyond poverty, children in divorced and single-parent families are at increased risk for poor academic achievement (repeated grades, low marks, low class standing); increased likelihood of dropping out of high school; early childbearing; and increased likelihood of depression, stress, anxiety, and aggression.

- More than 21 million children lived in singleparent families in 2004.
- Nationwide, there was no change between 2000 and 2004 in the percent of children living in single-parent families, which remained at 31 percent.
- During this period, 15 states recorded a decrease in the percent of children living in single-parent families; 11 states reported no change in this measure, while the situation worsened in 24 states and the District of Columbia.
- In 2004, the Percent of Children in Single-Parent Families ranged from a low of 17 percent in Utah to a high of 44 percent in Louisiana.
- Nearly two-thirds (64 percent) of black children live in single-parent families, compared to a little more than one-third (35 percent) for Latinos and slightly less than one-fourth (23 percent) for non-Hispanic whites.

Percent of Children in Single-Parent Families: 2004



More than 20% better than state median (24 and lower)
Up to 20% better than state median (25 to 30)
Up to 20% worse than state median (31 to 36)
More than 20% worse than state median (37 and higher)

Rank	State	Rate	Rank	State	Rate
1	Utah	17	25	Pennsylvania	30
2	Idaho	23	25	Washington	30
2	Nebraska	23	29	Arizona	31
4	lowa	24	29	Michigan	31
4	Kansas	24	29	Missouri	31
4	Minnesota	24	29	Nevada	31
4	North Dakota	24	33	Texas	32
8	New Jersey	25	34	Maine	33
9	Colorado	26	34	Maryland	33
9	New Hampshire	26	34	Ohio	33
9	Vermont	26	37	New York	34
12	Connecticut	27	37	North Carolina	34
12	Montana	27	37	Oklahoma	34
12	South Dakota	27	37	Tennessee	34
12	Wyoming	27	41	Delaware	35
16	Hawaii	28	41	Georgia	35
16	Illinois	28	43	Alabama	36
16	Indiana	28	43	Florida	36
16	Wisconsin	28	45	Arkansas	38
20	California	29	45	New Mexico	38
20	Massachusetts	29	47	Rhode Island	39
20	Oregon	29	48	South Carolina	40
20	Virginia	29	49	Mississippi	42
20	West Virginia	29	50	Louisiana	44
25	Alaska	30	N.R.	District of	
25	Kentucky	30		Columbia	68











Demographic Data	Economic Conditions of Families of Young Children				
Number of Children: 2000 and 2004	Median income of families with children: 2004 \$50,800	Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004			
2000 2004 NUMBER PERCENT CHANGE	Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	Children under age 6 with all parents in the 59% labor force: 2004			
All children under age 18	Children in low-income families (income below 200% 40%	Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004			
Children ages 3–5 [11,687,417 11,809,727 122,310 1%]	of poverty level): 2004 Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	Children under age 6 in working-poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004			
Children under age 3	Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	200% of poverty levely. 2001			
Children in immigrant families: 2003	Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004				
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	Education	Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care			
Child Health	4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003			
Children without health insurance: 2003	4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003			
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005				
Children affected by asthma: 2003	8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	United States 27%			

Economic Conditions

ited States		Domant Cl.	vo Ovov Timo	Trend Data	
Kara kadi antawa		Percent Chang			
Key Indicators		WORSE	BETTER	NATIONAL	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	4		2000 7.6 2003 7.9	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003	0		2000 6.9 2003 6.9	
Child death rate eaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003		5	2000 22 2003 21	
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003		1	2000 67 2003 66	
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003		13	2000 48 2003 42	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16–19)	2000-2004		27	2000 11 2004 8	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	2000-2004	0		2000 9 2004 9	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	3		2000 32 2004 33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004	6		2000 17 2004 18	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			2000 31 2004 31	

Demographic Data

		Numl	ber	ot	Chile	dren:	200	0 and	200	4
--	--	------	-----	----	-------	-------	-----	-------	-----	---

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,121,818	1,094,533	-27,285	-2%
Children ages 3–5	178,842	177,081	-1,761	-1% -
Children under age 3	177,472	176,839	-633	0%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 4%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	Γ	7%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[84%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

	\$43,500	\$50,800	
[10%	8%	
[46%	40%	_
[31%	35%	-
[53%	62%	_
[7%	5%	
]]]]	\$43,500 \$43,500 10% 46% 31%	\$43,500 \$50,800 10% 8% 46% 40% 31% 35% 53% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		STATE 28%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004		64%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		11%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	g- [23%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 47%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[34%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[37%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[47%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



43

AL

Alabama

	Percent Change Over Time								Trend Data		
Key Indicators			WORSE	ZERO	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003			3			2000	9.7	7.6 7.9	47	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003				7		2000	9.4 8.7	6.9	45	
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003			0			2000	27 27	22 21	42	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003			3			2000	92 89	67 66	44	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003				15		2000	61 52	48 42	40	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				4	6	2000	13 7	11 8	20	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				33		2000	12 8	9	18	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004			3			2000	35 36	32 33	36	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		10				2000	21 23	17 18	42	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			3			2000	35 36	31 31	43	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	[190,342	188,229	-2,113	-1% -
Children ages 3–5	[29,185	29,101	-84	0%

30,150

1,835

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

28,315

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	10%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	5%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003	[12%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[78%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	_	1,200	\$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004		6%	8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[3	30%	40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	4	í 1%	35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[5	55%	62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		2%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 15%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[64%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[6%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[15%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		42%	38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[23%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[30%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[31%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



AK

35

Alaska

	Percent Change Over Time								Tren	National Rank		
Key Indicators			W	/ O R	S E	ZERO	BETT	E R		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based or most recent available date
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				7				2000	5.6	7.6	[1]
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003				3				2000	6.8 7.0	6.9	28
Child death rate leaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003			1	9				2000	32 38	22 21	50
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003						26		2000	142 105	67 66	50
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						20		2000	49 39	48 42	24
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							38	2000	8 5	11 8	7
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	5	0						2000	8 12	9	46
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004						18		2000	49 40	32 33	49
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004						15		2000	13 11	17 18	[3]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					0			2000	30 30	31 31	25

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,377,750	1,547,260	169,510	12%
Children ages 3–5	230,434	261,544	31,110	14%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

232,039

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[27%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[5%	4%

272,730

40,691

18%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 15%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[82%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

[\$44,700	\$50,800
[10%	8%
[48%	40%
[32%	35%
[63%	62%
[4%	5%
]]]]	[\$44,700

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 23%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[54%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[11%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[28%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 48%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[30%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[35%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[36%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



37

AZ

Arizona

	Percent Change Over Time							Trend Data			National Rank			
Key Indicators				w o	RSE	ZERO	В	ETT	E R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003]				200		7.0 7.1	7.6	17
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003					3				200		6.7 6.5	6.9	
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003						8			200		26 24	22 21	30
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003					1				200		79 80	67 66	35
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003						10			200		68 61	48 42	47
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004								39	200		18 11	11 8	45
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							23		200		13 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				1	0				200		31 34	32 33	27
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004						13	I		200		23 20	17 18	34
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004						6			200		33 31	31 31	29

Demographic Data

	Number of C	hildren: 2000	and 2004
--	-------------	---------------	----------

	2000	2004	number Change	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	680,361	676,550	-3,811	-1%]
Children ages 3–5	109,133	110,072	939	1%]
Children under age 3	108,720	111,706	2,986	3%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 6%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	8%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[85%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Г		
12	2% 8%	
54	40%	
32	2% 35%	,
52	2% 62%	
7	5%	
	[336	\$36,600 \$50,80 12% 8% 54% 40% 32% 35% 52% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 28%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[63%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[12%	10%
Children under age 6 in workin poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	g- [26%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[37%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[22%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[31%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[36%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



45

AR

Arkansas

Percent Change Over Time								Trer	National Rank							
Key Indicators				w	O F	RSE	ZERO		B E 1	TTE	R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based o most recent available da
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003						3						2000	8.6 8.9	7.6 7.9	39
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003						4						2000 2003	8.4 8.7	6.9	45
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003								18				2000	33 27	22 21	42
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003							11	1				2000	94 84	67 66	40
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003							11					2000 2003	66 59	48 42	46
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004										4	2	2000	12 7	11 8	20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004										33		2000	12 8	9	18
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					15							2000	33 38	32 33	45
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004						4						2000	25 26	17 18	47
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					1	2						2000 2004	34 38	31 31	45

Demographic Data

Ν	lum	ber	of	Chil	dren:	200	0 and	200	_
---	-----	-----	----	------	-------	-----	-------	-----	---

	[2	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	9,2	74,215	9,596,463	322,248	3%]
Children ages 3–5	[1,5	51,199	1,528,134	-23,065	-1%]
Children under age 3	[1,4	67,527	1,600,314	132,787	9%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[46%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004		4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 13%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[84%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families	Г	STATE	NATIONAL	
with children: 2004	L	\$53,300	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[8%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[43%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[27%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[71%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[5%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 21%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[54%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[11%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[22%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 50%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[29%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[40%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[43%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

840,000

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

18

CA

California

		Percent Cl	Trer	National Rank			
Key Indicators		WORSE	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003		6	2000 2003	6.2	7.6	10
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003		4		5.4 5.2	6.9	7
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003		5	2000 2003	20 19	22 21	[11]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003	15		2000 2003	53 61	67 66	15
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003		15		47 40	48 42	- 27
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		40	2000 2004	10 6	11 8	13
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		0		8	9	18
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004		3		35 36	32 33	36
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		5	2000 2004	20 19	17 18	30
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004		3	2000	30 29	31 31	

Demographic Data

Number	of	Children:	2000	and	2004

		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	[1,107,579	1,178,889	71,310	6%
Children ages 3–5	[178,626	198,196	19,570	11%
Children under age 3		181,733	204,418	22,685	12%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	19%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 14%	NATIONAL - 11% -
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[80%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$55,900	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[7%	8%	-
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[33%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[40%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[72%	62%	-
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		3%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 16%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[60%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[5%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		16%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[31%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[19%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[25%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[30%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



25

CO

Colorado

	Percent Change Over Time				Tren	National Rank									
Key Indicators				w	O R	SE	ZERO	ВІ	TTE	R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					7						2000	9.0	7.6	41
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003						2					2000	6.2 6.1	6.9	[18]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003						5					2000	22 21	22 21	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					10						2000	60 66	67 66	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003							14				2000	51 44	48 42	34
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004								27			2000	11 8	11 8	. 32
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	2000-2004		50									2000	6	9	27
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004							9				2000	34 31	32 33	16
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		50									2000	10 15	17 18	18
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004						0					2000	26 26	31 31	9

Children ages

3-5

Background Information

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	842,092	838,788	-3,304	0%

Children under age 3 130,759 127,491 -3,268 -2%

129,689

-8,874

-6%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

138,563

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 18%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	Γ	2%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 8%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[89%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

]	6%	8%	-
Γ	24%	4004	
		40%	
[36%	35%	
[69%	62%	
[4%	5%	
]	E 69%	E 69% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 11%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[61%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[12%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 29%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[26%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		30%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



3

CT

Connecticut

		Percen	t Change	Over Time		Tre	nd Data	National Rank
Key Indicators		WORS	E ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				2000		7.6 7.9	19
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003			18	2000		6.9	[8]
Child death rate leaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003			7	2000		22 21	[3]
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003			15	2000		67 66	[1]
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003			19			48 42	[4]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				64 2000		11 8	[3]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		0		2000		9	[18]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004		4		2000		32 33	[5]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			9	2000		17 18	[1]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004		0		2000		31 31	- [12]

Demographic Data

		Number	of	Children:	2000	and	2004
--	--	--------	----	-----------	------	-----	------

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	194,162	193,506	-656	0%
Children ages 3–5	31,053	31,056	3	0%
Children under age 3	30,945	32,810	1,865	6%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	11%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	6%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 10%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[11%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$56,900	\$50,800	-
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[7%	8%	-
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[34%	40%	-
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[38%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[57%	62%	-
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[5%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 13%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[72%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[8%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[19%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 27%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[20%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[28%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

DE

Delaware

				Perce	nt Cho	ınge (Over	Time				Tren	nd Data	National Rank
Key Indicators			w	OR!	S E	ZERO	ВЕ	TTE	R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				9						2000	8.6 9.4	7.6 7.9	45
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003				2	!					2000	9.2 9.4	6.9	49
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003									48	2000	27 14	22 21	3
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003					3					2000	74 76	67	32
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003					6					2000	48 45	48 42	35
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004								33		2000	12 8	11 8	32
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004							22			2000	9 7	9	12
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000–2004			20							2000	25 30	32 33	- 14
Percent of children in poverty	2000–2004			ı	17						2000	12 14	17 18	- [14
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					0					2000	35 35	31 31	41

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	[114,645	109,547	-5,098	-4% -
Children ages 3—5	[19,814	18,298	-1,516	-8% -

22,101

2,604

13%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

19,497

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	18%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	11%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$38,900	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[19%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[55%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[16%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[63%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[15%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		34%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[67%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[15%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[14%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 67%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[55%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[55%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[69%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

District of Columbia				nge Over Time		Trend Data	National Ran	
			WORSE	BETTER	STA'	te national	DC is not compared to other states	
2000-2003				8			N.R.	
2000-2003				13			N.R.	
2000-2003				13			N.R.	
2000-2003		40					N.R.	
2000–2003			13				N.R.	
2000-2004				23			N.R.	
2000-2004			8				N.R.	
2000-2004			18				N.R.	
2000-2004			13				N.R.	
2000-2004			5				N.R.	
	2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2004 2000-2004 2000-2004	2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2004 2000-2004 2000-2004	2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2004 2000-2004 2000-2004	2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2004 2000-2004 8 8 2000-2004 13 3	2000-2003 2000-2003 2000-2003 40 2000-2003 2000-2004 13 2000-2004 18 18 10 18 10 10 10 10 10 10	NORSE BETTER STATE	BETTER STATE NATIONAL	

DC

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	3,666,009	4,003,290	337,281	9%
Children ages 3—5	581,623	643,917	62,294	11%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

566,475

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003		27%	NATIONAL - 20% -
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	Γ	5%	4%

655,203

88,728

16%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 15%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[90%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$46,200	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[8%	8%	-
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[42%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[35%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[68%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[4%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

D (141	_	STATE	NATIONAL
Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	L	20%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004		64%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004]-	22%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 35%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[18%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[34%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[35%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

FL

Florida

		Percent Change Over Time						Tren	National Rank			
Key Indicators			W	ORS	ZER 0	В	ETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				6				2000	8.0 8.5	7.6 7.9	33
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003				7				2000	7.0 7.5	6.9	32
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003					13	I		2000	24 21	22 21	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003					4			2000	73 70	67 66	24
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003						16		2000	51 43	48 42	31
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004						33		2000	12 8	11 8	32
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				13				2000	8	9	- 27
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					6			2000	34 32	32 33	19
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004					5			2000	19 18	17 18	- 27
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				0				2000	36 36	31 31	43

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004								
	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE				
All children under age 18	2,179,654	2,332,567	152,913	7% -				
Children ages 3–5	356,525	393,074	36,549	10%				
Children under age 3	361,955	411,041	49,086	14%				

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 12%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	6%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003	[13%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[87%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

_	6,400	\$50,800]
	0%	8%]
4	4%	40%]
3	66%	35%]
6	0%	62%]
	5%	5%]
	$\begin{bmatrix} & 44 & & \\ & & & \\$	\$46,400 10% 44% 36% 60%	\$46,400 \$50,800 10% 8% 44% 40% 36% 35% 60% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		22%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[62%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	⁻ [22%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 42%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		24%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		33%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		38%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



GA

Georgia

		Percent Change Over Time					Trer	National Rank	
Key Indicators			w o	R S E ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003			5		2000 2003	8.6 9.0	7.6 7.9	41
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003			0		2000 2003	8.5 8.5	6.9	43
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003				8	2000 2003	25 23	22 21	29
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003				3	2000 2003	76 74	67 66	_ [30]
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003				16	2000 2003	63 53	48 42	41
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				25	2000 2004	16 12	11 8	[48]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				21	2000 2004	14 11	9	[42]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004			9		2000 2004	32 35	32 33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			17		2000 2004	18 21	17 18	36
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				3	2000	36 35	31 31	41

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	295,594	298,693	3,099	1%

Children ages 47,699 48,319	620	1%
-----------------------------	-----	----

55,480

8,608

18%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

46,872

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	29%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	8%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 7%	NATIONAL - 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$60,400	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[6%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		33%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[29%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[49%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		5%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 16%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[62%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[12%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[14%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 47%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[27%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[42%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[44%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003 27,000

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



HI

Hawaii

		Percent Change Over Time					Tren	National Rank				
Key Indicators				w	O R S	ZER 0		BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				15	j			2000	7.5 8.6	7.6 7.9	36
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003						7		2000 2003	8.1 7.5	6.9	32
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003				20				2000 2003	15 18	22 21	9
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003			32					2000 2003	41 54	67 66	8
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003							20	2000 2003	46 37	48 42	23
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004							20	2000 2004	5 4	11 8	. [3]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004					0			2000 2004	10 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004						1	2	2000 2004	41 36	32 33	36
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004					8			2000 2004	13 14	17 18	14
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				17				2000 2004	24 28	31 31	16

Demographic Data

		Number	of	Children:	2000	and	2004
--	--	--------	----	-----------	------	-----	------

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	369,441	372,411	2,970	1%]
Children ages 3–5	58,442	61,079	2,637	5%]
Children under age 3	59,076	62,502	3,426	6%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 11%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 12%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[5%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$45,700	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[6%	8%	-
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[47%	40%	-
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[50%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[56%	62%	-
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[3%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	STATE 23%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	50%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	6%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	28%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 31%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[14%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[24%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[27%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



ID

Idaho

	Percent Change Over Time												Trer	nd Data	National Rank
Key Indicators				W	O R	SE	ZERO	ВЕ	TTE	R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003						3					2000	6.7	7.6	6
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003							16				2000	7.5 6.3	6.9	[19]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003					18						2000	22 26	22 21	41
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					14						2000	63 72	67 66	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003							9				2000	43 39	48 42	24
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004									40		2000	10 6	11 8	[13]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	2000-2004									36		2000	11 7	9	[12]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				2	0						2000	30 36	32 33	36
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		43	}								2000	14 20	17 18	34
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					5						2000	22 23	31 31	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	3,247,488	3,238,150	-9,338	0%
Children ages 3—5	536,385	526,790	-9,595	-2%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

523,248

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003		22%	NATIONAL - 20% -
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[5%	4%

535,294

12,046

2%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 11%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$58,900	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	8%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	36%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	32%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	67%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	5%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 18%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[59%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	g- [19%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[38%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[26%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[25%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[32%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



IL

Illinois

	Percent Change Over Time Trend Data							Percent Change Over Time						
Key Indicators				W O R	SE	ZERO	BETT	E R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				5					2000	7.9 8.3	7.6	31	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003						9			2000	8.5 7.7	6.9	35	
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003					5				2000	20 19	22 21	[11]	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					0				2000	68 68	67 66	23	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						17			2000	48 40	48 42	27	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							33		2000	9	11 8	13	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004						11			2000	9	9	18	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				10					2000	29 32	32 33	19	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				13					2000	15 17	17 18	23	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004						10			2000	31 28	31 31	16	

Demographic Data

Ν	lum	ber	of	Chil	dren:	200	0 and	200	_
---	-----	-----	----	------	-------	-----	-------	-----	---

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE	
All children under age 18	,576,745	1,600,295	23,550	1%	
Children ages 3–5	255,690	261,614	5,924	2%]
Children under age 3	253,936	255,744	1,808	1%	

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	6%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	Γ	STATE 9%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[81%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$51,300	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[6%	8%	-
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[38%	40%	-
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[40%	35%	-
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[51%	62%	-
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		4%	5%	-

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 18%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[59%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[21%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 36%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[27%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[26%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



32 IN

Indiana

		Percent Cha	nge Over Time	Tren	d Data	National Rank	
Key Indicators		WORSE	BETTER 8	STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	7		2000 7.4 2003 7.9	7.6 7.9	_ 22	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003		3	2000 7.8 2003 7.6	6.9	34	
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003		20	2000 25 2003 20	22 21	[16]	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003		17	2000 76 2003 63	67 66	- [19]	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003		12	2000 49 2003 43	48 42	_ [31]	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		0	2000 13 2004 13	11 8	- [50]	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		0	2000 10 2004 10	9	34	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	22		2000 27 2004 33	32 33		
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004	7		2000 14 2004 15	17 18	- [18]	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004		3	2000 29 2004 28	31 31	16	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004									
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]			
All children under age 18		729,944	680,437	-49,507	-7%]			
Children ages 3—5		113,821	106,659	-7,162	-6%]			
Children under age 3		112,191	109,781	-2,410	-2%]			

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	6%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	2%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 7%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004		88%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

	\$50,800	\$50,800]
[5%	8%]
[36%	40%]
	51%	35%]
[45%	62%]
	3%	5%]
]]]]	\$50,800 [5% [36% [45%	\$50,800 \$50,800 5% 8% 36% 40% 51% 35% 45% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 14%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[71%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[5%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		22%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[33%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[15%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[21%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[25%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



IA

lowa

		Percent Change Over Time						Trend Data		National Rank	
Key Indicators		W	ORSE	ZERO	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003			8			2000 2003	6.1	7.6 7.9	10	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003				14		2000 2003	6.5 5.6	6.9	10	
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003			0			2000 2003	22 22	22 21	26	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003				25		2000 2003	77 58	67 66	13	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003			6			2000 2003	34 32	48 42	13	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004					40	2000 2004	5	11 8	[1]	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				17		2000 2004	6 5	9	3	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004		9)			2000 2004	23 25	32 33		
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				8		2000 2004	13 12	17 18	6	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			4			2000 2004	25 24	31 31	4	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18		711,324	683,491	-27,833	-4%]
Children ages 3—5		112,915	111,060	-1,855	-2%]
Children under	Г					_

114,457

745

1%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

age 3

113,712

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 8%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 7%	NATIONAL .
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[81%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003		10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$50,800	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[4%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[35%	40%	-
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[44%	35%	-
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[50%	62%	_
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[2%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 15%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[67%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[6%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[20%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[34%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[12%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[22%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[23%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



KS

Kansas

Percent Change Over Time						Trer	nd Data	National Rank		
Key Indicators			w	ORSE	ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				7		2000 2003	6.9 7.4	7.6 7.9	- 18
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003				3		2000 2003	6.8 6.6	6.9	
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003				4		2000 2003	25 24	22 21	30
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					9	2000 2003	78 71	67 66	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003					11	2000 2003	46 41	48 42	29
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					30	2000 2004	10 7	11 8	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	2000-2004				0		2000 2004	6	9	5
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004			23			2000 2004	22 27	32 33	5
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				0		2000 2004	12 12	17 18	6
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					11		27 24	31 31	- [4]

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

TOTAL OF CHINAIN		200	•		
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	[993,972	980,187	-13,785	-1%
Children ages 3—5	[160,328	159,750	-578	0%
Children under age 3	Γ	159,777	159,785	8	0%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 4%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[4%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003	[10%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[80%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

[\$42,700	\$50,800]
	11%	8%]
[46%	40%]
[33%	35%]
[47%	62%]
	8%	5%]
]]]]	[\$42,700 [11% [46% [33% [47%	\$42,700 \$50,800 11% 8% 46% 40% 33% 35% 47% 62%

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		STATE 35%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[25%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[25%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[36%	32%

Education

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 27%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[59%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[14%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[22%	21%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



KY

Kentucky

		Percent Change Over Time						Percent Change Over Time Trend Data					National Rank	
Key Indicators			WORS	E ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data					
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003			6		2000 2003	8.2 8.7	7.6 7.9	38					
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003			4		2000 2003	7.2 6.9	6.9						
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003			9		2000 2003	23 25	22 21	36					
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003				9	2000 2003	82 75	67 66	31					
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003				9	2000 2003	55 50	48 42	38					
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			0		2000 2004	10 10	11 8	41					
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				8	2000 2004	12 11	9	42					
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004			12		2000 2004	34 38	32 33	45					
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		0	14		2000 2004	22 25	17 18	46					
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			0		2000 2004	30 30	31 31						

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	[1,216,211	1,164,961	-51,250	-4%]
Children ages 3–5	[189,868	188,911	-957	-1%]
Children under age 3		191,358	196,629	5,271	3%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 4%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	Γ	6%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 12%	NATIONAL .
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004		77%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003		10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$38,100	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	15%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	54%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	31%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	53%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	9%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[33%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[62%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[15%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[28%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 47%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[26%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[36%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		41%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



LA

Louisiana

		Percent Change Over Time							Tren	nd Data	National Rank	
Key Indicators			١	W O R	ZER 0	ВЕ	TTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				4				2000	10.3	7.6 7.9	- 49
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003				3				2000	9.0 9.3	6.9	47
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003					13			2000	32 28	22 21	- 44
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003				13				2000	85 96	67	47
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003					10			2000	62 56	48 42	- 44
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004					9			2000	11 10	11 8	- 41
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004					13			2000	15 13	9	- 50
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				3				2000	39 40	32 33	49
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				11				2000	27 30	17 18	49
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				10				2000	40 44	31 31	50

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18		299,646	282,129	-17,517	-6%
Children ages 3—5	[44,033	40,805	-3,228	_7% _
Children under	Г	/	/		

40,683

-656

-2%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

age 3

41,339

Children in immigrant families: 2003	6%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	3%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003		7%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$49,100	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[10%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[35%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[47%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[59%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		6%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

B (141		STATE	NATIONAL	_
Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	L	26%	21%	
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[62%	59%	
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		15%	10%	
Children under age 6 in work poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 200		19%	21%	


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		STATE 29%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[19%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		26%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care




Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



l III

ME

Maine



Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and	2004
------------------------------	------

	200	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,358	,652 1,394,800	36,156	3%
Children ages 3–5	217,5	591 220,614	3,023	1%
Children under age 3	210,7	770 225,878	15,108	7%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	Г	5% 20%	٦
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	51	% 4%	

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[81%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

\$66,000	\$50,800	
5%	8%	
25%	40%	
29%	35%	
67%	62%	
3%	5%	
	[\$66,000	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		STATE 14%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[66%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		14%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[35%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[21%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[31%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[34%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MD

Maryland

		Percent Change Over Time				Tren	d Data	National Rank
Key Indicators			WORSE	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003		6		2000	8.6 9.1	7.6	- 44
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003		8		2000 2003	7.6 8.2	6.9	40
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003			5	2000 2003	21 20	22 21	- [16]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003		8		2000 2003	71 77	67 66	34
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003			20	2000 2003	41 33	48 42	_ [15]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			36	2000	11 7	11 8	_ [20]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			22	2000 2004	9 7	9	[12]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				2000	28 28	32 33	8
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			15	2000 2004	13 11	17 18	[3]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				2000	33 33	31 31	- 34

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,4	97,858	1,464,189	-33,669	-2%
Children ages 3—5	24	2,622	232,163	-10,459	-4%
Children under	г				

239,325

2,580

1%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

age 3

236,745

Children in immigrant families: 2003	Г	% NATIONAL 20%	
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	20	% 4%	

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 7%	NATIONAL .
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[92%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$69,8		~ ¬
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	6%	6 8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	259	% 40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	369	% 35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	739	% 62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	5%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	STATE 12%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	64%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	10%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 22%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[9%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[17%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[20%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MA

Massachusetts

Percent Change Over Time							Trend Data		National Rank				
Key Indicators				w	O R S	E ZERO		BETTE	R		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					7				2000	7.1 7.6	7.6 7.9	20
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003					4				2000	4.6 4.8	6.9	3
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003							13		2000	15 13	22 21	_ 2
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003				28					2000 2003	40 51	67 66	5
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						1	12		2000 2003	26 23	48 42	3
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					0				2000	8 8	11 8	32
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		50							2000 2004	6	9	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					0				2000 2004	31 31	32 33	16
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004						7			2000 2004	14 13	17 18	[10]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					0				2000 2004	29 29	31 31	20

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

Hombor of Ciliar	on. 2000 unu 200	/ I		
	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	2,593,742	2,533,439	-60,303	-2%
Children ages 3–5	413,274	395,762	-17,512	-4%
Children under	399,542	386,170	-13,372	-3%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[4%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003	[7%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$55,400 S50,	7
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	8% 89	%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	38% 40	%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	39% 35	%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[63% 62	%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	5% 59	%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

D (141	_	STATE	NATIONAL
Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	L	20%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[60%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[18%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[37%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[21%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[27%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[32%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MI

Michigan

		Percent Change Over Time								Tren	d Data	National Rank	
Key Indicators				w	O R S	E S	7 E K U	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					4				2000	7.9 8.2	7.6	_ 29
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003					4				2000	8.2 8.5	6.9	43
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003						5			2000	22 21	22 21	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003							14		2000	64 55	67 66	[10]
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003							15		2000	40 34	48 42	- 16
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							30		2000	10 7	11 8	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							1		2000	9	9	[18]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					10				2000	31 34	32 33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			2	29					2000	14 18	17 18	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004						3			2000	32 31	31 31	_ 29

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

All children	Г			<u> </u>
	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCE CHAN

Children ages 200,525	194,175	-6,350	-3%	
-----------------------	---------	--------	-----	--

Children under age 3 196,328	202,070	5,742	3%
------------------------------	---------	-------	----

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	12%	20%
Grandchildren in the care	Г	1 -

2%

4%

Child Health

of grandparents: 2004

Children without health insurance: 2003		STATE 6%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004		87%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	Γ	6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$63,600	\$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[4%	8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[27%	40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[42%	35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[61%	62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[2%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		STATE 12%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004		65%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004]-	13%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[29%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[12%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[20%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[21%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MN

Minnesota

	Percent Change Over Time Trend Data						Percent Change Over Time								National Rank	
Key Indicators				w	O R S	E S	2 E K U	ВЕТ	TER				STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					2						2000	6.1	7.6	- [4]	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003							18				2000 2003	5.6 4.6	6.9		
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003						0					2000 2003	18 18	22 21	9	
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					13						2000	52 59	67 66	14	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						10					2000	30 27	48 42	7	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004								29			2000	7 5	11 8	7	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	2000-2004		50									2000	4 6	9	5	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				26							2000 2004	23 29	32 33	[11]	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				22							2000	9 11	17 18	[3]	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				ı	14						2000	21 24	31 31	[4]	

Demographic Data

Number of Childr	Number of Children: 2000 and 2004										
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]					
All children under age 18		772,966	749,569	-23,397	-3%]					
Children ages 3–5		122,461	122,392	-69	0%]					
Children under age 3		123,204	125,719	2,515	2%]					

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 2%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[10%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 12%	NATIONAL
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$34,900	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	13%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	55%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	32%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	54%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	11%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	Г	STATE	NATIONAL 7
	L	33%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[67%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[13%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	g- [27%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		STATE 52%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		31%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[40%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		48%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MS

50

Mississippi

	Percent Change Over Time						Trend Data		National Rank				
Key Indicators				w o	RSE	ZERO	ВЕ	TTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					7				2000	10.7 11.4	7.6 7.9	50
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003					0				2000	10.7 10.7	6.9	50
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003						11			2000	37 33	22 21	47
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003						14			2000	103 89	67 66	44
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						10			2000	70 63	48 42	48
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004							33		2000	15 10	11 8	41
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004				9					2000	11 12	9	46
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				8					2000	36 39	32 33	48
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				19					2000	26 31	17 18	50
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					2				2000	43 42	31 31	49

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

Troning or or dimens	511. 2000 una 200	, ,		
	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,424,430	1,384,542	-39,888	-3%
Children ages 3—5	223,616	218,527	-5,089	-2%
Children under age 3	221,131	225,324	4,193	2%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	5%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 7%	NATIONAL .
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

[\$48,900	\$50,800
[8%	8%
[39%	40%
[44%	35%
[52%	62%
[3%	5%
]]]]	\$\(\\$48,900 \) \[\] \[\\$8\% \] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\] \[\]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

D (1:11	_	STATE	NATIONAL
Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	L	20%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004		64%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004]-	23%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[33%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[21%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[24%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[32%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MO

Missouri

			P	ercen	t Chang	e Ov	er Tir	me		Trer	National Rank			
Key Indicators			w o	RS	E ZERO	ı	ВЕТ	TER				STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				5						2000	7.6 8.0	7.6 7.9	- [25]
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003				10						2000	7.2 7.9	6.9	39
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003					11					2000	27 24	22 21	30
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003						19				2000 2003	90 73	67 66	_ 29
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003					1:	2				2000	49 43	48 42	31
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							30	6		2000	11 7	11 8	_ 20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				11						2000	9 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				0						2000 2004	31 31	32 33	16
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				0						2000	16 16	17 18	_ [21]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					3					2000	32 31	31 31	_ 29

Demographic Data

Number of Childr	en: 2	000 and 200	14		
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	[228,370	208,093	-20,277	-9% -
Children ages 3—5	[33,541	31,160	-2,381	_7% _
Children under age 3	[32,549	31,787	-762	-2%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 3%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[5%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 16%	NATIONAL - 11% -
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families	г	STATE	NATIONAL	
with children: 2004	L	\$40,000	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[7%	8%	,
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[49%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[33%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[49%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[4%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 22%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[65%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[7%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[32%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 29%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[15%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[18%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[20%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



MT

Montana

				Per	cent Cho	inge (Ove	r Time			Tren	d Data	National Rank
Key Indicators			W	/ O R	SE	ZERO	В	ETTE	R		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				10					2000	6.2	7.6	[13]
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003				11					2000 2003	6.1 6.8	6.9	
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003							27		2000 2003	33 24	22 21	30
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003				6					2000	98 104	67 66	49
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003					5				2000 2003	37 35	48 42	- 18
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			29						2000	7 9	11 8	37
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	71								2000	7 12	9	46
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				10					2000 2004	30 33	32 33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				12					2000	17 19	17 18	30
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				8					2000	25 27	31 31	_ [12]

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18		448,898	434,566	-14,332	-3%
Children ages 3—5	[70,539	69,839	-700	-1%
Children under age 3	Γ	70,328	75,083	4,755	7%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	9%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	2%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 6%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		STATE \$52,800	\$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[5%	8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[34%	40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[47%	35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[50%	62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[3%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 16%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004		70%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[5%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[22%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[32%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[20%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[20%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[25%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



NE

Nebraska

	Percent Change Over Time					Trend Data			National Rank	
Key Indicators			w	ORSE	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003						2000	6.8	7.6 7.9	15
							2003	0.9	7.9	. ,
Infant mortality rate	2000-2003				26		2000	7.3 5.4	6.9	8
(deaths per 1,000 live births)							2003	5.4	6.9	. ,
Child death rate	2000-2003			14			2000	22	22	36
(deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000 2000						2003	25	21	. 00
Teen death rate	2000-2003				16		2000	73	67	15
(deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000 2003						2003	61	66	17
Teen birth rate	2000-2003				5		2000	38	48	21
(births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						2003	36	42	21
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts	2000-2004				0		2000	6	11	13
(ages 16–19)	2000-2004						2004	6	8	13
Percent of teens not attending school and not working	2000-2004			20			2000	5	9	5
(ages 16–19)	2000-2004						2004	6	9	[·]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has	2000-2004			·	4		2000	25	32	1
full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004						2004	24	33	1
Percent of children in poverty	2000 2004		3	0			2000	10	17	10
rercent of children in poverty	2000-2004						2004	13	18	10
Percent of children					4		2000	24	31	
in single-parent families	2000-2004						2004	23	31	2
		> Patte	erned bars indic	ate national change.	Solid bars indicate state chan	ge.			1	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004									
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE				
All children under age 18		518,243	603,596	85,353	16%				
Children ages 3–5		89,325	101,541	12,216	14%				
Children under age 3		87,730	100,764	13,034	15%				

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003		32%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 18%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[71%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

as by the state of	-	STATE	NATIONAL
Median income of families with children: 2004	L	\$45,200	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[9%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[45%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[30%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[66%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		5%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		STATE 22%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[52%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		15%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	¹ -[24%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 48%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[28%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[37%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		40%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



36 NV

Nevada

	Percent Change Over Time							Tren	National Rank				
Key Indicators				w	O R S	E ZERO	В	ETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					13				2000	7.2 8.1	7.6	26
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003						12			2000	6.5 5.7	6.9	13
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003							17		2000	23 19	22 21	[11]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003				ı	6				2000	75 87	67 66	43
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003							16		2000	63 53	48 42	41
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							31		2000	16 11	11 8	45
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							31		2000	16 11	9	42
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				20					2000	30 36	32 33	36
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		46							2000	13 19	17 18	30
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004						6			2000	33 31	31 31	29

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004									
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]			
All children under age 18		309,288	304,994	-4,294	-1%]			
Children ages 3–5		48,087	44,732	-3,355	-7%]			
Children under age 3		43,913	43,104	-809	-2%]			

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 8%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	1%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 6%	NATIONAL - 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[90%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$67,000	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[4%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[23%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[53%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[73%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[3%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 13%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[55%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[8%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	_[12%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 26%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[11%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		20%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		23%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



NH **New Hampshire**

		Percent Change Over Time	Trend Data	National Rank
Key Indicators		WORSE BETTER	state national	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003		2000 6.3 7.6 2003 6.2 7.9	4
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003	30	2000 5.7 6.9 2003 4.0 6.9	[1]
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003	14	2000 14 22 2003 12 21	[1]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003	16	2000 55 67 2003 46 66	3
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003	22	2000 23 48 2003 18 42	[1]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	22	2000 9 11 2004 7 8	20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	20	2000 5 9 2004 4 9	[1]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	21	2000 24 32 2004 29 33	[11]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		2000 6 17 2004 10 18	[1]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004	4	2000 25 31 2004 26 31	9
	///// Patterned bars i	indicate national change. Solid bars indicate state change.	1	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	2,091,393	2,156,059	64,666	3%
Children ages	347,326	342,513	-4,813	-1%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

333,322

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	29%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	3%	4%

352,327

6%

19,005

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003	[11%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[84%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$76,200	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	5%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	25%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	35%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	80%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	3%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 13%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[57%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[7%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[14%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[32%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[14%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[20%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[26%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



NJ

New Jersey

	Percent Change Over Time												Trend Data		
Key Indicators			w	OR!	S E	ZERO	ВЕ	TTE	R				STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				5						_	2000	7.7 8.1	7.6	26
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003						10				-	2000 2003	6.3 5.7	6.9	13
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003					0					-	2000 2003	15 15	22 21	6
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003						13				-	2000 2003	48 42	67 66	2
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						19				_	2000 2003	32 26	48 42	6
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004								38		-	2000 2004	8 5	11 8	7
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					0					_	2000 2004	7 7	9	[12]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				8						_	2000 2004	26 28	32 33	8
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			20							_	2000 2004	10 12	17 18	6
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					0					_	2000	25 25	31 31	8

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children	506,799	492,287	-14,512	-3%

Children ages 3—5	78,599	78,483	-116	0%
----------------------	--------	--------	------	----

80,714

2,370

3%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

78,344

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	18%	20%
Grandchildren in the care	7%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 14%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[85%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$35,400	\$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[10%	8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[56%	40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003		28%	35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[43%	62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[4%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 33%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[61%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[35%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 49%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[35%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		38%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[47%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

118

NM

New Mexico

	Percent Change Over Time				Trer	National Rank					
Key Indicators			w	RSE	ZERO	В	ETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				6			2000	8.0 8.5	7.6 7.9	33
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003					12		2000 2003	6.6 5.8	6.9	[15]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003	4	5					2000 2003	20 29	22 21	45
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					2		2000 2003	99 97	67 66	48
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003					5		2000 2003	66 63	48 42	48
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004						25	2000 2004	16 12	11 8	48
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				9			2000 2004	11 12	9	46
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				3			2000 2004	38 37	32 33	43
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				8			2000 2004	26 28	17 18	48
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			15					33 38	31 31	45

Demographic Data

Number of	Children:	2000	and	2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	4,684,950	4,572,363	-112,587	-2%
Children ages 3–5	762,886	724,435	-38,451	-5%
Children under age 3	735,283	756,205	20,922	3%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	31%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL - 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[85%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$53,100	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[10%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[40%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[30%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[71%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[7%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 22%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[56%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[13%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[19%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 31%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[19%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[25%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[30%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003 336,000

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



22 NY

New York

		Percent Chang	Tre	nd Data	National Rank	
Key Indicators		WORSE ZERO	BETTER	STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	3		2000 7.7 2003 7.9	7.6	_ 22
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003		6	2000 6.4 2003 6.0	6.9	17
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003		6	2000 17 2003 16	22 21	- [7]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003	2		2000 47 2003 48	67	- [4]
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003		15	2000 33 2003 28	48 42	9
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		11	2000 9 2004 8	11 8	32
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	0		2000 9 2004 9	9	27
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	0		2000 35 2004 35	32 33	29
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004	11		2000 19 2004 21	17 18	36
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004	0		2000 34 2004 34	31 31	37

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004										
	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]					
All children under age 18	1,974,692	2,118,492	143,800	7%]					
Children ages 3—5	322,058	357,768	35,710	11%]					
Children under age 3	329,682	357,551	27,869	8%]					

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 10%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	6%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 12%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	г	STATE 43,000	\$50,800	-
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[9%	8%	-
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[45%	40%	_
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[36%	35%	-
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[54%	62%	-
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		6%	5%	-

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Danish and for dilder	_	STATE	NATIONAL
Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	L	25%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[61%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004) - [25%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[38%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[17%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[31%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[28%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

NC

North Carolina

Percent Change Over Time							National Rank								
Key Indicators				w	O R S E	ZERO		BETI	T E R				STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					2						2000	9.0	7.6	41
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003						5					2000	8.6 8.2	6.9	40
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003						8					2000	24 22	22 21	26
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003				13	3						2000	71 80	67 66	35
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003							17				2000	59 49	48 42	37
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004									44		2000	16 9	11 8	37
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004						9					2000	11 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					0						2000	35 35	32 33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				16							2000	19 22	17 18	41
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					3						2000	33 34	31 31	37

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	159,087	138,955	-20,132	-13%]
Children ages 3–5	23,994	20,880	-3,114	-13%]
Children under age 3	23,100	21,842	-1,258	-5%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	5%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	2%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 8%	NATIONAL - 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[85%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$50,800	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[6%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		36%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[48%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004		36%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		2%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 18%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[72%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[7%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[24%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 28%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[11%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[17%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[19%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



ND

North Dakota

	Percent Change Over Time				Trend Data		National Rank				
Key Indicators				WORSE	ZERO	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				2		-	2000	6.4	7.6 7.9	6
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003					10	-	2000 2003	8.1 7.3	6.9	29
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003			32			-	2000	19 25	22 21	36
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003	63					-	2000 2003	52 85	67 66	41
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003				0		-	2000 2003	27 27	48 42	7
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				0		-	2000	3	11 8	[1]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				0		-	2000 2004	4	9	[1]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					2	-	2000	29 27	32 33	5
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			E	7		-	2000	15 16	17 18	_ [21]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				4		-	2000 2004	23 24	31 31	4

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	2,883,504	2,779,212	-104,292	-4%
Children ages 3–5	460,014	440,310	-19,704	-4%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

449,686

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	5%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

435,667

-14,019

-3%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	Γ	STATE 8%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$50,800	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[9%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[39%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[42%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[60%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[5%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

D (1:11	_	STATE	NATIONAL
Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004		22%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[64%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004) - [20%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 31%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[22%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		26%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

Ohio

	· ·									National Rank					
Key Indicators				w	O F	R S E	7 5 0 0	ZENO	ВЕ	TTE	R		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based of most recent available dat
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003						5					2000	7.9 8.3	7.6	- 31
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003						1					2000	7.6 7.7	6.9	35
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003								13			2000	23 20	22 21	16
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003							2				2000	58 57	67 66	[11]
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003								15			2000	46 39	48 42	24
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004										40	2000	10 6	11 8	13
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					14						2000	7 8	9	18
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004						7					2000	30 32	32 33	19
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004					13						2000	16 18	17 18	27
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004						6					2000	31 33	31 31	34

OH

Demographic Data

Number of Childr	en: 2	2000 and 200	14			
		2000	2004	number Change	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18		889,556	859,870	-29,686	-3%]
Children ages 3—5		139,874	140,734	860	1%]
Children under age 3	Γ	143,176	147,755	4,579	3%	_

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	8%	20%	
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	6%	4%	1

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 15%	NATIONAL .
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[75%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	г	1,000	\$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004		10%	8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	4	í7%	40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	3	38%	35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[4	í 9%	62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004		7%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 26%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[59%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[16%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004) - [25%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		STATE 40 %	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[21%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[28%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[37%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

OK

Oklahoma

	Percent Change Over Time														National Rank	
Key Indicators				w	O R	S E	ZERO	BET	TER				STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based or most recent available dat	
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					4						2000	7.5 7.8	7.6 7.9	21	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003						8					2000	8.5 7.8	6.9	38	
Child death rate eaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003					16						2000	25 29	22 21	45	
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					4						2000	77 80	67	35	
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						7,					2000	60 56	48 42	44	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004										57	2000	14 6	11 8	13	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							18				2000	11 9	9	27	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					9						2000	33 36	32 33	36	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004					11						2000	19 21	17 18	36	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					13						2000	30 34	31 31	37	

Demographic Data

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	847,806	852,357	4,551	1%]
Children ages 3–5	135,438	137,019	1,581	1%]
Children under age 3	133,496	134,621	1,125	1%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003		STATE 16%	NATIONAL - 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 12%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[82%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

_	STATE 48,000	\$50,800]
	8%	8%]
	42%	40%]
[41%	35%]
	64%	62%]
[4%	5%]
	[[8% [42% [41%	L 8% 8% 8% 42% 40% 41% 35% 64% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 19%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[56%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[24%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[38%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[20%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[26%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[28%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care

Number of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003

Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



OR

Oregon

	Percent Change Over Time Trend Data			Percent Change Over Time						National Rank		
Key Indicators			V	V O R S	ZER 0	BETT	E R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				9				2000	5.6	7.6 7.9	3
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003				0				2000 2003	5.6 5.6	6.9	[10]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003				5				2000	21 22	22 21	26
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					14			2000	66 57	67 66	[11]
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003					21			2000 2003	43 34	48 42	16
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							45	2000	11 6	11 8	[13]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					20			2000	10 8	9	[18]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				3				2000 2004	36 35	32 33	_ [29]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				6				2000	18 19	17 18	30
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004					9			2000 2004	32 29	31 31	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	2,915,385	2,837,009	-78,376	-3% -
Children ages 3–5	452,096	429,888	-22,208	-5% -

432,315

3,886

1%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

428,429

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	7%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 10%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[87%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$54,500	\$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[8%	8%]
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[37%	40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[37%	35%]
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[59%	62%]
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[5%	5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 20%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[58%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[12%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004) . [18%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[31%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[18%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[23%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[28%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



<u>16</u>	PA

Pennsylvania

		Percent Cha	nge Over Time	Trer	Trend Data	
Key Indicators		WORSE	BETTER	STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	5	_	2000 7.7 2003 8.1	7.6	_ 26
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003	3		2000 7.1 2003 7.3	6.9	
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003		5	2000 20 2003 19	22 21	[11]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003	12		2000 60 2003 67	67 66	
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003		9	2000 34 2003 31	48 42	[10]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		29	2000 7 2004 5	11 8	[7]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		14	2000 7 2004 6	9	[5]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	14	_	2000 28 2004 32	32 33	[19]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004	13	_	2000 15 2004 17	17 18	_ 23
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004	3		2000 29 2004 30	31 31	_ [25]

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

Nomber of Child	UII. Z	.000 unu 200	т			
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18		247,497	243,813	-3,684	-1%]
Children ages 3—5		39,590	37,286	-2,304	-6%]
Children under age 3	[37,688	36,866	-822	-2%	_]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[21%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[2%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003		6%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[91%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$55,900	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	_ [11%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[37%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[39%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[76%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[9%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 23%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[62%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[15%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[13%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 38%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[24%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[29%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[37%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



RI

Rhode Island

				Pei	rcent Ch	ange	Over Time	Trer	nd Data	National Rank	
Key Indicators				w o	RSE	ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				18			2000 2003	7.2 8.5	7.6 7.9	33
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003				ı	6		2000 2003	6.3 6.7	6.9	24
Child death rate leaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003						18	2000 2003	17 14	22 21	3
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003			25				2000 2003	52 65	67 66	_ 20
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003						9	2000 2003	34 31	48 42	[10]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004						10	2000 2004	10 9	11 8	37
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			29				2000 2004	7 9	9	
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				9			2000 2004	34 37	32 33	43
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			31				2000 2004	16 21	17 18	36
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004				22				32 39	31 31	- 47

Demographic Data

١	lum	ber	of	Chi	ldren	: 200)0 aı	nd	20	04
---	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	----	----	----

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18	1,009,494	1,024,700	15,206	2%]
Children ages 3–5	158,795	166,490	7,695	5%]
Children under age 3	159,698	167,751	8,053	5%]

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	5%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	7%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 8%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[83%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$43,700	\$50,800	-
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[10%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[45%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[34%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[56%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[6%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 24%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[66%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[26%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 43%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[19%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[33%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[29%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



SC

South Carolina

		Percent Change Over Time					Tren	d Data	National Rank		
Key Indicators			v	OR S E	ZERO	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				4		_	2000	9.7	7.6	48
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003				5		_	2000 2003	8.7 8.3	6.9	42
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003				0		_	2000 2003	25 25	22 21	36
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003				5		_	2000 2003	86 82	67 66	38
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003					12	_	2000 2003	58 51	48 42	39
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					29	_	2000 2004	14 10	11 8	41
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					17	_	2000 2004	12 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004			13			_	2000 2004	31 35	32 33	29
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			21			_	2000 2004	19 23	17 18	42
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			14			_	2000 2004	35 40	31 31	48

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004								
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]		
All children under age 18		201,714	190,874	-10,840	-5%]		
Children ages 3–5		30,651	30,090	-561	-2%]		
Children under age 3		30,587	31,624	1,037	3%]		

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 3%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 8%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[89%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[5%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004		\$50,000	\$50,800	
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004		7%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[38%	40%	-
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[44%	35%	-
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[47%	62%	-
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[3%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 19%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[71%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[6%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[24%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[30%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[14%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[18%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[20%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

SD

South Dakota

	Percent Change Over Time					Tren	National Rank				
Key Indicators			1	W O R S E	ZERO	BETTER			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				6			2000	6.2	7.6 7.9	- [10]
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003			22				2000	5.5 6.7	6.9	_ 24
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003				3			2000	35 36	22 21	[48]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003				5			2000	78 82	67 66	38
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003					8		2000	38 35	48 42	[18]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004						50	2000	8 4	11 8	. [3]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004					17		2000	6	9	- [3]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004			19				2000	21 25	32 33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				7			2000	14 15	17 18	[18]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			17				2000	23 27	31 31	[12]

Demographic Data

Number of	Children:	2000	and	2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,398,076	1,391,289	-6,787	0%
Children ages 3–5	225,879	226,535	656	0%
Children under age 3	225,472	232,302	6,830	3%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003		STATE 6%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[6%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 9%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[84%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

_]
10%	8%]
43%	40%]
36%	35%]
56%	62%]
5%	5%]
	[\$45,70	\$45,700 \$50,800 10% 8% 43% 40% 36% 35% 56% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 24%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[60%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[12%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[22%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 41%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[26%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[29%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[39%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



TN

Tennessee

		Percent Chan	ge Over Time		Trer	nd Data	National Rank
Key Indicators		WORSE	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	2		2000 2003	9.2 9.4	7.6 7.9	45
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003	2		2000	9.1	6.9	47
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003		11	2000 2003	28 25	22 21	[36]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003		16	2000 2003	90 76	67 66	32
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003		10	2000 2003	59 53	48 42	41
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000–2004			2000 2004	11 11	11 8	45
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000–2004			2000 2004	11 11	9	[42]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	9		2000 2004	32 35	32 33	[29]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004	5		2000 2004	20 21	17 18	[36]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004	3		2000	33 34	31 31	37

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	5,909,556	6,266,779	357,223	6%
Children ages 3–5	973,096	1,058,517	85,421	9%

1,121,408

135,891

14%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

985,517

Children under

age 3

Children in immigrant families: 2003	29%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	6%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 21%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[75%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[9%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	\$44,200 \$50,800]
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[10% 8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	49% 40%]
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	35% 35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	54% 62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	5% 5%]

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 26%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[56%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[12%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004) - [27%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[36%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[13%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[31%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[28%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



TX

Texas

		F	Percent Char	nge Over Time		Tren	nd Data	National Rank
Key Indicators		 w	ORSE	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003		7		2000	7.4 7.9	7.6	_ 22
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003		16		2000 2003	5.7 6.6	6.9	[22]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003			0		24 24	22 21	_ [30]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003			5	2000	76 72	67	_ [27]
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003			9	2000	69	48 42	- [48]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			36	2000	14 9	11 8	- [37]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			9	2000 2004	11 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004		9		2000 2004	32 35	32 33	_ [29]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		5		2000 2004	22 23	17 18	- 42
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004		3		2000	31 32	31 31	33

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18		721,228	740,114	18,886	3%

Children under age 3	131,278	141,906	10,628	8%	
	_				

119,893 133,780 13,887

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 13%	NATIONAL - 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	Γ	3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 10%	NATIONAL .
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[75%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[5%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

	\$51,200	\$50,800	
[5%	8%	
[38%	40%	
[45%	35%	
[66%	62%	-
[2%	5%	
]]]]	[5% [38% [45%	5% 8% 38% 40% 45% 35% 66% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 14%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[47%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[6%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		27%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005		32%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[17%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[27%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005		29%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



Definitions and Data Sources can be found on page 174, or visit www.kidscount.org for detailed information.

UT

Utah

	Percent Change Over Time							National Rank						
Key Indicators				w o	RSE	ZERO	В	ETTE	R			STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003					2	!				2000	6.6	7.6 7.9	6
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003					4					2000 2003	5.2 5.0	6.9	5
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003					5					2000	20 21	22 21	_ 20
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003					2					2000	60 61	67 66	[15]
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003						8				2000	38 35	48 42	18
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							17			2000	6 5	11 8	7
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004							25			2000	8	9	_ [5]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004					0					2000	26 26	32 33	4
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			30							2000	10 13	17 18	[10]
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004							19			2000	21 17	31 31	[1]

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004

Nombor or children	011. 2	.000 una 200			
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18		146,403	134,894	-11,509	-8%
Children ages 3—5		21,526	19,105	-2,421	-11%
Children under age 3	Γ	19,702	18,606	-1,096	-6%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	STATE 6%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	1%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 5%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[90%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

\$	STATE 555,900	\$50,800
[5%	8%
	31%	40%
[48%	35%
[63%	62%
[4%	5%
		[\$55,900 [5% [31% [48% [63%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 14%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[63%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004		17%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 28%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[13%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[21%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[22%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



VT

Vermont

Percent Change Over Time				Tren	nd Data	National Rank	
Key Indicators		WORSE	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	15		2000	6.1	7.6	16
i erceiii iow-biriiweigiii bubies	2000-2003			2003	2003 7.0 7.9	10	
Infant mortality rate	2000-2003		17	2000	6.0	6.9	_ [5]
(deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000 2000			2003	5.0	6.9	
Child death rate	2000-2003	23		2000	13	22	- 7
leaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003			2003	16	21	7
Teen death rate	2000-2003		20	2000	66	67	6
deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000 2000			2003	53	66	
Teen birth rate	2000-2003		17	2000	23	48	2
births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000 2000			2003	19	42	[~]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts	2000-2004		33	2000	6	11	3
(ages 16–19)				2004	4	8	[]
Percent of teens not attending school and not working	2000-2004		14	2000	7	9	5
(ages 16—19)				2004	6	9	L . J
Percent of children living in families where no parent has	2000–2004			2000	28 28	32	- 8
full-time, year-round employment				2004	28	33	
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		8	2000	13 12	17 18	- 6
. ,				2004	12	10	
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004	4		2000	25 26	31	. 9
iii siiigie-pareiii taliililes				2004	20	31	

Demographic Data

Number	of Children:	2000	and 2004

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	1,742,655	1,804,900	62,245	4%
Children ages 3–5	280,426	294,075	13,649	5%
Children under age 3	278,458	299,736	21,278	8%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	15%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	4%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 10%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

[\$57,200	\$50,800	
[6%	8%	
[32%	40%	
[40%	35%	
[64%	62%	
[4%	5%	
]]]]	\$57,200 \$57,200 [6% [32% [40% [64%	\$57,200 \$50,800 6% 8% 32% 40% 40% 35% 64% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 15%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[63%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[9%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	_[17%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[28%	38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[17%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[22%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[25%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



19	VA

Virginia

			Percent Change	Over Time		Tren	d Data	National Rank
Key Indicators			WORSE ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003		4		2000	7.9 8.2	7.6 7.9	29
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003		12		2000	6.9 7.7	6.9	35
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003		5		2000	20 21	22 21	20
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003			7	2000	67 62	67 66	18
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003			12	2000	41 36	48 42	21
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004			22	2000	9 7	11 8	20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004		14		2000	7 8	9	18
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004		7		2000	27 29	32 33	[11]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004		0		2000	13 13	17 18	10
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004		4		2000	28 29	31 31	20
		//// Patterned b	ars indicate national change.	olid bars indicate state change.			1	

Demographic Data

Number of Childr	en: 2	2000 and 200	14			
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE]
All children under age 18		1,513,592	1,486,020	-27,572	-2%]
Children ages 3–5		239,364	235,992	-3,372	-1%]
Children under age 3		236,309	230,108	-6,201	-3%	

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	19%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 8%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[82%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[7%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

\$53,800	\$50,800
7%	8%
38%	40%
44%	35%
66%	62%
4%	5%
	[\$53,800 [7% [38% [44% [66%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 20%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[54%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[10%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	g- [20%	21%

Education


NATIONAL

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 30%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[25%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[25%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



109,000

WA

Washington

			Pe	rcent Cha	inge (Over Time		Tren	d Data	National Rank
Key Indicators			w o	RSE	ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003			7			200 200	5.6	7.6 7.9	
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000–2003			8			200 200	5.2 5.6	6.9	10
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000–2003				0		200 200	19 19	22 21	[11]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003					10	200 200	60 54	67 66	8
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003					18	200 200	39 32	48 42	13
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004					22	200	9 7	11 8	20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	2000-2004			13			200	8	9	27
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004		I	23			200 200	31 38	32 33	45
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004			6			200	16 17	17 18	23
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			7			200 200	28 30	31 31	25

Demographic Data

Number of Childr	en: 2	000 and 200	4		
	[2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	[400,848	384,641	-16,207	_4%
Children ages 3–5	[62,174	60,099	-2,075	-3%
Children under	Γ	60,404	60,914	510	1%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 2%	NATIONAL 20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[6%	4%

Child Health

		STATE	NATIONAL
Children without health insurance: 2003	[9%	11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[88%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[10%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$39,700	\$50,800	-
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[11%	8%	
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[47%	40%	
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[45%	35%	
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[49%	62%	
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[8%	5%	

Economic Conditions of Young Children

NATIONAL

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	[STATE 25%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[57%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	[13%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[21%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[39%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[25%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[33%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[40%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



WV

West Virginia

Percent Change Over Time					Trer	National Rank			
Key Indicators			WORSE	ZERO	BETTER		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based or most recent available dat
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003			4		2000	8.3 8.6	7.6	36
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003			4		2000 2003	7.6 7.3	6.9	29
Child death rate leaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003				20	2000 2003	30 24	22 21	30
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003			2		2000 2003	88 90	67 66	46
Teen birth rate births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003			4		2000 2003	47 45	48 42	35
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				13	2000 2004	8 7	11 8	20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				9	2000 2004	11 10	9	34
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				10	2000 2004	40 36	32 33	36
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				8	2000 2004	26 24	17 18	45
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			3		2000 2004	30 29	31 31	

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 200	4

	2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE
All children under age 18	,364,149	1,307,986	-56,163	-4%
Children ages 3–5	209,440	201,915	-7,525	-4%
Children under age 3	203,416	203,618	202	0%

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	[STATE 7%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	[3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 6%	NATIONAL - 11% -
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[86%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[8%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

Median income of families with children: 2004	[\$56,100	\$50,800
Children in extreme poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004	[7%	8%
Children in low-income families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	[34%	40%
Female-headed families receiving child support: 2003	[45%	35%
Children in low-income families that spend more than 30% of their income on housing: 2004	[62%	62%
Children in low-income households where no adults work: 2004	[4%	5%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children	Г	STATE	NATIONAL 7
under age 6: 2004	L	16%	21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	[66%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004		7%	10%
Children under age 6 in working poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	-[18%	21%

Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[33%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[16%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[23%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[24%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



WI

Wisconsin

	Percent Change Over Time			Tren	National Rank	
Key Indicators		WORSE SEB BET	TER	STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003	5		6.5	7.6	- [13]
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003	2	2000 2003	6.6 6.5	6.9	[20]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003	0	2000 2003	20 20	22 21	- [16]
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000-2003	6		66 70	67 66	24
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000-2003	11		35 31	48 42	[10]
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	17	2000	6 7	11 8	_ 20
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004	17	2000 2004	6 7	9	[12]
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004	11	2000 2004	27 30	32 33	- [14]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004	17	2000 2004	12 14	17 18	14
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004	0		28 28	31 31	_ [16]

Demographic Data

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004						
		2000	2004	NUMBER CHANGE	PERCENT CHANGE	
All children under age 18		127,836	116,932	-10,904	-9%	
Children ages 3–5		18,539	17,759	-780	-4%	
Children under age 3		18,474	19,081	607	3%	

Immigrant and Intergenerational Families

Children in immigrant families: 2003	5%	20%
Grandchildren in the care of grandparents: 2004	3%	4%

Child Health

Children without health insurance: 2003	[STATE 12%	NATIONAL 11%
2-year-olds who were immunized: 2004	[85%	84%
Children affected by asthma: 2003	[6%	8%

Economic Conditions of Families

	\$52,000	\$50,800	
[7%	8%	
[37%	40%	
[47%	35%	
[47%	62%	
	2%	5%	
]]]]	\$52,000 7% 37% 47%	\$52,000 \$50,800 7% 8% 37% 40% 47% 35% 47% 62%

Economic Conditions of Young Children

Poverty rate for children under age 6: 2004	STATE 20%	NATIONAL 21%
Children under age 6 with all parents in the labor force: 2004	59%	59%
Children under age 6 with no parent in the labor force: 2004	8%	10%
Children under age 6 in working- poor families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004	24%	21%


Education

4th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[STATE 29%	NATIONAL 38%
4th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[13%	21%
8th grade students who scored below basic reading level: 2005	[19%	29%
8th grade students who scored below basic math level: 2005	[24%	32%

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child Care



Percent of children under age 6 in family-based child care: 2003



WY

Wyoming

			Pe	rcent Cl	nange	Over I	ıme			Tren	d Data	National Rank
Key Indicators			w o	RSE	ZERO	ВЕТ	TER	_		STATE	NATIONAL	National Rank is based on most recent available data
Percent low-birthweight babies	2000-2003				7			_	2000	8.3 8.9	7.6 7.9	39
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	2000-2003					13		_	2000 2003	6.7 5.8	6.9	[15]
Child death rate deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	2000-2003		37					_	2000 2003	27 37	22 21	49
Teen death rate deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2000–2003				5			_	2000 2003	81 85	67 66	41
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2000–2003				2			_	2000 2003	42 41	48 42	
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16—19)	2000-2004						30	_	2000 2004	10 7	11 8	
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16—19)	2000-2004				0			_	2000 2004	6	9	5
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	2000-2004				3			_	2000 2004	33 32	32 33	[19]
Percent of children in poverty	2000-2004				7			_	2000 2004	15 14	17 18	14
Percent of children in single-parent families	2000-2004			8				_	2000 2004	25 27	31 31	12











This Appendix provides the rate for each of the 10 KIDS COUNT indicators used to rank states for each year since 2000. Data are available for 2004 for some measures, but only through 2003 for others. The raw data behind the most recent rate are also provided. In addition, this table provides the state's rank by indicator for each year. Raw data based on estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS) are rounded to the nearest 1,000.

USA

Indicators		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	Rate	7.6	7.7	7.8	7.9	N.A.
Percent low-birthweight babies	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.A.
	2003 raw data	324,0	64 birt	hs		
Infant manuality and	Rate	6.9	6.8	7.0	6.9	N.A.
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.A.
(dealins per 1,000 live billins)	2003 raw data	28,02	25 deat	hs		
citi i d	Rate	22	22	21	21	N.A.
Child death rate eaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.A.
euns per 100,000 ciniuren ages 1—14)	2003 raw data	11,91	9 death	S		
- 11	Rate	67	67	68	66	N.A.
Teen death rate	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.A.
eaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	2003 raw data	13,59	5 deatl	15		
	Rate	48	45	43	42	N.A.
Teen birth rate	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.A.
irths per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	2003 raw data	414,5	80 birt	hs		
Percent of teens who are	Rate	11	10	9	8	8
high school dropouts	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
(ages 16-19)	2004 raw data	1,138	,000 te	ens		
Percent of teens not attending	Rate	9	9	9	9	9
school and not working	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
(ages 16-19)	2004 raw data	1,291	,000 te	ens		
Percent of children living in	Rate	32	31	33	33	33
families where no parent has	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
full-time, year-round employment	2004 raw data	24,35	3,000	children	ı	
	Rate	17	17	18	18	18
Percent of children in poverty	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
	2004 raw data	13,24	5,000	children		
	Rate	31	31	31	31	31
Percent of children	Rank	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.	N.R.
in single-parent families	2004 raw data		1,000			
			,			

AL					AK	T				AZ	_				AR	R				CA					CO)			
2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
9.7	9.6	9.9	10.0	N.A.	5.6	5.7	5.8	6.0	N.A.	7.0	7.0	6.8	7.1	N.A.	8.6	8.8	8.6	8.9	N.A.	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.6	N.A.	8.4	8.5	8.9	9.0	N.A.
47 5 02	47 3 births	46	47	N.A.	1	2 births	1	1	N.A.	18	17 4 births	14	17	N.A.	41	41 8 births	38	39	N.A.	8	7 28 birtl	9	10	N.A.	40	39 4 births	40	41	N.A.
3,72	אוו ווע כ				000	DILIIIS				0,41	4 DIFFIE	•			3,34	O DII III:	•			33,0	ZO DII II	15			0,22	+ DII IIIS	•		
9.4	9.4	9.1	8.7	N.A.	6.8	8.1	5.5	7.0	N.A.	6.7	6.9	6.4	6.5	N.A.	8.4	8.3	8.3	8.7	N.A.	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.2	N.A.	6.2	5.8	6.1	6.1	N.A.
49	47	45	45	N.A.	24	39	7	28	N.A.	22	25	19	20	N.A.	40	41	41	45	N.A.	5	5	7	7	N.A.	13	10	15	18	N.A.
519 (leaths				71 de	eaths				593	deaths				32/	deaths				2,82	0 death	IS			420 (deaths			
27	30	29	27	N.A.	32	34	29	38	N.A.	26	29	24	24	N.A.	33	30	30	27	N.A.	20	18	18	19	N.A.	22	22	21	21	N.A.
39	44	44	42	N.A.	45	49	44	50	N.A.	38	42	34	30	N.A.	47	44	46	42	N.A.	12	9	9	11	N.A.	22	21	19	20	N.A.
229	deaths				56 d	eaths				292	deaths				143	deaths				1,39	6 death	S			184	deaths			
92	93	100	89	N.A.	142	97	76	105	N.A.	79	88	86	80	N.A.	94	92	94	84	N.A.	53	58	58	61	N.A.	60	71	74	66	N.A.
45	48	46	44	N.A.	50	49	34	50	N.A.	37	42	40	35	N.A.	46	47	42	40	N.A.	9	11	10	15	N.A.	12	30	30	21	N.A.
282	deaths				60 d	eaths				310	deaths				164	deaths				1,53	9 death	S			208	deaths			
61	56	55	52	N.A.	49	41	40	39	N.A.	68	64	61	61	N.A.	66	62	60	59	N.A.	47	44	41	40	N.A.	51	47	47	44	N.A.
42	39	42	40	N.A.	32	24	25	24	N.A.	48	48	47	47	N.A.	46	46	46	46	N.A.	28	28	28	27	N.A.	35	33	36	34	N.A.
8,09	5 births				1,05	1 births	;			11,5	54 birth	IS			5,58	5 births	i			49,3	39 birtl	15			6,70	0 births	5		
13	12	15	10	7	8	10	6	10	5	18	14	12	12	11	12	7	10	6	7	10	10	8	7	6	-11	14	11	7	8
40	41	49	39	20	12	30	5	39	7	50	45	43	49	45	37	7	33	10	20	22	30	18	15	13	30	45	39	15	32
15,0	00 teen	S			2,00	0 teens	;			31,0	00 teen	IS			10,0	00 teen	S			123,	000 tee	ens			18,0	00 teen	IS		
12	12	13	11	8	8	12	10	13	12	13	11	11	11	10	12	10	10	9	8	8	10	8	8	8	6	9	8	9	9
43	44	49	39	18	20	44	35	48	46	47	38	41	39	34	43	29	35	29	18	20	29	22	16	18	6	22	22	29	27
18,0	00 teen	S			5,00	0 teens	i			30,0	00 teer	IS			11,00	00 teen	S			151,0)00 tee	ns			21,00	00 teen	IS		
35	35	37	35	36	49	41	41	40	40	31	32	34	36	34	33	34	35	37	38	35	35	36	35	36	34	27	29	31	31
40	42	44	36	36	50	50	50	48	49	23	30	30	41	27	32	39	36	44	45	40	42	42	36	36	35	12	11	20	16
387,0	000 chil	dren			74,0	00 chile	dren			522,	000 chi	ldren			251,	000 chi	ldren			3,47	5,000 c	hildren			360,	000 chi	ldren		
21	23	24	24	23	13	9	10	14	11	23	19	20	21	20	25	21	22	24	26	20	18	19	19	19	10	13	12	13	15
42	46	46	44	42	12	2	2	16	3	45	36	37	41	34	46	43	43	44	47	40	33	34	34	30	3	11	8	13	18
249,0	000 chil	dren			21,0	00 child	Iren			307,	000 chi	ldren			169,	000 chi	ldren			1,77	7,000 c	hildren			167,0	000 chil	ldren		
35	37	35	36	36	30	29	26	30	30	33	34	31	35	31	34	31	30	33	38	30	31	30	30	29	26	26	26	27	26
44	47	45	45	43	24	25	10	25	25	36	41	33	43	29	42	33	28	37	45	24	33	28	25	20	15	11	10	10	9
371,0	000 chil	dren			54,0	00 chil	dren			443,	000 chi	ldren			228,	000 chi	ldren			2,63	4,000 d	hildren			288,	000 chi	ldren		

		US	A				CT					DE					DC				
Indicators		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Percent low-birthweight babies	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	7.6 N.R. 324,	7.7 N.R. 064 bir	7.8 N.R. ths	7.9 N.R.	N.A.	7.4 22 3,21	7.4 21 8 births	7.8 23	7.5 19	N.A.	8.6 41 1,06	9.3 46 8 births	9.9 46	9.4 45	N.A.	11.9 N.R. 833 I	12.1 N.R. pirths	11.6 N.R.	10.9 N.R.	N.A. N.A.
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	6.9 N.R. 28,0	6.8 N.R. 25 dea	7.0 N.R.	6.9 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	6.6 19 230	6.1 16 deaths	6.5 21	5.4 8	N.A. N.A.	9.2 48 107	10.7 50 deaths	8.7 43	9.4 49	N.A.	12.0 N.R. 80 de	10.6 N.R.	11.3 N.R.	10.5 N.R.	N.A.
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	22 N.R. 11,91	22 N.R. 9 deat	21 N.R. hs	21 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	15 3 94 d	14 1 leaths	13 2	14 3	N.A. N.A.	27 39 22 d	22 21 eaths	27 42	14 3	N.A.	31 N.R. 23 de	33 N.R.	23 N.R.	27 N.R.	N.A.
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	67 N.R. 13,5	67 N.R. 95 deat	68 N.R.	66 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	47 3 94 d	54 9 leaths	48 5	40 1	N.A. N.A.	74 28 42 d	70 28 eaths	65 19	76 32	N.A.	108 N.R. 41 de	149 N.R.	168 N.R.	151 N.R.	N.A.
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	48 N.R. 414,	45 N.R. 580 bir	43 N.R.	42 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	31 7 2,84	28 6 10 birth	26 5	25 4	N.A.	48 30 1,20	47 33 6 births	46 34	45 35	N.A.	53 N.R. 838 I	64 N.R. pirths	69 N.R.	60 N.R.	N.A.
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	11 N.R. 1,138	10 N.R. 3,000 t	9 N.R. eens	8 N.R.	8 N.R.	11 30 7,00	7 7 0 teens	6 5	8 30	4 3	12 37 3,00	12 41 0 teens	10 33	7 15	8 32	13 N.R. 1,000	14 N.R.) teens	12 N.R.	6 N.R.	10 N.R.
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	9 N.R. 1,29	9 N.R. 1,000 t	9 N.R. eens	9 N.R.	9 N.R.	8 20 13,0	7 7 100 teer	7 10	7 11	8 18	9 26 3,00	10 29 0 teens	7 10	6	7 12	12 N.R. 2,000	14 N.R.) teens	11 N.R.	10 N.R.	13 N.R.
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	32 N.R. 24,3	31 N.R. 53,000	33 N.R. childre	33 N.R.	33 N.R.	26 8 228,	25 7 ,000 ch	28 7 ildren	28 13	27 5	25 6 58,0	26 9 00 child	30 15 Iren	29 15	30 14	44 N.R. 56,00	49 N.R. 00 child	49 N.R. Iren	54 N.R.	52 N.R.
Percent of children in poverty	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	17 N.R. 13,2	17 N.R. 45,000	18 N.R. childrer	18 N.R.	18 N.R.	11 7 87,0	10 4 00 chile	10 2 Iren	11 4	10 1	12 8 26,0	14 16 00 child	11 5 Iren	12 5	14 14	30 N.R. 36,00	32 N.R. 00 child	28 N.R. Iren	36 N.R.	34 N.R.
Percent of children in single-parent families	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	31 N.R. 21,3	31 N.R. 61,000	31 N.R. children	31 N.R.	31 N.R.	27 16 214,	26 11 000 chi	27 15 Idren	29 19	27 12	35 44 63,0	32 37 00 child	34 42 Iren	33 37	35 41	65 N.R. 66,00	67 N.R. 00 child	62 N.R. Iren	63 N.R.	68 N.R.

FL					GA	1				н					ID					IL					IN				
2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
8.0	8.2	8.4	8.5	N.A.	8.6	8.8	8.9	9.0	N.A.	7.5	8.1	8.3	8.6	N.A.	6.7	6.4	6.1	6.5	N.A.	7.9	8.0	8.2	8.3	N.A.	7.4	7.6	7.6	7.9	N.A.
35	36	36	33	N.A.	41	41	40	41	N.A.	25	35	34	36	N.A.	15	9	4	6	N.A.	31	32	32	31	N.A.	22	22	21	22	N.A.
18,0)7 birth	IS			12,2	05 birtl	hs			1,55	4 births				1,413	3 births				15,0	81 birth	IS			6,76	7 births	i		
7.0	7.3	7.5	7.5	N.A.	8.5	8.6	8.9	8.5	N.A.	8.1	6.2	7.3	7.5	N.A.	7.5	6.2	6.1	6.3	N.A.	8.5	7.7	7.4	7.7	N.A.	7.8	7.5	7.7	7.6	N.A.
27	29	32	32	N.A.	41	43	44	43	N.A.	37	18	29	32	N.A.	32	18	15	19	N.A.	41	36	30	35	N.A.	36	34	36	34	N.A.
1,58	3 death	S			1,15	1 death	s			136	deaths				138	deaths				1,41	2 death	s			661	deaths			
24	23	22	21	N.A.	25	27	23	23	N.A.	15	16	17	18	N.A.	22	25	23	26	N.A.	20	22	20	19	N.A.	25	22	22	20	N.A.
30	29	23	20	N.A.	33	39	26	29	N.A.	3	5	6	9	N.A.	22	36	26	41	N.A.	12	21	13	11	N.A.	33	21	23	16	N.A.
653	leaths				414	deaths				41 de	eaths				74 d	eaths				474	deaths				254	deaths			
73	68	68	70	N.A.	76	78	70	74	N.A.	41	50	42	54	N.A.	63	88	74	72	N.A.	68	68	65	68	N.A.	76	74	73	63	N.A.
26	25	23	24	N.A.	30	36	25	30	N.A.	2	4	2	8	N.A.	16	42	30	27	N.A.	23	25	19	23	N.A.	30	33	28	19	N.A.
775	leaths				456	deaths				46 d	eaths				78 d	eaths				599	deaths				277	deaths			
51	48	44	43	N.A.	63	60	56	53	N.A.	46	42	38	37	N.A.	43	41	39	39	N.A.	48	46	42	40	N.A.	49	47	45	43	N.A.
35	36	31	31	N.A.	44	45	43	41	N.A.	25	26	21	23	N.A.	23	24	24	24	N.A.	30	30	29	27	N.A.	32	33	33	31	N.A.
22,7	70 birth	IS			15,8	64 birtl	hs			1,50	2 births	i			2,07	5 births				17,4	05 birth	IS			9,37	8 births	i		
12	11	9	8	8	16	14	13	11	12	5	8	8	5	4	10	10	9	7	6	9	10	8	8	6	13	14	13	11	13
37	37	30	30	32	46	45	47	45	48	2	14	18	4	3	22	30	30	15	13	17	30	18	30	13	40	45	47	45	50
70,0)O teen	s			53,0	00 teer	15			2,00	0 teens				5,00	0 teens				41,0	00 teen	s			40,0	00 teer	IS		
8	9	8	8	9	14	11	11	11	11	10	13	12	13	10	11	10	10	8	7	9	9	7	8	8	10	8	9	8	10
20	22	22	16	27	48	38	41	39	42	32	48	45	48	34	35	29	35	16	12	26	22	10	16	18	32	14	30	16	34
74,0)O teen	S			49,0	00 teen	IS			6,00	0 teens				6,00	0 teens				52,0	00 teen	IS			31,0	00 teen	S		
34	31	33	33	32	32	29	32	31	35	41	33	35	33	36	30	33	32	35	36	29	31	31	32	32	27	27	30	30	33
35	27	26	28	19	29	18	22	20	29	49	33	36	28	36	19	33	22	36	36	17	27	21	25	19	- 11	12	15	17	25
1,27	,000 d	hildren			793,	000 chi	ldren			106,	000 chi	ldren			133,	000 chil	dren			1,02	0,000 c	hildren			519,	000 chi	ldren		
19	17	19	19	18	18	16	18	19	21	13	14	14	15	14	14	15	16	18	20	15	15	16	16	17	14	13	15	14	15
35	32	34	34	27	33	29	33	34	36	12	16	12	23	14	19	22	24	30	34	24	22	24	25	23	19	-11	20	16	18
689,	000 chi	ldren			484,	000 chi	ildren			42,0	00 child	lren			71,0	00 child	ren			535,	000 chi	ldren			234,	000 chi	ldren		
36	34	35	36	36	36	34	34	34	35	24	27	29	32	28	22	24	20	20	23	31	30	29	29	28	29	29	31	29	28
47	41	45	45	43	47	41	42	42	41	6	16	21	33	16	3	6	2	2	2	29	29	21	19	16	21	25	33	19	16
1,34	9,000 d	hildren			756 ,	000 chi	ildren			78,0	00 child	lren			81,0	00 child	ren			855,	000 chi	ldren			421,	000 chi	ldren		
_																													

		US	A				IA					KS					KY	,			
Indicators		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Percent low-birthweight babies	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	7.6 N.R. 324,0	7.7 N.R. 064 bir	7.8 N.R. ths	7.9 N.R.	N.A.	6.1 5 2,51	6.4 9 2 births	6.6 12	6.6 10	N.A.	6.9 17 2,90	7.0 17 8 births	7.0 16	7.4 18	N.A.	8.2 37 4,80	8.3 37 9 births	8.6 38	8.7 38	N.A. N.A.
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	6.9 N.R. 28,0	6.8 N.R. 25 deat	7.0 N.R.	6.9 N.R.	N.A.	6.5 17 215	5.6 8 deaths	5.3 5	5.6 10	N.A.	6.8 24 262	7.4 31 deaths	7.1 27	6.6 22	N.A.	7.2 29 381	5.9 13 deaths	7.2 28	6.9 27	N.A. N.A.
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	22 N.R. 11,91	22 N.R. 9 deat	21 N.R.	21 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	22 22 117	23 29 deaths	21 19	22 26	N.A. N.A.	25 33 126	24 33 deaths	25 38	24 30	N.A. N.A.	23 27 196	28 40 deaths	25 38	25 36	N.A. N.A.
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	67 N.R. 13,59	67 N.R. 95 deat	68 N.R. hs	66 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	77 33 122	59 14 deaths	57 8	58 13	N.A.	78 35 145	80 38 deaths	70 25	71 26	N.A.	82 39 210	73 31 deaths	85 39	75 31	N.A. N.A.
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	48 N.R. 414,	45 N.R. 580 bir	43 N.R.	42 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	34 10 3,29	33 10 06 birth:	32 10	32 13	N.A.	46 25 4,10	44 28 0 births	43 30	41 29	N.A.	55 37 6,75	52 37 2 births	51 37	50 38	N.A. N.A.
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	11 N.R. 1,138	10 N.R. 3,000 t	9 N.R. eens	8 N.R.	8 N.R.	5 2 5,00	4 1 00 teens	5	7 15	3	10 22 10,0	7 7 00 teen	7 9	5 4	7 20	10 22 20,0	10 30 00 teer	11 39	9 37	10 41
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	9 N.R. 1,29	9 N.R. 1,000 t	9 N.R. eens	9 N.R.	9 N.R.	6 6 7,00	4 2 0 teens	5 2	7 11	5	6 6 8,00	7 7 0 teens	7 10	8 16	6 5	12 43 22,0	11 38 00 teer	12 45	12 46	11 42
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	32 N.R. 24,3		33 N.R. childre	33 N.R.	33 N.R.	23 3 168,	24 3 ,000 chi	28 7 Idren	26 4	25 2	22 2 183,	23 2 000 chi	29 11 Idren	27 7	27 5	34 35 367,0	33 33 000 chi	35 36 Idren	39 46	38 45
Percent of children in poverty	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	17 N.R. 13,24		18 N.R. childrei	18 N.R.	18 N.R.	13 12 83,0	13 11 000 chile	14 12 dren	12 5	12 6	12 8 84,0	13 11 00 chile	16 24 dren	14 16	12 6	22 43 240,	19 36 000 chi	21 41 Idren	24 44	25 46
Percent of children in single-parent families	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	31 N.R. 21,3	31 N.R. 61,000	31 N.R. childrer	31 N.R.	31 N.R.	25 9 159,	25 9 000 chi	26 10 Idren	25 7	24 4	27 16 157,0	25 9 000 chi	26 10 Idren	27 10	24 4	30 24 278,	27 16 000 chi	30 28 Idren	30 25	30 25

LA					MI	E				MI	D				M	A				MI	ı				M	N			
2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
10.3	10.4	10.4	10.7	N.A.	6.0	6.0	6.3	6.5	N.A.	8.6	9.0	9.0	9.1	N.A.	7.1	7.2	7.5	7.6	N.A.	7.9	8.0	8.0	8.2	N.A.	6.1	6.3	6.3	6.2	N.A.
49 6,94	49 births	49	49	N.A.	4 904	5 births	5	6	N.A.	41 6,78	44 2 births	42	44	N.A.	19 6,09	19 5 births	19	20	N.A.	31 10,7	32 06 birtl	27 1s	29	N.A.	5 4,37	7 4 births	5	4	N.A.
9.0	9.8	10.3	9.3	N.A.	4.9	6.1	4.4	4.9	N.A.	7.6	8.1	7.5	8.2	N.A.	4.6	5.0	4.9	4.8	N.A.	8.2	8.0	8.1	8.5	N.A.	5.6	5.3	5.4	4.6	N.A.
46	48	49	47	N.A.	2	16	1	4	N.A.	33	39	32	40	N.A.	1	3	3	3	N.A.	39	38	38	43	N.A.	7	4	6	2	N.A.
606	leaths				68 d	eaths				617	deaths				388	deaths				1,119	9 death	s			324	deaths			
32	33	35	28	N.A.	21	16	20	21	N.A.	21	22	20	20	N.A.	15	15	15	13	N.A.	22	22	22	21	N.A.	18	17	23	18	N.A.
45	47	49	44	N.A.	19	5	13	20	N.A.	19	21	13	16	N.A.	3	3	4	2	N.A.	22	21	23	20	N.A.	9	7	26	9	N.A.
252	leaths				45 d	eaths				210	deaths				149	deaths				418	deaths				168	deaths			
85	97	100	96	N.A.	63	65	58	53	N.A.	71	73	73	77	N.A.	40	43	42	51	N.A.	64	62	63	55	N.A.	52	50	57	59	N.A.
40	49	46	47	N.A.	16	21	10	6	N.A.	24	31	28	34	N.A.	1	1	2	5	N.A.	18	19	17	10	N.A.	6	4	8	14	N.A.
332	leaths				50 d	eaths				299	deaths				210	deaths				401	deaths				222	deaths			
62	59	58	56	N.A.	29	27	25	25	N.A.	41	38	35	33	N.A.	26	25	23	23	N.A.	40	38	35	34	N.A.	30	28	27	27	N.A.
43	44	44	44	N.A.	5	4	4	4	N.A.	20	17	14	15	N.A.	3	3	2	3	N.A.	19	17	14	16	N.A.	6	6	6	7	N.A.
9,519	births				1,13	3 births	i			6,27	4 births	i			4,64	3 births	i			12,2	23 birtl	15			4,89	8 births	i		
11	11	12	12	10	5	7	8	7	5	- 11	9	8	6	7	8	5	6	5	8	10	8	7	6	7	7	5	5	7	5
30	37	43	49	41	2	7	18	15	7	30	23	18	10	20	12	2	5	4	32	22	14	9	10	20	9	2	3	15	7
27,00	0 teens				3,00	0 teens				21,0	00 teen	S			21,0	00 teen	S			38,0	00 teer	IS			13,0	00 teen	S		
15	12	13	14	13	4	7	10	5	7	9	9	7	8	7	6	5	5	8	9	9	8	6	7	8	4	4	5	4	6
49	44	49	50	50	1	7	35	4	12	26	22	10	16	12	6	4	2	16	27	26	14	5	- 11	18	1	2	2	1	5
33,0	00 teens	;			5,00	0 teens	•			21,0	00 teen	S			25,0	00 teen	S			44,0	00 teer	ıs			17,00	00 teen	s		
39	39	39	40	40	34	29	33	31	32	28	24	28	27	28	31	28	30	31	31	31	31	34	34	34	23	26	26	26	29
47	47	48	48	49	35	18	26	20	19	14	3	7	7	8	23	16	15	20	16	23	27	30	35	27	3	9	4	4	- 11
466,	000 chil	dren			91,0	00 child	dren			388,	000 chi	ldren			451,	000 chi	dren			855,	000 chi	ldren			363,	000 chi	ldren		
27	27	27	30	30	12	11	16	13	17	13	11	11	10	11	14	12	12	12	13	14	15	16	16	18	9	11	12	9	11
50	50	48	50	49	8	5	24	13	23	12	5	5	3	3	19	9	8	5	10	19	22	24	25	27	2	5	8	2	3
343,	000 chil	dren			47,0	00 child	lren			155,	000 chi	ldren			180,	000 chi	ldren			439,	000 chi	ldren			130,	000 chi	ldren		
40	40	42	43	44	24	26	29	27	33	33	30	32	33	33	29	28	28	28	29	32	31	30	30	31	21	24	24	23	24
49	49	49	49	50	6	-11	21	10	34	36	29	36	37	34	21	20	17	16	20	32	33	28	25	29	1	6	5	5	4
465,0	00 chil	dren			87,0	00 chilo	dren			427,	000 chi	ldren			400,	000 chi	ldren			735,	000 chi	ldren			280,	000 chi	ldren		
_															-					_									

		US	A				MS	5				M	•				M	r			
Indicators		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Percent low-birthweight babies	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	7.6 N.R. 324,	7.7 N.R. 064 bir	7.8 N.R. ths	7.9 N.R.	N.A.	10.7 50 4,84	10.7 50 6 births	11.2 50	11.4 50	N.A. N.A.	7.6 27 6,169	7.6 22 9 births	8.0 27	8.0 25	N.A.	6.2 8 776	6.9 16 births	6.8 14	6.8 13	N.A. N.A.
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	6.9 N.R. 28,0	6.8 N.R. 25 deat	7.0 N.R.	6.9 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	10.7 50 455	10.5 49 deaths	10.3 49	10.7 50	N.A. N.A.	7.2 29 610	7.4 31 deaths	8.5 42	7.9 39	N.A.	6.1 12 78 d	6.7 22 eaths	7.5 32	6.8 26	N.A.
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	22 N.R. 11,91	22 N.R. 9 deat	21 N.R. hs	21 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	37 50 197	35 50 deaths	37 50	33 47	N.A. N.A.	27 39 255	24 33 deaths	25 38	24 30	N.A.	33 47 39 d	28 40 eaths	23 26	24 30	N.A. N.A.
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	67 N.R. 13,5	67 N.R. 95 deat	68 N.R.	66 N.R.	N.A.	103 49 194 (89 44 deaths	100 46	89 44	N.A.	90 43 302	91 46 deaths	83 38	73 29	N.A. N.A.	98 47 74 d	50 4 eaths	100 46	104 49	N.A. N.A.
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	48 N.R. 414,	45 N.R. 580 bir	43 N.R. ths	42 N.R.	N.A.	70 50 6,62	67 50 5 births	65 50	63 48	N.A. N.A.	49 32 8,67	46 30 0 births	44 31	43 31	N.A.	37 14 1,20	36 13 5 births	36 16	35 18	N.A. N.A.
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	11 N.R. 1,138	10 N.R. 3,000 t		8 N.R.	8 N.R.	15 45 16,0	15 50 00 teen	12 43 s	11 45	10 41	11 30 21,0	12 41 00 teen	10 33	8 30	7 20	7 9 5,00	7 7 0 teens	8 18	10 39	9 37
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	9 N.R. 1,29	9 N.R. 1,000 t	9 N.R. eens	9 N.R.	9 N.R.	11 35 20,0	13 48 00 teen	10 35 s	12 46	12 46	9 26 28,0	10 29 00 teer	9 30	8 16	10 34	7 13 6,00	10 29 0 teens	10 35	10 34	12 46
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	32 N.R. 24,3	31 N.R. 53,000	33 N.R. childre	33 N.R.	33 N.R.	36 44 292,	40 49 000 chi	40 49 Idren	41 50	39 48	31 23 423,	30 23 000 chi	29 11 Idren	29 15	31 16	30 19 68,0	38 46 00 chile	35 36 dren	32 25	33 25
Percent of children in poverty	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	17 N.R. 13,2	17 N.R. 45,000	18 N.R. childre	18 N.R.	18 N.R.	26 47 228,	26 49 000 chi	29 50 Idren	29 49	31 50	16 28 220,	16 29 000 chi	17 29 Idren	16 25	16 21	17 32 39,0	20 39 00 chilo	20 37 Iren	18 30	19 30
Percent of children in single-parent families	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	31 N.R. 21,3	31 N.R. 61,000	31 N.R. childrer	31 N.R.	31 N.R.	43 50 290,	42 50 000 chi	44 50 Idren	44 50	42 49	32 32 408,	30 29 000 chi	29 21 Idren	30 25	31 29	25 9 52,0	27 16 00 chile	25 8 Iren	28 16	27 12

NE					NV	•				NH					NJ					NA	٨				NY	,			
2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
6.8	6.6	7.2	6.9	N.A.	7.2	7.6	7.5	8.1	N.A.	6.3	6.5	6.3	6.2	N.A.	7.7	7.9	8.0	8.1	N.A.	8.0	7.9	8.0	8.5	N.A.	7.7	7.7	7.9	7.9	N.A.
16 1 79	14 2 births	17	15	N.A.	20	22 6 births	19	26	N.A.	11 895 I	13 births	5	4	N.A.	28 9 49	28 8 births	27	26	N.A.	35 2 34	28 6 births	27	33	N.A.	28 19 98	26 35 birth	24	22	N.A.
	L DII III3				2,71	O DII III.	•			073	WII 1113					O DII IIIs				2,34	O DII III:	•				, J WII III			
7.3	6.8	7.0	5.4	N.A.	6.5	5.7 9	6.0	5.7	N.A.	5.7 9	3.8	5.0	4.0	N.A.	6.3	6.5	5.7	5.7	N.A.	6.6	6.4	6.3	5.8	N.A.	6.4	5.8	6.0	6.0	N.A.
31 141 <i>a</i>	23 leaths	25	8	N.A.	17 192	y deaths	13	13	N.A.		1 eaths	4	1	N.A.	14 661	21 deaths	10	13	N.A.	19 160 (20 deaths	17	15	N.A.	16 1.53	10 3 death	13	17	N.A.
															_														
22 22	23 29	23 26	25 36	N.A. N.A.	23 27	22 21	19 10	19 11	N.A. N.A.	14 2	20 16	12 1	12 1	N.A. N.A.	15 3	14 1	17 6	15 6	N.A. N.A.	20 12	25 36	24 34	29 45	N.A. N.A.	17 7	18 9	17 6	16 7	N.A.
84 d		20	30	II.A.		eaths	10		II.A.	28 d				II.A.		deaths	Ů	Ů	n.A.		leaths	34	73	n.A.	-	leaths	Ů	,	II.A.
73	68	72	61	N.A.	75	61	77	87	N.A.	55	59	34	46	N.A.	48	44	47	42	N.A.	99	74	94	97	N.A.	47	52	49	48	N.A.
26	25	27	15	N.A.	29	17	35	43	N.A.	10	14	1	3	N.A.	5	2	4	2	N.A.	48	33	42	48	N.A.	3	7	6	4	N.A.
80 d	eaths				127	deaths				43 d	eaths				242	deaths				144	deaths				611 d	leaths			
38	37	37	36	N.A.	63	56	54	53	N.A.	23	21	20	18	N.A.	32	29	27	26	N.A.	66	63	62	63	N.A.	33	32	29	28	N.A.
15	16	18	21	N.A.	44	39	40	41	N.A.	1	1	1	1	N.A.	8	8	6	6	N.A.	46	47	48	48	N.A.	9	9	9	9	N.A.
2,30	4 births				3,72	3 births	s			825	births				7,14	births				4,52	4 births	;			17,62	24 birth	S		
6	7	7	7	6	16	10	12	10	11	9	5	7	7	7	8	5	4	4	5	16	9	15	10	12	9	9	8	7	8
5	7	9	15	13	46	30	43	39	45	17	2	9	15	20	12	2	2	1	7	46	23	49	39	48	17	23	18	15	32
5,00	0 teens				12,0	00 teer	15			5,00	0 teens				22,0	00 teen	S			14,00	00 teen	S			78,0	00 teen	IS		
5	8	6	7	6	16	13	11	11	11	5	3	6	6	4	7	6	7	5	7	11	11	12	10	12	9	10	8	9	9
4	14	5	11	5	50	48	41	39	42	4	1	5	6	1	13	5	10	4	12	35	38	45	34	46	26	29	22	29	27
5,00	0 teens				13,0	00 teen	15			3,00	0 teens				30,0	00 teen	S			13,0	00 teen	S			87,00)O teen	S		
25	24	23	23	24	30	29	34	30	36	24	24	24	27	29	26	27	29	27	28	38	35	38	39	37	35	34	34	33	35
6	3	.1	1	1	19	18	30	17	36	5	3	2	7	11	8	12	- 11	7	8	46	42	45	46	43	40	39	30	28	29
106,	000 chi	dren			214,	000 chi	ldren			87,00	00 child	ren			595,	000 chi	ldren			181,0	000 chi	ldren			1,590),000 c	hildren		
10	14	14	13	13	13	15	17	15	19	6	7	8	8	10	10	11	11	12	12	26	24	27	26	28	19	19	19	19	21
3	16	12	13	10	12	22	29	23	30	1	1	1	1	1	3	5	5	5	6	47	48	48	48	48	35	36	34	34	36
30,0	00 child	ren				000 chil	ldren			29,0	00 child	iren			251,	000 chi	dren			134,0	000 chi	ldren			926,	000 chi	Idren		
24	24	24	21	23	33	28	31	32	31	25	23	23	26	26	25	26	26	27	25	33	35	39	37	38	34	35	34	35	34
6 05 0	6 00 child	5	3	2	36	20 000 eki	33 Idron	33	29	9	4 00 child	3	9	9	9	11 000 de:	10 	10	8	36	45 000 dki	48 Idean	47	45	42	45	42 hildren	43	37
73,0	oo ciiila	IEII				000 chi	iuren			74,00	oo ciiilo	ii eli			J20,	000 chi	iuren			1/3,	000 chi	iuren			1,44	v,000 C	hildren		

		US	A				N	:				NE					OH	•			
Indicators		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Percent low-birthweight babies	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	7.6 N.R. 324,	7.7 N.R. 064 bir		7.9 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	8.8 45 10,6	8.9 43 31 birtl	9.0 42	9.0 41	N.A. N.A.	6.4 12 517	6.2 6 births	6.3 5	6.5 6	N.A. N.A.	7.9 31 12,4	8.0 32 77 birtl	8.3 34	8.3 31	N.A.
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	6.9 N.R. 28,0	6.8 N.R. 25 deat		6.9 N.R.	N.A.	8.6 44	8.5 42 deaths	8.2 40	8.2 40	N.A. N.A.	8.1 37 58 d	8.8 45 eaths	6.3 17	7.3 29	N.A. N.A.	7.6 33	7.7 36 9 death	7.9 37	7.7 35	N.A.
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	22 N.R. 11,9	22 N.R. 19 deat		21 N.R.	N.A.	24 30 358	22 21 deaths	23 26	22 26	N.A.	19 10 28 d	17 7 eaths	20 13	25 36	N.A.	23 27 446	19 14 deaths	19 10	20 16	N.A.
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	67 N.R. 13,5	67 N.R. 95 dea1	68 N.R.	66 N.R.	N.A.	71 24 446	79 37 deaths	75 33	80 35	N.A. N.A.	52 6 41 d	65 21 eaths	69 24	85 41	N.A. N.A.	58 11 464	58 11 deaths	59 13	57 11	N.A. N.A.
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15—19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	48 N.R. 414,	45 N.R. 580 bir		42 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	59 39 13,1	55 38 74 birtl	52 38 1s	49 37	N.A.	27 4 626	27 4 births	27 6	27 7	N.A.	46 25 15,5	43 27 59 birtl	40 25	39 24	N.A. N.A.
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	11 N.R. 1,13	10 N.R. 8,000 t		8 N.R.	8 N.R.	16 46 34,0	14 45 000 teei	10 33	11 45	9 37	3 1 1,00	6 6 0 teens	3 1	4 1	3	10 22 33,0	8 14 00 teei	7 9 15	7 15	6 13
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	9 N.R. 1,29	9 N.R. 1,000 t		9 N.R.	9 N.R.	11 35 37,0	11 38 00 teer	9 30	10 34	10 34	4 1 1,00	7 7 0 teens	3 1	6	4	7 13 44,0	8 14 00 teei	7 10	8 16	8 18
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	32 N.R. 24,3		33 N.R. childre	33 N.R.	33 N.R.	35 40 726,	33 33 ,000 chi	35 36 ildren	36 41	35 29	29 17 37,0	25 7 00 child	26 4 Iren	25 3	27 5	30 19 894,	30 23 000 chi	32 22 ildren	32 25	32 19
Percent of children in poverty	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	17 N.R. 13,2		18 N.R. childre	18 N.R.	18 N.R.	19 35 455,	20 39 .000 chi	21 41 ildren	19 34	22 41	15 24 21,0	15 22 00 child	13 11 Iren	14 16	16 21	16 28 498,	16 29 000 chi	17 29 ildren	18 30	18 27
Percent of children in single-parent families	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	31 N.R. 21,3		31 N.R. childrer	31 N.R.	31 N.R.	33 36 669,	33 39 .000 chi	33 39 ildren	33 37	34 37	23 4 31,0	23 4 00 chile	23 3 Iren	24 6	24 4	31 29 873,	32 37 000 chi	33 39 ildren	32 33	33 34

OK	K				OR	R				PA	L				RI					SC					SD)			
2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
7.5	7.8	8.0	7.8	N.A.	5.6	5.5	5.8	6.1	N.A.	7.7	7.9	8.2	8.1	N.A.	7.2	7.3	7.9	8.5	N.A.	9.7	9.6	10.0	10.1	N.A.	6.2	6.4	7.2	6.6	N.A.
25 3.951	27 births	27	21	N.A.	1 2.82	l 2 birth:	1	3	N.A.	28 11.7	28 18 birtl	32	26	N.A.	20 1.12	20 7 births	24	33	N.A.	47 5.59	47 5 births	48	48	N.A.	8 732	9 births	17	10	N.A.
·					·										-					-									
8.5 41	7.3 29	8.1 38	7.8 38	N.A. N.A.	5.6 7	5.4 5	5.8 11	5.6 10	N.A. N.A.	7.1 28	7.2 27	7.6 35	7.3 29	N.A. N.A.	6.3 14	6.8 23	7.0 25	6.7 24	N.A. N.A.	8.7 45	8.9 46	9.3 47	8.3 42	N.A. N.A.	5.5 6	7.4 31	6.5 21	6.7 24	N.A.
	deaths	30	30	N.A.	-	deaths	"	10	N.A.		0 death		27	n.a.		eaths	23	24	N.A.		deaths	4/	42	N.A.	•	eaths	21	24	N.A.
25	31	24	29	N.A.	21	18	21	22	N.A.	20	20	21	19	N.A.	17	15	14	14	N.A.	25	26	27	25	N.A.	35	33	31	36	N.A.
33	46	34	45	N.A.	19	9	19	26	N.A.	12	16	19	11	N.A.	7	3	3	3	N.A.	33	38	42	36	N.A.	49	47	47	48	N.A.
194	leaths				147	deaths				418	deaths				26 d	eaths				197	deaths				54 d	eaths			
77	84	80	80	N.A.	66	53	62	57	N.A.	60	65	67	67	N.A.	52	48	52	65	N.A.	86	87	93	82	N.A.	78	66	94	82	N.A.
33	40	37	35	N.A.	19	8	15	11	N.A.	12	21	22	22	N.A.	6	3	7	20	N.A.	41	41	41	38	N.A.	35	24	42	38	N.A.
206	deaths				140	deaths				569	deaths				46 d	eaths				238	deaths				50 de	eaths			
60	58	58	56	N.A.	43	40	37	34	N.A.	34	33	32	31	N.A.	34	36	36	31	N.A.	58	56	53	51	N.A.	38	38	38	35	N.A.
41	43	44	44	N.A.	23	22	18	16	N.A.	10	10	10	10	N.A.	10	13	16	10	N.A.	38	39	39	39	N.A.	15	17	21	18	N.A.
6,989	9 births				4,117	7 births	i			12,9	25 birtl	15			1,07	7 births				7,24	7 births				1,02	7 births	;		
14	13	11	7	6	- 11	8	6	8	6	7	8	9	8	5	10	9	7	7	9	14	9	11	7	10	8	8	8	7	4
42	44	39	15	13	30	14	5	30	13	9	14	30	30	7	22	23	9	15	37	42	23	39	15	41	12	14	18	15	3
11,00)O teen:	S			10,0	00 teen	IS			32,0	00 teer	IS			4,00	0 teens				21,0	00 teen	S			2,00	0 teens	i		
11	12	7	11	9	10	10	7	9	8	7	8	8	7	6	7	8	6	9	9	12	9	9	8	10	6	6	8	8	5
35	44	10	39	27	32	29	10	29	18	13	14	22	11	5	13	14	5	29	27	43	22	30	16	34	6	5	22	16	3
15,00)O teen	S			14,0	00 teen	IS			38,0	00 teei	ıs			4,00	0 teens				21,0	00 teen	S			2,00	0 teens			
33	30	33	33	36	36	37	34	35	35	28	29	32	31	32	34	32	35	33	37	31	33	36	36	35	21	21	24	24	25
32	23	26	28	36	44	45	30	36	29	14	18	22	20	19	35	30	36	28	43	23	33	42	41	29	1	1	2	2	2
310,0)00 chil	ldren			297,0	000 chi	ldren			892,	000 chi	ldren			90,0	00 child	Iren			353,	000 chi	ldren			47,00	00 child	Iren		
19	20	22	22	21	18	18	17	18	19	15	15	15	16	17	16	18	15	17	21	19	20	20	19	23	14	14	14	14	15
35	39	43	42	36	33	33	29	30	30	24	22	20	25	23	28	33	20	29	36	35	39	37	34	42	19	16	12	16	18
173,0	000 chil	ldren			160,	000 chi	ldren			466,	000 chi	ldren			50,0	00 child	lren			229,	000 chi	ldren			27,00	00 child	Iren		
30	31	32	29	34	32	29	28	28	29	29	29	30	30	30	32	34	33	32	39	35	37	36	38	40	23	21	24	22	27
24	33	36	19	37	32	25	17	16	20	21	25	28	25	25	32	41	39	33	47	44	47	47	48	48	4	2	. 5	4	12
268,	000 chi	Idren			228,	000 chi	ildren			803,	000 chi	Idren			91,0	00 child	ren			376,	000 chi	ldren			48,0	00 child	dren		

		US	A				TN	ı				TX					UT				
Indicators		2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Percent low-birthweight babies	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	7.6 N.R. 324,	7.7 N.R. 064 bir	7.8 N.R. ths	7.9 N.R.	N.A.	9.2 46 7,38	9.2 45 0 births	9.2 45	9.4 45	N.A.	7.4 22 29,7	7.6 22 45 birth	7.7 22	7.9 22	N.A.	6.6 14 3,26	6.4 9 0 births	6.4 9	6.5 6	N.A. N.A.
Infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	6.9 N.R. 28,0	6.8 N.R. 25 dea	7.0 N.R. ths	6.9 N.R.	N.A.	9.1 47 730	8.7 44 deaths	9.4 48	9.3 47	N.A.	5.7 9 2,48	5.9 13 4 death	6.4 19	6.6 22	N.A.	5.2 3 249	4.8 2 deaths	5.6 9	5.0 5	N.A. N.A.
Child death rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1—14)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	22 N.R. 11,91	22 N.R. 9 deat	21 N.R. hs	21 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	28 43 268	23 29 deaths	25 38	25 36	N.A. N.A.	24 30 1,15	24 33 5 death	23 26 s	24 30	N.A.	20 12 124	20 16 deaths	23 26	21 20	N.A. N.A.
Teen death rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages 15—19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	67 N.R. 13,5	67 N.R. 95 deat	68 N.R.	66 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	90 43 297	83 39 deaths	94 42	76 32	N.A. N.A.	76 30 1,19	70 28 6 death	74 30	72 27	N.A. N.A.	60 12 119	61 17 deaths	65 19	61 15	N.A. N.A.
Teen birth rate (births per 1,000 females ages 15–19)	Rate Rank 2003 raw data	48 N.R. 414,	45 N.R. 580 bir	43 N.R.	42 N.R.	N.A. N.A.	59 39 10,1	57 42 98 birtl	54 40	53 41	N.A.	69 49 51,0	66 49 91 birtl	64 49	63 48	N.A.	38 15 3,30	38 17 7 birth:	37 18	35 18	N.A. N.A.
Percent of teens who are high school dropouts (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	11 N.R. 1,138	10 N.R. 3,000 t	9 N.R. eens	8 N.R.	8 N.R.	11 30 30,0	10 30 000 teei	10 33	8 30	11 45	14 42 116,	11 37 000 tee	10 33	9 37	9	6 5 8,00	8 14 0 teens	7 9	6 10	5 7
Percent of teens not attending school and not working (ages 16–19)	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	9 N.R. 1,29	9 N.R. 1,000 t	9 N.R. eens	9 N.R.	9 N.R.	11 35 30,0	9 22 100 teei	9 30	11 39	11 42	11 35 128,	10 29 000 tee	12 45 ens	10 34	10 34	8 20 9,00	7 7 0 teens	7 10	8 16	6
Percent of children living in families where no parent has full-time, year-round employment	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	32 N.R. 24,3	31 N.R. 53,000	33 N.R. childre	33 N.R.	33 N.R.	32 29 483,	34 39 ,000 ch	34 30 ildren	33 28	35 29	32 29 2,19	32 30 4,000 c	33 26 hildren	33 28	35 29	26 8 192,	26 9 000 chi	30 15 Idren	26 4	26 4
Percent of children in poverty	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	17 N.R. 13,2	17 N.R. 45,000	18 N.R. childrei	18 N.R.	18 N.R.	20 40 286,	21 43 ,000 ch	20 37 ildren	20 40	21 36	22 43 1,41	21 43 2,000 c	22 43 hildren	23 43	23 42	10 3 97,0	9 2 00 chila	14 12 Iren	12 5	13 10
Percent of children in single-parent families	Rate Rank 2004 raw data	31 N.R. 21,3	31 N.R. 61,000	31 N.R. childrer	31 N.R.	31 N.R.	33 36 435,	33 39 ,000 chi	32 36 ildren	33 37	34 37	31 29 1,87	30 29 2,000 d	29 21 hildren	30 25	32 33	21 1 123,	17 1 000 chi	18 1 Idren	17 1	17 1

VT					VA	1				W	4				w	V				W	ı				W	Y			
2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
6.1	5.9	6.4	7.0	N.A.	7.9	7.9	7.9	8.2	N.A.	5.6	5.8	5.9	6.0	N.A.	8.3	8.5	9.0	8.6	N.A.	6.5	6.6	6.6	6.8	N.A.	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.9	N.A.
5	4	9	16	N.A.	31	28	24	29	N.A.	1	3	3	1	N.A.	38	39	42	36	N.A.	13	14	12	13	N.A.	38	37	36	39	N.A.
460	births				8,29	7 birth:	S			4,84	3 birth	5			1,80	8 births	i			4,76	4 births	5			597	births			
6.0	5.5	4.4	5.0	N.A.	6.9	7.6	7.4	7.7	N.A.	5.2	5.8	5.8	5.6	N.A.	7.6	7.2	9.1	7.3	N.A.	6.6	7.1	6.9	6.5	N.A.	6.7	5.9	6.7	5.8	N.A.
11	7	1	5	N.A.	26	35	30	35	N.A.	3	10	11	10	N.A.	33	27	45	29	N.A.	19	26	24	20	N.A.	22	13	23	15	N.A.
33 d	eaths				779	deaths				451	deaths				152	deaths				456	deaths				39 d	eaths			
13	19	15	16	N.A.	20	18	20	21	N.A.	19	18	19	19	N.A.	30	21	24	24	N.A.	20	21	20	20	N.A.	27	29	34	37	N.A.
1	14	4	7	N.A.	12	9	13	20	N.A.	10	9	10	-11	N.A.	44	19	34	30	N.A.	12	19	13	16	N.A.	39	42	48	49	N.A.
17 d	eaths				287	deaths				219	deaths				73 d	eaths				208	deaths				34 d	eaths			
66	58	60	53	N.A.	67	60	64	62	N.A.	60	56	58	54	N.A.	88	75	103	90	N.A.	66	64	62	70	N.A.	81	89	77	85	N.A.
19	11	14	6	N.A.	22	16	18	18	N.A.	12	10	10	8	N.A.	42	35	50	46	N.A.	19	20	15	24	N.A.	38	44	35	41	N.A.
24 d	eaths				315	deaths				239	deaths				106	deaths				286	deaths				34 d	eaths			
23	24	24	19	N.A.	41	40	38	36	N.A.	39	36	33	32	N.A.	47	46	46	45	N.A.	35	34	32	31	N.A.	42	39	40	41	N.A.
1	2	3	2	N.A.	20	22	21	21	N.A.	18	13	13	13	N.A.	28	30	34	35	N.A.	13	12	10	10	N.A.	22	21	25	29	N.A.
419	oirths				8,83	1 birth	s			6,73	6 births	5			2,54	4 births	i			6,23	4 births	S			798	births			
6	8	8	5	4	9	7	8	5	7	9	9	8	6	7	8	9	8	10	7	6	8	7	4	7	10	11	7	5	7
5	14	18	4	3	17	7	18	4	20	17	23	18	10	20	12	23	18	39	20	5	14	9	1	20	22	37	9	4	20
1,00	0 teens				26,0	00 teer	15			23,0	00 teer	IS			6,00	0 teens				21,0	00 teen	IS			2,00	0 teens			
7	7	7	4	6	7	8	8	6	8	8	9	8	10	9	11	11	11	11	10	6	7	7	4	7	6	8	6	6	6
13	7	10	1	5	13	14	22	6	18	20	22	22	34	27	35	38	41	39	34	6	7	10	1	12	6	14	5	6	5
2,00	0 teens				30,0	00 teer	ns			29,0	00 teer	IS			9,00	0 teens				20,0	00 teer	15			2,00	0 teens			
28	30	28	27	28	27	27	27	27	29	31	33	38	35	38	40	39	38	37	36	27	29	30	30	30	33	28	30	28	32
14	23	7	7	8	- 11	12	6	7	11	23	33	45	36	45	48	47	45	44	36	- 11	18	15	17	14	32	16	15	13	19
38,0	00 chilo	lren			518,	000 chi	ldren			565,	000 chi	ldren			140,	000 chi	ldren			392,	000 chi	ildren			37,0	00 child	ren		
13	15	10	12	12	13	12	14	12	13	16	14	15	14	17	26	23	25	25	24	12	14	14	14	14	15	13	14	12	14
12	22	2	5	6	12	9	12	5	10	28	16	20	16	23	47	46	47	47	45	8	16	12	16	14	24	-11	12	5	14
15,0	00 child	ren			227,	000 chi	ldren			252,	000 chi	ldren			93,0	00 child	lren			179,	000 chi	ldren			16,0	00 child	lren		
25	26	25	27	26	28	28	28	29	29	28	27	27	29	30	30	28	29	31	29	28	28	28	27	28	25	22	29	25	27
9	11	8	10	9	18	20	17	19	20	18	16	15	19	25	24	20	21	32	20	18	20	17	10	16	9	3	21	7	12
34,0	00 child	lren			492,	000 chi	ildren			417,0	000 chil	ldren			107,0	000 chil	dren			346,	000 chi	ildren			29,0	00 child	lren		
_															-														

The 2006 KIDS COUNT Data Book is the 17th annual profile of child well-being produced by the Annie E. Casey Foundation. However, indicators used in the Data Books have changed over time, making year-to-year comparisons of state ranks problematic. This Appendix provides Overall Ranks for 2000 through 2004 for each state using a consistent set of indicators—namely, those used to derive the rank reported in the 2006 KIDS COUNT Data Book. This Appendix is the best source of information to see whether a particular state improved in ranking over the past few years.

Note that state ranks in 2004 are based on data from 2003 for five measures and data from 2004 for the other five measures. In other words, data for the Percent Low-Birthweight Babies, Infant Mortality Rate, Child Death Rate, Teen Death Rate, and Teen Birth Rate lag one year behind the other measures.

	AL	AK	AZ	AR	CA	co	СТ	DE
2000	48	30	40	46	20	22	11	26
2001	48	38	39	46	22	26	7	37
2002	48	33	43	45	18	22	7	36
2003	48	36	41	44	17	27	-11	31
2004	43	35	37	45	18	25	3	29

	MT	NE	NV	NH	NJ	NM	NY	NC
2000	21	10	39	1	9	45	24	43
2001	32	13	31	1	5	43	25	45
2002	29	10	34	1	5	47	19	41
2003	34	12	32	1	4	46	22	40
2004	34	8	36	1	7	48	22	41

	FL	GA	н	ID	IL.	IN	IA	KS	KY	LA	ME	MD	MA	MI	MN	MS	МО
2000	35	44	14	25	29	32	6	17	37	49	5	31	8	28	2	50	34
2001	33	42	21	23	29	30	6	15	36	49	8	19	3	27	2	50	34
2002	35	44	23	25	30	31	9	20	39	49	15	27	3	24	2	50	32
2003	35	39	24	16	28	30	9	15	42	49	7	21	6	26	3	50	33
										·							
2004	33	44	21	20	24	32	5	12	42	49	- 11	23	10	27	4	50	30

	ND	ОН	ОК	OR	PA	RI	sc	SD	TN	TX	UT	VT	VA	WA	wv	WI	WY
2000	7	27	41	23	18	15	47	16	42	36	4	3	19	13	38	12	33
2001	10	28	40	20	17	18	44	11	47	35	4	9	16	12	41	14	24
2002	4	26	40	11	21	14	46	17	42	37	8	6	16	13	38	12	28
2003	5	29	38	18	25	20	45	19	43	37	8	2	13	14	47	10	23
2004	9	26	40	15	16	31	47	14	46	39	6	2	19	17	38	13	28

2-Year-Olds Who Were Immunized: 2004 is derived from the National Immunization Survey (NIS), which provides state estimates of vaccination coverage levels among children ages 19 months to 35 months. The figures given here reflect the percentage of children who have "4:3:1 Series Coverage"; that is, four or more doses of diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis (DTP) vaccine, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids (DT) vaccine, and diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis (DTaP) vaccine; three or more doses of poliovirus vaccine; and one or more doses of measles-containing vaccine. SOURCE: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

4th Grade Students Who Scored Below Basic Math Level: 2005 is the percentage of 4th grade public school students who did not reach the Basic level in mathematics, as measured by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), which is conducted by the U.S. Department of Education.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.

4th Grade Students Who Scored Below Basic Reading Level: 2005 is the percentage of 4th grade public school students who did not reach the Basic level in reading, as measured by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), which is conducted by the U.S. Department of Education.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. 8th Grade Students Who Scored Below Basic Math Level: 2005 is the percentage of 8th grade public school students who did not reach the Basic level in mathematics, as measured by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), which is conducted by the U.S. Department of Education. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education,

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.

8th Grade Students Who Scored Below Basic Reading Level: 2005 is the percentage of 8th grade public school students who did not reach the Basic level in reading, as measured by the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), which is conducted by the U.S. Department of Education.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics.

Child Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 children ages 1-14) is the number of deaths to children between ages 1 and 14, from all causes, per 100,000 children in this age range. The data are reported by place of residence, not place of death. SOURCES: Death Statistics: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Population Statistics: U.S. Census Bureau.

Children Affected by Asthma: 2003 is the percentage of children under age 18 affected by asthma during the past year, including use of asthma medication, significant health difficulties due to asthma, asthma attacks, or hospitalizations for asthma.

SOURCE: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Survey of Children's Health.

More complete definitions and more detailed listings of data sources are available on the KIDS COUNT website at www.kidscount.org.

Children in Extreme Poverty (income below 50% of poverty level): 2004 is the percentage of children under age 18 who live in families with incomes below 50 percent of the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The federal poverty definition consists of a series of thresholds based on family size and composition. In calendar year 2004, a family of two adults and two children were below 50 percent of the poverty level if their annual income fell below \$9,579. SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Children in Immigrant Families: 2003 is the percentage of children who are foreign born or who live with at least one foreign-born parent. The figures shown here represent 3-year averages of data from 2002 through 2004. We label these as 2003 estimates because 2003 is the midpoint of the 3-year period.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Children in Low-Income Families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004 is the percentage of children under age 18 who live in families with incomes below 200 percent of the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. In calendar year 2004, a family of two adults and two children were considered low income if their annual income fell below \$38,314.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

More Than 30% of Their Income on Housing:
2004 is the percentage of children under age
18 in low-income families where the family spent
more than 30 percent of their monthly income
on rent, mortgage payments, taxes, insurance,
and/or related housing expenses. Low-income

Children in Low-Income Families That Spend

on rent, mortgage payments, taxes, insurance, and/or related housing expenses. Low-income families are those with incomes below 200 percent of the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The federal poverty definition consists of a series of thresholds based on family size and composition. In calendar year 2004, a family of two adults and two children fell in this category if their annual income fell below \$38,314.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Children in Low-Income Households Where
No Adults Work: 2004 reflects the percentage
of children under age 18 who live in low-income
households where no adult worked (full- or
part-time) in the 12 months prior to the survey.
Low-income households are those whose income
is less than 200 percent of the U.S. poverty line.
The federal poverty definition consists of a series
of thresholds based on family size and composition. In calendar year 2004, a family of two adults
and two children were considered low income
if their annual income fell below \$38,314.
SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American
Community Survey.

Children Under Age 6 in Family-Based Child

Care: 2003 reflects the number and percentage of children under age 6 who attended family-based child care outside their home on a regular basis during the month prior to the survey.

SOURCE: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, National Survey of Children's Health.

Children Under Age 6 in Working-Poor Families (income below 200% of poverty level): 2004

measures the percentage of children under age 6 living in families where at least one parent worked 50 or more weeks in the 12 months prior to the survey and the family income was less than twice the federal poverty level, as determined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The federal poverty definition consists of a series of thresholds based on family size and composition. In calendar year 2004, a family of two adults and two children fell in this category if their annual income fell below \$38,314.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Children Under Age 6 With All Parents in the Labor Force: 2004 is the percentage of children under age 6 living in families where all resident parents are in the civilian labor force. For those children living with one parent, this means that the resident parent is in the civilian labor force. For those children living with two parents, this means that both resident parents are in the civilian labor force. The civilian labor force includes persons who are employed and those who are unemployed, but looking for work.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Children Under Age 6 With No Parent in the Labor Force: 2004 is the percentage of children under age 6 living in families where no parent is in the civilian labor force. For those children living with one parent, this means that the resident parent is not in the civilian labor force. For those children living with two parents, this means that neither resident parent is in the civilian labor force. The civilian labor force includes persons who are employed and those who are unemployed, but looking for work.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Children Without Health Insurance: 2003 is the percentage of children under age 18 who were not covered by health insurance at any point during the year. The figures shown here are 3-year averages of data from 2002 through 2004. We label these as 2003 estimates because 2003 is the midpoint of the 3-year period.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey.

Female-Headed Families Receiving Child Support:

2003 is the percentage of families headed by an unmarried woman (living with one or more of her own children under age 18) who reported receiving child support payments during the calendar year. The figures shown here are 3-year averages of data from 2002 through 2004. We label these as 2003 estimates because 2003 is the midpoint of the 3-year period. SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey.

Grandchildren in the Care of Grandparents:

2004 is the percentage of children under age 18 living in households where at least one grandparent provides primary care for one or more grandchildren.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Infant Mortality Rate (deaths per 1,000 live births) is the number of deaths occurring to infants under 1 year of age per 1,000 live births. The data are reported by place of residence, not place of death.

SOURCE: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Median Income of Families With Children:

2004 is the median annual income for families with related children under age 18 living in the household. "Related children" include the householder's (head of the household) children by birth, marriage, or adoption; as well as other persons under age 18 (such as nieces or nephews) who are related to the householder and living in the household. The median income is the dollar amount that divides the income distribution into two equal groups—half with income above the median, half with income below it.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Number of Children: 2000 and 2004 are

estimates of the total resident population under age 18 as of July 1, 2000 and 2004, including Armed Forces personnel stationed in the area and their dependents.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, State Characteristics Population Estimates File.

Overall Rank for each state was obtained in the following manner. First, we converted the 2004 (or 2003, depending on the indicator) state numerical values for each of the 10 key indicators into standard scores. We then summed those standard scores to create a total standard score for each of the 50 states. Finally, we ranked the states on the basis of their total standard score in sequential order from highest/best (1) to lowest/worst (50). Standard scores were derived by subtracting the mean score from the observed score and dividing the amount by the standard deviation for that distribution of scores. All measures were given the same weight in calculating the total standard score.

Percent Change Over Time Analysis was

computed by comparing the 2004 (or 2003, depending on the indicator) data for each of the 10 key indicators with the data for 2000. To calculate percent change, we subtracted the value for 2000 from the value for 2003/2004 and then divided that quantity by the value for 2000. The results are multiplied by 100 for readability. The percent change was calculated on rounded data, and the "percent change" figure has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Percent Low-Birthweight Babies is the percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams (5.5 pounds). The data are reported by place of mother's residence, not place of birth.

SOURCE: U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Percent of Children in Poverty is the share of children under age 18 who live in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The federal poverty definition consists of a series of thresholds based on family size and composition. In 2004, the poverty threshold for a family of two adults and two children was \$19,157.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Percent of Children in Single-Parent Families

is the percentage of children under age 18 who live with their own single parent, either in a family or subfamily. In this definition, single-parent families may include cohabiting couples and do not include children living with married stepparents. SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Percent of Children Living in Families Where No Parent Has Full-Time, Year-Round Employment

is the share of all children under age 18 living in families where no parent has regular, full-time employment. For children living in single-parent families, this means that the resident parent did not work at least 35 hours per week, at least 50 weeks in the 12 months prior to the survey. For children living in married-couple families, this means that neither parent worked at least 35 hours per week, at least 50 weeks in the 12 months prior to the survey. Children living with neither parent also were listed as not having secure parental employment because those children are likely to be economically vulnerable. SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Percent of Teens Not Attending School and Not Working (ages 16–19) is the percentage of teenagers between ages 16 and 19 who are not enrolled in school (full- or part-time) and not employed (full- or part-time). This measure is sometimes referred to as "Idle Teens" or

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

"Disconnected Youth."

Percent of Teens Who Are High School Dropouts

(ages 16-19) is the percentage of teenagers between ages 16 and 19 who are not enrolled in school and are not high school graduates. Those who have a GED or equivalent are included as high school graduates in this measure. The measure used here is defined as a "status dropout" rate. SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Poverty Rate for Children Under Age 6: 2004

is the percentage of children under age 6 who live in families with incomes below the U.S. poverty threshold, as defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget. The federal poverty definition consists of a series of thresholds based on family size and composition. In 2004, the poverty threshold for a family of two adults and two children was \$19,157.

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey.

Teen Birth Rate (births per 1,000 females ages

15–19) is the number of births to teenagers between ages 15 and 19 per 1,000 females in this age group. Data reflect the mother's place of residence, rather than the place of the birth. SOURCES: **Birth Statistics:** U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. **Population Statistics:** U.S. Census Bureau.

Teen Death Rate (deaths per 100,000 teens ages

15–19) is the number of deaths from all causes to teens between ages 15 and 19, per 100,000 teens in this age group. The data are reported by place of residence, not the place where the death occurred. SOURCES: **Death Statistics:** U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. **Population Statistics:** U.S. Census Bureau.

Criteria for Selecting KIDS COUNT Indicators

Over the past several years, we have developed a set of criteria to select the statistical indicators published in the national *KIDS COUNT Data Book* for the purposes of measuring change over time and ranking the states. The criteria are designed to meet our twin goals of using only the highest quality data and communicating clearly and concisely. The criteria are described below.

- 1. The statistical indicator must be from a reliable source. All of the indicator data used in this book come from U.S. government agencies. Most of the data have already been published or released to the public in some other form before we use them. We work with a small circle of data experts to examine and re-examine the quality of the data used in the KIDS COUNT Data Book each year.
- 2. The statistical indicator must be available and consistent over time. Changes in methodologies, practice, or policies may affect year-to-year comparability. Program and administrative data are particularly vulnerable to changes in policies and/or program administration, resulting in data that are not comparable across states or over time.
- 3. The statistical indicator must be available and consistent for all states. In practice, this means data collected by the federal government or some other national organization. Much of the data collected by states may be accurate and reliable and may be useful for assessing changes over time in a single state, but unless all of the states follow the same data collection and reporting procedures, the data are likely to be inconsistent across states. Without data for every state, we would not be able to construct an overall composite index of child well-being.

- **4.** The statistical indicator should reflect a salient outcome or measure of well-being. We focus on outcome measures rather than programmatic or service data (such as dollars spent on education, or welfare costs), which are not always related to the actual well-being of children. This focus reflects our ultimate aim of improving child well-being, regardless of the policies or programs used to achieve this goal.
- 5. The statistical indicator must be easily understandable to the public. We are trying to reach an educated lay public, not academic scholars or researchers. Measures that are too complex or esoteric cannot be communicated effectively.
- **6.** The statistical indicators we use must have a relatively unambiguous interpretation. If the value of an indicator changes over time, we want to be sure there is widespread agreement that this is a good thing (or a bad thing) for kids.
- 7. There should be a high probability that the measure will continue to be produced in the near future. We want to establish a series of indicators that can be produced year after year to track trends in the well-being of children in each state. Therefore, we are reluctant to use data from a one-time survey, even though it may provide good information about kids.

Over the past few years, we have produced several KIDS COUNT Working Papers focused on the KIDS COUNT data and methodology. These are available on the KIDS COUNT website at www.kidscount.org. For additional information on characteristics of good indicators of child well-being, see Indicators of Children's Well-Being, by Robert M. Hauser, Brett V. Brown, and William R. Posser (Eds.), Russell Sage Foundation, New York, NY, 1997.

The KIDS COUNT State Network

The Annie E. Casey Foundation provides funding and technical assistance for a national network of KIDS COUNT projects in every state, the District of Columbia, the Virgin Islands, and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. These projects, listed on the following pages, measure and report on the status of children at the state and local levels. They use the data to inform public debates and encourage public action to improve the lives of children.

The state KIDS COUNT projects publish a range of data-driven materials—state data books, special reports, issue briefs, and fact sheets—that help policymakers and citizens identify the needs of children and families and develop appropriate responses to address these needs. Much of the local-level data collected by the state KIDS COUNT grantees is available at www.kidscount.org/cliks.

For more information about the network of state KIDS COUNT grantees, including mailing addresses, please visit www.kidscount.org/contacts.

Alabama	Linda Tilly
VOICES for Alabama's Children	Executive Director
	(334) 213-2410 ext. 106
	ltilly@alavoices.org
	www.alavoices.org
Alaska	Virgene Hanna
KIDS COUNT Alaska	Project Director
	(907) 786-5431
	anvh@uaa.alaska.edu
	www.kidscount.alaska.edu
Arizona	Dana Naimark
Children's Action Alliance	Director of Special Projects
	(602) 266-0707
	dnaimark@azchildren.org
	www.azchildren.org
Arkansas	Richard Huddleston
Arkansas Advocates	Executive Director
for Children & Families	(501) 371-9678 ext. 114
	rhuddleston@aradvocates.org
	www.aradvocates.org
California	Sandra Naughton
Children Now	Director, Education
	(510) 763-2444
	snaughton@childrennow.org
	www.childrennow.org
Colorado	Bruce Atchison
Colorado Children's Campaign	Executive Vice President
	(303) 839-1580
	bruce@coloradokids.org
	www.coloradokids.org

Connecticut	Judith Carroll	Idaho	Linda Jensen
Connecticut Association	Director, KIDS COUNT Project	Mountain States Group	KIDS COUNT Director
for Human Services	(860) 951-2212 ext. 240		(208) 336-5533 ext. 246
	jcarroll@cahs.org		ljensen@mtnstatesgroup.org
	www.cahs.org		www.idahokidscount.org
Delaware	Terry Schooley	Illinois	Julie Parente
University of Delaware	Director, KIDS COUNT in Delaware	Voices for Illinois Children	Director of Communications
	(302) 831-4966		(312) 516-5551
	terrys@udel.edu		jparente@voices4kids.org
	www.dekidscount.org		www.voices4kids.org
District of Columbia	Kinaya Sokoya		Barbara Lucas
DC Children's Trust Fund	Executive Director	Indiana Youth Institute	Director of Programs
	(202) 667-4940		(317) 396-2714
	ksokoya@dcctf.org		blucas@iyi.org
	www.dckidscount.org		www.iyi.org
Florida	Susan Weitzel	lowa	Michael Crawford
Center for the Study	Director	Child & Family Policy Center	Senior Associate
of Children's Futures	(813) 974-7411		(515) 280-9027
	weitzel@fmhi.usf.edu		mcrawford@cfpciowa.org
	www.floridakidscount.org		www.cfpciowa.org
Georgia	Taifa Butler	Kansas	Gary Brunk
Family Connection Partnership, Inc.	Director, Public Affairs and Policy	Kansas Action for Children	Executive Director
	(404) 527-7394 ext. 136		(785) 232-0550
	taifa@gafcp.org		brunk@kac.org
	www.gafcp.org		www.kac.org
Hawaii	Marika Ripke	Kentucky	Tara Grieshop-Goodwin
Center on the Family	KIDS COUNT Director	Kentucky Youth Advocates, Inc.	KIDS COUNT Coordinator
•	(808) 956-6394		(502) 895-8167
	marika@hawaii.edu		tgrieshop@kyyouth.org
	www.uhfamily.hawaii.edu		www.kyyouth.org

Louisiana	Teresa Falgoust	Mississippi	Jane Boykin
Agenda for Children	KIDS COUNT Coordinator	Mississippi Forum on	President and Project Director
	(504) 586-8509 ext. 17	Children & Families, Inc.	(601) 355-4911
	TFalgoust@agendaforchildren.org		jane.boykin@mfcf.org
	www.agendaforchildren.org		www.mfcf.org
Maine	Elinor Goldberg	Missouri	Beth Griffin
Maine Children's Alliance	President/CEO	Citizens for Missouri's Children	Executive Director
	(207) 623-1868 ext. 203		(314) 647-2003
	egoldberg@mekids.org		mbgrif@mokids.org
	www.mekids.org		www.mokids.org
Maryland	Jennean Everett-Reynolds	Montana	Daphne Herling
Advocates for Children & Youth, Inc.	Director of Research	Bureau of Business &	Director of Community Research
	(410) 547-9200 ext. 3014	Economic Research	(406) 243-5614
	jereynolds@acy.org		daphne.herling@business.umt.edu
	www.acy.org		www.bber.umt.edu
Massachusetts	Jetta Bernier	Nebraska	Anne Marie Bailey
Massachusetts Citizens for Children	Executive Director	Voices for Children in Nebraska	Research Coordinator
	(617) 742-8555 ext. 2		(402) 597-3100
	jetta@masskids.org		abailey@voicesforchildren.com
	www.masskids.org		www.voicesforchildren.com
Michigan	Jane Zehnder-Merrell	Nevada	R. Keith Schwer
Michigan League for Human Services	KIDS COUNT Project Director	Center for Business	Director
	(517) 487-5436	and Economic Research	(702) 895-3191
	janez@michleagueforhumansvs.org		schwer@unlv.nevada.edu
	www.milhs.org		http://kidscount.unlv.edu
Minnesota	Andi Egbert	New Hampshire	Melissa Gallagher
Children's Defense Fund—Minnesota	Research and KIDS COUNT Director	Children's Alliance	Research Associate
	(651) 855-1184	of New Hampshire	(603) 225-2264
	egbert@cdf-mn.org	^	mgallagher@childrennh.org
	www.cdf-mn.org		www.childrennh.org

New Jersey	Nancy Parello	Oklahoma	Anne Roberts
Association for Children	NJ KIDS COUNT Coordinator	Oklahoma Institute	Executive Director
of New Jersey	(973) 643-3876	for Child Advocacy	(405) 236-5437 ext. 110
	nparello@acnj.org		aroberts@oica.org
	www.acnj.org		www.oica.org
New Mexico	Sara Beth Koplik	Oregon	Tina Kotek
New Mexico Voices for Children	KIDS COUNT Coordinator	Children First for Oregon	Policy Director
	(505) 244-9505 ext. 34		(503) 236-9754 ext. 103
	skoplik@nmvoices.org		tina@cffo.org
	www.nmvoices.org		www.childrenfirstfororegon.org
New York	Toni Lang	Pennsylvania	Joan Benso
New York State Council	NYS KIDS COUNT Project Director	Pennsylvania Partnerships	President and CEO
on Children & Families	(518) 486-9153	for Children	(717) 236-5680 ext. 205
	toni.lang@ccf.state.ny.us		president@papartnerships.org
	www.ccf.state.ny.us		www.papartnerships.org
North Carolina	Elizabeth Hudgins	Puerto Rico	Nayda Rivera-Hernandez
Action for Children North Carolina	Senior Director of Policy and Research	National Council of La Raza	Research Analyst
	(919) 834-6623 ext. 233		(787) 641-0546
	elizabeth@ncchild.org		nrivera@nclr.org
	www.ncchild.org		www.nclr.org
North Dakota	Richard Rathge	Rhode Island	Elizabeth Burke Bryant
North Dakota State University	Executive Director, ND KIDS COUNT	Rhode Island KIDS COUNT	Executive Director
	(701) 231-8621		(401) 351-9400 ext. 12
	richard.rathge@ndsu.edu		ebb@rikidscount.org
	www.ndkidscount.org		www.rikidscount.org
Ohio	Barbara Turpin	South Carolina	A. Baron Holmes
Children's Defense Fund Ohio	KIDS COUNT Coordinator	South Carolina Budget	KIDS COUNT Project Director
	(614) 221-2244	& Control Board	(803) 734-2291
	bturpin@cdfohio.org		bholmes@drss.state.sc.us
	www.cdfohio.org		www.sckidscount.org

South Dakota	Carole Cochran	Virginia	Cindy Hetzel
Business Research Bureau	Project Director, South Dakota KIDS COUNT	Voices for Virginia's Children	Director of Data & Research
	(605) 677-5287		(804) 649-0184 ext. 23
	kidscount@usd.edu		cindy@vakids.org
	www.sdkidscount.org		www.vakids.org
Tennessee	Pam Brown	Washington	Lori Pfingst
Tennessee Commission	Director, KIDS COUNT Project	Human Services Policy Center	Assistant Director
on Children & Youth	(615) 532-1571		(206) 616-1506
	pam.k.brown@state.tn.us		pfingst@u.washington.edu
	www.tennessee.gov/tccy		www.hspc.org
Texas	Frances Deviney	West Virginia	Margie Hale
Center for Public Policy Priorities	Texas KIDS COUNT Director	West Virginia KIDS COUNT Fund	Executive Director
	(512) 320-0222 ext. 106		(304) 345-2101
	deviney@cppp.org		margiehale@wvkidscountfund.org
	www.cppp.org/kidscount.php		www.wvkidscountfund.org
U.S. Virgin Islands	Dee Baecher-Brown	Wisconsin	M. Martha Cranley
Community Foundation	President	Wisconsin Council	KIDS COUNT Coordinator
of the Virgin Islands	(340) 774-6031	on Children & Families	(608) 284-0580 ext. 321
	dbrown@cfvi.net		mcranley@wccf.org
	www.cfvi.net		www.wccf.org
Utah	Terry Haven	Wyoming	Marc Homer
Voices for Utah Children	KIDS COUNT Director	Wyoming Children's Action Alliance	KIDS COUNT Coordinator
	(801) 364-1182		(307) 635-2272
	terryh@utahchildren.org		mhomer@wykids.org
	www.utahchildren.org		www.wykids.org
Vermont	Beth Burgess		
Vermont Children's Forum	Research Coordinator		
	(802) 229-6377		
	bburgess@childrensforum.org		
	www.childrensforum.org		

About the Annie E. Casey Foundation and KIDS COUNT

The Annie E. Casey Foundation 701 St. Paul Street Baltimore, MD 21202 410.547.6600 410.547.6624 fax www.aecf.org www.kidscount.org The Annie E. Casey Foundation is a private charitable organization dedicated to helping build better futures for disadvantaged children in the United States. It was established in 1948 by Jim Casey, one of the founders of UPS, and his siblings, who named the Foundation in honor of their mother. The primary mission of the Foundation is to foster public policies, human-service reforms, and community supports that more effectively meet the needs of today's vulnerable children and families. In pursuit of this goal, the Foundation makes grants that help states, cities, and communities fashion more innovative, cost-effective responses to these needs.

KIDS COUNT, a project of the Annie E. Casey Foundation, is a national and state-by-state effort to track the status of children in the United States. By providing policymakers and citizens with benchmarks of child well-being, KIDS COUNT seeks to enrich local, state, and national discussions concerning ways to secure better futures for all children. At the national level, the principal activity of the initiative is the publication of the annual KIDS COUNT Data Book, which uses the best available data to measure the educational, social, economic, and physical well-being of children. The Foundation also funds a nationwide network of state-level KIDS COUNT projects that provide a more detailed, community-by-community picture of the condition of children.











A Project of The Annie E. Casey Foundation



The Annie E. Casey Foundation
701 St. Paul Street
Baltimore, MD 21202
410.547.6600
410.547.6624 fax
www.aecf.org
www.kidscount.org