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'Understanding and acknowledging the incredible economic impact of the nonprofit arts, we must always remember the fundamental value of the arts. They foster beauty, creativity, originality, and vitality. The arts inspire us, sooth us, provoke us, involve us, and connect us. . . but they also create jobs and contribute to the economy."
—Robert L. Lynch, President and CEO, Americans for the Arts


## The Arts Mean Business

Robert L. Lynch, President and CEO, Americans for the Arts

The Arts \& Economic Prosperity study documents in unprecedented scope and detail the key role played by the nonprofit arts industry in strengthening our nation's economy. It dramatically alters the perception that the arts are luxuries-worth supporting in prosperous times but hard to justify when the economy is struggling. At a time when governments at all levels are making tough budget choices, this study sends an important message-that support for the arts does not come at the expense of economic development.

In 1994, Americans for the Arts published its first economic impact study. Those results became the most frequently used statistics in Congress and other arenas to demonstrate the value of the arts to our communities, our states, and our nation. In 2000, we set out to update those numbers with a new and larger study. Not only did we want to measure the impact of spending by nonprofit arts organizations, but also to quantify the economic impact of event-related spending by their audiences. By all measures, the results are impressive! The nonprofit arts industry generates $\$ 134$ billion in total economic activity by arts organizations and their audiences. That's more than the gross domestic product of most nations in the world. This spending supports 4.9 million full-time equivalent jobs-a greater percentage of the U.S. workforce than is employed as accountants, lawyers, physicians, or computer programmers.

Our industry also generates $\$ 24.4$ billion in federal, state, and local government revenues annually. By comparison, federal, state, and local governments collectively spend less than $\$ 3$ billion on support for the arts each year-a financial return of more than 8-to-1.

When governments reduce their support for the arts, they need to understand that they are not cutting frills. They are undercutting a nonprofit industry that is a cornerstone of tourism and downtown revitalization. When governments increase their support for the arts, they are generating tax revenues, jobs, and the creative energies that underlie much of what makes America so extraordinary.

This message is equally important for the private sector to hear. The nonprofit arts, unlike most industries, leverage significant event-related spending by their audiences, with non-local audiences spending 75 percent more than their local counterparts. The arts attract visitors downtown and extend the business day: restaurants add dinner service, garages stay open until midnight, and stores draw more customers.

When we hear talk about reducing support for the arts, we should ask: Who will make up for the lost economic activity? Who will attract tourists to our community? Who will vitalize our downtowns seven nights per week? Who will provide the 8 -to- 1 return on investment that the arts provide to federal, state, and local treasuries? Who will replace the jobs that the arts support? The expression, "the arts mean business," is not just a slogan; it's an economic reality that can no longer be dismissed.
"Our involvement in funding the arts has shown what an important role the arts play in the quality of the community.
We also have seen how the arts are central to the economic growth and vitality of communities around the world.
This study adds to the prior research and we hope it will be a tool that can continue to build the case that investing in the arts is good policy and good business."
-Mary Beth Salerno, President, American Express Foundation


## National Economic Impact of the Nonprofit Arts Industry


#### Abstract

Arts \& Economic Prosperity is an economic impact study of the nation's nonprofit arts organizations and their audiences. It provides compelling new evidence that the nonprofit arts are a significant industry in the United States-one that generates $\$ 134$ billion in total economic activity. This spending- $\$ 53.2$ billion by nonprofit arts organizations and an additional $\$ 80.8$ billion in event-related spending by their audiences-supports 4.9 million jobs and delivers more than $\$ 24$ billion in total government revenue. This economic impact study sends a strong signal to communities that when they support the arts, they not only enhance the quality of community life but also invest in their economic well-being.


| Total Impact of the Nonprofit Arts Industry <br> Includes organization and audience expenditures |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 134.0$ billion |
| Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | 4.85 million |
| Resident Household Income | $\$ 89.4$ billion |
| Local Government Revenue | $\$ 6.6$ billion |
| State Government Revenue | $\$ 7.3$ billion |
| Federal Income Tax Revenue | $\$ 10.5$ billion |

Arts \& Economic Prosperity is the most comprehensive study of its kind ever conducted. Ninety-one communities across 34 states participated. Detailed expenditure data were collected from 3,000 arts organizations and 40,000 arts attendees. Project economists customized input/output models for each of the 91 communities to provide specific and reliable data about each community's impact. The study focused solely on the economic impact of

nonprofit arts organizations and their audiences, and excluded spending by individual artists, the for-profit arts and entertainment sector (e.g., Broadway or the motion picture industry), and arts produced by non-arts organizations (schools or community centers).
"As chairman of the Oklahoma Chamber of Commerce, I have visited almost every city and town in the state. There is a visible difference in places with an active cultural community. I see people looking for places to park, stores staying open late, and restaurants packed with customers. The business day is extended and the cash registers are ringing."
-Ken Fergeson, Chairman and CEO,
NBanC, and President-Elect,
American Bankers Association


This study has striking national implications. Because of the variety of communities surveyed and the rigor with which the study was conducted, national estimates of the impact of the nonprofit arts industry can be extrapolated.

## Defining Economic Impact

In this study, economic impact is defined as the employment, resident household income, and government revenue generated by the dollars spent in the community by nonprofit arts organizations and their audiences.

Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Jobs describes the total amount of labor employed. Economists measure FTE jobs, not the total number of employees, because it is a more accurate measure that accounts for part-time employment.

Resident Household Income (often called Personal Income) includes salaries, wages, and entrepreneurial income paid to local residents. It is the money residents earn and use to pay for food, mortgages, and other living expenses.

Revenue to Local and State Government includes all funds to city and county government, schools, and special districts. It's not exclusively taxes (e.g., income, property, or sales); it also includes license fees, utility fees, filing fees, etc.

## Economic Impact of Nonprofit Arts Organizations

Arts organizations are employers, producers, consumers, members of the chamber of commerce, and key participants in the marketing
and promotion of their cities and regions. Spending by nonprofit arts organizations-a fraction of the total arts and entertainment industry-was an estimated $\$ 53.2$ billion in fiscal 2000. The impact of this spending is far reaching: arts organizations pay their employees, purchase supplies, contract for services, and acquire assets within the local community. These actions, in turn, support local jobs, create household income, and generate revenue to the local, state, and federal governments.

The study's responding organizations ranged from opera companies, public radio stations, and historical museums to weaving societies and choral groups. Also included are public arts councils, public presenting facilities or museums, and embedded organizations that have their own budget and play a significant role in the cultural life of the community. Each organization provided detailed information about more than 40 expenditure categories for fiscal 2000 (e.g., labor, local and non-local artists, operations, materials, facilities, and asset acquisition) as well as their total attendance figures. Responding organizations had annual budgets ranging from $\$ 0$ to $\$ 76.6$ million.

## The Nonprofit ArtsA Growth Industry

Total spending by the nation's nonprofit arts organizations (not including audience spending) grew 45 percent between 1992 and 2000-from $\$ 36.8$ billion to $\$ 53.2$ billion. ${ }^{2}$ When adjusted for inflation, this growth still represents a healthy 25 percent increase. The 45 percent rate of growth is relatively consistent with other arts and economic indicators.

| Impact of Nonprofit Arts | Organizations |
| ---: | ---: |
| Total Expenditures | $\$ 53.2$ billion |
| Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | 2.09 million |
| Resident Household Income | $\$ 47.4$ billion |
| Local Government Revenue | $\$ 2.4$ billion |
| State Government Revenue | $\$ 3.0$ billion |
| Federal Income Tax Revenue | $\$ 5.6$ billion |

Average Fiscal 2000 Expenditures for Nonprofit Arts Organizations


- The Gross Domestic Product ${ }^{3}$ (GDP) grew 55 percent between 1992 and 2000.
- Corporate support to the arts increased from $\$ 875$ million to $\$ 1.6$ billion between 1994 and 2000-an 83 percent increase. ${ }^{4}$
- State arts agency budgets increased from $\$ 213.4$ million to $\$ 399.9$ million from 1992 to 2000-an 87 percent increase. ${ }^{5}$
- Foundation giving to the arts doubled from $\$ 1.8$ billion in 1996 to $\$ 3.7$ billion in $2000 .{ }^{6}$
- Private sector giving to the arts increased from $\$ 9.32$ billion in 1992 to $\$ 11.5$ billion in 2000-a 23.4 percent increase. ${ }^{7}$
- Local government support increased from an estimated $\$ 600$ million to $\$ 775$ million between 1992 and 2000-a 30 percent increase. ${ }^{8}$
"Nonprofit arts organizations in the United States support millions of jobs and generate billions in government revenue.
We now know this is only part of the story. Arts audiences pump an additional $\$ 80$ billion in event-related spending to local businesses each year." -Mayor Bart Peterson, Indianapolis, and Chair, Arts, Parks, Entertainment \& Sports Committee, United States Conference of Mayors
"Whereas, findings from the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study reveal that America's nonprofit arts industry generates $\$ 134$ billion in economic activity every year- $\$ 53.2$ billion in spending by arts organizations and an additional $\$ 80.8$ billion in event-related spending by arts audiences. This economic activity results in a significant impact on the nation's economy.

Now, therefore be it resolved that the United States Conference of Mayors endorses the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study and urges mayors across the country to invest in nonprofit arts organizations through their local arts agencies as a catalyst to generate economic impact, stimulate business development, spur urban renewal, attract tourists and area residents to community activities, and to improve the overall quality of life in America's cities."
-U.S. Conference of Mayors Official Policy Resolution (abridged), adopted unanimously June 17, 2002, at the 70th annual meeting of the U.S. Conference of Mayors

## Economic Impact of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

The nonprofit arts, unlike most industries, leverage significant amounts of event-related spending by their audiences. Attendance at arts events generates related commerce for local businesses such as hotels, restaurants, and retail stores. For example, when patrons attend a performing arts event, they may park their car in a toll garage, purchase dinner at a restaurant, eat dessert after the show, and return home and pay the babysitter. This spending generated an estimated $\$ 80.8$ billion of valuable revenue for local merchants and their communities in 2000-an average of $\$ 22.87$ per person, per event, not including the price of admission.


Nonprofit Arts Attendees Spend an Average of \$22.87 Above the Cost of Admission


## Out-of-Towners Spend More

In addition to spending data, survey respondents were asked to provide their home zip codes, enabling researchers to determine which attendees were local (i.e., reside within the county in which the event occurred) and which were non-local (reside outside the county). Local attendees spent an average of $\$ 21.75$ per event, while non-local attendees spent $\$ 38.05$ per event ( 74.9 percent more). As would be expected from a traveler, significantly higher spending can be found in the categories of lodging, meals, retail, and transportation. These data demonstrate that when a community attracts cultural tourists, it stands to harness significant economic rewards. The two charts immediately following on page 7 provide further information on resident versus non-resident spending.
"What's good for the arts is good for the economy.
The mayors of cities with
strong economies tell us that
the arts have helped their communities thrive. Federal support for our nation's cultural organizations is sound public policy"
—Representative Louise M. Slaughter (D-NY), and Co-chair, Congressional Arts Caucus


## Cultural Tourism

As communities compete for the tourist's dollar, the arts have proven to be a magnet for travelers and their money. Local businesses are able to grow because travelers extend the length of their trips to attend cultural events. Research by the Travel Industry Association of America and Partners in Tourism indicate that 65 percent of all adult travelers included a cultural event while on a trip of 50 miles or more away from home in 2000, 32 percent of which extended the duration of their trip because of that event. ${ }^{9}$ Of the group that extended their trip because of arts and culture, 57 percent extended their trip one or more nights.
Travelers who include cultural events on their trips differ from other U.S. travelers in a number of ways. Compared to all U.S. travelers in 200 I , cultural travelers:

- Spend more: $\$ 63$ I vs. $\$ 457$
- Are older: 48 vs. 46
- More likely to be retired: $20 \%$ vs. $16 \%$
- More likely to have a graduate degree: 23\% vs. 20\%
- Use hotel, motel, or B \& B: $62 \%$ vs. $56 \%$
- More likely to spend $\$ 1,000+$ : $18 \%$ vs. $12 \%$
- Travel longer: 5.2 nights vs. 4.1 nights
- Travel by air: $22 \%$ vs. $18 \%$
- More likely to shop: $44 \%$ vs. $33 \%$

| Nonprofit Arts Attendees Spend an Average of $\$ 22.87$ Per Person (Not Including the Cost of Admission) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category of Expense | Resident* Attendees | Non-Resident* Attendees | All Arts Attendees |
| Meals and Refreshments | \$9.99 | \$15.12 | \$10.33 |
| Souvenirs and Gifts | \$3.49 | \$4.01 | \$3.51 |
| Transportation | \$2.39 | \$5.74 | \$2.63 |
| Overnight Lodging one night only | \$2.13 | \$7.80 | \$2.55 |
| Other | \$3.75 | \$5.38 | \$3.85 |
| Total Per Person Spending | \$21.75 | \$38.05 | \$22.87 |
| * Residents are attendees who live within the county in which the event took place; non-residents live outside the county. |  |  |  |



## Arts Volunteerism and In-Kind Contributions: An Economic Impact Beyond Dollars

Arts \& Economic Prosperity reveals a significant contribution to nonprofit arts organizations as a result of volunteerism. In the 91 communities, an average of 4,689 arts volunteers donated 142,083 hours to nonprofit arts organizations. This represents a donation of time valued at $\$ 2.2$ million (Independent Sector values the average 2000 volunteer hour at $\$ 15.40$ ). ${ }^{10}$ While these arts volunteers may not have an economic impact as defined in this study, they clearly have an enormous impact on their communities by assisting in keeping arts organizations functioning as a viable industry.

The nonprofit arts organizations surveyed for this study were also asked about the sources and value of their in-kind support (i.e., donated assets, office space, airfare, or advertising space). Seventy-three percent of the responding organizations indicated having received in-kind support during fiscal 2000. Of those with in-kind revenue, the per organization average was $\$ 77,915$. The 91 communities averaged \$1,584,944 each.

73\% of Nonprofit Arts Organizations Report In Kind Contributions (Fiscal 2000)
Average Per Organization: $\$ 77.915$



## Industry Comparisons

Nonprofit arts organizations provide rewarding employment for more than just artists, curators, and musicians. They also directly support builders, plumbers, accountants, educators, printers, and an array of occupations spanning many industries.

In 2000, nonprofit arts organizations alone supported 2.1 million full-time equivalent jobs. Of this total, 1.14 million jobs were a result of the direct expenditures by nonprofit arts organiza-tions-representing nearly one percent of the U.S. workforce ( 0.78 percent). This number gains significance when compared to the size of other U.S. workforces.

Percentage of U.S. Workforce
(2000)

> "Cultural activities attract tourists and spur the creation of ancillary facilities such as restaurants, hotels, and the services needed to support them. Cultural facilities and events enhance property values, tax resources, and overall profitability for communities. In doing so, the arts become a direct contributor to urban and rural revitalization."
> -National Governors Association
> "The Role of the Arts in Economic Development." 200 I

## Artists in the Workplace

According to data from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, more than two million Americans were employed as artists in 2000 (I. 4 percent of the U.S. workforce). Unlike the Arts \& Economic Prosperity data, these employment statistics also include the for-profit, entertainment, and unincorporated arts sectors.


We invite you to visit the economic impact section of our website at umw.AmericansForTheArts.org/Economidmpact to view these materials and to learn more about this exciting project. Call 800.32 I .45 I 0 to purchase materials.
This report presents the results of Arts \& Economic Prosperity, the most comprehensive economic impact study of the nonprofit arts industry ever conducted. The project findings are available for download on our website along with many other materials that you can use to help make the case for increased public and private sector arts funding in your community.

These materials include:

- The Arts \& Economic Prosperity Calculator, a handy tool that allows organizations (and entire communities) that did not participate in our national study to estimate the economic impact of their expenditures
- A downloadable PowerPoint presentation that effectively communicates the economic benefits of the arts to both public and private sector leaders
- The Arts \& Economic Prosperity pamphlet with study highlights
- The Arts \& Economic Prosperity summary report
- This final report, complete with national and local findings, background, scope, and methodology
- Summary of the findings for the 91 Community Partners
- Detailed data tables and survey instruments
- A list of the 91 Community Partners grouped by population category
- A press release announcing the study results
- Details from the Congressional Arts Briefing on June I2, 2002
- Video streaming of our June I0, 2002 study release event in Nashville


## Conclusion

The nonprofit arts are a $\$ 134$ billion industry in the United States-one that supports nearly five million full-time jobs and generates $\$ 89.4$ billion in household income and $\$ 24.4$ billion in government revenue. Nonprofit arts organizations, which spend more than $\$ 53.2$ billion in their communities annually, leverage a remarkable $\$ 80.8$ billion in additional spending by arts audiences—spending that pumps vital revenue into restaurants, hotels, retail stores, parking garages, and other local businesses. By demonstrating that investing in the arts yields significant economic benefits, Arts \& Economic Prosperity lays to rest a common misconception: that communities support the arts at the expense of local economic development. This report shows conclusively that locally as well as nationally, the arts mean business!

## How a Dollar is Re-Spent in a Community

A theater company purchases a gallon of paint from the local hardware store for \$10 (this generates the "direct economic impact'"). The hardware store then uses a portion of the $\$ 10$ to pay the sales clerk's salary; the sales clerk re-spends some of the money for groceries; the grocery store in turn uses some of the money to pay its cashier; the cashier then spends some for the utility bill; and so on (these are the "indirect economic impacts").


# Background and Scope of Study 

About This Study

The objective of the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study is to document the experience of a cross-section of American communities to quantify what they gain economically from investing in the arts.

## 91 Participating Communities/ Local Research Partners

Americans for the Arts published a Call for Participants in June 2000, seeking communities interested in participating in the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study. The original goal of the study was for 35-40 communities to participate. However, the scope of the study was broadened when more than 140 expressed interest. Ultimately, 105 agreed to participate and complete four participation criteria: (1) identify their local universe of nonprofit arts organizations and code them using the Urban Institute's National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities coding system; (2) disseminate, collect, and review expenditure surveys from each of those organizations; (3) conduct audienceintercept surveys with arts attendees at a minimum of 15 diverse arts events; and (4) pay a modest participation fee (no community was refused participation for an inability to pay). Of the 105 communities who originally agreed to participate, nine dropped out due to the data collection burden, and five fell behind the timeline and will complete their studies in 2003. This report summarizes the findings from the remaining 91 communities.

These communities are diverse in population (4,000 to 3 million), geography (Anchorage to

Miami), and type (rural to large urban). For purposes of analysis and national extrapolations, the communities were stratified into six groups based upon their population.

| Group | Population of Community | Communities Studied |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Less than 50,000 | 15 |
| \\| | 50,000 to 99,999 | 19 |
| III | 100,000 to 249,999 | 23 |
| IV | 250,000 to 499,999 | 13 |
| V | 500,000 to 999,999 | 14 |
| VI | I,000,000 or More | 7 |

In most communities the local arts agency served as local research partner. Local arts agencies are public and private organizations working to increase community access to and participation in the arts.

## Surveys of Arts Organizations

In each of the 91 communities, an effort was made to identify the universe of nonprofit arts organizations using the Urban Institute's National Taxonomy of Exempt Entity codes as a guideline. ${ }^{12}$ Eligible nonprofit arts organizationsthose whose primary purpose is to promote appreciation for and understanding of the visual, performing, folk, and media arts-received a survey designed to collect detailed information about their fiscal 2000 expenditures (labor, local and non-local artists, operations, materials, facilities, and asset acquisition). Public arts councils, public presenting facilities or institutions, and embedded organizations that have their own budget also were included where they play a substantial role in the cultural life of the community. The responding organizations ranged from opera companies, public radio stations, and historical museums to weaving societies, choral groups, and arts service
organizations, with annual budgets ranging from $\$ 0$ to $\$ 76.6$ million. Response rates for the 91 communities averaged 47.4 percent and ranged from below 20 percent to a full 100 percent.

Each community's results are based solely on the actual survey data, not on fiscal projections. The sub-100 percent response rates in 87 of the 91 communities strongly indicate an understatement of the economic impact findings in most of the communities documented in this report.

The following categories of nonprofit arts, culture, and humanities organizations were included in this study:

## NTEE Codes of Nonprofit Arts Organizations

A02 Management and Technical Assistance Organizations
A03 Professional Societies and Associations
A05 Research Institutes and Policy Analysis Organizations
All Single Support Organizations
Al2 Fund Raising and Fund Distributing Organizations
A23 Cultural and Ethnic Awareness Organizations
A24 Folk Arts and Traditional Arts Organizations
A25 Arts Education Organizations
A26 Arts Councils and City Presenting Facilities
A31 Film and Video Organizations
A32 Public Access Television Studios
A45 Architectural Organizations
A46 Drawing Organizations
A47 Ceramic Arts Organizations
A48 Art Conservation Organizations
A51 Art Museums
A52 Children's Museums
A53 Folk Arts and Ethnic Museums
A54 History Museums
A55 Marine and Maritime Museums
A56 Natural History and Natural Science Museums
A57 Science and Technology Museums
A58 Sports and Hobby Museums
A59 Specialized Museums
A61 Performing Arts Centers
A62 Dance Organizations
A63 Ballet Organizations
A64 Choreography Organizations
A65 Theaters
A66 Playwriting Organizations
A67 Musical Theaters
A68 Music Organizations
continued on next page

## NTEE Codes Continued

A69 Symphony Orchestras
A6A Theaters
A6B Singing or Choral Organizations
A6C Music Groups, Bands, or Ensembles
A6D Music Composition Organizations
A6E Performing Arts Schools
A71 Art History Organizations
A76 Literary Service Organizations and Activities
A82 Historical Societies
A84 Fairs, Festivals, and other Commemorative Events
A91 Artist Service Organizations

## Surveys of Arts Audiences

Audience-intercept surveying, a common and accepted research method, was completed in 75 of the 91 partner communities to measure spending by audiences at nonprofit arts events during 2001 (patrons at nonprofit arts events were asked to complete a survey while attending the event). In total, 39,518 audience surveys were gathered at events ranging from museum exhibitions and arts festivals to opera performances and theater productions-an average of 527 surveys per community. The randomly selected respondents provided itemized expenditure data on attendance-related activities such as meals, souvenirs, transportation, and lodging. Using total attendance data for 2000 (collected from the nonprofit arts organization surveys distributed by our community partners), standard statistical methods were then used to derive a reliable estimate of total expenditures by attendees in each community.

The nearly 40,000 audience survey respondents were asked to provide information about the entire party with whom they were attending the event. With an average travel party size of more than three people, these data actually represent the spending patterns of more than 100,000 attendees to nonprofit arts events-significantly increasing the reliability of the data.

All data for this study were collected during calendar year 2001. This means that while fiscal 2000 data were used for arts organizations, the event-related spending by arts audiences was collected throughout 2001. Thus, more than onethird of the audience surveys were collected postSeptember 11. While it is unclear what, if any, pre-September 11 versus post-September 11 variation there is in the data, the results themselves are composed, in part, of postSeptember 11 spending data.

## The Choice of Economic Analysis

A common theory of community growth is that an area must export goods and services if it is to prosper economically. This is called economicbase theory, and it depends on dividing the economy into two sectors: the export sector and the local sector. Exporters-such as automobile manufacturers, hotels, and department storesobtain income from customers outside of the community. This "export income" then enters the local economy-in the form of salaries, purchases of materials, dividends, etc.-and becomes income to local residents. Much of it is re-spent locally; some, however, is spent for goods imported from outside of the community. The dollars re-spent locally have a positive economic impact as they continue to circulate through the local economy. This theory applies to arts organizations as well as to other producers.

## Input/Output Analysis

To derive the most reliable economic impact data, input/output analysis is used to measure the impact of expenditures by local nonprofit arts organizations and their audiences. This method is appropriate for two reasons: it permits tracing a number of categories of purchases through a local
economy, and it can be customized specifically to each community.

An input/output analysis traces how many times a dollar is re-spent within the local economy before it leaks out, and the economic impact of each round of spending. The analysis is a system of mathematical equations that combines statistical methods and economic theory in an area of economic study called econometrics. While input/output analysis requires more research, relies on trained economists, and is more expensive than many other types of analysis, the process yields current and reliable data.

The sidebar on page 16 gives a somewhat simplified description of the input/output modeling process. If it sounds complicated, take heart that one generally doesn't study this type of analysis before entering a graduate school program in economics and being well versed in calculus.

## National Estimates

To derive the national estimates, the 91 communities were first stratified into six population groups and an economic impact average was calculated for each group. Second, the nation's 19,372 cities were each assigned to one of the six groups based upon their population (supplied by the U.S. Census Bureau). Third, each city was then assigned the economic impact average for its population group. Finally, the values of these 19,372 cities were added together to determine the national economic impact of the nonprofit arts industry.

The three largest U.S. cities (New York, Los Angeles, and Chicago), each with more than \$1 billion in organizational expenditures alone, were excluded from this study to avoid inflating the national estimates. Additionally, three outliers
were removed when calculating the national estimages. Santa Fe, New Mexico, and Rockland, Maine, two communities that are major cultural tourism destinations, had very high levels of economic activity for their size. Juneau, Alaska, home of the state museum, also had a very high level of economic activity compared to similarly populated communities. Also excluded from this study was spending by individual artists, the for-profit arts sector (Broadway or the motion picture industry, for example) and arts produced by non-arts organizations (such as schools or community centers).

## Input/Output Process

The input/output model is based on a table of 533 finely detailed industries showing local sales and purchases. The local and state economy of each community is researched so the table can be customized for each community. The basic purchase patterns for local industries are derived from a similar table for the U.S. economy for 1992 (the latest detailed data available from the U.S. Department of Commerce). The table is first reduced to reflect the unique size and industry mix of the local economy, based on data from County Business Patterns and the Regional Economic Information System of the U.S. Department of Commerce. It is then adjusted so that only transactions with local businesses are recorded in the inter-industry part of the table. This technique compares supply and demand, and estimates the additional imports or exports required to make total supply equal total demand. The resulting table shows the detailed sales and purchase patterns of the local industries. The 533-industry table is then aggregated to reflect the general activities of 32 industries plus local households (a total of 33 industries). To trace changes in the economy, each column is converted to show the direct requirements per dollar of gross output for each sector. This direct-requirements table represents the "recipe" for producing the output of each industry in the economy.

The economic impact figures for the study were computed using what is called an "iterative" procedure. This process uses the sum of a power series to approximate the solution to the economic model. This is what the process looks like in matrix algebra:
$T=I X+A X+A^{2} X+A^{3} X+\ldots+A^{n} X$
T is the solution, a column vector of changes in each industry's outputs caused by the changes represented in the column vector $X$. $A$ is the 33 by

33 direct-requirements matrix. This equation is used to trace the direct expenditures attributable to nonprofit arts organizations and their audiences. A multiplier effect table is produced that displays the results of this equation. The total column is T . The initial expenditure to be traced is $I X$ (I is the identity matrix, which is operationally equivalent to the number I in ordinary algebra). Round I is AX, the result of multiplying the matrix $A$ by the vector $X$ (the outputs required of each supplier to produce the goods and services purchased in the initial change under study). Round 2 is $A^{2} X$, which is the result of multiplying the matrix A by Round I (it answers the same question applied to Round I: "What are the outputs required of each supplier to produce the goods and services purchased in Round I of this chain of events?'). Each of columns I through 12 in the multiplier effects table represents one of the elements in the continuing but diminishing chain of expenditures on the right side of the equation. Their sum, T , represents the total production required in the local economy in response to arts activities.

Calculation of the total impact of the nonprofit arts on the outputs of other industries ( $T$ ) can now be converted to impacts on the final incomes to local residents by multiplying the outputs produced by the ratios of household income to output and employment to output. Thus, the employment impact of changes in outputs due to arts expenditures is calculated by multiplying elements in the column of total outputs by the ratio of employment to output for the 32 industries in the region. Changes in household incomes, local government revenues, and state government revenues due to nonprofit arts expenditures are similarly transformed. The same process is also used to show the direct impact on incomes and revenues associated with the column of direct local expenditures.

## Frequently Used Terms

## Cultural Tourism

Cultural tourism is travel directed toward experiencing the arts, heritage, and special character of a place.

## Direct Economic Impact

Direct economic impact is a measure of the economic effect of the initial expenditure within a community. For example, when the symphony pays its players, each musician's salary, the associated government taxes, and full-time equivalent employment status represent the direct economic impact.

## Direct Expenditures

Direct expenditures are the first round of expenditures in the economic cycle. A paycheck from the symphony to the violin player and a ballet company's purchase of dance shoes are examples of direct expenditures.

## Econometrics

Econometrics is the process of using statistical methods and economic theory to develop a system of mathematical equations that measures the flow of dollars between local industries. The input/ output model developed for this study is an example of an econometric model.

## Econometrician

An econometrician is an economist who designs, builds, and maintains econometric models.

## Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Jobs

Full-time equivalent (FTE) is a term that describes the total amount of labor employed. Economists measure FTE jobs-not the total number of employees-because it is a more accurate measure of total employment. It is a
manager's discretion to hire one full-time employee, two half-time employees, four quartertime employees, etc. Almost always, more people are affected than are reflected in the number of FTE jobs reported due to the abundance of parttime employment, especially in the nonprofit arts industry.

## Indirect Impact

Each time a dollar changes hands, there is a measurable economic impact. When people and businesses receive money, they re-spend much of that money locally. Indirect impact measures the effect of this re-spending on jobs, household income, and revenue to local and state government. It is often referred to as secondary spending or the dollars "rippling" through a community. When funds are eventually spent non-locally, they are considered to have "leaked out" of the community and therefore cease to have a local economic impact. Indirect impact is the sum of the impact of all rounds of spending. (For an example of how a dollar is re-spent in a community, see the sidebar on page 12.)

## Input/Output Analysis

Input/output analysis-the method of economic analysis used in the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study-is a system of mathematical equations that combines statistical methods and economic theory in an area of economic study called econometrics. Economists use this input/output model (occasionally called an inter-industry model) to measure how many times a dollar is re-spent in, or "ripples" through, a community before it leaks out (see Leakage on page 18). The model is based on a matrix that tracks the dollar flow between 533 finely detailed industries
within each community. It allows researchers to determine the economic impact of local spending by nonprofit arts organizations on jobs, household income, and government revenue. (See the sidebar on page 16 for more detailed information about input/output analysis.)

## Leakage

Leakage describes the money expended outside of a community by community members. When money is spent outside of the community, or nonlocally, it has no economic impact within the community. A ballet company purchasing shoes from a non-local manufacturer is an example of leakage. If the shoe company were local, the ballet's expenditure would remain within the community and create another round of spending by the shoe company.

## Multiplier (often called Economic Activity Multiplier)

The multiplier is an estimate of the number of times that a dollar changes hands within the community before it leaks out of the community (e.g., the theater pays the actor, the actor spends money at the grocery store, the grocery store pays its cashier, and so on). This estimate is quantified as one number by which all expenditures are multiplied. For example, if the arts are a $\$ 10$ million industry and a multiplier of three is used, then it is estimated that these arts organizations have a total economic impact of $\$ 30$ million. The convenience of a multiplier is that it is one simple number; its shortcoming, however, is its reliability. Users rarely note that the multiplier is developed by making gross estimates of the industries within the local economy and does not allow for differences in the characteristics of those industries, usually resulting in an overestimation of the economic impact.
In contrast, the input/output model employed
in this study is a type of economic analysis tailored specifically to each community and, as such, provides more reliable and specific economic impact results.

## Resident Household Income (often called Personal Income)

Household income includes salaries, wages, and entrepreneurial income-the money residents earn and use to pay for food, mortgages, and other living expenses. It is important to note that resident household income is not just salary. When a business receives money, for example, the owner usually takes a percentage of the profit, resulting in income for the owner.

## Revenue to Local and State Government

Local and state government revenue is not exclusively taxes (e.g., income, property, or sales); it also includes license fees, utility fees, user fees, filing fees, etc. Revenue to local government includes funds to city and county government, schools, and special districts.

## Frequently Asked Questions

## How were the 91 participating communities selected?

In June 2002, Americans for the Arts published a Call for Participants for communities interested in participating in the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study. Of the more than 140 communities that expressed interest, 105 agreed to participate and complete four participation criteria: (1) identify and code their local universe of nonprofit arts organizations; (2) disseminate, collect, and review expenditure surveys from those organizations; (3) conduct audience-intercept surveys at a minimum of 15 diverse arts events; and (4) pay a modest participation fee (no community was refused participation for an inability to pay). Nine dropped out due to the data collection burden, and five fell behind the timeline and will complete their studies in 2003. This report summarizes the findings from the remaining 91 communities.

## How were the eligible nonprofit arts organizations in each community selected?

Local partners in each of the 91 communities attempted to identify their universe of nonprofit arts organizations using the Urban Institute's National Taxonomy of Exempt Entity codes as a guideline. Eligible nonprofit arts organizations included those whose primary purpose is to promote appreciation for and understanding of the visual, performing, folk, and media arts. Public arts councils, public presenting facilities or institutions, and embedded organizations that have their own budget also were included where they play a substantial role in the cultural life of the community.

## What type of economic analysis was done to determine the study results?

An input/output analysis model was customized for each of the 91 participating communities to determine the local economic impact of each community's nonprofit arts organizations and arts audiences. Americans for the Arts, which conducted the research, worked with a highly regarded economist to design the input/output model used for this study. (See page 16 for more details about input/output analysis.)

## What other information is collected in addition to the arts surveys?

In addition to detailed expenditure data provided by the surveyed arts organizations, extensive wage, labor, and commerce data were collected from the local, state, and federal governments for use in the input/output model.

## Where is the "multiplier"?

When many people hear about an economic impact study, they expect the result to be quantified in what is often called a multiplier or an economic activity multiplier. The economic activity multiplier is an estimate of the number of times a dollar changes hands within the community (e.g., the theater pays the actor, the actor spends money at the grocery store, the grocery store pays the cashier, and so on). It is quantified as one number by which expenditures are multiplied. Users rarely note, however, that the multiplier is developed by making gross estimates of the industries within the local economy and does not allow for differences in the characteristics of those industries. Using a multiplier usually results in an overestimation of the economic impact and therefore lacks reliability. For more information, refer to page 18.

## How is the economic impact of the arts different from other industries?

Any time money changes hands there is a measurable economic impact. Social service organizations, libraries, and all other funded entities have a local economic impact. What makes the economic impact of arts organizations unique is that, unlike most other industries, they induce large amounts of related spending by their audiences. For example, when patrons attend a performing arts event, they may purchase dinner at a restaurant, eat dessert after the show, and return home and pay the baby-sitter. All of these expenditures have a positive impact on the economy. This study quantifies the effect of these ancillary expenditures by arts audiences on local economies.

## Will my local legislators believe these results?

Yes, this study makes a strong argument to legislators, but you may need to provide them with some extra help. It will be up to the user of this report to educate the public about economic impact studies in general and the results of this study in particular. The user may need to explain 1) the study methodology used; (2) that an input/output model was created by economists for each community in the study; and (3) the difference between input/output analysis and a "multiplier." The good news is that as the number of economic impact studies completed by arts organizations and other special interest areas increases, so does the sophistication in economics of mayors, city managers, and others whose influence these studies are meant to impact. Today, most decisionmakers want to know what methodology is being used, and how and where the data were gathered.

You can be confident that the input/output analysis used in this study is a highly regarded
measure in the field of economics. However, as in any field of professionals, there is disagreement about procedures, jargon, and the best way to determine results. Ask 12 artists to define art and you will get 24 answers; expect the same in the field of economics. You will occasionally meet an economist who believes that these studies should be done differently (e.g., a cost-benefit analysis of the arts).

## How can a community not participating in the Arts and Economic Prosperity study apply these results to their community?

Because of the variety of communities studied and the rigor with which the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study was conducted, nonprofit arts organizations located in communities that were not part of the study can estimate their local economic impact. Estimates can be derived for spending by nonprofit arts organizations and for event-related spending generated by their audiences. Researchers developed a calculator that provides an estimated economic impact per $\$ 100,000$ of spending. (See Arts \& Economic Prosperity Calculator on page 21 for more details or use the Arts \& Economic Prosperity Calculator found at www.AmericansForTheArt.org.)

## How do the events of September 11, 2001, affect these data?

The data on arts organization expenditures, collected in 2001, refer to fiscal 2000 results and thus were in no way affected by the events of September 11, 2001. However, the event-related spending by arts audiences was collected throughout 2001, with more than one-third of the surveys collected post-September 11. It is unclear what, if any, pre- versus post-September 11 variation there is in the data.

## Arts \& Economic Prosperity Calculator

Because of the variety of communities studied and the rigor with which the Arts \& Economic Prosperity study was conducted, nonprofit arts organizations located in communities that were not part of the 91-community study can estimate their local economic impact. Estimates can be derived for spending by nonprofit arts organizations and for event-related spending generated by their audiences. Researchers have developed a calculator that provides an estimated economic impact per $\$ 100,000$ of spending.

## Estimating Organizational Impact

An administrator from an arts organization with an annual budget of $\$ 1$ million wants to determine the organization's economic impact on full-time equivalent employment on its community of 300,000 people. The administrator would:

1. Identify the appropriate population group in the table below:

Group: 250,000 to 499,999
2. Determine the amount spent.

$$
\$ 1,000,000
$$

3. Divide the expenditure by 100,000 .
$\$ 1,000,000 / 100,000=10$
4. Multiply the result by the FTE Jobs figure from the " 250,000 to 499,999 " population grouping in the table below. $10 \times 3.19$ = 31.9 FTE Jobs

## Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations

| Population Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 50,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 50,000- \\ & 99,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100,000- \\ & 249,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,000- \\ & 499,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500,000- \\ & 999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000,000 \\ & \text { and Above } \end{aligned}$ | Average | Standard Deviation | \% Error of Mean |
| FTE Jobs | 2.99 | 3.36 | 3.13 | 3.19 | 2.87 | 3.12 | 3.12 | 0.55 | 17.7\% |
| Resident <br> Household Income | \$69,325 | \$75,726 | \$76,766 | \$82,949 | \$77,887 | \$82,802 | \$76,842 | \$11,916 | 15.5\% |
| Local Government Revenue | \$3,120 | \$2,84। | \$3,024 | \$3,003 | \$3,791 | \$3,682 | \$3,167 | \$1,155 | 36.5\% |
| State Government Revenue | \$4,94I | \$5,168 | \$4,584 | \$4,740 | \$4,897 | \$3,580 | \$4,758 | \$1,485 | 31.2\% |

Using the four steps above, our administrator finds that his arts organization's expenditures support 31.9 FTE jobs in the community. The same estimate can be made for household income and revenues to local and state government.

## Estimating Arts Audience Impact

Our administrator wants to determine the economic impact of the expenditures made by the 25,000 attendees at his organization's arts events on full-time equivalent (FTE) employment in his community of 300,000 people. The administrator would:

1. Find the appropriate population group in the table below.

Group: 250,000 to 499,999
2. Determine the total arts event attendance.

25,000
3. Use the table below to find the average per attendee spending for that group.
\$21.15
4. Determine the total audience spending by multiplying the average per person expenditure by the total attendance $(25,000)$. $\$ 21.15 \times 25,000=\$ 528,750$
5. Divide the total audience spending amount by 100,000 . $\$ 528,750 / 100,000=5.2875$
6. Multiply that figure by the FTE Jobs figure in the table below. $5.2875 \times 3.26=\mathbf{1 7 . 2 4}$ FTE Jobs

## Total Economic Impact Per $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ of Event-Related Spending by Arts Audiences

| Population Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | Standard <br> Deviation | \% Error of Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 50,000 | $\begin{aligned} & 50,000- \\ & 99,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100,000- \\ & 249,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250,000- \\ & 499,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500,000- \\ & 999,999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000,000 \\ & \text { and Above } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Avg. Per Person Event-Related Spending | \$23.21 | \$22.10 | \$18.55 | \$21.15 | \$23.61 | \$30.54 | \$22.87 | n/a | n/a |
| FTE Jobs | 3.38 | 3.38 | 3.52 | 3.26 | 3.24 | 3.36 | 3.38 | 0.32 | 9.6\% |
| Resident Household Income | \$50,75 I | \$51,266 | \$56,8।7 | \$58,983 | \$60,709 | \$60,311 | \$55,795 | \$6,695 | 12.0\% |
| Local Government Revenue | \$4,507 | \$3,215 | \$4,25। | \$3,701 | \$3,991 | \$4,546 | \$3,997 | \$1,545 | 38.7\% |
| State Government Revenue | \$6,231 | \$7,827 | \$7,414 | \$7,370 | \$8,014 | \$7,169 | \$7,334 | \$1,664 | 22.7\% |

Thus, 17.24 full-time equivalent jobs are supported within the community due to expenditures made by attendees to our hypothetical organization's arts events. The same estimates can be made for resident household income and revenue to local and state governments.

## Caveats

When using estimates derived from this calculator, always keep the following caveats in mind:

- The results of this analysis are based on averages of similarly populated communities.
- Your results are estimates. This method of estimating economic impact is not a substitute for conducting an economic impact study on your community. (The Standard Deviation and the Percent Error of Mean provide a sense of how well the averages represent the sets of numbers from which they were derived).
- Input/output models were customized for each of these similarly populated communities, providing very specific employment, resident household income, and government revenue data.


## End Notes

1. This figure includes only income tax paid on the $\$ 89.4$ billion in resident household income at the rate of 11.76 percent, the average percentage of adjustable gross income paid to the Internal Revenue Service in 1999 (latest data available).
2. Jobs, Arts \& the Economy, Americans for the Arts, 1994.
3. U.S. Department of Commerce.
4. Business Committee for the Arts, 2001.
5. National Assembly of State Arts Agencies, 2002.
6. Foundation Center, 2002.
7. Giving USA 2001, American Association of Fund Raising Counsel, 2001.
8. Americans for the Arts, 2002.
9. The Historic/Cultural Traveler 2001 (TravelScope Survey). Travel Industry Association of America, 2001.
10. Giving and Volunteering in the United States 2000, Independent Sector, 2001.
11. The U.S. Department of Labor's Bureau of Labor Statistics reports that there were $145,594,000$ non-self-employed individuals in the U.S. workforce during 2000.
12. National Taxonomy of Exempt Entities-developed by the National Center for Charitable Statistics at the Urban Institute-is a definitive classification system for nonprofit organizations recognized at tax exempt by the Internal Revenue Code. This system divides the entire universe of nonprofit organizations in ten broad categories, including "Arts, Culture, and Humanities."
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## Alaska

Alaska State Council on the Arts (Anchorage and Fairbanks)
Homer Arts Council
Juneau Arts and Humanities Council
Ketchikan Arts and Humanities Council

## Arizona

Chandler Center for the Arts
Flagstaff Cultural Partners
City of Mesa Arts and Cultural Division
Phoenix Arts Commission
Scottsdale Cultural Council
City of Tempe Department of Cultural Services
West Valley Fine Arts Council (Western Maricopa Co.)

## California

Arts Council of Placer County
Central Sierra Arts Council
Glendale Parks, Recreation, and Community Services
Lodi Arts Commission
City of Pasadena Cultural Affairs Division
City of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture
City of Santa Clarita Cultural Arts Program
City of Walnut Creek Cultural Services Department

## Colorado

Arts Alive Fort Collins
City of Boulder Arts Commission

## Delaware

The Schwartz Center for the Arts (Dover)
District of Columbia
Cultural Alliance of Greater Washington

Florida
Broward County Cultural Affairs Division
Cultural Council of Indian River County
Miami-Dade County Dept. of Cultural Affairs
City of St. Petersburg Dept. of Cultural Affairs

## Georgia

Fulton County Arts Council
Hawai'i
Hawai'i Community Foundation
Idaho
Boise City Arts Commission
Illinois
Oak Park Area Arts Council
Indiana
Arts Council of Indianapolis
Bloomington Area Arts Council
Tippecanoe Arts Federation
Kansas
Lawrence Arts Center
Louisiana
Arts Council of Greater Baton Rouge
Arts Council of New Orleans (Jefferson Parish and New Orleans)
St. Tammany Arts Commission
Maine
Farnsworth Art Museum and Wyeth Center (Rockland)

Maryland
Arts and Humanities Council of Montgomery County
Arts Council of Prince George's County
Massachusetts
Gloucester Community Development Corporation New Bedford Economic Development Council
Worcester Cultural Coalition
Michigan
Arts Council of Greater Lansing
City of Detroit Cultural Affairs Department
City of Grand Haven Dept. of Community Services

## Minnesota

City of Minneapolis Office of Cultural Affairs
St. Cloud Arts Commission
Missouri
Allied Arts Council of St. Joseph
St. Louis Regional Arts Commission

## Montana

Missoula Cultural Council
New Hampshire
City of Portsmouth Community Development Dept.

## New Jersey

Monmouth County Arts Council
The New Jersey Historical Society (Newark)
Union County Department of Economic Development

## New Mexico

City of Santa Fe Arts Commission

## New York

The ARTS of the Southern Finger Lakes
(Chemung, Schuyler, and Steuben Counties)
Niagara Arts Council
Westchester Arts Council

## North Carolina

Asheville Area Arts Council
Council of Winston-Salem and Forsyth County
North Dakota
Minot Area Council of the Arts

Ohio
Culture Works (Dayton)
Dublin Arts Council
Greater Columbus Arts Council
Springfield Arts Council
Pennsylvania
Arts Council of Erie
Berks Arts Council
Lehigh Valley Arts Council (Lehigh and Northampton Counties)

## Tennessee

Greater Memphis Arts Council

## Texas

Arts Council of Northeast Tarrant County
Cultural Arts Council of Houston and Harris County
Virginia
Arlington Cultural Affairs Division
Arts Council of Alexandria
Arts Council of Fairfax County
Washington
Downtown Renaissance Network (Bellingham)

## Wisconsin

Arts Alliance of Waukesha County
Beloit Arts Council
Forward Janesville
Fox Valley Arts Alliance
Greater Milwaukee Foundation
Mabel Tainter Memorial Theater (Chippewa Valley)
Madison CitiARTS
Northeastern Wisconsin Arts Council (Green Bay)
Peninsula Arts Association (Door County)
Wausau Performing Arts Foundation

A study of this magnitude is a total organization effort, so a special note of thanks to the entire Americans for the Arts staff. The research and information department was primarily responsible for the production of this study: Randy Cohen, vice president of research and information; Benjamin Davidson, director of research; Michelle Brown, research coordinator; Rebecca Costanzo, research assistant; and Anne Canzonetti, communications coordinator.

## Appendix A: Data Tables

A2

Introduction to the Data Tables and Their Explanations
1: Population of the 91 Participating Communities
2: Total Expenditures Made by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000
3: Total Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000
4: Direct Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000
5: Organizational Expenditure Survey Participation in Participating Communities
6: Total Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000
7: Direct Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000
8: Economic Impact Per \$100,000 of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000
9: Total Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000
10: Average Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000
11: Comparative Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Spending Per Responding Organization by Population Category during Fiscal 2000

12: Arts Volunteerism to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations for Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

13: Value of In-Kind Contributions to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000
14: Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
15: Total Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
16: Total Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

17: Direct Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

18: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000
19: Total Itemized Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
20: Average Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
21: Itemized Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
22: Average Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

23: Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

24: Average Non-Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

25: Demographics of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

## Introduction to the Data Tables and Their Explanations

Each data table in this report has an accompanying explanation describing its results.
Tables I through 25 summarize the collected data and the analyzed results for each of the participating communities. Detailed nonprofit arts organization expenditure data were collected from 9 I communities; audience expenditure data were collected from 75 communities. Each community is listed in the far left column of the tables. The data are presented in six community groupings that are stratified by population.

|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Group | Population of <br> Community | Communities <br> Studied |
| II | Less than 50,000 | 15 |
| III | I 0,000 to 99,999 | 19 |
| IV | 250,000 to 499,999 | 13 |
| V | 500,000 to 999,999 | 14 |
| VI | I,000,000 or More | 7 |

" $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ " indicates that audience surveys were not collected, so audience data are not available for those communities; " $n$ " refers to the number of communities within each population group. Where appropriate, averages and totals have been calculated for each population group (under each population grouping) and for all participating communities (at the bottom or far right of the table).

## Explanation of Table I: <br> Population of the 91 Participating Communities

This table presents the local sponsoring organization and the year 2000 population of each community studied. An average population is calculated for each population group and for all 9 | communities.

Column One:
The name of the sponsoring organization in each community surveyed.

## Column Two:

The year 2000 population of each community surveyed as reported by the U.S. Census Bureau, based upon findings from the Bureau's most recent decennial census, Census 2000.

## Column Three:

The type of community that was studied.

Table 1: Population of the 91 Participating Communities

| Population Group <br> Pop. $\mathbf{~ 5 0 , 0 0 0 ~ ( n = 1 5 ) ~}$ | Community Project Sponsor | Population | Community Study Area |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Homer, AK | Homer Arts Council | 3,946 | City |
| Sonora, CA | Central Sierra Arts Council | 4,423 | City |
| Rockland, ME | Farnsworth Art Museum and Wyeth Center | 7,609 | City |
| Ketchikan, AK | Ketchikan Arts and Humanities Council | 7,922 | City |
| Grand Haven, MI | City of Grand Haven Dept. of Community Services | 11,168 | City |
| Portsmouth, NH | City of Portsmouth Community Development Dept. | 20,784 | City |
| Door County, WI | Peninsula Arts Association | 27,961 | County |
| Fairbanks, AK | Alaska State Council on the Arts | 30,224 | City |
| Gloucester, MA | Gloucester Community Development Corporation | 30,273 | City |
| Juneau, AK | Juneau Arts and Humanities Council | 30,7 II | City |
| Dublin, OH | Dublin Arts Council | 31,392 | City |
| Dover, DE | The Schwartz Center for the Arts | 32,135 | City |
| Beloit, WI | Beloit Arts Council | 35,775 | City |
| Minot, ND | Minot Area Council of the Arts | 36,567 | City |
| Wausau, WI | Wausau Performing Arts Foundation | 38,426 | City |
| Group I Average |  | 23,288 |  |


| Population Group II $\text { Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( } n=19)$ | Community Project Sponsor | Population | Community Study Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | Oak Park Area Arts Council | 52,524 | City |
| Flagstaff, AZ | Flagstaff Cultural Partners | 52,894 | City |
| Niagara Falls, NY | Niagara Arts Council | 55,593 | City |
| Lodi, CA | Lodi Arts Commission | 56,999 | City |
| Missoula, MT | Missoula Cultural Council | 57,053 | City |
| St. Cloud, MN | St. Cloud Arts Commission | 59,107 | City |
| Janesville, WI | Forward Janesville | 59,498 | City |
| Santa Fe, NM | City of Santa Fe Arts Commission | 62,203 | City |
| Walnut Creek, CA | City of Walnut Creek Cultural Services Department | 64,296 | City |
| Springfield, OH | Springfield Arts Council | 65,358 | City |
| Bellingham, WA | Downtown Renaissance Network | 67,171 | City |
| Asheville, NC | Asheville Area Arts Council | 68,889 | City |
| Bloomington, IN | Bloomington Area Arts Council | 69,29 I | City |
| St. Joseph, MO | Allied Arts Council of St. Joseph | 73,990 | City |
| Lawrence, KS | Lawrence Arts Center | 80,098 | City |
| Chemung County, NY | The ARTS of the Southern Finger Lakes | 91,070 | County |
| New Bedford, MA | New Bedford Economic Development Council | 93,768 | City |
| Boulder, CO | City of Boulder Arts Commission | 94,673 | City |
| Steuben County, NY | The ARTS of the Southern Finger Lakes | 98,726 | County |
| Group II Average |  | 69,642 |  |

Table 1 Continued on Page A5

## Continued

Table 1: Population of the 91 Participating Communities

Population Group III
Pop. $100,000-249,999(n=23)$
Green Bay, WI
Erie, PA

| Community Project Sponsor | Population | Community Study Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeastern Wisconsin Arts Council | 102,313 | City |
| Arts Council of Erie | 103,717 | City |
| Cultural Council of Indian River County | 1 12,947 | County |
| Arts Alive Fort Collins | \| 18,652 | City |
| Arts Council of Greater Lansing | \| 19, 128 | City |
| Arts Council of Alexandria | 128,283 | City |
| City of Pasadena Cultural Affairs Division | 133,936 | City |
| Tippecanoe Arts Federation | 148,955 | County |
| City of Santa Clarita Cultural Arts Program | 151,088 | City |
| City of Tempe Department of Cultural Services | 158,625 | City |
| Culture Works | 166,179 | City |
| Worcester Cultural Coalition | 172,648 | City |
| Chandler Center for the Arts | 176,581 | City |
| Boise City Arts Commission | 185,787 | City |
| Mabel Tainter Memorial Theater | 188,195 | Chippewa, Dunn, \& Eau Claire Co. |
| Arlington Cultural Affairs Division | 189,453 | County |
| St. Tammany Arts Commission | 191,268 | Parish |
| Glendale Parks, Recreation, and Community Services | 194,973 | City |
| Scottsdale Cultural Council | 202,705 | City |
| Madison CitiARTS | 208,054 | City |
| Arts Council of Greater Baton Rouge | 227,818 | City |
| City of St. Petersburg Office of Cultural Affairs | 248,232 | City |
| Arts Council of Placer County | 248,399 | County |
|  | 168,606 |  |

Population Group IV Pop. 250,000-499,999 (n=13)


Western Maricopa Co., AZ
Waukesha Co., WI
Berks Co., PA
Minneapolis, MN
Mesa, AZ

| Community Project Sponsor | Population | Community Study Area |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alaska State Council on the Arts | 260,283 | City |
| Lehigh Valley Arts Council | 267,066 | County |
| The New Jersey Historical Society | 273,546 | City |
| Arts Council of Winston-Salem and Forsyth County | 306,067 | County |
| Lehigh Valley Arts Council | 312,090 | County |
| Fox Valley Arts Alliance | 317,734 | Outagamie \& Winnebago Co. |
| West Valley Fine Arts Council | 327,175 | Cities of Glendale \& Peoria |
| Arts Alliance of Waukesha County | 360,767 | County |
| Berks Arts Council | 373,638 | County |
| City of Minneapolis Office of Cultural Affairs | 382,618 | City |
| City of Mesa Arts and Cultural Division | 396,375 | City |

Table 1 Continued on Page A6

Table 1: Population of the 91 Participating Communities

| Continued <br> Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 $(\mathrm{n}=13)$ | Community Project Sponsor | Population | Community Study Area |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | Arts Council of New Orleans | 455,466 | Parish |
| New Orleans, LA | Arts Council of New Orleans | 484,674 | City |
| Group IV Average |  | 347,500 |  |

Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ )
Community Project Sponsor Population Community Study Area

| Union Co., NJ | Union County Department of Economic Development | 522,541 | County |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Washington, DC | Cultural Alliance of Greater Washington | 572,059 | City |
| Milwaukee, WI | Greater Milwaukee Foundation | 596,974 | City |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | Monmouth County Arts Council | 615,301 | County |
| Memphis, TN | Greater Memphis Arts Council | 650,100 | Clity |
| Columbus, OH | Greater Columbus Arts Council | 711,470 | City |
| Indianapolis, IN | Arts Council of Indianapolis | 791,926 | City |
| Prince George's Co., MD | Arts Council of Prince George's County | 801,515 | County |
| Fulton Co., GA | Fulton County Arts Council | 816,006 | County |
| Montgomery Co., MD | Arts and Humanities Council of Montgomery County | 873,341 | County |
| Honolulu Co., HI | Hawai'i Community Foundation | 876,156 | County |
| Westchester Co., NY | Westchester Arts Council | 923,459 | County |
| Detroit, MI | City of Detroit Cultural Affairs Department | 951,270 | City |
| Fairfax Co., VA | Arts Council of Fairfax County | 969,749 | County |
| Group V Average |  | 762,276 |  |

## Population Group VI

| Population <br> Pop. > I,000,000 $(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | Community Project Sponsor | Population | Community Study Area |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Phoenix, AZ | Phoenix Arts Commission | 1,32 I,045 | City |
| St. Louis, MO | St. Louis Regional Arts Commission | $1,364,504$ | City and County |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | Arts Council of Northeast Tarrant County | $1,446,219$ | County |
| Broward Co., FL | Broward County Cultural Affairs Division | $1,623,018$ | County |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | Miami-Dade County Deptartment of Cultural Affairs | $2,253,362$ | County |
| San Diego Co., CA | Clity of San Diego Commission for Arts and Culture | $2,813,833$ | County |
| Harris Co., TX | Cultural Arts Council of Houston and Harris County | $3,400,578$ | County |
| Group VI Average |  | $2,031,794$ |  |

## Average of All Communities

384,202
Total \# of Communities
91

## Explanation of Table 2:

## Total Expenditures Made by Nonprofit Arts <br> Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total spending by the nonprofit arts industry-both nonprofit arts organizations and their audiences-in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 9 I communities.

## Column One:

The operating expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Operating expenditure categories include payroll and payroll taxes, facility expenses, overhead and administrative expenses, and event production costs including payments to artists. (See the organizational survey instrument in Appendix B for a detailed breakdown of expenditure categories.)

## Column Two:

The asset acquisition of responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Asset acquisition includes purchases of equipment, real estate, and art.

## Column Three:

The total organizational expenditures of responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of operating expenditures (column one) and asset acquisition (Column Two).

## Column Four:

The total expenditures made by arts audiences as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000. For example, when patrons attend an arts event they may purchase dinner at a restaurant, pay a valet to park their car, eat dessert after the show, or pay a babysitter upon returning home.

## Column Five:

The total spending by the nonprofit arts industry in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of total expenditures by responding nonprofit arts organizations (Column Three) and total event-related spending by arts audiences (Column Four).

Table 2: Total Expenditures Made by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 }(n=15)$ | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$771,175 | \$328,894 | \$1,100,069 | \$1,567,214 | \$2,667,283 |
| Sonora, CA | \$1,589,473 | \$13,494 | \$1,602,967 | \$3,042,370 | \$4,645,337 |
| Rockland, ME | \$5,419,748 | \$3,083,935 | \$8,503,683 | \$13,300,970 | \$21,804,653 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$892,846 | \$3,436 | \$896,282 | \$745,071 | \$1,641,353 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$2,023,069 | \$2,707,379 | \$4,730,448 | \$790, 171 | \$5,520,619 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$7,943,114 | \$642,439 | \$8,585,553 | \$17,509,400 | \$26,094,953 |
| Door Co., WI | \$4,905,276 | \$155,046 | \$5,060,322 | \$7,465,347 | \$12,525,669 |
| Fairbanks, AK | \$2,344,737 | \$0 | \$2,344,737 | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | \$499,349 | \$16,590 | \$515,939 | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$6,044,533 | \$67,171 | \$6, 1 \| 1,704 | \$995,797 | \$7,107,501 |
| Dublin, OH | \$483,487 | \$5,76। | \$489,248 | \$422,386 | \$911,634 |
| Dover, DE | \$4,272,262 | \$114,362 | \$4,386,624 | \$1,920,210 | \$6,306,834 |
| Beloit, WI | \$2,083,807 | \$9,088 | \$2,092,895 | \$994,669 | \$3,087,564 |
| Minot, ND | \$3,669,198 | \$307,619 | \$3,976,817 | \$4,757,590 | \$8,734,407 |
| Wausau, WI | \$4,496,024 | \$267,64 | \$4,763,665 | \$5,094,229 | \$9,857,894 |
| Group I Average | \$3,162,540 | \$514,857 | \$3,677,397 | \$4,508,109 | \$8,531,208 |
| \% of Group I Total | 40.2\% | 6.9\% | 47.2\% | 52.8\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) | Total Spending (Nonprofits and Audiences) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | \$9,665,507 | \$927,941 | \$10,593,448 | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | \$1,755,976 | \$20,554 | \$1,776,530 | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$6,444,442 | \$233,973 | \$6,678,415 | \$40,524,820 | \$47,203,235 |
| Lodi, CA | \$728,572 | \$9,402 | \$737,974 | \$3,063,300 | \$3,801,274 |
| Missoula, MT | \$1,969,788 | \$72,031 | \$2,041,819 | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$3,969,636 | \$48,920 | \$4,018,556 | \$5,806,231 | \$9,824,787 |
| Janesville, WI | \$565,697 | \$18,403 | \$584,100 | \$583,75। | \$1,167,85। |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$43,860,413 | \$27,781,085 | \$71,641,498 | \$150,966,573 | \$222,608,07 I |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$12,649,476 | \$182,159 | \$12,831,635 | \$21,335,564 | \$34,167,199 |
| Springfield, OH | \$5,511,402 | \$413,696 | \$5,925,098 | \$4,741,666 | \$10,666,764 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$4,118,113 | \$674,933 | \$4,793,046 | \$6,000,903 | \$10,793,949 |
| Asheville, NC | \$14,185,348 | \$484,321 | \$14,669,669 | \$46,313,975 | \$60,983,644 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$35,150,109 | \$439,369 | \$35,589,478 | \$8,933,752 | \$44,523,230 |
| St. Joseph, MO | \$2,083,439 | \$288,919 | \$2,372,358 | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$8,818,443 | \$8,126,069 | \$16,944,512 | \$16,521,503 | \$33,466,015 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$3,841,350 | \$67,810 | \$3,909,160 | \$1,663,381 | \$5,572,54 I |
|  |  |  |  | Table 2 Continued on Page A9 |  |

## Continued

Table 2: Total Expenditures Made by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. $50,000-99,000(n=19)$ | Operating <br> Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational <br> Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Bedford, MA | $\$ 8,026,297$ | $\$ 653,867$ | $\$ 8,680,164$ | $\$ 10,329,270$ |
| Boulder, CO | $\$ 6,496,951$ | $\$ 1,367,351$ | $\$ 7,864,302$ | $\$ 11,276,838$ |
| (Nonprofits and Audiences) |  |  |  |  |


| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) | Total Spending (Nonprofits and Audiences) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$19,583,597 | \$389,358 | \$19,972,955 | \$25,499,237 | \$45,472, 192 |
| Erie, PA | \$6,127,596 | \$677,882 | \$6,805,478 | \$4,316,072 | \$11,121,550 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$8,860,245 | \$10,150 | \$8,870,395 | \$7,213,459 | \$16,083,854 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$3,763,365 | \$94,613 | \$3,857,978 | \$5,406, 169 | \$9,264,147 |
| Lansing, MI | \$27,505,513 | \$390,697 | \$27,896,210 | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | \$8,963,756 | \$52,196 | \$9,015,952 | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$5,827,678 | \$187,510 | \$6,015,188 | \$8,879,223 | \$\|4,894,4| | |
| Tippecanoe Co. , IN | \$3,244, I 38 | \$32,161 | \$3,276,299 | \$7,283,325 | \$10,559,624 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$930,41 I | \$409,838 | \$1,340,249 | \$638,851 | \$1,979,100 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$1,931,642 | \$4,434 | \$1,936,076 | \$2,782,930 | \$4,719,006 |
| Dayton, OH | \$19,757,215 | \$4,748,037 | \$24,505,252 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Worcester, MA | \$21,105,884 | \$9,161,997 | \$30,267,88 | \$17,961,688 | \$48,229,569 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$1,188,04\| | \$96,437 | \$1,284,478 | \$2,632,282 | \$3,916,760 |
| Boise, ID | \$9,385,391 | \$406, 107 | \$9,791,498 | \$8,190,962 | \$17,982,460 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$3,230,370 | \$107,769 | \$3,338, 139 | \$1,467,932 | \$4,806,07 I |
| Arlington Co., VA | \$34,088, 118 | \$513,093 | \$34,601,21। | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$8,383,528 | \$422,817 | \$8,806,345 | \$9,620,992 | \$18,427,337 |
| Glendale, CA | \$3,784,116 | \$12,450 | \$3,796,566 | \$6,660,7I I | \$10,457,277 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$15,734,731 | \$962,127 | \$16,696,858 | \$13,715,225 | \$30,412,083 |
| Madison, WI | \$10,018,070 | \$534,925 | \$10,552,995 | \$15,062,139 | \$25,615,134 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$13,329,564 | \$1,571,217 | \$14,900,781 | \$10,2 15,034 | \$25,115,815 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$29, 102,985 | \$2,472,536 | \$31,575,521 | \$61,024,700 | \$92,600,22 1 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$1,279,285 | \$138,425 | \$1,417,710 | \$4,222,431 | \$5,640,14 I |
| Group III Average | \$ 1 1,179,358 | \$1,017,25 I | \$12,196,609 | \$ I I, 199,65 I | \$20,910,356 |
| \% of Group III Total | 42.0\% | 4.5\% | 46.4\% | 53.6\% | 100.0\% |

## Continued

Table 2: Total Expenditures Made by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$10,148,467 | \$174,457 | \$10,322,924 | \$19,292,736 | \$29,615,660 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$19,487,862 | \$1,403,190 | \$20,891,052 | \$48,501,776 | \$69,392,828 |
| Newark, NJ | \$41,158,212 | \$3,345,662 | \$44,503,874 | \$29,714,722 | \$74,2 18,596 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$46,223,247 | \$3,374,693 | \$49,597,940 | \$27,010,352 | \$76,608,292 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$ \\| 1,006,4| | | \$876,280 | \$ 1 1,882,69 \| | \$31,731,235 | \$43,613,926 |
| Fox Valley, WI | \$5,788,720 | \$270,461 | \$6,059, 18। | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$1,751,185 | \$365,795 | \$2,116,980 | \$1,332,870 | \$3,449,850 |
| Waukesha County, WI | \$8,201,203 | \$336,445 | \$8,537,648 | \$2,458,908 | \$10,996,556 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$9,938,45। | \$494,808 | \$10,433,259 | \$7,656,013 | \$18,089,272 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$155,461,285 | \$15,792,5 15 | \$171,253,800 | \$98, 179,235 | \$269,433,035 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$7,479,634 | \$2,686,201 | \$10,165,835 | \$7,898,949 | \$18,064,784 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$3,045,317 | \$129,472 | \$3,174,789 | \$13,104,890 | \$16,279,679 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$40,666, 160 | \$860,779 | \$41,526,939 | \$258,987,777 | \$300,514,716 |
| Group IV Average | \$27,719,704 | \$2,316,212 | \$30,035,916 | \$45,489, 122 | \$77,523,099 |
| \% of Group IV Total | 38.1\% | 3.2\% | 41.3\% | 58.7\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$19,020,009 | \$266,965 | \$19,286,974 | \$2,511,517 | \$21,798,491 |
| Washington, DC | \$375,068,844 | \$16,980, 167 | \$392,049,01 I | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Milwaukee, WI | \$66,480,615 | \$55,952,076 | \$122,432,691 | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth County, NJ | \$5,265,196 | \$32,959 | \$5,298, 155 | \$8,023,9 I I | \$13,322,066 |
| Memphis, TN | \$43,540,392 | \$4,029,260 | \$47,569,652 | \$54,159,505 | \$101,729,157 |
| Columbus, OH | \$100,545,768 | \$6,003,653 | \$106,549,42। | \$159,003,298 | \$265,552,719 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$123,955,687 | \$24,374,856 | \$148,330,543 | \$\|46,083,93| | \$294,414,474 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | \$7,306, 116 | \$164,654 | \$7,470,770 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$130,933,322 | \$6,152,617 | \$137,085,939 | \$193,899,104 | \$330,985,043 |
| Montgomery County, MD | \$16,834,073 | \$355,350 | \$17,189,423 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$63,495,235 | \$3,736,372 | \$67,231,607 | \$ 1 3 3,462,333 | \$180,693,940 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$44,997,449 | \$10,446,663 | \$55,444, 112 | \$37,398,560 | \$92,842,672 |
| Detroit, MI | \$209,901,344 | \$38,949,740 | \$248,851,084 | \$181,758,164 | \$430,609,248 |
| Fairfax County, VA | \$30,690,033 | \$708,831 | \$31,398,864 | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$88,431,006 | \$12,011,012 | \$100,442,018 | \$99,588,925 | \$192,438,645 |
| \% of Group V Total | 42.8\% | 5.4\% | 48.2\% | 51.8\% | 100.0\% |

Table 2 Continued on Page A 11

## Continued

Table 2: Total Expenditures Made by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI Pop. > I,000,000 (n=7) | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures | Audience Spending <br> (Arts Event-Related) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$81,933,432 | \$5,116,718 | \$87,050, 150 | \$173,066,769 | \$260, 1 16,919 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$196,836,012 | \$60,755,354 | \$257,591,366 | \$191,764,056 | \$449,355,422 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$9,862,520 | \$58,679 | \$9,921, 199 | \$50,171,915 | \$60,093, 114 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$63,219,244 | \$346,045 | \$63,565,289 | \$47,130, 62 | \$ $110,695,451$ |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$129,216,099 | \$0 | \$129,216,099 | \$272,357,450 | \$401,573,549 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$112,906,074 | \$9,954,954 | \$122,861,028 | \$203,264,339 | \$326, 125,367 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$158,054,294 | \$45,064,427 | \$203, 118,721 | \$124,954,714 | \$328,073,435 |
| Group VI Average | \$107,432,525 | \$17,328,025 | \$124,760,550 | \$151,815,629 | \$276,576,180 |
| Percentage of Group VI Total | 38.8\% | 6.3\% | 45.1\% | 54.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of All Communities | \$31,057,220 | \$4,313,126 | \$35,370,346 | \$4I,404,149 | \$75,089,990 |
| \% of Total Industry Expenditures | 39.3\% | 5.5\% | 44.9\% | 55.1\% | 100.0\% |

## Explanation of Table 3:

## Total Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total economic impact of the expenditures made by the nonprofit arts industry in each of the participating communities during fiscal 2000.

Total industry expenditures are the sum of total expenditures by responding nonprofit arts organizations and event-related spending by their audiences. The total impact is a measure of the effect each expended dollar has on the local economy as it is spent and re-spent within the community. It is derived from input/output models that are customized for each participating community. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 75 communities from which both organizational and audience data were collected.

Column One:
The total spending by the nonprofit arts industry in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of expenditures by responding nonprofit arts organizations and arts event-related audience spending.

## Column Two:

The total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs supported by the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry. An FTE job can represent one full-time employee, two employees who work half-time, four employees who work quarter-time, etc.

## Column Three:

The total amount of household income paid to community residents as a result of the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry. Household income includes salaries, wages, and proprietary income.

## Column Four:

The total amount of revenue that the local government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a result of the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry.

## Column Five:

The total amount of revenue that the state government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a result of the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry.

Table 3: Total Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$2,667,283 | 85 | 1,471,000 | 98,000 | 178,000 |
| Sonora, CA | \$4,645,337 | 147 | 2,414,000 | 151,000 | 319,000 |
| Rockland, ME | \$21,804,653 | 637 | 10,456,000 | 785,000 | 1,041,000 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$1,641,353 | 48 | 1,031,000 | 78,000 | 78,000 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$5,520,619 | 154 | 3,353,000 | 98,000 | 324,000 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$26,094,953 | 870 | 16,185,000 | 1,656,000 | 597,000 |
| Door Co., WI | \$12,525,669 | 427 | 6,490,000 | 614,000 | 813,000 |
| Fairbanks, AK | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$7,107,501 | 208 | 5,074,000 | 286,000 | 422,000 |
| Dublin, OH | \$911,634 | 31 | 741,000 | 28,000 | 62,000 |
| Dover, DE | \$6,306,834 | 262 | 4,954,000 | 87,000 | 309,000 |
| Beloit, WI | \$3,087,564 | 109 | 2,071,000 | 75,000 | 183,000 |
| Minot, ND | \$8,734,407 | 273 | 4,523,000 | 381,000 | 429,000 |
| Wausau, WI | \$9,857,894 | 309 | 5,946,000 | 507,000 | 600,000 |
| Group I Average | \$8,531,208 | 274 | \$4,977,615 | \$372,615 | \$411,923 |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$47,203,235 | 1,637 | 23,716,000 | 1,161,000 | 5,273,000 |
| Lodi, CA | \$3,801,274 | 127 | 2,286,000 | 149,000 | 323,000 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$9,824,787 | 370 | 6,514,000 | 259,000 | 731,000 |
| Janesville, WI | \$1,167,851 | 39 | 708,000 | 34,000 | 74,000 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$222,608,071 | 6,545 | 120,397,000 | 8,194,000 | 1 1,432,000 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$34,167,199 | 960 | 20,050,000 | 1,428,000 | 2,385,000 |
| Springfield, OH | \$10,666,764 | 352 | 5,887,000 | 213,000 | 535,000 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$10,793,949 | 354 | 6,617,000 | 255,000 | 528,000 |
| Asheville, NC | \$60,983,644 | 2,100 | 37,647,000 | 1,692,000 | 3,868,000 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$44,523,230 | 1,567 | 32,242,000 | 1,099,000 | 2,432,000 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$33,466,015 | 1,163 | 19,282,000 | 1,062,000 | 1,540,000 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$5,572,54 I | 214 | 4,052,000 | 180,000 | 495,000 |

Table 3 Continued on Page A14

## Continued

Table 3: Total Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. $50,000-99,000(n=19)$ | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time <br> Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government <br> Revenue | State Government <br> Revenue |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Bedford, MA | $\$ 19,009,434$ | 671 | $12,591,000$ | 612,000 | $1,530,000$ |
| Boulder, CO | $\$ 19,141,140$ | 594 | $11,870,000$ | 530,000 | 663,000 |
| Steuben County, NY | $\$ 2,359,416$ | 77 | $1,537,000$ | 75,000 | 224,000 |
| Group II Average | $\$ 35,019,237$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 8}$ | $\$ 20,359,733$ | $\$ 1,129,533$ | $\$ 2,135,533$ |


| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Total Spending (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$45,472,192 | 1,312 | 22,751,000 | 1,002,000 | 2,480,000 |
| Erie, PA | \$ 1 1, 12 1,550 | 408 | 7,103,000 | 289,000 | 658,000 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$16,083,854 | 442 | 9,079,000 | 436,000 | 706,000 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$9,264,147 | 299 | 5,004,000 | 426,000 | 312,000 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$14,894,4\|। | 493 | 11,646,000 | 747,000 | 1, 122,000 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$10,559,624 | 338 | 6,063,000 | 252,000 | 673,000 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$1,979,100 | 51 | 1,379,000 | 77,000 | 114,000 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$4,719,006 | 179 | 3,745,000 | 154,000 | 312,000 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$48,229,569 | 1,445 | 30,648,000 | 1,195,000 | 3,052,000 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$3,916,760 | 133 | 2,533,000 | 121,000 | 267,000 |
| Boise, ID | \$17,982,460 | 612 | 12,632,000 | 538,000 | 1,118,000 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$4,806,071 | 191 | 3,347,000 | 204,000 | 318,000 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$18,427,337 | 615 | 11,381,000 | 269,000 | 855,000 |
| Glendale, CA | \$10,457,277 | 308 | 7,394,000 | 473,000 | 766,000 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$30,412,083 | 1,102 | 24,293,000 | 1,100,000 | 1,936,000 |
| Madison, WI | \$25,615,134 | 991 | 18,287,000 | 1,762,000 | 1,804,000 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$25,115,815 | 997 | 20,700,000 | 1,118,000 | 1, 100,000 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$92,600,22 I | 3,089 | 59,288,000 | 3,825,000 | 4,953,000 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$5,640,141 | 175 | 3,207,000 | 214,000 | 433,000 |
| Group III Average | \$20,910,356 | 694 | \$13,709,474 | \$747,474 | \$1,209,42I |

## Continued

Table 3: Total Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government <br> Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$29,615,660 | 728 | 18,261,000 | 1,039,000 | 1,608,000 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$69,392,828 | 2,219 | 37,862,000 | 1,606,000 | 4,794,000 |
| Newark, NJ | \$74,218,596 | 2,018 | 58,458,000 | 2,878,000 | 3,994,000 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$76,608,292 | 2,765 | 61,808,000 | 2,203,000 | 4,632,000 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$43,613,926 | 1,433 | 28,594,000 | 1,138,000 | 2,847,000 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$3,449,850 | 112 | 2,645,000 | 1 14,000 | 209,000 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$10,996,556 | 351 | 8,007,000 | 503,000 | 622,000 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$18,089,272 | 562 | 12,039,000 | 496,000 | 1,141,000 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$269,433,035 | 8,504 | 214,038,000 | 8,309,000 | 19,577,000 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$18,064,784 | 606 | 13,748,000 | 578,000 | 1,128,000 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$16,279,679 | 564 | 10,386,000 | 316,000 | 812,000 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$300,514,716 | 9,959 | 187,680,000 | 17,017,000 | 15,459,000 |
| Group IV Average | \$77,523,099 | 2,485 | \$54,460,500 | \$3,016,417 | \$4,735,250 |
| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Union Co., NJ | \$21,798,49 1 | 530 | 14,731,000 | 765,000 | 832,000 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$13,322,066 | 374 | 8,034,000 | 563,000 | 797,000 |
| Memphis, TN | \$101,729,157 | 3,616 | 74,706,000 | 2,838,000 | 6,119,000 |
| Columbus, OH | \$265,552,719 | 8,964 | 186,420,000 | 8,045,000 | 17,485,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$294,414,474 | 10,412 | 230,769,000 | 10,724,000 | 21,312,000 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$330,985,043 | 10,285 | 261,211,000 | 12,824,000 | 19,047,000 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$180,693,940 | 4,978 | 119,770,000 | 5,067,000 | 10,770,000 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$92,842,672 | 2,859 | 67,768,000 | 6,039,000 | 8,006,000 |
| Detroit, MI | \$430,609,248 | 11,755 | 270,506,000 | 13,199,000 | 29,424,000 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$192,438,645 | 5,975 | \$137,101,667 | \$6,673,778 | \$12,643,556 |

Table 3 Continued on Page A16

## Continued

Table 3: Total Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI Pop. > I,000,000 (n=7) | Total Spending (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$260, I 16,919 | 8,467 | 179,084,000 | 9,290,000 | 17,231,000 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$449,355,422 | 14,690 | 320,962,000 | 14,470,000 | 24,061,000 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$60,093, I 14 | 2,117 | 37,355,000 | 2,439,000 | 3,827,000 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$ 1 10,695,45 I | 3,376 | 76,266,000 | 4,270,000 | 4,931,000 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$401,573,549 | 13,645 | 318,758,000 | 19,048,000 | 21,188,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$326, 125,367 | 9,956 | 213,538,000 | 17,221,000 | 24,900,000 |
| Harris County, TX | \$328,073,435 | 9,647 | 229,611,000 | 13,655,000 | 12,964,000 |
| Group VI Average | \$276,576, 180 | 8,843 | \$196,510,571 | \$ 1 1,484,714 | \$15,586,000 |
| Average of All Communities | \$75,089,990 | 2,387 | \$51,914,667 | \$2,835,240 | \$4,534,453 |

## Explanation of Table 4:

## Direct Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the direct economic impact of the expenditures made by the nonprofit arts industry in each of the participating communities during fiscal 2000.

Total industry expenditures are the sum of expenditures by responding nonprofit arts organizations and event-related spending by their audiences. The direct impact is a measure of the effect of the initial expenditure. Because it measures only one round of spending, the direct impact is always smaller than the total impact, which measures the effect of each dollar as it is spent and re-spent within the community. It is derived from input/output models that are customized for each participating community. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 75 communities from which both organizational and audience data were collected.

## Column One:

The total spending generated by the nonprofit arts industry in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of expenditures by responding nonprofit arts organizations and arts eventrelated audience spending.

## Column Two:

The number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs directly supported by the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry. An FTE job can represent one full-time employee, two employees who work half-time, four employees who work quarter-time, etc.

## Column Three:

The amount of household income paid to community residents as a direct result of the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry. Household income includes salaries, wages, and proprietary income.

## Column Four:

The amount of revenue that the local government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a direct result of the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry.

## Column Five:

The amount of revenue that the state government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a direct result of the expenditures generated by each participating community's nonprofit arts industry.

Table 4: Direct Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 ( } \mathrm{n}=15 \text { ) }$ | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$2,667,283 | 68 | \$1,183,000 | \$53,000 | \$83,000 |
| Sonora, CA | \$4,645,337 | 110 | \$1,831,000 | \$89,000 | \$176,000 |
| Rockland, ME | \$21,804,653 | 429 | \$7,651,000 | \$418,000 | \$537,000 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$1,641,353 | 37 | \$826,000 | \$44,000 | \$37,000 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$5,520,619 | 79 | \$2,438,000 | \$21,000 | \$123,000 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$26,094,953 | 628 | \$11,148,000 | \$580,000 | \$248,000 |
| Door Co., WI | \$12,525,669 | 299 | \$4,986,000 | \$308,000 | \$417,000 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$7,107,501 | 108 | \$3,901,000 | \$108,000 | \$210,000 |
| Dublin, OH | \$911,634 | 18 | \$442,000 | \$7,000 | \$26,000 |
| Dover, DE | \$6,306,834 | 184 | \$3,775,000 | \$28,000 | \$148,000 |
| Beloit, WI | \$3,087,564 | 82 | \$1,623,000 | \$18,000 | \$98,000 |
| Minot, ND | \$8,734,407 | 161 | \$3,104,000 | \$218,000 | \$237,000 |
| Wausau, WI | \$9,857,894 | 198 | \$4,058,000 | \$270,000 | \$292,000 |
| Group I Average | \$8,531,208 | 185 | \$3,612,769 | \$166,308 | \$202,462 |


| Population Group II $\text { Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( } n=19)$ | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$47,203,235 | 1,269 | \$17,386,000 | \$518,000 | \$3,010,000 |
| Lodi, CA | \$3,801,274 | 92 | \$1,547,000 | \$76,000 | \$179,000 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$9,824,787 | 234 | \$4,332,000 | \$85,000 | \$353,000 |
| Janesville, WI | \$1,167,85 \| | 27 | \$537,000 | \$13,000 | \$39,000 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$222,608,07 I | 4,432 | \$81,274,000 | \$4,961,000 | \$5,752,000 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$34,167,199 | 720 | \$14,115,000 | \$749,000 | \$1,318,000 |
| Springfield, OH | \$10,666,764 | 252 | \$4,610,000 | \$93,000 | \$294,000 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$10,793,949 | 242 | \$4,650,000 | \$83,000 | \$334,000 |
| Asheville, NC | \$60,983,644 | 1,46\| | \$24,580,000 | \$789,000 | \$1,805,000 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$44,523,230 | 961 | \$24,185,000 | \$244,000 | \$1,105,000 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$33,466,015 | 725 | \$13,629,000 | \$431,000 | \$728,000 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$5,572,54 I | 137 | \$3,022,000 | \$80,000 | \$242,000 |

Table 4 Continued on Page A19

## Continued

Table 4: Direct Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000
$\left.\begin{array}{|r|l|l|l|c|}\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { Continued } \\ \text { Population Group II } \\ \text { Pop. 50,000-99,000 (n=19) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Total Spending } \\ \text { (Nonprofits and Audiences) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Full-Time } \\ \text { Equivalent Jobs }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Resident } \\ \text { Household Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Local Government } \\ \text { Revenue }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { State Government } \\ \text { Revenue }\end{array}\right]$

| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Total Spending (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government <br> Revenue | State Government <br> Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$45,472,192 | 879 | \$14,1 I7,000 | \$239,000 | \$1,195,000 |
| Erie, PA | \$1 1, 121,550 | 235 | \$5,023,000 | \$108,000 | \$321,000 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$16,083,854 | 316 | \$7,032,000 | \$143,000 | \$434,000 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$9,264,147 | 206 | \$3,545,000 | \$228,000 | \$144,000 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$14,894,4\| | | 328 | \$7,097,000 | \$346,000 | \$548,000 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$10,559,624 | 224 | \$4,227,000 | \$91,000 | \$356,000 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$1,979, 100 | 30 | \$858,000 | \$31,000 | \$49,000 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$4,719,006 | 125 | \$2,413,000 | \$26,000 | \$159,000 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$48,229,569 | 944 | \$21,254,000 | \$308,000 | \$1,426,000 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$3,916,760 | 84 | \$1,472,000 | \$21,000 | \$145,000 |
| Boise, ID | \$17,982,460 | 386 | \$8,540,000 | \$182,000 | \$502,000 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$4,806,071 | 137 | \$2,722,000 | \$90,000 | \$163,000 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$18,427,337 | 460 | \$8,831,000 | \$111,000 | \$487,000 |
| Glendale, CA | \$10,457,277 | 185 | \$4,287,000 | \$207,000 | \$364,000 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$30,412,083 | 633 | \$15,168,000 | \$236,000 | \$890,000 |
| Madison, WI | \$25,615,134 | 624 | \$11,204,000 | \$836,000 | \$798,000 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$25,115,815 | 634 | \$13,767,000 | \$485,000 | \$467,000 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$92,600,22I | 1,947 | \$37,699,000 | \$1,641,000 | \$3,041,000 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$5,640, 141 | 131 | \$2,281,000 | \$97,000 | \$238,000 |
| Group III Average | \$20,910,356 | 448 | \$9,028,263 | \$285,579 | \$617,211 |

Table 4 Continued on Page A20

## Continued

Table 4: Direct Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$29,615,660 | 479 | \$11,954,000 | \$480,000 | \$659,000 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$69,392,828 | 1,642 | \$25,987,000 | \$496,000 | \$2,758,000 |
| Newark, NJ | \$74,218,596 | 1,287 | \$38,644,000 | \$850,000 | \$2,001,000 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$76,608,292 | 1,673 | \$41,706,000 | \$871,000 | \$2,041,000 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$43,613,926 | 955 | \$18,500,000 | \$305,000 | \$1,435,000 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$3,449,850 | 62 | \$1,646,000 | \$22,000 | \$95,000 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$10,996,556 | 206 | \$5,623,000 | \$183,000 | \$281,000 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$18,089,272 | 342 | \$8,446,000 | \$166,000 | \$570,000 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$269,433,035 | 4,228 | \$122,223,000 | \$2,113,000 | \$7,767,000 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$18,064,784 | 346 | \$8,449,000 | \$90,000 | \$521,000 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$16,279,679 | 375 | \$6,395,000 | \$94,000 | \$404,000 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$300,514,716 | 7,046 | \$118,730,000 | \$1 1,080,000 | \$8,327,000 |
| Group IV Average | \$77,523,099 | 1,553 | \$34,025,250 | \$1,395,833 | \$2,238,250 |


| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$21,798,491 | 363 | \$ 1 , 092,000 | \$230,000 | \$382,000 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$13,322,066 | 272 | \$5,689,000 | \$219,000 | \$458,000 |
| Memphis, TN | \$101,729,157 | 2,033 | \$45,973,000 | \$970,000 | \$3,486,000 |
| Columbus, OH | \$265,552,719 | 5,366 | \$105,891,000 | \$2,074,000 | \$7,579,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$294,414,474 | 5,938 | \$129,839,000 | \$1,887,000 | \$8,5 1 3,000 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$330,985,043 | 6,072 | \$147,932,000 | \$4,127,000 | \$7,611,000 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$180,693,940 | 3,024 | \$76,833,000 | \$2,295,000 | \$4,836,000 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$92,842,672 | 1,858 | \$47,283,000 | \$1,948,000 | \$3,923,000 |
| Detroit, MI | \$430,609,248 | 7,673 | \$184,758,000 | \$5,626,000 | \$14,969,000 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$192,438,645 | 3,622 | \$83,92 I, I I I | \$2,152,889 | \$5,750,778 |

## Continued

Table 4: Direct Economic Impact of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations and Their Audiences during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI Pop. > I,000,000 (n=7) | Total Spending <br> (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$260, 1 16,919 | 5,107 | \$104,666,000 | \$2,355,000 | \$8,758,000 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$449,355,422 | 8,725 | \$202,365,000 | \$5,232,000 | \$10,308,000 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$60,093, 114 | 1,420 | \$22,231,000 | \$844,000 | \$2,688,000 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$ I I 0,695,45 I | 2,032 | \$50,052,000 | \$1,272,000 | \$2,614,000 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$401,573,549 | 8,314 | \$188,428,000 | \$5, 124,000 | \$11,818,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$326, 125,367 | 6,462 | \$135,164,000 | \$9,02 1,000 | \$12,447,000 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$328,073,435 | 5,843 | \$150,480,000 | \$4,2 15,000 | \$7,305,000 |
| Group VI Average | \$276,576, 180 | 5,415 | \$121,912,286 | \$4,009,000 | \$7,991,143 |
| Average of All Communities | \$75,089,990 | 1,487 | \$32,632,293 | \$1,071,467 | \$2,202,800 |

## Explanation of Table 5:

## Organizational Expenditure Survey Participation in Participating Communities

This table presents the total number of nonprofit arts organizations eligible to be surveyed in each participating community, as well as the number of organizations from which data were collected. Averages and totals are calculated for each population group and for all 9 I communities.

## Column One:

The number of nonprofit arts organizations eligible to be surveyed. The local sponsor in each participating community identified the universe of nonprofit arts organizations in their community. (A list of eligible organization types is provided in the Background and Scope of Study section of this report.) A detailed expenditure survey was mailed to each eligible organization. (The organizational survey instrument is included in Appendix B.)

## Column Two:

The number of nonprofit arts organizations from which detailed expenditure and event attendance data were collected.

## Column Three:

The percentage of eligible nonprofit arts organizations from which detailed expenditure and event attendance data were collected. This figure is calculated by dividing the number of responding organizations (column two) by the total number of organizations eligible to be surveyed (column one).

## Column Four:

The total organizational expenditures of responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of operating costs and asset acquisition.

## Column Five:

The total local organizational expenditures of responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by an input/output model that is customized for each community by using detailed commerce data to estimate the dollars spent on imported good and services. Additionally, it subtracts payments to non-local artists and state and federal taxes. Dollars that are spent outside of the community are considered to have "leaked" from the local economy and have no local impact.

## Column Six:

The total local organizational expenditures as a percentage of the total organizational expenditures; this portrays the percentage of overall organizational expenditures that are spent on local industries. This figure is calculated by dividing the total local organizational expenditures (column five) by the total organizational expenditures (column four) for each participating community.

Table 5: Organizational Expenditure Survey Participation in Participating Communities

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Eligible <br> Organizations | Responding Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | 50 | 4 | 8.0\% | \$1,100,069 | \$837,000 | 76.1\% |
| Sonora, CA | 21 | 5 | 23.8\% | \$1,602,967 | \$1,216,000 | 75.9\% |
| Rockland, ME | 8 | 6 | 75.0\% | \$8,503,683 | \$6,560,000 | 77.1\% |
| Ketchikan, AK | 16 | 3 | 18.8\% | \$896,282 | \$744,000 | 83.0\% |
| Grand Haven, MI | 20 | 8 | 40.0\% | \$4,730,448 | \$3,759,000 | 79.5\% |
| Portsmouth, NH | 39 | 24 | 61.5\% | \$8,585,553 | \$7,213,000 | 84.0\% |
| Door Co., WI | 37 | 21 | 56.8\% | \$5,060,322 | \$3,827,000 | 75.6\% |
| Fairbanks, AK | 23 | 11 | 47.8\% | \$2,344,737 | \$1,406,000 | 60.0\% |
| Gloucester, MA | 24 | 6 | 25.0\% | \$515,939 | \$460,000 | 89.2\% |
| Juneau, AK | 16 | 9 | 56.3\% | \$6, 1 \| I,704 | \$5,647,000 | 92.4\% |
| Dublin, OH | 9 | 4 | 44.4\% | \$489,248 | \$436,000 | 89.1\% |
| Dover, DE | 25 | 25 | 100.0\% | \$4,386,624 | \$3,954,000 | 90.1\% |
| Beloit, WI | 22 | 8 | 36.4\% | \$2,092,895 | \$1,568,000 | 74.9\% |
| Minot, ND | 50 | 24 | 48.0\% | \$3,976,817 | \$3,018,000 | 75.9\% |
| Wausau, WI | 19 | 13 | 68.4\% | \$4,763,665 | \$3,517,000 | 73.8\% |
| Group I Average | 25.3 | 11.4 | 47.3\% | \$3,677,397 | \$2,944,133 | 79.8\% |
| Group I Total | 379 | 171 |  |  |  |  |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Eligible Organizations | Responding Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | 27 | 27 | 100.0\% | \$10,593,448 | \$8,521,000 | 80.4\% |
| Flagstaff, AZ | 33 | 9 | 27.3\% | \$1,776,530 | \$1,459,000 | 82.1\% |
| Niagara Falls, NY | 25 | 22 | 88.0\% | \$6,678,415 | \$5,910,000 | 88.5\% |
| Lodi, CA | 25 | 15 | 60.0\% | \$737,974 | \$613,000 | 83.1\% |
| Missoula, MT | 80 | 24 | 30.0\% | \$2,041,819 | \$1,836,000 | 89.9\% |
| St. Cloud, MN | 46 | 29 | 63.0\% | \$4,018,556 | \$3,465,000 | 86.2\% |
| Janesville, WI | 30 | 12 | 40.0\% | \$584,100 | \$489,000 | 83.7\% |
| Santa Fe, NM | 97 | 57 | 58.8\% | \$71,641,498 | \$50,320,000 | 70.2\% |
| Walnut Creek, CA | 54 | 18 | 33.3\% | \$12,831,635 | \$10,325,000 | 80.5\% |
| Springfield, OH | 28 | 22 | 78.6\% | \$5,925,098 | \$4,209,000 | 71.0\% |
| Bellingham, WA | 12 | 10 | 83.3\% | \$4,793,046 | \$3,897,000 | 81.3\% |
| Asheville, NC | 67 | 41 | 61.2\% | \$14,669,669 | \$12,724,000 | 86.7\% |
| Bloomington, IN | 56 | 27 | 48.2\% | \$35,589,478 | \$27,815,000 | 78.2\% |
| St. Joseph, MO | 22 | 18 | 81.8\% | \$2,372,358 | \$2,020,000 | 85.1\% |
| Lawrence, KS | 25 | 15 | 60.0\% | \$16,944,512 | \$12,533,000 | 74.0\% |
| Chemung Co., NY | 25 | 9 | 36.0\% | \$3,909,160 | \$3,425,000 | 87.6\% |
| New Bedford, MA | 54 | 24 | 44.4\% | \$8,680,164 | \$7,382,000 | 85.0\% |
| Boulder, CO | 175 | 46 | 26.3\% | \$7,864,302 | \$5,989,000 | 76.2\% |

Table 5 Continued on Page A24

## Continued

Table 5: Organizational Expenditure Survey Participation in Participating Communities

| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Eligible <br> Organizations | Responding Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Steuben Co., NY | 30 | 9 | 30.0\% | \$1,387,765 | \$1,279,000 | 92.2\% |
| Group II Average | 48 | 23 | 55.3\% | \$11,212,607 | \$8,642,684 | 82.2\% |
| Group II Total | 911 | 434 |  |  |  |  |
| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Eligible Organizations | Responding Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| Green Bay, WI | 82 | 28 | 34.1\% | \$19,972,955 | \$8,430,000 | 42.2\% |
| Erie, PA | 45 | 18 | 40.0\% | \$6,805,478 | \$5,711,000 | 83.9\% |
| Indian River Co., FL | 81 | 8 | 9.9\% | \$8,870,395 | \$6,464,000 | 72.9\% |
| Fort Collins, CO | 28 | 20 | 71.4\% | \$3,857,978 | \$2,611,000 | 67.7\% |
| Lansing, MI | 87 | 47 | 54.0\% | \$27,896,210 | \$21,194,000 | 76.0\% |
| Alexandria, VA | 239 | 45 | 18.8\% | \$9,015,952 | \$7,632,000 | 84.6\% |
| Pasadena, CA | 15 | 9 | 60.0\% | \$6,015,188 | \$5,387,000 | 89.6\% |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | 93 | 28 | 30.1\% | \$3,276,299 | \$2,814,000 | 85.9\% |
| Santa Clarita, CA | 18 | 5 | 27.8\% | \$1,340,249 | \$963,000 | 71.9\% |
| Tempe, AZ | 21 | 8 | 38.1\% | \$1,936,076 | \$1,618,000 | 83.6\% |
| Dayton, OH | 85 | 35 | 41.2\% | \$24,505,252 | \$19,614,000 | 80.0\% |
| Worcester, MA | 43 | 26 | 60.5\% | \$30,267,88। | \$21,878,000 | 72.3\% |
| Chandler, AZ | 6 | 3 | 50.0\% | \$1,284,478 | \$924,000 | 71.9\% |
| Boise, ID | 83 | 33 | 39.8\% | \$9,791,498 | \$8,073,000 | 82.4\% |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | 40 | 18 | 45.0\% | \$3,338,139 | \$2,751,000 | 82.4\% |
| Arlington Co., VA | 57 | 40 | 70.2\% | \$34,601,211 | \$27,206,000 | 78.6\% |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | 63 | 24 | 38.1\% | \$8,806,345 | \$7,410,000 | 84.1\% |
| Glendale, CA | 100 | 20 | 20.0\% | \$3,796,566 | \$3,357,000 | 88.4\% |
| Scottsdale, AZ | 47 | 11 | 23.4\% | \$16,696,858 | \$15,263,000 | 91.4\% |
| Madison, WI | 29 | 29 | 100.0\% | \$10,552,995 | \$9,224,000 | 87.4\% |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 115 | 40 | 34.8\% | \$14,900,78। | \$13,466,000 | 90.4\% |
| St. Petersburg, FL | 37 | 35 | 94.6\% | \$31,575,521 | \$25,314,000 | 80.2\% |
| Placer Co., CA | 69 | 24 | 34.8\% | \$1,417,710 | \$1,244,000 | 87.7\% |
| Group III Average | 64 | 24 | 45.1\% | \$12,196,609 | \$9,502,087 | 79.8\% |
| Group III Total | 1,483 | 554 |  |  |  |  |

## Continued

Table 5: Organizational Expenditure Survey Participation in Participating Communities

| Population Group IV Pop. 250,000-499,999 (n=13) | Eligible <br> Organizations | Responding Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | 35 | 21 | 60.0\% | \$10,322,924 | \$8,564,000 | 83.0\% |
| Northampton Co., PA | 48 | 31 | 64.6\% | \$20,891,052 | \$15,288,000 | 73.2\% |
| Newark, NJ | 38 | 13 | 34.2\% | \$44,503,874 | \$37,935,000 | 85.2\% |
| Forsyth Co., NC | 88 | 36 | 40.9\% | \$49,597,940 | \$42,194,000 | 85.1\% |
| Lehigh Co., PA | 64 | 45 | 70.3\% | \$ \| 1,882,69 | | \$10,124,000 | 85.2\% |
| Fox Valley, WI | 27 | 15 | 55.6\% | \$6,059, 181 | \$5,384,000 | 88.9\% |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | 20 | 8 | 40.0\% | \$2,116,980 | \$1,738,000 | 82.1\% |
| Waukesha Co., WI | 147 | 19 | 12.9\% | \$8,537,648 | \$7,083,000 | 83.0\% |
| Berks Co., PA | 77 | 22 | 28.6\% | \$10,433,259 | \$9, 132,000 | 87.5\% |
| Minneapolis, MN | 300 | 71 | 23.7\% | \$171,253,800 | \$142,815,000 | 83.4\% |
| Mesa, AZ | 25 | 8 | 32.0\% | \$10,165,835 | \$8,644,000 | 85.0\% |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | 45 | 18 | 40.0\% | \$3,174,789 | \$2,841,000 | 89.5\% |
| New Orleans, LA | 129 | 68 | 52.7\% | \$41,526,939 | \$35,626,000 | 85.8\% |
| Group IV Average | 80 | 29 | 42.7\% | \$30,035,916 | \$25,182, 154 | 84.4\% |
| Group IV Total | 1,043 | 375 |  |  |  |  |
| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 (n=14) | Eligible Organizations | Responding Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| Union Co., NJ | 301 | 58 | 19.3\% | \$19,286,974 | \$13,278,000 | 68.8\% |
| Washington, DC | 349 | 88 | 25.2\% | \$392,049,011 | \$323,316,000 | 82.5\% |
| Milwaukee, WI | 56 | 56 | 100.0\% | \$122,432,69। | \$80,716,000 | 65.9\% |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | 124 | 31 | 25.0\% | \$5,298, 155 | \$4,347,000 | 82.0\% |
| Memphis, TN | 52 | 32 | 61.5\% | \$47,569,652 | \$42,077,000 | 88.5\% |
| Columbus, OH | 143 | 66 | 46.2\% | \$106,549,42। | \$83,326,000 | 78.2\% |
| Indianapolis, IN | 128 | 49 | 38.3\% | \$148,330,543 | \$124,216,000 | 83.7\% |
| Prince George's Co., MD | 60 | 24 | 40.0\% | \$7,470,770 | \$6,369,000 | 85.3\% |
| Fulton Co., GA | 329 | 96 | 29.2\% | \$137,085,939 | \$117,001,000 | 85.3\% |
| Montgomery Co., MD | 94 | 53 | 56.4\% | \$17,189,423 | \$16,139,000 | 93.9\% |
| Honolulu Co., HI | 200 | 78 | 39.0\% | \$67,231,607 | \$56,415,000 | 83.9\% |
| Westchester Co., NY | 150 | 87 | 58.0\% | \$55,444, 112 | \$43,050,000 | 77.6\% |
| Detroit, MI | 255 | 50 | 19.6\% | \$248,851,084 | \$197,977,000 | 79.6\% |
| Fairfax Co., VA | 118 | 42 | 35.6\% | \$31,398,864 | \$20,349,000 | 64.8\% |
| Group V Average | 169 | 58 | 42.4\% | \$100,442,018 | \$80,612,57 I | 80.0\% |
| Group V Total | 2,359 | 810 |  |  |  |  |

## Continued

Table 5: Organizational Expenditure Survey Participation in Participating Communities

| Population Group VI <br> Pop. > I,000,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | Eligible <br> Organizations | Responding <br> Organizations | Rate of Compliance | Total Expenditures | Total Local Expenditures | Local as a \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | 176 | 65 | 36.9\% | \$87,050, 150 | \$71,045,000 | 81.6\% |
| St. Louis, MO | 79 | 77 | 97.5\% | \$257,591,366 | \$216,242,000 | 83.9\% |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | 62 | 27 | 43.5\% | \$9,921,199 | \$8,847,000 | 89.2\% |
| Broward Co., FL | 115 | 53 | 46.1\% | \$63,565,289 | \$50,552,000 | 79.5\% |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | 295 | 281 | 95.3\% | \$129,216,099 | \$121,615,000 | 94.1\% |
| San Diego Co., CA | 154 | 90 | 58.4\% | \$122,861,028 | \$101,808,000 | 82.9\% |
| Harris Co., TX | 500 | 51 | 10.2\% | \$203, 118,721 | \$164,426,000 | 81.0\% |
| Group VI Average | 197 | 92 | 55.4\% | \$124,760,550 | \$104,933,571 | 84.6\% |
| Group VI Total | I,381 | 644 |  |  |  |  |
| Average of All Communities | 83 | 33 | 47.6\% | \$35,370,346 | \$28,762,637 | 81.4\% |
| Total of All Communities | 7,556 | 2,988 |  |  |  |  |

## Explanation of Table 6:

## Total Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total economic impact of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000.

The total impact is a measure of the effect each expended dollar has on the local economy as it is spent and re-spent within the community. It is derived from input/output models that are customized for each participating community. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 91 communities.

## Column One:

The total organizational expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of operating costs and asset acquisition.

## Column Two:

The total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs supported by the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community. An FTE job can represent one full-time employee, two employees who work half-time, four employees who work quarter-time, etc.

## Column Three:

The total amount of household income paid to community residents as a result of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community. Household income includes salaries, wages, and proprietary income.

## Column Four:

The total amount of revenue that the local government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a result of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community.

## Column Five:

The total amount of revenue that the state government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a result of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community.

Table 6: Total Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 ( } n=15 \text { ) }$ | Total Expeditures <br> (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$1,100,069 | 32 | \$724,000 | \$25,000 | \$64,000 |
| Sonora, CA | \$1,602,967 | 47 | \$1,033,000 | \$45,000 | \$88,000 |
| Rockland, ME | \$8,503,683 | 200 | \$4,171,000 | \$185,000 | \$262,000 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$896,282 | 24 | \$649,000 | \$33,000 | \$47,000 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$4,730,448 | 128 | \$2,960,000 | \$77,000 | \$252,000 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$8,585,553 | 274 | \$6,647,000 | \$607,000 | \$186,000 |
| Door Co., WI | \$5,060,322 | 170 | \$3,096,000 | \$190,000 | \$268,000 |
| Fairbanks, AK | \$2,344,737 | 50 | \$1,191,000 | \$51,000 | \$111,000 |
| Gloucester, MA | \$515,939 | 16 | \$412,000 | \$22,000 | \$33,000 |
| Juneau, AK | \$6,111,704 | 182 | \$4,590,000 | \$221,000 | \$378,000 |
| Dublin, OH | \$489,248 | 16 | \$453,000 | \$15,000 | \$28,000 |
| Dover, DE | \$4,386,624 | 189 | \$3,994,000 | \$66,000 | \$236,000 |
| Beloit, WI | \$2,092,895 | 73 | \$1,591,000 | \$49,000 | \$108,000 |
| Minot, ND | \$3,976,817 | 103 | \$2,151,000 | \$132,000 | \$139,000 |
| Wausau, WI | \$4,763,665 | 134 | \$3,215,000 | \$149,000 | \$220,000 |
| Group I Average | \$3,677,397 | 109 | \$2,458,467 | \$124,467 | \$161,333 |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Total Expenditures (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | \$10,593,448 | 298 | \$9,392,000 | \$426,000 | \$502,000 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | \$1,776,530 | 62 | \$1,471,000 | \$59,000 | \$74,000 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$6,678,415 | 251 | \$4,691,000 | \$184,000 | \$595,000 |
| Lodi, CA | \$737,974 | 21 | \$488,000 | \$22,000 | \$43,000 |
| Missoula, MT | \$2,041,819 | 85 | \$1,684,000 | \$91,000 | \$73,000 |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$4,018,556 | 146 | \$3,226,000 | \$110,000 | \$242,000 |
| Janesville, WI | \$584,100 | 20 | \$424,000 | \$19,000 | \$32,000 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$71,641,498 | 1,956 | \$44,389,000 | \$1,246,000 | \$2,444,000 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$12,831,635 | 308 | \$9,268,000 | \$376,000 | \$643,000 |
| Springfield, OH | \$5,925,098 | 187 | \$3,655,000 | \$114,000 | \$231,000 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$4,793,046 | 160 | \$3,496,000 | \$113,000 | \$111,000 |
| Asheville, NC | \$14,669,669 | 547 | \$13,298,000 | \$336,000 | \$827,000 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$35,589,478 | 1,249 | \$27,640,000 | \$861,000 | \$1,785,000 |
| St. Joseph, MO | \$2,372,358 | 89 | \$1,912,000 | \$64,000 | \$99,000 |
| Lawrence, KS | \$16,944,512 | 583 | \$10,741,000 | \$359,000 | \$573,000 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$3,909,160 | 153 | \$3,2 12,000 | \$133,000 | \$321,000 |

Table 6 Continued on Page A29

## Continued

Table 6: Total Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Continued <br> Group II <br> Pop. $50,000-99,000(n=19)$ | Total Expenditures <br> (Organizations) | Full-Time <br> Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government <br> Revenue | State Government <br> Revenue |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| New Bedford, MA | $\$ 8,680,164$ | 308 | $\$ 7,012,000$ | $\$ 264,000$ | $\$ 589,000$ |
| Boulder, CO | $\$ 7,864,302$ | 214 | $\$ 5,471,000$ | $\$ 214,000$ | $\$ 224,000$ |
| Steuben Co., NY | $\$ 1,387,765$ | 49 | $\$ 1,138,000$ | $\$ 39,000$ | $\$ 122,000$ |
| Group II Average | $\$ 11,212,607$ | 352 | $\$ 8,032,000$ | $\$ 264,737$ | $\$ 501,579$ |


| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Total Expenditures (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$19,972,955 | 331 | \$7,908,000 | \$290,000 | \$519,000 |
| Erie, PA | \$6,805,478 | 241 | \$4,878,000 | \$186,000 | \$332,000 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$8,870,395 | 231 | \$5,880,000 | \$207,000 | \$279,000 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$3,857,978 | 108 | \$2,283,000 | \$124,000 | \$103,000 |
| Lansing, MI | \$27,896,2 10 | 888 | \$21,036,000 | \$692,000 | \$1,429,000 |
| Alexandria, VA | \$9,015,952 | 331 | \$7,223,000 | \$307,000 | \$533,000 |
| Pasadena, CA | \$6,015,188 | 196 | \$5,922,000 | \$206,000 | \$343,000 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$3,276,299 | 115 | \$2,531,000 | \$86,000 | \$171,000 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$1,340,249 | 31 | \$950,000 | \$41,000 | \$60,000 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$1,936,076 | 74 | \$1,978,000 | \$60,000 | \$90,000 |
| Dayton, OH | \$24,505,252 | 769 | \$19,331,000 | \$578,000 | \$1,165,000 |
| Worcester, MA | \$30,267,88। | 804 | \$20,609,000 | \$734,000 | \$1,546,000 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$1,284,478 | 35 | \$917,000 | \$35,000 | \$49,000 |
| Boise, ID | \$9,791,498 | 319 | \$7,893,000 | \$266,000 | \$508,000 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$3,338,139 | 127 | \$2,534,000 | \$100,000 | \$186,000 |
| Arlington Co., VA | \$34,601,211 | 819 | \$19,705,000 | \$1,797,000 | \$1,657,000 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$8,806,345 | 318 | \$7,076,000 | \$126,000 | \$304,000 |
| Glendale, CA | \$3,796,566 | 102 | \$3,158,000 | \$124,000 | \$203,000 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$16,696,858 | 596 | \$15,716,000 | \$632,000 | \$811,000 |
| Madison, WI | \$ 0,552,995 | 375 | \$9,055,000 | \$549,000 | \$616,000 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$14,900,78। | 620 | \$14,549,000 | \$459,000 | \$546,000 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$31,575,521 | 960 | \$23,657,000 | \$1,018,000 | \$910,000 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$1,417,710 | 44 | \$1,088,000 | \$47,000 | \$82,000 |
| Group III Average | \$12,196,609 | 367 | \$8,951,174 | \$376,696 | \$540,957 |

## Continued

Table 6: Total Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Total Expenditures (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government <br> Revenue | State Government <br> Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$10,322,924 | 241 | \$7,995,000 | \$428,000 | \$500,000 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$20,891,052 | 538 | \$12,324,000 | \$548,000 | \$921,000 |
| Newark, NJ | \$44,503,874 | 1,206 | \$40,460,000 | \$1,565,000 | \$1,826,000 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$49,597,940 | 1,749 | \$45,298,000 | \$1,069,000 | \$2,685,000 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$ 1 , 882,691 | 391 | \$9,858,000 | \$333,000 | \$569,000 |
| Fox Valley, WI | \$6,059,181 | 256 | \$5,771,000 | \$194,000 | \$359,000 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$2,116,980 | 67 | \$1,792,000 | \$68,000 | \$93,000 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$8,537,648 | 270 | \$6,578,000 | \$328,000 | \$432,000 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$10,433,259 | 318 | \$7,950,000 | \$278,000 | \$529,000 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$171,253,800 | 5,279 | \$148,998,000 | \$5,333,000 | \$10,275,000 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$10,165,835 | 331 | \$8,847,000 | \$309,000 | \$465,000 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$3,174,789 | 122 | \$2,776,000 | \$63,000 | \$116,000 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$41,526,939 | 1,355 | \$34,084,000 | \$1, 135,000 | \$1,409,000 |
| Group IV Average | \$30,035,916 | 933 | \$25,594,692 | \$896,231 | \$1,552,231 |


| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Total Expenditures (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$19,286,974 | 456 | \$13,370,000 | \$655,000 | \$647,000 |
| Washington, DC* | \$392,049,01 I | 7,943 | \$285,412,000 | \$38,029,000 | \$0 |
| Milwaukee, WI | \$ 122,432,69 \| | 2,866 | \$79,473,000 | \$3,895,000 | \$5,411,000 |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$5,298,155 | 135 | \$3,855,000 | \$273,000 | \$218,000 |
| Memphis, TN | \$47,569,652 | 1,668 | \$40,061,000 | \$1,227,000 | \$1,678,000 |
| Columbus, OH | \$ 106,549,42 1 | 3,291 | \$85,082,000 | \$3,190,000 | \$5,444,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$148,330,543 | 4,694 | \$131,560,000 | \$5,499,000 | \$8,794,000 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | \$7,470,770 | 203 | \$6,489,000 | \$212,000 | \$581,000 |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$137,085,939 | 4,094 | \$127,393,000 | \$4,492,000 | \$6,523,000 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | \$17,189,423 | 816 | \$15,847,000 | \$549,000 | \$1,480,000 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$67,231,607 | 1,918 | \$55,2 18,000 | \$1,344,000 | \$3,268,000 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$55,444, 112 | 1,697 | \$43,461,000 | \$3,172,000 | \$3,813,000 |
| Detroit, MI | \$248,851,084 | 6,072 | \$173,099,000 | \$7,614,000 | \$13,2 10,000 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | \$31,398,864 | 713 | \$17,524,000 | \$714,000 | \$1,228,000 |
| Group V Average | \$100,442,018 | 2,612 | \$76,988,857 | \$5,061,786 | \$3,735,357 |

[^0]Table 6 Continued on Page A31

## Continued

Table 6: Total Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI <br> Pop. > $1,000,000(n=7)$ | Total Spending (Nonprofits and Audiences) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$87,050, 150 | 2,735 | \$71,787,000 | \$2,950,000 | \$3,842,000 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$257,591,366 | 7,859 | \$205,986,000 | \$6,610,000 | \$11,307,000 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$9,921,199 | 366 | \$8,248,000 | \$407,000 | \$251,000 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$63,565,289 | 1,845 | \$49,882,000 | \$2,499,000 | \$1,837,000 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$129,216,099 | 4,613 | \$130,629,000 | \$6,242,000 | \$4, I I 8,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$122,861,028 | 3,463 | \$95,594,000 | \$4,159,000 | \$6,789,000 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$203, 118,721 | 5,396 | \$155,732,000 | \$7,255,000 | \$4,310,000 |
| Group VI Average | \$124,760,550 | 3,754 | \$102,551,143 | \$4,303,143 | \$4,636,286 |
| Average of All Communities | \$35,370,346 | 1,008 | \$27,734,01 I | \$1,408,780 | \$1,42 1,099 |

## Explanation ofTable 7:

## Direct Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the direct economic impact of the total expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

The direct impact is a measure of the effect of the initial expenditure. Because it measures only one round of spending the direct impact is always smaller than the total impact, which measures the effect of each dollar as it is spent and re-spent within the community. It is derived from input/output models that are customized for each participating community. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 91 communities.

## Column One:

The total organizational expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of operating costs and asset acquisition.

## Column Two:

The number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs directly supported by the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community. An FTE job can represent one full-time employee, two employees who work half-time, four employees who work quarter-time, etc.

## Column Three:

The amount of household income paid to community residents as a direct result of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community. Household income includes salaries, wages, and proprietary income.

## Column Four:

The amount of revenue that the local government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a direct result of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community.

## Column Five:

The amount of revenue that the state government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a direct result of the expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community.

Table 7: Direct Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Total Expeditures (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$1,100,069 | 22 | \$606,000 | \$5,000 | \$24,000 |
| Sonora, CA | \$1,602,967 | 28 | \$839,000 | \$23,000 | \$47,000 |
| Rockland, ME | \$8,503,683 | 83 | \$3,203,000 | \$62,000 | \$98,000 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$896,282 | 16 | \$537,000 | \$13,000 | \$25,000 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$4,730,448 | 58 | \$2,169,000 | \$12,000 | \$81,000 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$8,585,553 | 164 | \$5,059,000 | \$234,000 | \$75,000 |
| Door Co., Wl | \$5,060,322 | 93 | \$2,500,000 | \$63,000 | \$133,000 |
| Fairbanks, AK | \$2,344,737 | 21 | \$895,000 | \$17,000 | \$49,000 |
| Gloucester, MA | \$515,939 | 10 | \$318,000 | \$12,000 | \$16,000 |
| Juneau, AK | \$6,111,704 | 87 | \$3,562,000 | \$63,000 | \$192,000 |
| Dublin, OH | \$489,248 | 7 | \$291,000 | \$4,000 | \$9,000 |
| Dover, DE | \$4,386,624 | 126 | \$3,130,000 | \$22,000 | \$130,000 |
| Beloit, WI | \$2,092,895 | 52 | \$1,294,000 | \$10,000 | \$56,000 |
| Minot, ND | \$3,976,817 | 33 | \$1,569,000 | \$63,000 | \$64,000 |
| Wausau, WI | \$4,763,665 | 63 | \$2,358,000 | \$35,000 | \$92,000 |
| Group I Average | \$3,677,397 | 58 | \$1,888,667 | \$42,533 | \$72,733 |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Total Expenditures (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Oak Park, IL | \$10,593,448 | 162 | \$6,156,000 | \$86,000 | \$147,000 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | \$1,776,530 | 40 | \$1,182,000 | \$12,000 | \$29,000 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$6,678,415 | 140 | \$3,787,000 | \$79,000 | \$300,000 |
| Lodi, CA | \$737,974 | 9 | \$363,000 | \$8,000 | \$19,000 |
| Missoula, MT | \$2,041,819 | 39 | \$1,200,000 | \$32,000 | \$34,000 |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$4,018,556 | 68 | \$2,335,000 | \$36,000 | \$99,000 |
| Janesville, WI | \$584,100 | 11 | \$339,000 | \$8,000 | \$16,000 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$71,641,498 | 977 | \$32,270,000 | \$208,000 | \$839,000 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$12,831,635 | 175 | \$7,039,000 | \$1 12,000 | \$274,000 |
| Springfield, OH | \$5,925,098 | 117 | \$2,992,000 | \$47,000 | \$122,000 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$4,793,046 | 95 | \$2,636,000 | \$34,000 | \$21,000 |
| Asheville, NC | \$14,669,669 | 323 | \$9,931,000 | \$95,000 | \$371,000 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$35,589,478 | 719 | \$21,165,000 | \$170,000 | \$761,000 |
| St. Joseph, MO | \$2,372,358 | 54 | \$1,477,000 | \$14,000 | \$45,000 |
| Lawrence, KS | \$16,944,512 | 297 | \$7,959,000 | \$41,000 | \$198,000 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$3,909, 160 | 89 | \$2,469,000 | \$59,000 | \$148,000 |
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## Continued

Table 7: Direct Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000
$\left.\begin{array}{|r|c|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{r}\text { Continued } \\ \text { Population Group II } \\ \text { Pop. } 50,000-99,000(n=19)\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Total Expenditures } \\ \text { (Organizations) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Full-Time } \\ \text { Equivalent Jobs }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Resident } \\ \text { Household Income }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Local Government } \\ \text { Revenue }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { New Bedford, MA } & \$ 8,680,164 & 184 & \$ 5,363,000 & \$ 93,000 \\ \text { State Government } \\ \text { Revenue }\end{array}\right]$

| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Total Expenditures <br> (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$19,972,955 | 146 | \$5,516,000 | \$75,000 | \$187,000 |
| Erie, PA | \$6,805,478 | 103 | \$3,596,000 | \$70,000 | \$131,000 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$8,870,395 | 145 | \$4,801,000 | \$50,000 | \$130,000 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$3,857,978 | 57 | \$1,750,000 | \$45,000 | \$49,000 |
| Lansing, MI | \$27,896,210 | 436 | \$14,890,000 | \$149,000 | \$527,000 |
| Alexandria, VA | \$9,015,952 | 277 | \$5,58।,000 | \$98,000 | \$253,000 |
| Pasadena, CA | \$6,015,188 | 116 | \$4,055,000 | \$32,000 | \$124,000 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$3,276,299 | 55 | \$1,904,000 | \$28,000 | \$73,000 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$1,340,249 | 16 | \$624,000 | \$11,000 | \$21,000 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$1,936,076 | 49 | \$1,429,000 | \$5,000 | \$28,000 |
| Dayton, OH | \$24,505,252 | 378 | \$13,421,000 | \$119,000 | \$444,000 |
| Worcester, MA | \$30,267,88 | 436 | \$14,885,000 | \$183,000 | \$616,000 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$1,284,478 | 14 | \$604,000 | \$5,000 | \$14,000 |
| Boise, ID | \$9,791,498 | 166 | \$5,702,000 | \$72,000 | \$194,000 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$3,338, 139 | 82 | \$2,123,000 | \$19,000 | \$90,000 |
| Arlington Co., VA | \$34,601,211 | 694 | \$15,730,000 | \$1,173,000 | \$957,000 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$8,806,345 | 222 | \$5,821,000 | \$44,000 | \$137,000 |
| Glendale, CA | \$3,796,566 | 43 | \$2,065,000 | \$25,000 | \$66,000 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$16,696,858 | 274 | \$10,598,000 | \$141,000 | \$228,000 |
| Madison, WI | \$10,552,995 | 163 | \$6,091,000 | \$139,000 | \$222,000 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$14,900,781 | 362 | \$10,248,000 | \$46,000 | \$169,000 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$31,575,521 | 398 | \$16,564,000 | \$293,000 | \$272,000 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$1,417,710 | 25 | \$846,000 | \$15,000 | \$34,000 |
| Group III Average | \$12,196,609 | 202 | \$6,471,478 | \$123,348 | \$215,913 |
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Table 7: Direct Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Total Expenditures <br> (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$10,322,924 | 121 | \$5,833,000 | \$232,000 | \$190,000 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$20,891,052 | 301 | \$9,399,000 | \$239,000 | \$441,000 |
| Newark, NJ | \$44,503,874 | 698 | \$28,564,000 | \$306,000 | \$694,000 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$49,597,940 | 930 | \$32,162,000 | \$167,000 | \$1,095,000 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$11,882,69 \| | 194 | \$7,075,000 | \$92,000 | \$214,000 |
| Fox Valley, WI | \$6,059, 181 | 15 \| | \$4,188,000 | \$44,000 | \$153,000 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$2,116,980 | 32 | \$1,198,000 | \$13,000 | \$25,000 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$8,537,648 | 145 | \$4,775,000 | \$76,000 | \$181,000 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$10,433,259 | 157 | \$5,926,000 | \$84,000 | \$214,000 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$171,253,800 | 1,997 | \$89,038,000 | \$1,277,000 | \$2,861,000 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$10,165,835 | 155 | \$5,847,000 | \$34,000 | \$123,000 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$3,174,789 | 66 | \$1,981,000 | \$18,000 | \$40,000 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$41,526,939 | 722 | \$24,697,000 | \$263,000 | \$543,000 |
| Group IV Average | \$30,035,916 | 436 | \$16,975,615 | \$218,846 | \$521,077 |
| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Total Expenditures <br> (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Union Co., NJ | \$19,286,974 | 305 | \$10,268,000 | \$189,000 | \$270,000 |
| Washington, DC* | \$392,049,01 1 | 5,822 | \$205,826,000 | \$13,721,000 | \$0 |
| Milwaukee, WI | \$ $122,432,691$ | 1,148 | \$49,650,000 | \$608,000 | \$1,611,000 |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$5,298, 155 | 79 | \$2,948,000 | \$129,000 | \$95,000 |
| Memphis, TN | \$47,569,652 | 663 | \$26,740,000 | \$337,000 | \$417,000 |
| Columbus, OH | \$106,549,42। | I,45 । | \$54,149,000 | \$853,000 | \$1,903,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$148,330,543 | 1,968 | \$80,648,000 | \$1,059,000 | \$2,601,000 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | \$7,470,770 | 151 | \$5,151,000 | \$53,000 | \$288,000 |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$137,085,939 | 1,841 | \$79,58।,000 | \$806,000 | \$2,009,000 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | \$17,189,423 | 702 | \$12,366,000 | \$122,000 | \$723,000 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$67,231,607 | 917 | \$39,210,000 | \$307,000 | \$1,286,000 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$55,444, I 12 | 990 | \$32,239,000 | \$916,000 | \$1,597,000 |
| Detroit, MI | \$248,85।,084 | 3,249 | \$125,235,000 | \$3,209,000 | \$5,452,000 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | \$31,398,864 | 587 | \$13,526,000 | \$210,000 | \$504,000 |
| Group V Average | \$100,442,018 | 1,420 | \$52,681,214 | \$1,608,500 | \$1,339,714 |
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## Continued

Table 7: Direct Economic Impact of Expenditures by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > } 1,000,000(n=7)$ | Total Spending <br> (Organizations) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$87,050, 150 | 1,231 | \$47,994,000 | \$662,000 | \$1,135,000 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$257,591,366 | 3,650 | \$137,055,000 | \$1,188,000 | \$3,696,000 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$9,921,199 | 157 | \$5,777,000 | \$123,000 | \$53,000 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$63,565,289 | 902 | \$34,765,000 | \$738,000 | \$476,000 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$129,216,099 | 2,353 | \$87,604,000 | \$1,515,000 | \$834,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$122,861,028 | 1,670 | \$66,945,000 | \$1,096,000 | \$2,430,000 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$203, 118,721 | 2,585 | \$107,337,000 | \$1,497,000 | \$808,000 |
| Group VI Average | \$124,760,550 | 1,793 | \$69,639,571 | \$974,143 | \$1,347,429 |
| Average of All Communities | \$35,370,346 | 519 | \$19,082,68 I | \$405,275 | \$493,088 |

## Explanation of Table 8:

## Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

This table summarizes the total and direct economic impacts of expenditures made by nonprofit arts organizations in the form of ratios.

Using this chart, a determination can be made of the economic impacts per $\$ 100,000$ of spending by nonprofit arts organizations. These ratios are derived by dividing the total and direct economic impact of expenditures by nonprofit arts organizations (found on Tables 6 and 7) by the total expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations, and then multiplying by 100,000. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 91 communities.

## Column One:

The economic impact ratio for the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs supported per $\$ 100,000$ of spending by nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community.

## Column Two:

The economic impact ratio for the total amount of household income paid to local residents per \$100,000.

## Column Three:

The economic impact ratio for the total amount of revenue that the local government receives per \$100,000.

## Column Four:

The economic impact ratio for the total amount of revenue that the state government receives per \$100,000.

## Column Five:

The direct economic impact ratio for the number of (FTE) jobs supported per \$100,000.

## Column Six:

The direct economic impact ratio for the amount of household income paid to local residents per \$100,000.

## Column Seven:

The direct economic impact ratio for the amount of revenue that the local government receives per \$100,000.

## Column Eight:

The direct economic impact ratio for the amount of revenue that the state government receives per $\$ 100,000$.

Table 8: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

|  | Total Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 }(n=15)$ | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue |
| Homer, AK | 2.91 | \$65,814 | \$2,273 | \$5,8।8 | 2.00 | \$55,087 | \$455 | \$2,182 |
| Sonora, CA | 2.93 | \$64,443 | \$2,807 | \$5,490 | 1.75 | \$52,340 | \$1,435 | \$2,932 |
| Rockland, ME | 2.35 | \$49,049 | \$2,176 | \$3,081 | 0.98 | \$37,666 | \$729 | \$1,152 |
| Ketchikan, AK | 2.68 | \$72,410 | \$3,682 | \$5,244 | 1.79 | \$59,914 | \$1,450 | \$2,789 |
| Grand Haven, MI | 2.71 | \$62,573 | \$1,628 | \$5,327 | 1.23 | \$45,852 | \$254 | \$1,712 |
| Portsmouth, NH | 3.19 | \$77,421 | \$7,070 | \$2,166 | 1.91 | \$58,925 | \$2,726 | \$874 |
| Door Co., WI | 3.36 | \$61,182 | \$3,755 | \$5,296 | 1.84 | \$49,404 | \$1,245 | \$2,628 |
| Fairbanks, AK | 2.13 | \$50,795 | \$2,175 | \$4,734 | 0.90 | \$38,171 | \$725 | \$2,090 |
| Gloucester, MA | 3.10 | \$79,854 | \$4,264 | \$6,396 | 1.94 | \$61,635 | \$2,326 | \$3,101 |
| Juneau, AK | 2.98 | \$75,102 | \$3,616 | \$6,185 | 1.42 | \$58,282 | \$1,031 | \$3,142 |
| Dublin, OH | 3.27 | \$92,591 | \$3,066 | \$5,723 | 1.43 | \$59,479 | \$818 | \$1,840 |
| Dover, DE | 4.31 | \$91,050 | \$1,505 | \$5,380 | 2.87 | \$71,353 | \$502 | \$2,964 |
| Beloit, WI | 3.49 | \$76,019 | \$2,341 | \$5,160 | 2.48 | \$61,828 | \$478 | \$2,676 |
| Minot, ND | 2.59 | \$54,088 | \$3,319 | \$3,495 | 0.83 | \$39,454 | \$1,584 | \$1,609 |
| Wausau, WI | 2.81 | \$67,490 | \$3,128 | \$4,618 | 1.32 | \$49,500 | \$735 | \$1,931 |
| Group I Average | 2.99 | \$69,325 | \$3,120 | \$4,94 I | 1.65 | \$53,259 | \$1,099 | \$2,24 |
| Group I Standard Deviation | 0.52 | \$13,135 | \$1,362 | \$1,176 | 0.57 | \$9,859 | \$708 | \$717 |
| Group I \% Error of Mean | 17.4\% | 18.9\% | 43.6\% | 23.8\% | 34.9\% | 18.5\% | 64.4\% | 32.0\% |

Total Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)
Direct Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)

| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | 2.81 | \$88,659 | \$4,021 | \$4,739 | 1.53 | \$58, 1 I I | \$812 | \$1,388 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | 3.49 | \$82,802 | \$3,321 | \$4,165 | 2.25 | \$66,534 | \$675 | \$1,632 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | 3.76 | \$70,241 | \$2,755 | \$8,909 | 2.10 | \$56,705 | \$1,183 | \$4,492 |
| Lodi, CA | 2.85 | \$66,127 | \$2,981 | \$5,827 | 1.22 | \$49,189 | \$1,084 | \$2,575 |
| Missoula, MT | 4.16 | \$82,475 | \$4,457 | \$3,575 | 1.91 | \$58,771 | \$1,567 | \$1,665 |
| St. Cloud, MN | 3.63 | \$80,278 | \$2,737 | \$6,022 | 1.69 | \$58,105 | \$896 | \$2,464 |
| Janesville, WI | 3.42 | \$72,590 | \$3,253 | \$5,479 | 1.88 | \$58,038 | \$1,370 | \$2,739 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 2.73 | \$61,960 | \$1,739 | \$3,411 | 1.36 | \$45,044 | \$290 | \$1,171 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | 2.40 | \$72,228 | \$2,930 | \$5,01 I | 1.36 | \$54,857 | \$873 | \$2,135 |
| Springfield, OH | 3.16 | \$61,687 | \$1,924 | \$3,899 | 1.97 | \$50,497 | \$793 | \$2,059 |
| Bellingham, WA | 3.34 | \$72,939 | \$2,358 | \$2,316 | 1.98 | \$54,996 | \$709 | \$438 |
| Asheville, NC | 3.73 | \$90,650 | \$2,290 | \$5,637 | 2.20 | \$67,698 | \$648 | \$2,529 |
| Bloomington, IN | 3.51 | \$77,663 | \$2,419 | \$5,016 | 2.02 | \$59,470 | \$478 | \$2,138 |
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Table 8: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

|  | Total Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| St. Joseph, MO | 3.75 | \$80,595 | \$2,698 | \$4,173 | 2.28 | \$62,259 | \$590 | \$1,897 |
| Lawrence, KS | 3.44 | \$63,389 | \$2,119 | \$3,382 | 1.75 | \$46,971 | \$242 | \$1,169 |
| Chemung Co., NY | 3.91 | \$82,166 | \$3,402 | \$8,211 | 2.28 | \$63,159 | \$1,509 | \$3,786 |
| New Bedford, MA | 3.55 | \$80,782 | \$3,041 | \$6,786 | 2.12 | \$61,785 | \$1,071 | \$3,111 |
| Boulder, CO | 2.72 | \$69,568 | \$2,721 | \$2,848 | 1.50 | \$51,206 | \$941 | \$1,208 |
| Steuben Co., NY | 3.53 | \$82,002 | \$2,810 | \$8,791 | 2.38 | \$69,392 | \$1,009 | \$4,612 |
| Group II Average | 3.36 | \$75,726 | \$2,841 | \$5,168 | 1.88 | \$57,515 | \$881 | \$2,274 |
| Group II Standard Deviation | 0.47 | \$8,721 | \$672 | \$1,924 | 0.35 | \$6,848 | \$368 | \$1,118 |
| Group II \% Error of Mean | 13.9\% | I 1.5\% | 23.7\% | 37.2\% | 18.7\% | 11.9\% | 41.8\% | 49.2\% |

Total Impact (per \$100,000 of spending)
Direct Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)

| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | 1.66 | \$39,594 | \$1,452 | \$2,599 | 0.73 | \$27,617 | \$376 | \$936 |
| Erie, PA | 3.54 | \$71,678 | \$2,733 | \$4,878 | 1.51 | \$52,840 | \$1,029 | \$1,925 |
| Indian River Co., FL | 2.60 | \$66,288 | \$2,334 | \$3,145 | 1.63 | \$54,124 | \$564 | \$1,466 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 2.80 | \$59,176 | \$3,214 | \$2,670 | 1.48 | \$45,361 | \$1,166 | \$1,270 |
| Lansing, MI | 3.18 | \$75,408 | \$2,481 | \$5,123 | 1.56 | \$53,376 | \$534 | \$1,889 |
| Alexandria, VA | 3.67 | \$80,114 | \$3,405 | \$5,912 | 3.07 | \$61,901 | \$1,087 | \$2,806 |
| Pasadena, CA | 3.26 | \$98,45 I | \$3,425 | \$5,702 | 1.93 | \$67,413 | \$532 | \$2,061 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | 3.51 | \$77,252 | \$2,625 | \$5,219 | 1.68 | \$58,114 | \$855 | \$2,228 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | 2.31 | \$70,882 | \$3,059 | \$4,477 | 1.19 | \$46,559 | \$821 | \$1,567 |
| Tempe, AZ | 3.82 | \$102,165 | \$3,099 | \$4,649 | 2.53 | \$73,809 | \$258 | \$1,446 |
| Dayton, OH | 3.14 | \$78,885 | \$2,359 | \$4,754 | 1.54 | \$54,768 | \$486 | \$1,812 |
| Worcester, MA | 2.66 | \$68,089 | \$2,425 | \$5,108 | 1.44 | \$49,178 | \$605 | \$2,035 |
| Chandler, AZ | 2.72 | \$71,391 | \$2,725 | \$3,815 | 1.09 | \$47,023 | \$389 | \$1,090 |
| Boise, ID | 3.26 | \$80,611 | \$2,717 | \$5,188 | 1.70 | \$58,234 | \$735 | \$1,981 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | 3.80 | \$75,911 | \$2,996 | \$5,572 | 2.46 | \$63,598 | \$569 | \$2,696 |
| Arlington Co., VA | 2.37 | \$56,949 | \$5,193 | \$4,789 | 2.01 | \$45,461 | \$3,390 | \$2,766 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | 3.61 | \$80,35 I | \$1,431 | \$3,452 | 2.52 | \$66,100 | \$500 | \$1,556 |
| Glendale, CA | 2.69 | \$83,180 | \$3,266 | \$5,347 | 1.13 | \$54,39 I | \$658 | \$1,738 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | 3.57 | \$94,125 | \$3,785 | \$4,857 | 1.64 | \$63,473 | \$844 | \$1,366 |

## Continued

Table 8: Economic Impact Per \$100,000 of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Continued | Total Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Househol Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| Madison, WI | 3.55 | \$85,805 | \$5,202 | \$5,837 | 1.54 | \$57,718 | \$1,317 | \$2, 104 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 4.16 | \$97,639 | \$3,080 | \$3,664 | 2.43 | \$68,775 | \$309 | \$1,134 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | 3.04 | \$74,922 | \$3,224 | \$2,882 | 1.26 | \$52,458 | \$928 | \$861 |
| Placer Co., CA | 3.10 | \$76,743 | \$3,315 | \$5,784 | 1.76 | \$59,674 | \$1,058 | \$2,398 |
| Group III Average | 3.13 | \$76,766 | \$3,024 | \$4,584 | 1.73 | \$55,738 | \$826 | \$1,788 |
| Group III Standard Deviation | 0.59 | \$14,115 | \$894 | \$1,054 | 0.56 | \$9,928 | \$629 | \$562 |
| Group III \% Error of Mean | 18.8\% | 18.4\% | 29.6\% | 23.0\% | 32.1\% | 17.8\% | 76.1\% | 31.4\% |

Total Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)
Direct Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | 2.33 | \$77,449 | \$4,146 | \$4,844 | 1.17 | \$56,505 | \$2,247 | \$1,841 |
| Northampton Co., PA | 2.58 | \$58,992 | \$2,623 | \$4,409 | 1.44 | \$44,991 | \$1,144 | \$2,111 |
| Newark, NJ | 2.71 | \$90,913 | \$3,517 | \$4,103 | 1.57 | \$64,183 | \$688 | \$1,559 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | 3.53 | \$91,330 | \$2,155 | \$5,414 | 1.88 | \$64,845 | \$337 | \$2,208 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | 3.29 | \$82,961 | \$2,802 | \$4,788 | 1.63 | \$59,540 | \$774 | \$1,801 |
| Fox Valley, WI | 4.22 | \$95,244 | \$3,202 | \$5,925 | 2.49 | \$69,118 | \$726 | \$2,525 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | 3.16 | \$84,649 | \$3,212 | \$4,393 | 1.51 | \$56,590 | \$614 | \$1,181 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | 3.16 | \$77,047 | \$3,842 | \$5,060 | 1.70 | \$55,929 | \$890 | \$2,120 |
| Berks Co., PA | 3.05 | \$76,199 | \$2,665 | \$5,070 | 1.50 | \$56,799 | \$805 | \$2,051 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 3.08 | \$87,004 | \$3,114 | \$6,000 | 1.17 | \$51,992 | \$746 | \$1,671 |
| Mesa, AZ | 3.26 | \$87,027 | \$3,040 | \$4,574 | 1.52 | \$57,516 | \$334 | \$1,210 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | 3.84 | \$87,439 | \$1,984 | \$3,654 | 2.08 | \$62,398 | \$567 | \$1,260 |
| New Orleans, LA | 3.26 | \$82,077 | \$2,733 | \$3,393 | 1.74 | \$59,472 | \$633 | \$1,308 |
| Group IV Average | 3.19 | \$82,949 | \$3,003 | \$4,740 | 1.65 | \$58,452 | \$808 | \$1,757 |
| Group IV Standard Deviation | 0.50 | \$9,248 | \$612 | \$781 | 0.36 | \$6,093 | \$482 | \$435 |
| Group IV \% Error of Mean | 15.7\% | II.1\% | 20.4\% | 16.5\% | 21.6\% | 10.4\% | 59.7\% | 24.8\% |

Total Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending) Direct Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)

| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | 2.36 | \$69,321 | \$3,396 | \$3,355 | 1.58 | \$53,238 | \$980 | \$1,400 |
| Washington, DC | 2.03 | \$72,800 | \$9,700 | \$0 | 1.49 | \$52,500 | \$3,500 | \$0 |
| Milwaukee, WI | 2.34 | \$64,912 | \$3,181 | \$4,420 | 0.94 | \$40,553 | \$497 | \$1,316 |
| Monmouth County, NJ | 2.55 | \$72,761 | \$5,153 | \$4,115 | 1.49 | \$55,642 | \$2,435 | \$1,793 |
| Memphis, TN | 3.51 | \$84,215 | \$2,579 | \$3,527 | 1.39 | \$56,212 | \$708 | \$877 |

Table 8 Continued on Page A41

## Continued

Table 8: Economic Impact Per \$100,000 of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

|  | Total Impact (per \$ 100,000 of spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (per \$100,000 of spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Government } \\ & \text { Revenue } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Local } \\ & \text { Government } \\ & \text { Revenue } \end{aligned}$ | State Government Revenue |
| Columbus, OH | 3.09 | \$79,852 | \$2,994 | \$5,109 | 1.36 | \$50,821 | \$801 | \$1,786 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 3.16 | \$88,694 | \$3,707 | \$5,929 | 1.33 | \$54,370 | \$714 | \$1,754 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | 2.72 | \$86,859 | \$2,838 | \$7,777 | 2.02 | \$68,949 | \$709 | \$3,855 |
| Fulton Co., GA | 2.99 | \$92,929 | \$3,277 | \$4,758 | 1.34 | \$58,052 | \$588 | \$1,466 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | 4.75 | \$92,190 | \$3,194 | \$8,610 | 4.08 | \$71,940 | \$710 | \$4,206 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | 2.85 | \$82,131 | \$1,999 | \$4,861 | 1.36 | \$58,321 | \$457 | \$1,913 |
| Westchester Co., NY | 3.06 | \$78,387 | \$5,72 I | \$6,877 | 1.79 | \$58,147 | \$1,652 | \$2,880 |
| Detroit, MI | 2.44 | \$69,559 | \$3,060 | \$5,308 | 1.31 | \$50,325 | \$1,290 | \$2,191 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | 2.27 | \$55,8। 1 | \$2,274 | \$3,911 | 1.87 | \$43,078 | \$669 | \$1,605 |
| Group V Average | 2.87 | \$77,887 | \$3,791 | \$4,897 | 1.67 | \$55,153 | \$1,122 | \$1,931 |
| Group V Standard Deviation | 0.68 | \$10,887 | \$1,975 | \$2,100 | 0.75 | \$8,38I | \$869 | \$1,100 |
| Group V \% Error of Mean | 23.8\% | 14.0\% | 52.1\% | 42.9\% | 44.7\% | 15.2\% | 77.4\% | 57.0\% |

Total Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)
Direct Impact (per $\$ 100,000$ of spending)

| Population Group VI Pop. > I,000,000 (n=7) | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | 3.14 | \$82,466 | \$3,389 | \$4,414 | 1.41 | \$55,134 | \$760 | \$1,304 |
| St. Louis, MO | 3.05 | \$79,966 | \$2,566 | \$4,390 | 1.42 | \$53,206 | \$461 | \$1,435 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | 3.69 | \$83,135 | \$4,102 | \$2,530 | 1.58 | \$58,229 | \$1,240 | \$534 |
| Broward Co., FL | 2.90 | \$78,474 | \$3,931 | \$2,890 | 1.42 | \$54,692 | \$1,161 | \$749 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | 3.57 | \$101,093 | \$4,831 | \$3,187 | 1.82 | \$67,797 | \$1,172 | \$645 |
| San Diego Co., CA | 2.82 | \$77,807 | \$3,385 | \$5,526 | 1.36 | \$54,488 | \$892 | \$1,978 |
| Harris Co., TX | 2.66 | \$76,670 | \$3,572 | \$2,122 | 1.27 | \$52,844 | \$737 | \$398 |
| Group VI Average | 3.12 | \$82,802 | \$3,682 | \$3,580 | 1.47 | \$56,627 | \$918 | \$1,006 |
| Group VI Standard Deviation | 0.38 | \$8,408 | \$706 | \$1,224 | 0.18 | \$5,226 | \$287 | \$578 |
| Group VI \% Error of Mean | 12.3\% | 10.2\% | 19.2\% | 34.2\% | 12.3\% | 9.2\% | 31.3\% | 57.5\% |


| Average of All <br> Communities | 3.12 | $\$ 76,842$ | $\$ 3,167$ | $\$ 4,758$ | 1.71 | $\$ 56,067$ | $\$ 933$ | $\$ 1,922$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Deviation | 0.55 | $\$ 11,916$ | $\$ 1,155$ | $\$ 1,485$ | 0.51 | $\$ 8,263$ | $\$ 603$ | $\$ 864$ |
| \% Error of Mean | $17.7 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | $31.2 \%$ | $30.1 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ |

## Explanation ofTable 9:

## Total Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total itemized expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 9 I communities. Additionally, each expenditure category is represented as a percentage of the total organizational expenditures.

## Column One:

The total staff/employee expenses paid by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including payroll, payroll taxes, fringe benefits, and costs for full-time contractors.

## Column Two:

The total overhead/administrative expenses paid by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including marketing and advertising costs, supplies purchases, and travel.

## Column Three:

The total facility expenses paid by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including rental or lease costs, mortgage expenses, property taxes, and utilities.

## Column Four:

The total fees paid to/for artists from within the community by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including wages, taxes, and fringe benefits.

## Column Five:

The total fees paid to/for artists from outside the community by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including wages, taxes, and fringe benefits.

## Column Six:

The total operating expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of columns one through five.

## Column Seven:

The total asset acquisition of responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000. Asset acquisition includes purchases of equipment, real estate, and art.

## Column Eight:

The total organizational expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of operating costs (column six) and asset acquisition (column seven).

Table 9: Total Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$565,348 | \$132,348 | \$37,172 | \$15,527 | \$20,780 | \$771,175 | \$328,894 | \$1,100,069 |
| Sonora, CA | \$618,792 | \$456,810 | \$142,906 | \$181,136 | \$189,829 | \$1,589,473 | \$13,494 | \$1,602,967 |
| Rockland, ME | \$1,890,120 | \$2,785,522 | \$478,203 | \$0 | \$265,903 | \$5,419,748 | \$3,083,935 | \$8,503,683 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$552,41। | \$179,442 | \$124,459 | \$16,567 | \$19,967 | \$892,846 | \$3,436 | \$896,282 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$1,343,552 | \$490,476 | \$177,656 | \$4,345 | \$7,040 | \$2,023,069 | \$2,707,379 | \$4,730,448 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$4,074,803 | \$2,353,155 | \$780,276 | \$383,915 | \$350,965 | \$7,943,114 | \$642,439 | \$8,585,553 |
| Door Co., WI | \$1,774,357 | \$1,740,386 | \$324,561 | \$259,405 | \$806,567 | \$4,905,276 | \$155,046 | \$5,060,322 |
| Fairbanks, AK | \$518,429 | \$902,052 | \$60,05 I | \$208,195 | \$656,010 | \$2,344,737 | \$0 | \$2,344,737 |
| Gloucester, MA | \$174,275 | \$134,094 | \$81,130 | \$91,150 | \$18,700 | \$499,349 | \$16,590 | \$515,939 |
| Juneau, AK | \$2,313,689 | \$3,165,685 | \$308,345 | \$170,829 | \$85,985 | \$6,044,533 | \$67,171 | \$6,111,704 |
| Dublin, OH | \$137,236 | \$240,400 | \$18,102 | \$65,676 | \$22,073 | \$483,487 | \$5,761 | \$489,248 |
| Dover, DE | \$2,859,719 | \$884,452 | \$366,521 | \$113,141 | \$48,429 | \$4,272,262 | \$114,362 | \$4,386,624 |
| Beloit, WI | \$1,421,781 | \$486,866 | \$83,984 | \$86,607 | \$4,569 | \$2,083,807 | \$9,088 | \$2,092,895 |
| Minot, ND | \$447,053 | \$1,805,096 | \$529,013 | \$499,500 | \$388,536 | \$3,669,198 | \$307,619 | \$3,976,817 |
| Wausau, WI | \$1,891,594 | \$1,351,888 | \$477,338 | \$59,257 | \$715,947 | \$4,496,024 | \$267,641 | \$4,763,665 |
| Group I Average | \$1,372,211 | \$1,140,578 | \$265,981 | \$143,683 | \$240,087 | \$3,162,540 | \$514,857 | \$3,677,397 |
| \% of Group I Total | 37.3\% | 31.0\% | 7.2\% | 3.9\% | 6.5\% | 86.0\% | 14.0\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | \$5,259,994 | \$2,692,633 | \$915,147 | \$320,669 | \$477,064 | \$9,665,507 | \$927,94 I | \$10,593,448 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | \$1,177,191 | \$461,815 | \$69,078 | \$18,910 | \$28,982 | \$1,755,976 | \$20,554 | \$1,776,530 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$2,972,787 | \$2,886,824 | \$418,345 | \$106,006 | \$60,480 | \$6,444,442 | \$233,973 | \$6,678,415 |
| Lodi, CA | \$223,588 | \$353,925 | \$62,719 | \$23,00 1 | \$65,339 | \$728,572 | \$9,402 | \$737,974 |
| Missoula, MT | \$763,974 | \$764,35। | \$235,709 | \$171,508 | \$34,246 | \$1,969,788 | \$72,031 | \$2,041,819 |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$1,462,640 | \$1,282,680 | \$566,756 | \$451,283 | \$206,277 | \$3,969,636 | \$48,920 | \$4,018,556 |
| Janesville, WI | \$273,804 | \$196,458 | \$41,365 | \$9,993 | \$44,077 | \$565,697 | \$18,403 | \$584, 100 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$22,746,033 | \$14,152,887 | \$2,489, 105 | \$3,417,620 | \$1,054,768 | \$43,860,413 | \$27,78।,085 | \$71,641,498 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$5,214,286 | \$4,262,407 | \$957,707 | \$953,603 | \$1,261,473 | \$12,649,476 | \$182,159 | \$12,831,635 |
| Springfield, OH | \$2,609,661 | \$1,441,460 | \$520,955 | \$187,210 | \$752,116 | \$5,511,402 | \$413,696 | \$5,925,098 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$1,845,910 | \$1,293,160 | \$231,771 | \$182,000 | \$565,272 | \$4,1\|8,113 | \$674,933 | \$4,793,046 |
| Asheville, NC | \$5,443,893 | \$3,188,753 | \$1,127,211 | \$3,569,915 | \$855,576 | \$14,185,348 | \$484,321 | \$14,669,669 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$22,734,396 | \$9,703,396 | \$1,168,506 | \$384,530 | \$1,159,28 1 | \$35,150, 109 | \$439,369 | \$35,589,478 |
| St. Joseph, MO | \$1,203,487 | \$551,759 | \$112,210 | \$120,798 | \$95,185 | \$2,083,439 | \$288,919 | \$2,372,358 |
| Lawrence, KS | \$5,217,821 | \$1,937,722 | \$501,78। | \$1,154,819 | \$6,300 | \$8,8।8,443 | \$8,126,069 | \$16,944,512 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$2,208,829 | \$1,006,760 | \$430,411 | \$174,566 | \$20,784 | \$3,841,350 | \$67,810 | \$3,909,160 |

Table 9 Continued on Page A44

## Continued

Table 9: Total Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating <br> Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| New Bedford, MA | \$4,522,679 | \$2,450,562 | \$613,592 | \$208,161 | \$231,303 | \$8,026,297 | \$653,867 | \$8,680, 164 |
| Boulder, CO | \$2,599,796 | \$2,043,677 | \$465,903 | \$692,392 | \$695,183 | \$6,496,951 | \$1,367,35। | \$7,864,302 |
| Steuben Co., NY | \$430,900 | \$383,688 | \$105,991 | \$424,453 | \$39,754 | \$1,384,786 | \$2,979 | \$1,387,765 |
| Group II Average | \$4,679,562 | \$2,687,101 | \$580,75 I | \$661,655 | \$402,814 | \$9,011,881 | \$2,200,725 | \$11,212,607 |
| \% of Group II Total | 41.7\% | 24.0\% | 5.2\% | 5.9\% | 3.6\% | 80.4\% | 19.6\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$3,512,526 | \$4,626,476 | \$498,415 | \$443,991 | \$10,502,189 | \$19,583,597 | \$389,358 | \$19,972,955 |
| Erie, PA | \$1,876,026 | \$2,520,949 | \$577,757 | \$885,275 | \$267,589 | \$6,127,596 | \$677,882 | \$6,805,478 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$4,535,065 | \$2,848,449 | \$1,047,543 | \$407,570 | \$21,618 | \$8,860,245 | \$10,150 | \$8,870,395 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$1,223,920 | \$1,127,769 | \$266,556 | \$279,633 | \$865,487 | \$3,763,365 | \$94,613 | \$3,857,978 |
| Lansing, MI | \$11,375,464 | \$9,033,372 | \$2,161,921 | \$1,446,844 | \$3,487,912 | \$27,505,513 | \$390,697 | \$27,896,2 10 |
| Alexandria, VA | \$4,292,339 | \$2,998,203 | \$749,957 | \$895,483 | \$27,774 | \$8,963,756 | \$52,196 | \$9,015,952 |
| Pasadena, CA | \$2,329,028 | \$1,874,960 | \$226,254 | \$1,363,250 | \$34,186 | \$5,827,678 | \$187,510 | \$6,015,188 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$1,187,282 | \$1,370,149 | \$305,286 | \$271,390 | \$110,031 | \$3,244,138 | \$32,161 | \$3,276,299 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$551,371 | \$224,694 | \$138,023 | \$15,323 | \$1,000 | \$930,4 1 I | \$409,838 | \$1,340,249 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$1,086,429 | \$347,584 | \$22,175 | \$365,318 | \$110,136 | \$1,931,642 | \$4,434 | \$1,936,076 |
| Dayton, OH | \$8,084,225 | \$5,871,969 | \$1,260,326 | \$3,571,775 | \$968,920 | \$19,757,215 | \$4,748,037 | \$24,505,252 |
| Worcester, MA | \$12,196,294 | \$6,320,268 | \$1,442,444 | \$521,830 | \$625,048 | \$21,105,884 | \$9,161,997 | \$30,267,88 I |
| Chandler, AZ | \$412,556 | \$496, 179 | \$1,005 | \$28,318 | \$249,983 | \$1,188,041 | \$96,437 | \$1,284,478 |
| Boise, ID | \$3,308,544 | \$3,049,240 | \$783,126 | \$1,670,288 | \$574,193 | \$9,385,391 | \$406, 107 | \$9,791,498 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$1,665,396 | \$601,398 | \$532,509 | \$328,671 | \$102,396 | \$3,230,370 | \$107,769 | \$3,338,139 |
| Arlington Co., VA | \$12,072,376 | \$14,195,337 | \$5,770,432 | \$1,267,250 | \$782,723 | \$34,088, 118 | \$513,093 | \$34,601,211 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$5,923,609 | \$1,774,228 | \$566,674 | \$73,718 | \$45,299 | \$8,383,528 | \$422,817 | \$8,806,345 |
| Glendale, CA | \$497,725 | \$2,216,223 | \$116,972 | \$953,196 | \$0 | \$3,784,116 | \$12,450 | \$3,796,566 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$5,650,987 | \$6,845,894 | \$763,832 | \$2,473,768 | \$250 | \$15,734,731 | \$962,127 | \$16,696,858 |
| Madison, WI | \$4,065,49 1 | \$3,869,342 | \$813,87। | \$1,011,246 | \$258,120 | \$10,018,070 | \$534,925 | \$10,552,995 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$5,386,920 | \$3,751,605 | \$533,107 | \$3,482,977 | \$174,955 | \$13,329,564 | \$1,571,217 | \$14,900,78। |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$8,1 12,525 | \$13,251,339 | \$1,524,692 | \$4,050,678 | \$2,163,751 | \$29, 102,985 | \$2,472,536 | \$31,575,521 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$420,710 | \$419,073 | \$107,120 | \$242,564 | \$89,818 | \$1,279,285 | \$138,425 | \$1,417,710 |
| Group III Average | \$4,337,687 | \$3,897,161 | \$878,696 | \$1,132,624 | \$933,190 | \$11,179,358 | \$1,017,251 | \$12,196,609 |
| \% of Group III Total | 35.6\% | 32.0\% | 7.2\% | 9.3\% | 7.7\% | 91.7\% | 8.3\% | 100.0\% |
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## Continued

Table 9: Total Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to <br> Non-Local <br> Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$4,578, 176 | \$3,488,160 | \$1,191,797 | \$536, 170 | \$354,164 | \$10,148,467 | \$174,457 | \$10,322,924 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$6,749,794 | \$7,426,389 | \$1,268,064 | \$809,493 | \$3,234,122 | \$19,487,862 | \$1,403,190 | \$20,891,052 |
| Newark, NJ | \$20,480,544 | \$10,212,183 | \$4,923,40। | \$5,467,045 | \$75,039 | \$41,158,212 | \$3,345,662 | \$44,503,874 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$27,074,943 | \$12,576,722 | \$3,029,55 | \$3,272,849 | \$269,182 | \$46,223,247 | \$3,374,693 | \$49,597,940 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$4,436,564 | \$3,876,982 | \$804,523 | \$1,418,948 | \$469,394 | \$11,006,411 | \$876,280 | \$11,882,691 |
| Fox Valley, WI | \$3,481,428 | \$1,655,600 | \$199,072 | \$185,264 | \$267,356 | \$5,788,720 | \$270,461 | \$6,059,181 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$550,050 | \$482,612 | \$132,839 | \$378,968 | \$206,716 | \$1,751,185 | \$365,795 | \$2,116,980 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$4,064,233 | \$3,461,132 | \$238,847 | \$408,942 | \$28,049 | \$8,201,203 | \$336,445 | \$8,537,648 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$4,093,495 | \$3,403,059 | \$1,843,877 | \$65,638 | \$532,382 | \$9,938,451 | \$494,808 | \$10,433,259 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$47,970,509 | \$73,725,661 | \$6,694,230 | \$17,014,864 | \$10,056,02 1 | \$155,461,285 | \$15,792,515 | \$171,253,800 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$4,034,131 | \$2,105,156 | \$397,941 | \$806,413 | \$135,993 | \$7,479,634 | \$2,686,201 | \$10,165,835 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$1,180,421 | \$1,281,855 | \$100,712 | \$454,596 | \$27,733 | \$3,045,317 | \$129,472 | \$3,174,789 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$16,367,821 | \$16,523,522 | \$2,929,995 | \$4,287,287 | \$557,535 | \$40,666, 160 | \$860,779 | \$41,526,939 |
| Group IV Average | \$11,158,624 | \$10,786,079 | \$1,827,296 | \$2,700,498 | \$1,247,207 | \$27,719,704 | \$2,316,212 | \$30,035,916 |
| \% of Group IV Total | 37.2\% | 35.9\% | 6.1\% | 9.0\% | 4.2\% | 92.3\% | 7.7\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$12,469,08। | \$3,328,316 | \$1,661,228 | \$1,260,027 | \$301,357 | \$19,020,009 | \$266,965 | \$19,286,974 |
| Washington, DC | \$138,044,808 | \$147,177,512 | \$38,188,224 | \$31,796,508 | \$19,861,792 | \$375,068,844 | \$16,980,167 | \$392,049,011 |
| Milwaukee, WI | \$32,711,034 | \$22,212,162 | \$4,229,046 | \$4,046,524 | \$3,281,849 | \$66,480,615 | \$55,952,076 | \$122,432,691 |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$2,274,266 | \$1,605,840 | \$598,52। | \$210,024 | \$576,545 | \$5,265,196 | \$32,959 | \$5,298,155 |
| Memphis, TN | \$13,032,931 | \$20,22 1,246 | \$2,167,954 | \$7,382,826 | \$735,435 | \$43,540,392 | \$4,029,260 | \$47,569,652 |
| Columbus, OH | \$34,619,710 | \$37,788,598 | \$7,676,126 | \$11,343,575 | \$9,117,759 | \$100,545,768 | \$6,003,653 | \$106,549,421 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$53,933,470 | \$40,835,560 | \$14,692,207 | \$10,852,218 | \$3,642,232 | \$123,955,687 | \$24,374,856 | \$148,330,543 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | \$3,447,476 | \$2,085,963 | \$122,992 | \$1,139,035 | \$510,650 | \$7,306,116 | \$164,654 | \$7,470,770 |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$54,359,412 | \$52,684,487 | \$11,585,20। | \$8,2 13,306 | \$4,090,916 | \$130,933,322 | \$6,152,617 | \$137,085,939 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | \$6,348,968 | \$5,526,824 | \$799,128 | \$4,138,103 | \$21,050 | \$16,834,073 | \$355,350 | \$17,189,423 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$32,353,949 | \$23,200,973 | \$4,890, 192 | \$1,613,182 | \$1,436,939 | \$63,495,235 | \$3,736,372 | \$67,231,607 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$19,053,202 | \$14,793,539 | \$2,682,252 | \$6,298,316 | \$2,170, 140 | \$44,997,449 | \$10,446,663 | \$55,444,112 |
| Detroit, MI | \$95,930,408 | \$60,866,495 | \$36,121,599 | \$10,330,547 | \$6,652,295 | \$209,901,344 | \$38,949,740 | \$248,851,084 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | \$9,167,622 | \$10,208,072 | \$1,429,331 | \$1,132,491 | \$8,752,5।7 | \$30,690,033 | \$708,831 | \$31,398,864 |
| Group V Average | \$36,267,596 | \$31,609,685 | \$9,060,286 | \$7,125,477 | \$4,367,963 | \$88,431,006 | \$12,011,012 | \$100,442,018 |
| \% of Group V Total | 36.1\% | 31.5\% | 9.0\% | 7.1\% | 4.3\% | 88.0\% | 12.0\% | 100.0\% |
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## Continued

Table 9: Total Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > } 1,000,000(\mathrm{n}=7)$ | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative <br> Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to <br> Non-Local <br> Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$39,648,070 | \$33,425,192 | \$3,797,940 | \$2,193,720 | \$2,868,510 | \$81,933,432 | \$5,116,718 | \$87,050, 150 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$81,327,530 | \$64,377,798 | \$19,267,062 | \$25,876,254 | \$5,987,368 | \$196,836,012 | \$60,755,354 | \$257,591,366 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$2,461,874 | \$4,870, 85 | \$377,408 | \$1,665,618 | \$487,435 | \$9,862,520 | \$58,679 | \$9,921,199 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$25,061,651 | \$24,218,710 | \$2,400,910 | \$3,263,472 | \$8,274,50 I | \$63,219,244 | \$346,045 | \$63,565,289 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$56,789,365 | \$53, 164,933 | \$8,449, 155 | \$10,812,646 | \$0 | \$129,2 16,099 | \$0 | \$129,216,099 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$41,384,407 | \$46,336,84। | \$8,413,661 | \$10,130,402 | \$6,640,763 | \$1 12,906,074 | \$9,954,954 | \$122,861,028 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$66,766,487 | \$59,424,084 | \$8,260,493 | \$15,032,486 | \$8,570,744 | \$158,054,294 | \$45,064,427 | \$203, 118,721 |
| Group VI Average | \$44,777,055 | \$40,831,106 | \$7,280,947 | \$9,853,514 | \$4,689,903 | \$107,432,525 | \$17,328,025 | \$124,760,550 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 35.9\% | 32.7\% | 5.8\% | 7.9\% | 3.8\% | 86.1\% | 13.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of All Communities | \$12,917,686 | \$11,278,798 | \$2,602,192 | \$2,688,075 | \$1,570,468 | \$31,057,220 | \$4,313,126 | \$35,370,346 |
| \% of Total Expenditures | 36.5\% | 31.9\% | 7.4\% | 7.6\% | 4.4\% | 87.8\% | 12.2\% | 100.0\% |

## Explanation of Table 10 :

## Average Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the average itemized expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 91 communities. The averages on this table are calculated per participating community. The averages for Table 11, on the other hand, are calculated per responding nonprofit arts organization. Additionally, each expenditure category in Table 10 is represented as a percentage of the average total organizational expenditures.

## Column One:

The average staff/employee expenses paid by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including payroll, payroll taxes, fringe benefits, and costs for full-time contractors.

## Column Two:

The average overhead/administrative expenses paid by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including marketing and advertising costs, supplies purchases, and travel.

## Column Three:

The average facility expenses paid by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including rental or lease costs, mortgage expenses, property taxes, and utilities.

## Column Four:

The average fees paid to/for artists from within the community by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including wages, taxes, and fringe benefits.

## Column Five:

The average fees paid to/for artists from outside the community by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000, including wages, taxes, and fringe benefits.

## Column Six:

The average operating expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of columns one through five.

## Column Seven:

The average asset acquisition of responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000. Asset acquisition includes purchases of equipment, real estate, and art.

## Column Eight:

The average organizational expenditures made by responding nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of average operating costs (column six) and average asset acquisition (column seven).

Table 10: Average Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 ( } n=15 \text { ) }$ | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to <br> Non-Local <br> Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$141,337 | \$33,087 | \$9,293 | \$3,882 | \$5,195 | \$192,794 | \$82,224 | \$275,017 |
| Sonora, CA | \$123,758 | \$91,362 | \$28,581 | \$36,227 | \$37,966 | \$317,895 | \$2,699 | \$320,593 |
| Rockland, ME | \$315,020 | \$464,254 | \$79,701 | \$0 | \$44,317 | \$903,291 | \$513,989 | \$1,417,28। |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$184,137 | \$59,8।4 | \$41,486 | \$5,522 | \$6,656 | \$297,615 | \$1,145 | \$298,761 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$167,944 | \$61,310 | \$22,207 | \$543 | \$880 | \$252,884 | \$338,422 | \$591,306 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$169,783 | \$98,048 | \$32,512 | \$15,996 | \$14,624 | \$330,963 | \$26,768 | \$357,731 |
| Door Co., WI | \$84,493 | \$82,876 | \$15,455 | \$12,353 | \$38,408 | \$233,585 | \$7,383 | \$240,968 |
| Fairbanks, AK | \$47,130 | \$82,005 | \$5,459 | \$18,927 | \$59,637 | \$213,158 | \$0 | \$213,158 |
| Gloucester, MA | \$29,046 | \$22,349 | \$13,522 | \$15,192 | \$3,117 | \$83,225 | \$2,765 | \$85,990 |
| Juneau, AK | \$257,077 | \$351,743 | \$34,261 | \$18,98। | \$9,554 | \$671,615 | \$7,463 | \$679,078 |
| Dublin, OH | \$34,309 | \$60, 100 | \$4,526 | \$16,419 | \$5,518 | \$120,872 | \$1,440 | \$122,312 |
| Dover, DE | \$114,389 | \$35,378 | \$14,661 | \$4,526 | \$1,937 | \$170,890 | \$4,574 | \$175,465 |
| Beloit, WI | \$177,723 | \$60,858 | \$10,498 | \$10,826 | \$571 | \$260,476 | \$1,136 | \$261,612 |
| Minot, ND | \$18,627 | \$75,212 | \$22,042 | \$20,813 | \$16,189 | \$152,883 | \$12,817 | \$165,701 |
| Wausau, WI | \$145,507 | \$103,991 | \$36,718 | \$4,558 | \$55,073 | \$345,848 | \$20,588 | \$366,436 |
| Group I Average | \$134,019 | \$112,159 | \$24,728 | \$12,318 | \$19,976 | \$303,200 | \$68,228 | \$371,427 |
| \% of Group I Total | 36.1\% | 30.2\% | 6.7\% | 3.3\% | 5.4\% | 81.6\% | 18.4\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | \$194,815 | \$99,727 | \$33,894 | \$11,877 | \$17,669 | \$357,982 | \$34,368 | \$392,350 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | \$130,799 | \$51,313 | \$7,675 | \$2,101 | \$3,220 | \$195,108 | \$2,284 | \$197,392 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$135,127 | \$131,219 | \$19,016 | \$4,818 | \$2,749 | \$292,929 | \$10,635 | \$303,564 |
| Lodi, CA | \$14,906 | \$23,595 | \$4,18। | \$1,533 | \$4,356 | \$48,571 | \$627 | \$49,198 |
| Missoula, MT | \$31,832 | \$31,848 | \$9,821 | \$7,146 | \$1,427 | \$82,075 | \$3,001 | \$85,076 |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$50,436 | \$44,230 | \$19,543 | \$15,561 | \$7,113 | \$136,884 | \$1,687 | \$138,571 |
| Janesville, WI | \$22,817 | \$16,372 | \$3,447 | \$833 | \$3,673 | \$47,141 | \$1,534 | \$48,675 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$399,053 | \$248,296 | \$43,669 | \$59,958 | \$18,505 | \$769,48। | \$487,387 | \$1,256,868 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$289,683 | \$236,800 | \$53,206 | \$52,978 | \$70,082 | \$702,749 | \$10,120 | \$712,869 |
| Springfield, OH | \$118,621 | \$65,521 | \$23,680 | \$8,510 | \$34,187 | \$250,518 | \$18,804 | \$269,323 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$184,591 | \$129,316 | \$23,177 | \$18,200 | \$56,527 | \$411,81। | \$67,493 | \$479,305 |
| Asheville, NC | \$132,778 | \$77,774 | \$27,493 | \$87,071 | \$20,868 | \$345,984 | \$11,813 | \$357,797 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$842,015 | \$359,385 | \$43,278 | \$14,242 | \$42,936 | \$1,301,856 | \$16,273 | \$1,318,129 |
| St. Joseph, MO | \$66,860 | \$30,653 | \$6,234 | \$6,711 | \$5,288 | \$115,747 | \$16,051 | \$131,798 |
| Lawrence, KS | \$347,855 | \$129,181 | \$33,452 | \$76,988 | \$420 | \$587,896 | \$541,738 | \$1,129,634 |
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## Continued

Table 10: Average Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to <br> Non-Local <br> Artists | Operating <br> Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$245,425 | \$111,862 | \$47,823 | \$19,396 | \$2,309 | \$426,817 | \$7,534 | \$434,35 I |
| New Bedford, MA | \$188,445 | \$102,107 | \$25,566 | \$8,673 | \$9,638 | \$334,429 | \$27,244 | \$361,674 |
| Boulder, CO | \$56,517 | \$44,428 | \$10,128 | \$15,052 | \$15,113 | \$141,238 | \$29,725 | \$170,963 |
| Steuben Co., NY | \$47,878 | \$42,632 | \$11,777 | \$47,161 | \$4,417 | \$153,865 | \$331 | \$154,196 |
| Group II Average | \$184,234 | \$104,014 | \$23,530 | \$24,148 | \$16,868 | \$352,794 | \$67,824 | \$420,617 |
| \% of Group II Total | 43.8\% | 24.7\% | 5.6\% | 5.7\% | 4.0\% | 83.9\% | 16.1\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative <br> Expenses | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$125,447 | \$165,231 | \$17,801 | \$15,857 | \$375,078 | \$699,414 | \$13,906 | \$713,320 |
| Erie, PA | \$104,224 | \$140,053 | \$32,098 | \$49, 82 | \$14,866 | \$340,422 | \$37,660 | \$378,082 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$566,883 | \$356,056 | \$130,943 | \$50,946 | \$2,702 | \$1,107,531 | \$1,269 | \$1,108,799 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$61,196 | \$56,388 | \$13,328 | \$13,982 | \$43,274 | \$188,168 | \$4,731 | \$192,899 |
| Lansing, MI | \$242,031 | \$192,199 | \$45,998 | \$30,784 | \$74,211 | \$585,224 | \$8,313 | \$593,536 |
| Alexandria, VA | \$95,385 | \$66,627 | \$16,666 | \$19,900 | \$617 | \$199,195 | \$1,160 | \$200,354 |
| Pasadena, CA | \$258,781 | \$208,329 | \$25,139 | \$151,472 | \$3,798 | \$647,520 | \$20,834 | \$668,354 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$42,403 | \$48,934 | \$10,903 | \$9,693 | \$3,930 | \$115,862 | \$1,149 | \$117,011 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$1 10,274 | \$44,939 | \$27,605 | \$3,065 | \$200 | \$186,082 | \$81,968 | \$268,050 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$135,804 | \$43,448 | \$2,772 | \$45,665 | \$13,767 | \$241,455 | \$554 | \$242,010 |
| Dayton, OH | \$230,978 | \$167,771 | \$36,009 | \$102,05 I | \$27,683 | \$564,492 | \$135,658 | \$700,150 |
| Worcester, MA | \$469,088 | \$243,087 | \$55,479 | \$20,070 | \$24,040 | \$811,765 | \$352,385 | \$1,164,149 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$137,519 | \$165,393 | \$335 | \$9,439 | \$83,328 | \$396,014 | \$32,146 | \$428, 159 |
| Boise, ID | \$100,259 | \$92,401 | \$23,731 | \$50,615 | \$17,400 | \$284,406 | \$12,306 | \$296,712 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$92,522 | \$33,411 | \$29,584 | \$18,260 | \$5,689 | \$179,465 | \$5,987 | \$185,452 |
| Arlington Co., VA | \$301,809 | \$354,883 | \$144,261 | \$31,681 | \$19,568 | \$852,203 | \$12,827 | \$865,030 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$246,817 | \$73,926 | \$23,611 | \$3,072 | \$1,887 | \$349,314 | \$17,617 | \$366,931 |
| Glendale, CA | \$24,886 | \$ 110,811 | \$5,849 | \$47,660 | \$0 | \$189,206 | \$623 | \$189,828 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$513,726 | \$622,354 | \$69,439 | \$224,888 | \$23 | \$1,430,430 | \$87,466 | \$1,517,896 |
| Madison, WI | \$140,189 | \$133,426 | \$28,065 | \$34,871 | \$8,901 | \$345,45 I | \$18,446 | \$363,896 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$134,673 | \$93,790 | \$13,328 | \$87,074 | \$4,374 | \$333,239 | \$39,280 | \$372,520 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$231,786 | \$378,610 | \$43,563 | \$115,734 | \$61,821 | \$831,514 | \$70,644 | \$902,158 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$17,530 | \$17,461 | \$4,463 | \$10,107 | \$3,742 | \$53,304 | \$5,768 | \$59,07 I |
| Group III Average | \$190,618 | \$165,632 | \$34,825 | \$49,829 | \$34,387 | \$475,290 | \$41,856 | \$517,146 |
| \% of Group III Total | 36.9\% | 32.0\% | 6.7\% | 9.6\% | 6.6\% | 91.9\% | 8.1\% | 100.0\% |

Table 10 Continued on Page A50

## Continued

Table 10: Average Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Staff/Employee Expenses Expenses | Ovehead/ Adminstrative Expenses Expense | Facility Expens Expense | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$218,008 | \$166,103 | \$56,752 | \$25,532 | \$16,865 | \$483,260 | \$8,307 | \$491,568 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$217,735 | \$239,561 | \$40,905 | \$26, 113 | \$104,327 | \$628,64I | \$45,264 | \$673,905 |
| Newark, NJ | \$1,575,426 | \$785,553 | \$378,723 | \$420,542 | \$5,772 | \$3,166,016 | \$257,359 | \$3,423,375 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$752,082 | \$349,353 | \$84,154 | \$90,912 | \$7,477 | \$1,283,979 | \$93,741 | \$1,377,721 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$98,590 | \$86, 155 | \$17,878 | \$31,532 | \$10,431 | \$244,587 | \$19,473 | \$264,060 |
| Fox Valley, WI | \$232,095 | \$110,373 | \$13,271 | \$12,351 | \$17,824 | \$385,915 | \$18,031 | \$403,945 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$68,756 | \$60,327 | \$16,605 | \$47,371 | \$25,840 | \$218,898 | \$45,724 | \$264,623 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$213,907 | \$182,165 | \$12,571 | \$21,523 | \$1,476 | \$431,642 | \$17,708 | \$449,350 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$186,068 | \$154,685 | \$83,813 | \$2,984 | \$24,199 | \$451,748 | \$22,491 | \$474,239 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$675,64। | \$1,038,390 | \$94,285 | \$239,646 | \$141,634 | \$2,189,596 | \$222,430 | \$2,412,025 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$504,266 | \$263,145 | \$49,743 | \$100,802 | \$16,999 | \$934,954 | \$335,775 | \$1,270,729 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$65,579 | \$71,214 | \$5,595 | \$25,255 | \$1,541 | \$169,184 | \$7,193 | \$176,377 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$240,703 | \$242,993 | \$43,088 | \$63,048 | \$8,199 | \$598,032 | \$12,659 | \$610,690 |
| Group IV Average | \$388,374 | \$288,463 | \$69,030 | \$85,201 | \$29,430 | \$860,496 | \$85,089 | \$945,585 |
| \% of Group IV Total | 41.1\% | 30.5\% | 7.3\% | 9.0\% | 3.1\% | 91.0\% | 9.0\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Staff/Employee } \\ & \text { Expenses } \end{aligned}$ | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative <br> Expenses | Facility Expens Expense | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Loca Artists | Operating Expenditures | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asset } \\ & \text { Acquisition } \end{aligned}$ | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$214,984 | \$57,385 | \$28,642 | \$21,725 | \$5,196 | \$327,93। | \$4,603 | \$332,534 |
| Washington, DC | \$1,568,691 | \$1,672,472 | \$433,957 | \$361,324 | \$225,702 | \$4,262,146 | \$192,956 | \$4,455, 102 |
| Milwaukee, WI | \$584, 126 | \$396,646 | \$75,519 | \$72,259 | \$58,604 | \$1,187,154 | \$999,144 | \$2,186,298 |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$73,363 | \$51,801 | \$19,307 | \$6,775 | \$18,598 | \$169,845 | \$1,063 | \$170,908 |
| Memphis, TN | \$407,279 | \$631,914 | \$67,749 | \$230,713 | \$22,982 | \$1,360,637 | \$125,914 | \$1,486,552 |
| Columbus, OH | \$524,54 I | \$572,555 | \$116,305 | \$171,872 | \$138,148 | \$1,523,421 | \$90,964 | \$1,614,385 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$1,100,683 | \$833,379 | \$299,84 I | \$221,474 | \$74,331 | \$2,529,708 | \$497,446 | \$3,027, I54 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | \$143,645 | \$86,915 | \$5,125 | \$47,460 | \$21,277 | \$304,422 | \$6,861 | \$311,282 |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$566,244 | \$548,797 | \$120,679 | \$85,555 | \$42,614 | \$1,363,889 | \$64,090 | \$1,427,979 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | \$119,792 | \$104,280 | \$15,078 | \$78,077 | \$397 | \$317,624 | \$6,705 | \$324,329 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$414,794 | \$297,448 | \$62,695 | \$20,682 | \$18,422 | \$814,041 | \$47,902 | \$861,944 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$219,002 | \$170,041 | \$30,830 | \$72,394 | \$24,944 | \$517,212 | \$120,077 | \$637,289 |
| Detroit, MI | \$1,918,608 | \$1,217,330 | \$722,432 | \$206,611 | \$133,046 | \$4,198,027 | \$778,995 | \$4,977,022 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | \$218,277 | \$243,049 | \$34,032 | \$26,964 | \$208,393 | \$730,715 | \$16,877 | \$747,592 |
| Group V Average | \$576,716 | \$491,715 | \$145,156 | \$115,992 | \$70,904 | \$1,400,484 | \$210,971 | \$1,611,455 |
| \% of Group V Total | 35.8\% | 30.5\% | 9.0\% | 7.2\% | 4.4\% | 86.9\% | 13.1\% | 100.0\% |

## Continued

Table 10: Average Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Expenditures Per Community during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > } 1,000,000(n=7)$ | Staff/Employee Expenses | Ovehead/ <br> Adminstrative <br> Expense | Facility Expenses | Payments to Local Artists | Payments to Non-Local Artists | Operating Expenditures | Asset <br> Acquisition | Organizational Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$609,970 | \$514,234 | \$58,430 | \$33,750 | \$44,131 | \$1,260,514 | \$78,719 | \$1,339,233 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$1,056,202 | \$836,075 | \$250,222 | \$336,055 | \$77,758 | \$2,556,312 | \$789,031 | \$3,345,342 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$91,181 | \$180,377 | \$13,978 | \$61,690 | \$18,053 | \$365,279 | \$2,173 | \$367,452 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$472,861 | \$456,957 | \$45,300 | \$61,575 | \$156,123 | \$1,192,8।6 | \$6,529 | \$1,199,345 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$202,097 | \$189,199 | \$30,068 | \$38,479 | \$0 | \$459,844 | \$0 | \$459,844 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$459,827 | \$514,854 | \$93,485 | \$112,560 | \$73,786 | \$1,254,512 | \$110,611 | \$1,365,123 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$1,309,147 | \$1,165, 78 | \$161,970 | \$294,755 | \$168,054 | \$3,099, 104 | \$883,616 | \$3,982,720 |
| Group VI Average | \$600, 184 | \$550,982 | \$93,350 | \$134,123 | \$76,844 | \$1,455,483 | \$267,240 | \$1,722,723 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 34.8\% | 32.0\% | 5.4\% | 7.8\% | 4.5\% | 84.5\% | 15.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of All Communities | \$299, I I I | \$241,309 | \$57,165 | \$60,000 | \$36,529 | \$694,114 | \$101,156 | \$795,270 |
| \% of Total Expenditures | 37.6\% | 30.3\% | 7.2\% | 7.5\% | 4.6\% | 87.3\% | 12.7\% | 100.0\% |

## Explanation of Table II:

## Comparative Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Spending Per Responding Organization by Population Category during Fiscal 2000

This table summarizes in each of the six population groups the average expenditures for the 2,988 nonprofit arts organizations from which detailed data were collected during fiscal 2000.

Averages are calculated for each of the six population groups per responding nonprofit arts organization. They differ from the averages on Table 10; in that case, the averages are calculated per participating community.

## Column One:

The average itemized expenditures for nonprofit arts organizations that reported from Population Group I during fiscal 2000 (communities having a population of less than 50,000).

## Column Two:

The average itemized expenditures for nonprofit arts organizations that reported from Population Group II during fiscal 2000 (communities having a population of 50,000 to 99,999 ).

## Column Three:

The average itemized expenditures for nonprofit arts organizations that reported from Population Group III during fiscal 2000 (communities having a population of 100,000 to 249,999 ).

## Column Four:

The average itemized expenditures for nonprofit arts organizations that reported from Population Group IV during fiscal 2000 (communities having a population of 250,000 to 499,999 ).

## Column Five:

The average itemized expenditures for nonprofit arts organizations that reported from Population Group V during fiscal 2000 (communities having a population of 500,000 to 999,999).

## Column Six:

The average itemized expenditures for nonprofit arts organizations that reported from Population Group VI during fiscal 2000 (communities having a population of 1,000,000 or more).

## Column Seven:

The average itemized expenditures for all 2,998 reporting nonprofit arts organizations during fiscal 2000.

Table 11: Comparitive Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Spending Per Responding Organization by Population Category during Fiscal 2000

| Personal Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group I } \\ & \text { (Pop. < } 50,000 \text { ) } \\ & \mathrm{n}=171 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group II } \\ & (50,000-99,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=434 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group III } \\ & (100,00-249,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group IV } \\ & (250,000-499,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group V } \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=810 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group VI } \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & n=644 \end{aligned}$ | Avg.of All Organizations $\mathrm{n}=2,988$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payroll | \$100,894 | \$99,588 | \$185,779 | \$252,401 | \$460,225 | \$435,777 | \$313,292 |
| Taxes and Fringe Benefits | \$14,56\| | \$16,602 | \$36,019 | \$43,031 | \$84,992 | \$69,646 | \$54,073 |
| Fees for Contract Staff | \$969 | \$4,195 | \$8,962 | \$6,233 | \$34,020 | \$14,971 | \$14,418 |
| Other Personnel Expenses | \$2,428 | \$3,729 | \$2,396 | \$4,916 | \$27,808 | \$8,452 | \$9,832 |
| Total Personnel Expenses | \$118,852 | \$124,114 | \$233, 156 | \$306,581 | \$607,045 | \$528,846 | \$391,615 |
| Payments for Artists | Group I $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Pop. < } 50,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=171 \end{aligned}$ | Group II $\begin{aligned} & (50,000-99,000) \\ & n=434 \end{aligned}$ | Group III $\begin{aligned} & (100,00-249,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group IV } \\ & (250,000-499,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group V } \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=810 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group VI } \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & n=644 \end{aligned}$ | Avg.of All Organizations $\mathrm{n}=2,988$ |
| Payments (Local Artists) | \$13,638 | \$17,700 | \$41,605 | \$71,631 | \$102,141 | \$103,547 | \$73,707 |
| Taxes/Benefits (Local Artists) | \$438 | \$563 | \$2,656 | \$6,452 | \$13,246 | \$11,578 | \$7,759 |
| Payments (Non-Local Artists) | \$19,909 | \$14,036 | \$35,409 | \$37,564 | \$69,117 | \$53,066 | \$44,992 |
| Taxes/Benefits (Non-Local Artists) | \$772 | \$708 | \$433 | \$1,301 | \$4,594 | \$4,405 | \$2,608 |
| Total Payments for Artists | \$34,757 | \$33,007 | \$80,103 | \$116,948 | \$189,098 | \$172,596 | \$129,066 |
| Overhead and Admin. Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group I } \\ & (\text { Pop. }<50,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=171 \end{aligned}$ | Group II $\begin{aligned} & (50,000-99,000) \\ & n=434 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group III } \\ & (100,00-249,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group IV } \\ & (250,000-499,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=375 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group V } \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=810 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group VI } \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & \mathrm{n}=644 \end{aligned}$ | Avg.of All Organizations $\mathrm{n}=2,988$ |
| Advertising Costs | \$11,346 | \$10,729 | \$26,516 | \$33,102 | \$70,928 | \$71,748 | \$47,496 |
| Contract Services' | \$7,040 | \$6,150 | \$10,970 | \$22,349 | \$61,449 | \$48, I54 | \$33,266 |
| Insurance Costs | \$4,455 | \$3,510 | \$8,109 | \$6,432 | \$13,122 | \$14,004 | \$9,904 |
| Office Machinery Expenses | \$1,715 | \$1,777 | \$3,180 | \$4,327 | \$8,092 | \$11,068 | \$6,445 |
| Postage/Shipping Costs | \$3,594 | \$3,634 | \$8,742 | \$6,254 | \$14,580 | \$14,069 | \$10,277 |
| Production Costs ${ }^{2}$ | \$27,586 | \$25,362 | \$31,651 | \$122,88 1 | \$170,492 | \$125,357 | \$102,721 |
| Publications ${ }^{3}$ | \$4,016 | \$5,553 | \$10,433 | \$11,231 | \$22,565 | \$22,38 1 | \$15,709 |
| Supplies/Materials | \$10,229 | \$5,898 | \$18,323 | \$19,786 | \$33,910 | \$41,405 | \$26,831 |
| Telephone Costs | \$2,414 | \$2,747 | \$8,482 | \$5,789 | \$10,137 | \$9,628 | \$7,846 |
| Travel Costs | \$3,448 | \$3,025 | \$8,020 | \$11,214 | \$18,097 | \$19,450 | \$13,254 |
| Other Expenses | \$36,777 | \$11,615 | \$37,881 | \$47,688 | \$94,476 | \$106,206 | \$68,147 |
| Total Overhead/Admin. Expenses | \$1 12,620 | \$80,000 | \$172,307 | \$291,053 | \$517,848 | \$483,470 | \$341,896 |

## Continued

Table 11: Comparitive Itemized Nonprofit Arts Organization Spending Per Responding Organization by Population Category during Fiscal 2000

| Facility Expenses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group I } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { (Pop. }<50,000) \\ \mathrm{n}=171 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group II } \\ & (50,000-99,000) \\ & \mathrm{n}=434 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group IIII } \\ & \substack{(1000,00-249,000) \\ n=554} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group IV } \\ & \substack{(250,000-499,999) \\ n=375} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group } V \\ & (500,000-999,999) \\ & n=10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group VI } \\ & \begin{array}{l} (500,000-999,999) \\ n=644 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Avg.of All <br> Organizations <br> $\mathrm{n}=2,988$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rental and Lease Costs | \$6,047 | \$6,697 | \$17,394 | \$12,364 | \$28,953 | \$29,483 | \$20,75 1 |
| Mortgage Payments | \$1,433 | \$1,05। | \$1,264 | \$949 | \$42,727 | \$3,384 | \$10,130 |
| Property Taxes | \$600 | \$183 | \$289 | \$514 | \$642 | \$260 | \$397 |
| Contributions ${ }^{4}$ | \$7 | \$45 | \$203 | \$230 | \$39 | \$946 | \$365 |
| Utilities ${ }^{5}$ | \$7,514 | \$8,540 | \$9,043 | \$15,445 | \$24,257 | \$26,696 | \$18,237 |
| Other Facility Expenses | \$7,859 | \$5,092 | \$8,983 | \$21,906 | \$57,942 | \$37,942 | \$29,1 19 |
| Total Facility Expenses | \$23,460 | \$21,608 | \$37,176 | \$51,408 | \$154,560 | \$98,711 | \$78,999 |


| Total Operating Expenses | \$289,689 | \$258,729 | \$522,742 | \$765,990 | \$1,468,55I | \$1,283,623 | \$941,576 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Asset Acquisition | \$69,126 | \$42,687 | \$94,483 | \$64,477 | \$238,448 | \$709,588 | \$262,500 |
| Total Organizational Expenses | \$358,815 | \$301,416 | \$617,225 | \$830,467 | \$1,706,999 | \$1,993,2II | \$1,204,076 |

[^2]
## Explanation of Table 12:

## Arts Volunteerism to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

This table summarizes the number of volunteers, number of volunteer hours, and dollar value of volunteer time in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 9 I communities.

## Column One:

The total number of people who volunteered at responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Volunteers include members of boards of directors, docents, ticket takers, and others.

## Column Two:

The total number of volunteer hours donated to responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Three:

The estimated dollar value of volunteer hours donated to responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by multiplying the total number of volunteer hours by $\$ 15.40$ (the dollar value of the average volunteer hour during fiscal 2000 based on Giving and Volunteering in the United States 2000, by the Independent Sector).

## Column Four:

The average number of volunteer hours donated, per volunteer, to responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by dividing the total number of donated volunteer hours (column two) by the total number of volunteers (column one).

## Column Five:

The average number of volunteers, per organization, who donated their time to a nonprofit arts organization during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by dividing the number of volunteers in each community (column one) by the number of responding nonprofit arts organizations.

## Column Six:

The average number of volunteer hours, per organization, that were donated to nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by dividing the number of volunteer hours donated in each community (column two) by the number of responding nonprofit arts organizations.

Table 12: Arts Volunteerism to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I Pop. < 50,000 (n=15) | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of Hours | Estimated <br> Value of Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers Per Organization | Avg. Hours Per Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | 63 | 5,631 | \$86,717 | 89.4 | 15.8 | 1,407.8 |
| Sonora, CA | 317 | 33,949 | \$522,815 | 107.1 | 63.4 | 6,789.8 |
| Rockland, ME | 355 | 8,707 | \$134,088 | 24.5 | 59.2 | 1,451.2 |
| Ketchikan, AK | 392 | 1,732 | \$26,673 | 4.4 | 130.7 | 577.3 |
| Grand Haven, MI | 1,021 | 26,659 | \$410,549 | 26.1 | 127.6 | 3,332.4 |
| Portsmouth, NH | 3,197 | 60,798 | \$936,289 | 19.0 | 133.2 | 2,533.3 |
| Door Co., WI | 1,945 | 44,885 | \$691,229 | 23.1 | 92.6 | 2,137.4 |
| Fairbanks, AK | 279 | 10,924 | \$168,230 | 39.2 | 25.4 | 993.1 |
| Gloucester, MA | 259 | 10,878 | \$167,521 | 42.0 | 43.2 | 1,813.0 |
| Juneau, AK | 863 | 18,621 | \$286,763 | 21.6 | 95.9 | 2,069.0 |
| Dublin, OH | 153 | 9,864 | \$151,906 | 64.5 | 38.3 | 2,466.0 |
| Dover, DE | 1,438 | 110,849 | \$1,707,075 | 77.1 | 57.5 | 4,434.0 |
| Beloit, WI | 292 | 11,008 | \$169,523 | 37.7 | 36.5 | 1,376.0 |
| Minot, ND | 651 | 19,181 | \$295,387 | 29.5 | 27.1 | 799.2 |
| Wausau, WI | 1,914 | 33,768 | \$520,027 | 17.6 | 147.2 | 2,597.5 |
| Group I Average | 875.9 | 27,163.6 | \$418,319 | 41.5 | 72.9 | 2,318.5 |
| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of Hours | Estimated <br> Value of <br> Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers Per Organization | Avg. Hours <br> Per Organization |
| Oak Park, IL | 3,209 | 93,183 | \$1,435,018 | 29.0 | 118.9 | 3,451.2 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | 875 | 8,634 | \$132,964 | 9.9 | 97.2 | 959.3 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | 7,017 | 125,733 | \$1,936,288 | 17.9 | 319.0 | 5,715.1 |
| Lodi, CA | 845 | 36,334 | \$559,544 | 43.0 | 56.3 | 2,422.3 |
| Missoula, MT | 1,729 | 58,771 | \$905,073 | 34.0 | 72.0 | 2,448.8 |
| St. Cloud, MN | 2,472 | 65,150 | \$1,003,310 | 26.4 | 85.2 | 2,246.6 |
| Janesville, WI | 570 | 7,151 | \$110,125 | 12.5 | 47.5 | 595.9 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 5,610 | 282,228 | \$4,346,311 | 50.3 | 98.4 | 4,951.4 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | 1,860 | 91,302 | \$1,406,05 I | 49.1 | 103.3 | 5,072.3 |
| Springfield, OH | 5,383 | 61,448 | \$946,299 | 11.4 | 244.7 | 2,793.1 |
| Bellingham, WA | 714 | 24,404 | \$375,822 | 34.2 | 71.4 | 2,440.4 |
| Asheville, NC | 5,329 | 162,152 | \$2,497,141 | 30.4 | 130.0 | 3,954.9 |
| Bloomington, IN | 2,304 | 73,712 | \$1,135,165 | 32.0 | 85.3 | 2,730.1 |
| St. Joseph, MO | 1,007 | 29,374 | \$452,360 | 29.2 | 55.9 | 1,631.9 |
| Lawrence, KS | 1,448 | 43,684 | \$672,734 | 30.2 | 96.5 | 2,912.3 |
| Chemung Co., NY | 192 | 10,823 | \$166,674 | 56.4 | 21.3 | 1,202.6 |

## Continued

Table 12: Arts Volunteerism to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Continued <br> Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of Hours | Estimated <br> Value of <br> Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers Per Organization | Avg. Hours <br> Per Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New Bedford, MA | 3,290 | \| 19,42 | | \$1,839,083 | 36.3 | 137.1 | 4,975.9 |
| Boulder, CO | 3,491 | 106,450 | \$1,639,330 | 30.5 | 75.9 | 2,314.1 |
| Steuben Co., NY | 125 | 10,853 | \$167,136 | 86.8 | 13.9 | 1,205.9 |
| Group II Average | 2,498.4 | 74,253.0 | \$1,143,496 | 34.2 | 101.6 | 2,843.4 |
| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of Hours | Estimated <br> Value of <br> Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers Per Organization | Avg. Hours Per Organization |
| Green Bay, WI | 2,068 | \| 19,506 | \$1,840,392 | 57.8 | 73.9 | 4,268.1 |
| Erie, PA | 2,073 | 98,405 | \$1,515,437 | 47.5 | 115.2 | 5,466.9 |
| Indian River Co., FL | 255 | 44,826 | \$690,320 | 175.8 | 31.9 | 5,603.3 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 2,126 | 140,029 | \$2,156,447 | 65.9 | 106.3 | 7,001.5 |
| Lansing, MI | 7,808 | 147,010 | \$2,263,954 | 18.8 | 166.1 | 3,127.9 |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | 150,235 | \$2,313,619 | n/a | n/a | 3,338.6 |
| Pasadena, CA | 2,037 | 33,710 | \$519,134 | 16.5 | 226.3 | 3,745.6 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | 1,793 | 53,487 | \$823,700 | 29.8 | 64.0 | 1,910.3 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | 2,24। | 29,490 | \$454,146 | 13.2 | 448.2 | 5,898.0 |
| Tempe, AZ | 908 | 32,646 | \$502,748 | 36.0 | 113.5 | 4,080.8 |
| Dayton, OH | 6,684 | 183,755 | \$2,829,827 | 27.5 | 191.0 | 5,250.1 |
| Worcester, MA | 4,553 | 102,975 | \$1,585,815 | 22.6 | 175.1 | 3,960.6 |
| Chandler, AZ | 289 | 11,300 | \$174,020 | 39.1 | 96.3 | 3,766.7 |
| Boise, ID | 2,780 | 64,180 | \$988,372 | 23.1 | 84.2 | 1,944.8 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | 356 | 17,904 | \$275,722 | 50.3 | 19.8 | 994.7 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | 64,54। | \$993,93। | n/a | n/a | 1,613.5 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | 1,196 | 61,265 | \$943,481 | 51.2 | 49.8 | 2,552.7 |
| Glendale, CA | 1,313 | 19,417 | \$299,022 | 14.8 | 65.7 | 970.9 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | 1,059 | 106,094 | \$1,633,848 | 100.2 | 96.3 | 9,644.9 |
| Madison, WI | 3,771 | 120,114 | \$1,849,756 | 31.9 | 130.0 | 4,141.9 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 2,147 | 115,154 | \$1,773,372 | 53.6 | 53.7 | 2,878.9 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | 5,911 | 242,570 | \$3,735,578 | 41.0 | 168.9 | 6,930.6 |
| Placer Co., CA | 2,652 | 99,999 | \$1,539,985 | 37.7 | 110.5 | 4,166.6 |
| Group III Average | 2,572.4 | 89,504.9 | \$1,378,375 | 45.4 | 123.2 | 4,054.7 |

## Continued

Table 12: Arts Volunteerism to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of Hours | Estimated <br> Value of <br> Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers <br> Per Organization | Avg. Hours <br> Per Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | 1,585 | 52,297 | \$805,374 | 33.0 | 75.5 | 2,490.3 |
| Northampton Co., PA | 5,158 | 196,398 | \$3,024,529 | 38.1 | 166.4 | 6,335.4 |
| Newark, NJ | 1,170 | 52,127 | \$802,756 | 44.6 | 90.0 | 4,009.8 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | 3,067 | 163,155 | \$2,512,587 | 53.2 | 85.2 | 4,532.1 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | 3,422 | 202,097 | \$3,112,294 | 59.1 | 76.0 | 4,491.0 |
| Fox Valley, WI | 1,125 | 44,584 | \$686,594 | 39.6 | 75.0 | 2,972.3 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | 185 | 8,532 | \$131,393 | 46.1 | 23.1 | 1,066.5 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | 2,329 | 73,829 | \$1,136,967 | 31.7 | 122.6 | 3,885.7 |
| Berks Co., PA | 1,982 | 58,694 | \$903,888 | 29.6 | 90.1 | 2,667.9 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 8,009 | 397,535 | \$6, 122,039 | 49.6 | 112.8 | 5,599.1 |
| Mesa, AZ | 2,472 | 69,703 | \$1,073,426 | 28.2 | 309.0 | 8,712.9 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | 1,001 | 21,673 | \$333,764 | 21.7 | 55.6 | 1,204.1 |
| New Orleans, LA | 7,656 | 166,458 | \$2,563,453 | 21.7 | 112.6 | 2,447.9 |
| Group IV Average | 3,012.4 | I I 5,929.4 | \$1,785,313 | 38.2 | 107.2 | 3,878.1 |


| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of <br> Hours | Estimated <br> Value of <br> Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers <br> Per Organization | Avg. Hours <br> Per Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | 2,995 | 104,020 | \$1,601,908 | 34.7 | 51.6 | 1,793.4 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | 1,123,809 | \$17,306,659 | n/a | n/a | 12,770.6 |
| Milwaukee, WI | 5,659 | 152,45 \| | \$2,347,745 | 26.9 | 101.1 | 2,722.3 |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | 3,894 | 92,105 | \$1,418,417 | 23.7 | 125.6 | 2,971.1 |
| Memphis, TN | 4,424 | 140,093 | \$2, 157,432 | 31.7 | 138.3 | 4,377.9 |
| Columbus, OH | 21,266 | 376,057 | \$5,791,278 | 17.7 | 322.2 | 5,697.8 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 13,476 | 452,201 | \$6,963,895 | 33.6 | 275.0 | 9,228.6 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | 45,826 | \$705,720 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 1,909.4 |
| Fulton Co., GA | 15,07 \| | 563,319 | \$8,675, I I 3 | 37.4 | 157.0 | 5,867.9 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | 343,952 | \$5,296,86। | n/a | n/a | 6,489.7 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | 7,288 | 251,425 | \$3,871,945 | 34.5 | 93.4 | 3,223.4 |
| Westchester Co., NY | 5,786 | 194,408 | \$2,993,883 | 33.6 | 66.5 | 2,234.6 |
| Detroit, MI | 14,759 | 400,020 | \$6, 160,308 | 27.1 | 295.2 | 8,000.4 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | 249,333 | \$3,839,728 | n/a | n/a | 5,936.5 |
| Group V Average | 9,461.8 | 320,644.2 | \$4,937,92 I | 30.1 | 162.6 | 5,230.3 |

Table 12 Continued on Page A59

## Continued

Table 12: Arts Volunteerism to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > 1,000,000 ( } \mathrm{n}=7 \text { ) }$ | \# of <br> Volunteers | \# of Hours | Estimated <br> Value of <br> Volunteer Hours | Avg. Hours Per Volunteer | Avg.Volunteers Per Organization | Avg. Hours Per Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | 10,592 | 556,202 | \$8,565,5। । | 52.5 | 163.0 | 8,557.0 |
| St. Louis, MO | 72,161 | 553,881 | \$8,529,767 | 7.7 | 937.2 | 7,193.3 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | 2,990 | 79,372 | \$1,222,329 | 26.5 | 110.7 | 2,939.7 |
| Broward Co., FL | 3,953 | 246,925 | \$3,802,645 | 62.5 | 74.6 | 4,659.0 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| San Diego Co., CA | 15,440 | 865,021 | \$13,321,323 | 56.0 | 171.6 | 9,611.3 |
| Harris Co., TX | 17,019 | 410,010 | \$6,314,154 | 24.1 | 333.7 | 8,039.4 |
| Group VI Average | 20,359.2 | 451,901.8 | \$6,959,288 | 38.2 | 298.4 | 6,833.3 |
| Average of <br> All Communities | 4,411.5 | 139,826.5 | \$2,153,328 | 38.7 | 124.1 | 3,852.2 |

## Explanation of Table 13: <br> Value of In-Kind Contributions to Responding Nonprofit Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

This table summarizes the value of the in-kind contributions received by responding nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. In-kind contributions are donated assets and/or services (e.g., office space, airfare, marketing/advertising space). Averages are calculated for each population group and for all 9 I communities.

## Column One:

Total value of in-kind contributions from corporations received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Two:

Total value of in-kind contributions from local government received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Three:

Total value of in-kind contributions from local arts agencies received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Four:

Total value of in-kind contributions from the state arts agency received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Five:

Total value of in-kind contributions from individual donors received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Six:

Total value of in-kind contributions from miscellaneous sources received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Seven:

Total value of all in-kind contributions received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is the sum of columns one through six.

## Column Eight:

Average value of all in-kind contributions received by reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each participating community during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by dividing the total value of all inkind contributions received (column seven) by the total number of reporting nonprofit arts organizations in each community.

Table 13: Value of In-Kind Contributions to Responding Nonprofits Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I Pop. < 50,000 (n=15) | From Corporations | From Local Government | From Local Art Agencies | From State Art Agency | From Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg.Value Per Responding Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$5,407 | \$0 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$26,760 | \$0 | \$37,167 | \$9,292 |
| Sonora, CA | \$3,500 | \$2,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$203,500 | \$0 | \$209,000 | \$41,800 |
| Rockland, ME | \$82,289 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$179,297 | \$235,907 | \$497,493 | \$82,916 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,800 | \$4,800 | \$1,600 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$600 | \$4,400 | \$5,000 | \$625 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$526,298 | \$1,113,004 | \$4,766 | \$13,800 | \$95,532 | \$33,512 | \$1,786,912 | \$74,455 |
| Door Co., WI | \$41,932 | \$62,100 | \$5,700 | \$0 | \$25,915 | \$16,877 | \$152,524 | \$7,263 |
| Fairbanks, AK | \$80,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$177,265 | \$58,000 | \$315,265 | \$28,660 |
| Gloucester, MA | \$2,900 | \$1,500 | \$0 | \$0 | \$32,000 | \$5,000 | \$41,400 | \$6,900 |
| Juneau, AK | \$140,079 | \$60,200 | \$400 | \$11,130 | \$31,843 | \$551,413 | \$795,065 | \$88,341 |
| Dublin, OH | \$5,036 | \$15,045 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,050 | \$0 | \$22,131 | \$5,533 |
| Dover, DE | \$122,400 | \$94,100 | \$41,000 | \$30,44 I | \$242,143 | \$25,696 | \$555,780 | \$22,231 |
| Beloit, WI | \$7,925 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,650 | \$34,710 | \$44,285 | \$5,536 |
| Minot, ND | \$10,000 | \$5,530 | \$700 | \$0 | \$37,784 | \$131,333 | \$185,347 | \$7,723 |
| Wausau, WI | \$155,237 | \$107,050 | \$4,000 | \$5,000 | \$17,450 | \$57,859 | \$346,596 | \$26,661 |
| Group I Average | \$78,867 | \$97,369 | \$4,104 | \$4,025 | \$71,586 | \$77,300 | \$333,25 I | \$27,302 |
| \% of Group I Total Value | 23.7\% | 29.2\% | I.2\% | 1.2\% | 21.5\% | 23.2\% | 100.0\% |  |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | From Corporations | From Local Government | From Local Art Agencies | From State Art Agency | From Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg.Value Per Responding Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | \$312,669 | \$10,280 | \$12,847 | \$6,326 | \$209,209 | \$144,350 | \$695,68। | \$25,766 |
| Flagstaff, AZ | \$12,68 1 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$7,200 | \$28,724 | \$1,000 | \$50,605 | \$5,623 |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$105,578 | \$433,480 | \$0 | \$700 | \$906, 15 I | \$151,504 | \$1,597,413 | \$72,610 |
| Lodi, CA | \$9,000 | \$14,035 | \$12,800 | \$3,940 | \$3,330 | \$1,800 | \$44,905 | \$2,994 |
| Missoula, MT | \$116, 130 | \$27,000 | \$1,050 | \$2,500 | \$78,018 | \$61,143 | \$285,841 | \$11,910 |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$39,121 | \$89,074 | \$3,500 | \$0 | \$61,755 | \$120,500 | \$313,950 | \$10,826 |
| Janesville, WI | \$2,680 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$700 | \$111,669 | \$117,049 | \$9,754 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$395,505 | \$194,929 | \$32,000 | \$11,330 | \$3,002,464 | \$707,478 | \$4,343,706 | \$76,205 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$159,000 | \$866,310 | \$68,500 | \$4,000 | \$308,737 | \$10,200 | \$1,416,747 | \$78,708 |
| Springfield, OH | \$76,422 | \$85,802 | \$14,200 | \$19,260 | \$351,380 | \$53,370 | \$600,434 | \$27,292 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$118,972 | \$110,900 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$107,833 | \$73,099 | \$415,804 | \$41,580 |
| Asheville, NC | \$224,846 | \$343,869 | \$5,000 | \$1,000 | \$321,119 | \$60,265 | \$956,099 | \$23,319 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$98,967 | \$600 | \$2,700 | \$0 | \$113,032 | \$367,597 | \$582,896 | \$21,589 |
| St. Joseph, MO | \$11,200 | \$14,132 | \$98,025 | \$35,175 | \$71,765 | \$11,790 | \$242,087 | \$13,449 |
| Lawrence, KS | \$63,500 | \$53,000 | \$6,400 | \$1,300 | \$44,925 | \$36,576 | \$205,701 | \$13,713 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$22,140 | \$259,160 | \$700 | \$0 | \$40,703 | \$3,043 | \$325,746 | \$36,194 |

Table 13 Continued on Page A62

## Continued

Table 13: Value of In-Kind Contributions to Responding Nonprofits Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Continued Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | From <br> Corporations | From LocalGovernment | From LocalArtAgencies | From StateArt Agency | From Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg. Value Per RespondingOrganization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Bedford, MA | \$326,350 | \$115,185 | \$3,000 | \$0 | \$179,000 | \$322,500 | \$946,035 | \$39,418 |
| Boulder, CO | \$139,650 | \$134,550 | \$30,800 | \$7,000 | \$308,43। | \$287,209 | \$907,640 | \$19,731 |
| Steuben County, NY | \$7,100 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,550 | \$0 | \$9,650 | \$1,072 |
| Group II Average | \$117,974 | \$144,963 | \$15,712 | \$5,249 | \$323,096 | \$132,900 | \$739,894 | \$27,987 |
| \% of Group II Total Value | 15.9\% | 19.6\% | 2.1\% | 0.7\% | 43.7\% | 18.0\% | 100.0\% |  |


| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | From Corporations | From Local Government | From Local Art Agencies | From State Art Agency | From Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg. Value Per Responding Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$33,550 | \$376,800 | \$0 | \$400 | \$543,226 | \$103,918 | \$1,057,894 | \$37,782 |
| Erie, PA | \$133,616 | \$35,021 | \$1,459 | \$776,419 | \$82,888 | \$158,335 | \$1,187,738 | \$65,985 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$0 | \$0 | \$465 | \$0 | \$20,000 | \$0 | \$20,465 | \$2,558 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$47,321 | \$1,338,353 | \$800 | \$23,800 | \$90,447 | \$49,296 | \$1,550,017 | \$77,50 I |
| Lansing, MI | \$358,975 | \$136,356 | \$2,150 | \$0 | \$144,55 I | \$1,436,609 | \$2,078,641 | \$44,226 |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | \$1,484,122 | \$32,980 |
| Pasadena, CA | \$252,212 | \$42,620 | \$10,495 | \$13,391 | \$13,043 | \$4,293 | \$336,054 | \$37,339 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$49,1 10 | \$102,750 | \$4,200 | \$500 | \$72,840 | \$31,250 | \$260,650 | \$9,309 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$80,000 | \$25,000 | \$25,000 | \$0 | \$50,000 | \$76,200 | \$256,200 | \$51,240 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$44,195 | \$8,000 | \$2,600 | \$800 | \$6,484 | \$7,390 | \$69,469 | \$8,684 |
| Dayton, OH | \$1,126,560 | \$2,205,645 | \$9,425 | \$305,038 | \$468,847 | \$108,664 | \$4,224,179 | \$120,691 |
| Worcester, MA | \$516,452 | \$405,974 | \$113,600 | \$200,500 | \$257,652 | \$85,887 | \$1,580,065 | \$60,772 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$0 | \$0 | \$100 | \$0 | \$3,500 | \$35,000 | \$38,600 | \$12,867 |
| Boise, ID | \$388,442 | \$95,704 | \$750 | \$0 | \$282,150 | \$139,327 | \$906,373 | \$27,466 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$2,675 | \$85,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,100 | \$1,000 | \$89,775 | \$4,988 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | \$595,461 | \$14,887 |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$159,612 | \$65,312 | \$1,700 | \$1,500 | \$26,420 | \$21,000 | \$275,544 | \$11,481 |
| Glendale, CA | \$76,568 | \$20,000 | \$22,000 | \$0 | \$42,800 | \$15,000 | \$176,368 | \$8,818 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$278,869 | \$10,000 | \$2,000 | \$0 | \$100,485 | \$50,500 | \$441,854 | \$40,169 |
| Madison, WI | \$260,356 | \$114,160 | \$1,700 | \$0 | \$31,358 | \$18,758 | \$426,332 | \$14,701 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$608,779 | \$845,500 | \$20,507 | \$13,54\| | \$116,999 | \$436,315 | \$2,041,641 | \$51,041 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$1,045,046 | \$617,708 | \$20,300 | \$17,000 | \$469,441 | \$767,362 | \$2,936,857 | \$83,910 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$69,356 | \$146,121 | \$10,100 | \$2,500 | \$53,217 | \$5,000 | \$286,294 | \$11,929 |
| Group III Average | \$263,414 | \$317,906 | \$11,874 | \$64,542 | \$137,021 | \$169,100 | \$963,858 | \$36,144 |
| \% of Group II Total Value | 27.3\% | 33.0\% | 1.2\% | 6.7\% | 14.2\% | 17.5\% | 100.0\% |  |

## Continued

Table 13: Value of In-Kind Contributions to Responding Nonprofits Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | From Corporations | From Local Government | From Local Art Agencies | From State Art Agency | From Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg.Value Per Responding Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$226,402 | \$44,473 | \$200 | \$1,500 | \$40,572 | \$4,749 | \$317,896 | \$15,138 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$1,194,134 | \$125,605 | \$500 | \$24,230 | \$114,933 | \$1,183,628 | \$2,643,030 | \$85,259 |
| Newark, NJ | \$876,479 | \$46,650 | \$14,500 | \$73,563 | \$62,426 | \$339,850 | \$1,413,468 | \$108,728 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$334,531 | \$3,800 | \$38,347 | \$306 | \$36,576 | \$71,179 | \$484,739 | \$13,465 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$779,907 | \$140,667 | \$5,300 | \$13,222 | \$622,925 | \$238,622 | \$1,800,643 | \$40,014 |
| Fox Valley, WI | \$97,984 | \$11,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$14,894 | \$900 | \$124,778 | \$8,319 |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$62,088 | \$200,000 | \$4,500 | \$0 | \$3,948 | \$0 | \$270,536 | \$33,817 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$51,845 | \$2,735,60 I | \$0 | \$0 | \$65,324 | \$7,344 | \$2,860,114 | \$150,532 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$309,562 | \$13,300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,332 | \$131,877 | \$460,071 | \$20,912 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$1,927,555 | \$37,865 | \$28,147 | \$14,200 | \$742,306 | \$1,372,654 | \$4,122,727 | \$58,067 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$10,000 | \$1,700 | \$500 | \$250 | \$14,500 | \$15,000 | \$41,950 | \$5,244 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$43,810 | \$37,154 | \$3,776 | \$0 | \$25,025 | \$7,800 | \$117,565 | \$6,531 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$533,775 | \$34,800 | \$22,750 | \$33,000 | \$453,882 | \$1,301,857 | \$2,380,064 | \$35,00 I |
| Group IV Average | \$496,006 | \$264,047 | \$9,117 | \$12,329 | \$169,434 | \$359,651 | \$1,310,583 | \$44,694 |
| \% of Group IV Total Value | 37.8\% | 20.1\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 12.9\% | 27.4\% | 100.0\% |  |


| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | From Corporations | From Local Government | From Local Art Agencies | From State Art Agency | From Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg.Value Per Responding Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$533, 185 | \$179,288 | \$7,950 | \$0 | \$426,000 | \$52,450 | \$1,198,873 | \$20,670 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | \$8,185,860 | \$93,021 |
| Milwaukee, WI | \$1,054,037 | \$430,855 | \$19,869 | \$4,240 | \$267,475 | \$44,45 I | \$1,820,927 | \$32,517 |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$138,275 | \$24,000 | \$5,520 | \$1,400 | \$193,031 | \$8,660 | \$370,886 | \$11,964 |
| Memphis, TN | \$592,383 | \$28,000 | \$15,900 | \$13,200 | \$144,633 | \$275,836 | \$1,069,952 | \$33,436 |
| Columbus, OH | \$3,487,195 | \$203,500 | \$6,600 | \$11,461 | \$137,613 | \$665,372 | \$4,511,741 | \$68,360 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$2,002,693 | \$0 | \$21,138 | \$2,878 | \$2,657,972 | \$4,805,548 | \$9,490,229 | \$193,678 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | \$852,397 | \$35,517 |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$4,447,067 | \$743,242 | \$265,200 | \$8,300 | \$1,735,397 | \$566,659 | \$7,765,865 | \$80,894 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | \$1,616,391 | \$30,498 |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$2,461,298 | \$213,834 | \$20, 100 | \$57,200 | \$554,878 | \$275,334 | \$3,582,644 | \$45,931 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$574,714 | \$343,900 | \$13,860 | \$36,841 | \$4,995,95 I | \$574,214 | \$6,539,480 | \$75,166 |
| Detroit, MI | \$3,680,911 | \$174,360 | \$17,450 | \$200,650 | \$1,069,524 | \$6,668,745 | \$11,811,640 | \$236,233 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | \$1,163,815 | \$27,710 |
| Group V Average | \$1,897,176 | \$234,098 | \$39,359 | \$33,617 | \$1,218,247 | \$1,393,727 | \$4,816,224 | \$79,885 |
| \% of Group V Total Value | 39.4\% | 4.9\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 25.3\% | 28.9\% | 100.0\% |  |

Table 13 Continued on Page A64

## Continued

Table 13: Value of In-Kind Contributions to Responding Nonprofits Arts Organizations during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > } 1,000,000(n=7)$ | From Corporations | From Local Government | From Local Art Agencies | From State Art Agency | From <br> Individuals | From Other | Total Value of In-Kind Contributions | Avg.Value Per Responding Organization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$2,689,782 | \$1,946,460 | \$63,174 | \$8,417 | \$1,887,284 | \$1,611,338 | \$8,206,455 | \$106,577 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$934,953 | \$154,600 | \$104,400 | \$50,978 | \$779,295 | \$528,399 | \$2,552,625 | \$39,271 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$314,565 | \$18,990 | \$10,018 | \$2,600 | \$76,698 | \$141,650 | \$564,52। | \$20,908 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$1,824,046 | \$198,748 | \$105,029 | \$27,000 | \$1,306,890 | \$57,106 | \$3,518,8।9 | \$66,393 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$3,128,958 | \$1,489,625 | \$380,529 | \$311,919 | \$3,066,929 | \$308,274 | \$8,686,234 | \$96,514 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$2,532,014 | \$140,460 | \$588,775 | \$2,000 | \$888,384 | \$957,467 | \$5, 109, 100 | \$100,178 |
| Group VI Average | \$1,904,053 | \$658,147 | \$208,654 | \$67,152 | \$1,334,247 | \$600,706 | \$4,772,959 | \$71,640 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 39.9\% | 13.8\% | 4.4\% | 1.4\% | 28.0\% | 12.6\% | 100.0\% |  |
| Average of <br> All Communities | \$545,242 | \$245,397 | \$28,256 | \$28,748 | \$386,675 | \$350,627 | \$1,584,944 | \$42,077 |
| \% of Total In-Kind Contributions | 34.4\% | 15.5\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 24.4\% | 22.1\% | 100.0\% |  |

## Explanation ofTable I4:

## Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total attendance to arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000 as reported by responding nonprofit arts organizations. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The number of audience-intercept surveys that were collected from arts event attendees in each participating community (the audience-intercept survey instrument is included in Appendix B).

## Column Two:

The total attendance to arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000 as reported by responding nonprofit arts organizations.

## Column Three:

The percentage of arts event attendees who are residents. Residents are attendees who reside within the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place.

## Column Four:

The total number of arts event attendees who are residents. This figure was calculated by multiplying the percentage of arts event attendees who are residents (column three) by the total fiscal 2000 arts event attendance for each participating community (column two).

## Column Five:

The percentage of arts event attendees who are non-residents. Non-residents are attendees who reside outside the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place.

## Column Six:

The total number of arts event attendees who are non-residents. This figure was calculated by multiplying the percentage of arts event attendees who are non-residents (column five) by the total fiscal 2000 arts event attendance for each participating community (column two).

Table 14: Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I <br> Pop. < 50,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ) | \# of Audience Surveys Collected | Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| Homer, AK | 129 | 86,257 | 98.4\% | 84,877 | 1.6\% | 1,380 |
| Sonora, CA | 781 | 120,377 | 428\% | 51,52\| | 57.2\% | 68,856 |
| Rockland, ME | 370 | 208,618 | 14.9\% | 31,084 | 85.1\% | 177,534 |
| Ketchikan, AK | 79 | 59,707 | 98.7\% | 58,931 | 1.3\% | 776 |
| Grand Haven, MI | 531 | 29,487 | 627\% | 18.488 | 37.3\% | 10,999 |
| Portsmouth, NH | 607 | 698,309 | 61.6\% | 430,158 | 38.4\% | 268,151 |
| Door Co., WI | 179 | 267,172 | 28.5\% | 76,144 | 71.5\% | 191,028 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | 337 | 105,445 | 95.2\% | 100,384 | 4.8\% | 5,061 |
| Dublin, OH | 168 | 29,120 | 91.7\% | 26,703 | 8.3\% | 2.417 |
| Dover, DE | 687 | 157,342 | 90.5\% | 142,395 | 9.5\% | 14,947 |
| Beloit, WI | 283 | 55,350 | 60.1\% | 33,26 | 39.9\% | 22,085 |
| Minot, ND | 896 | 179,707 | 64.7\% | 116,270 | 35.3\% | 63,437 |
| Wausau, WI | 397 | 298,001 | 88.7\% | 264,327 | 11.3\% | 33,674 |
| Group I Average | 418.8 | 176,530.2 | 69.1\% | $110,349.8$ | 30.9\% | 66,180.3 |


|  |  |  | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | \# of Audience Surveys Collected | dance <br> to Nonprofit <br> Arts Events | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | 431 | I,091,341 | 70.5\% | 769,395 | 29.5\% | 321,946 |
| Lodi, CA | 887 | 350,500 | 78.0\% | 273,390 | 22.0\% | 77, 110 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | 532 | 376,127 | 82.1\% | 308,800 | 17.9\% | 67,327 |
| Janesville, WI | 381 | 56,241 | 88.5\% | 49,773 | 1 1.5\% | 6,468 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 659 | 3,547,507 | 52.8\% | 1,873,084 | 47.2\% | 1,674,423 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | 896 | 1,011,848 | 90.3\% | 913,699 | 9.7\% | 98,149 |
| Springfield, OH | 716 | 352,42I | 94.7\% | 333,743 | 5.3\% | 18,678 |
| Bellingham, WA | 862 | 296,339 | 91.3\% | 270,558 | 8.7\% | 25,78। |
| Asheville, NC | 773 | 1,643,44। | 81.5\% | 1,339,404 | 18.5\% | 304,037 |
| Bloomington, IN | 590 | 447,806 | 82.7\% | 370,336 | 17.3\% | 77,470 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | 786 | 548,230 | 64.3\% | 352,512 | 35.7\% | 195,718 |
| Chemung Co., NY | 431 | 77,488 | 71.7\% | 55,559 | 28.3\% | 21,929 |
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## Continued

Table 14: Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| ontinued |  |  | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | \# of Audience <br> Surveys Collected | to Nonprofit Arts Events | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| New Bedford, MA | 688 | 482,549 | 69.9\% | 337,302 | 30.1\% | 145,247 |
| Boulder, CO | 236 | 575,103 | 86.9\% | 499,765 | 13.1\% | 75,338 |
| Steuben Co., NY | 242 | 45,000 | 74.8\% | 33,660 | 25.2\% | 11,340 |
| Group II Average | 607.3 | 726,796.1 | 78.7\% | 518,731.9 | 21.3\% | 208,064.2 |
|  |  | Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | \# of Audience <br> Surveys Collected |  | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event <br> Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| Green Bay, WI | 196 | 1,516,025 | 76.5\% | I, 159,759 | 23.5\% | 356,266 |
| Erie, PA | 741 | 277,436 | 86.0\% | 238,595 | 14.0\% | 38,841 |
| Indian River Co., FL | 989 | 355,078 | 48.7\% | 172,923 | 51.3\% | 182, 155 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 442 | 416,585 | 88.5\% | 368,678 | 11.5\% | 47,907 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | 387 | 245,636 | 90.7\% | 222,792 | 9.3\% | 22,844 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | 503 | 347,298 | 75.8\% | 263,252 | 24.2\% | 84,046 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | 580 | 29,114 | 90.9\% | 26,465 | 9.1\% | 2,649 |
| Tempe, AZ | 115 | 372,939 | 95.6\% | 356,530 | 4.4\% | 16,409 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | 770 | 900,579 | 89.0\% | 801,515 | 1 1.0\% | 99,064 |
| Chandler, AZ | 75 | 257,300 | 92.0\% | 236,716 | 8.0\% | 20,584 |
| Boise, ID | 1,030 | 423,662 | 87.8\% | 371,975 | 12.2\% | 51,687 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | 283 | 97,141 | 60.1\% | 58,382 | 39.9\% | 38,759 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | 668 | 435,882 | 75.9\% | 330,834 | 24.1\% | 105,048 |
| Glendale, CA | 595 | 333,851 | 94.1\% | 314,154 | 5.9\% | 19,697 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | 173 | 816,538 | 83.2\% | 679,360 | 16.8\% | 137,178 |
| Madison, WI | 239 | 896,191 | 78.7\% | 705,302 | 21.3\% | 190,889 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 444 | 786,027 | 90.3\% | 709,782 | 9.7\% | 76,245 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | 510 | 2,339,949 | 56.5\% | 1,322,07 \| | 43.5\% | 1,017,878 |
| Placer Co., CA | 394 | 198,754 | 76.1\% | 151,252 | 23.9\% | 47,502 |
| Group III Average | 480.7 | 581,367.6 | 80.9\% | 446,859.8 | 19.1\% | 134,507.8 |

## Continued

Table 14: Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | \# of Audience Surveys Collected | Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| Anchorage, AK | 336 | 679,409 | 94.1\% | 639,324 | 5.9\% | 40,085 |
| Northampton Co., PA | 275 | 2,233,20 I | 62.2\% | 1,389,05 \| | 37.8\% | 844,150 |
| Newark, NJ | 473 | 1,421,45। | 60.5\% | 859,978 | 39.5\% | 561,473 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | 398 | 1,640,428 | 76.9\% | 1,261,489 | 23.1\% | 378,939 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | 391 | 1,218,65 । | 70.8\% | 862,805 | 29.2\% | 355,846 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | 185 | 81,788 | 90.8\% | 74,264 | 9.2\% | 7,524 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | 387 | 223,101 | 79.8\% | 178,035 | 20.2\% | 45,066 |
| Berks Co., PA | 703 | 448,983 | 78.2\% | 351,105 | 21.8\% | 97,878 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 630 | 5,141,717 | 77.8\% | 4,000,256 | 22.2\% | 1,141,461 |
| Mesa, AZ | 206 | 658,164 | 94.7\% | 623,281 | 5.3\% | 34,883 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | 433 | 631,963 | 88.0\% | 556,127 | 12.0\% | 75,836 |
| New Orleans, LA | 793 | 6,020,345 | 70.6\% | 4,250,364 | 29.4\% | 1,769,98। |
| Group IV Average | 434.2 | 1,699,933.4 | 78.7\% | I,253,839.8 | 21.3\% | 446,093.6 |


| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | \# of Audience Surveys Collected | Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| Union Co., NJ | 595 | 207,864 | 79.7\% | 165,668 | 20.3\% | 42,196 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | 793 | 413,015 | 83.5\% | 344,868 | 16.5\% | 68,147 |
| Memphis, TN | 569 | 1,990,526 | 81.6\% | 1,624,269 | 18.4\% | 366,257 |
| Columbus, OH | 891 | 6,228,228 | 89.9\% | 5,599,177 | 10.1\% | 629,05 I |
| Indianapolis, IN | 579 | 4,622,172 | 60.6\% | 2,801,036 | 39.4\% | 1,821,136 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | 1,099 | 7,226,111 | 89.2\% | 6,445,69 I | 10.8\% | 780,420 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | 557 | 4,602,098 | 86.4\% | 3,976,213 | 13.6\% | 625,885 |
| Westchester Co., NY | 660 | 1,707,89 \| | 62.7\% | 1,070,848 | 37.3\% | 637,043 |
| Detroit, MI | 885 | 7,832,35 I | 47.1\% | 3,689,037 | 52.9\% | 4,143,314 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | 736.4 | 3,870,028.4 | 75.6\% | 2,857,422.9 | 24.4\% | I,012,605.5 |
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## Continued

Table 14: Total Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

|  |  |  | Resident Attendees |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group VI <br> Pop. $1,000,000$ or more ( $n=7$ ) | \# of Audience Surveys Collected | to Nonprofit Arts Events | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance |
| Phoenix, AZ | 160 | 5,379, 173 | 81.9\% | 4,405,543 | 18.1\% | 973,630 |
| St. Louis, MO | 458 | 6,096,961 | 74.0\% | 4,5 I I,75। | 26.0\% | 1,585,2। 0 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | 472 | 2,804,467 | 75.0\% | 2,103,350 | 25.0\% | 701,117 |
| Broward Co., FL | 1,132 | 2,217,531 | 83.2\% | 1,844,986 | 16.8\% | 372,545 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | 436 | 5,000,000 | 69.9\% | 3,495,000 | 30.1\% | 1,505,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | 709 | 5,533,404 | 69.1\% | 3,823,582 | 30.9\% | 1,709,822 |
| Harris Co., TX | 625 | 6,295,192 | 90.1\% | 5,671,968 | 9.9\% | 623,224 |
| Group VI Average | 570.3 | 4,760,961.1 | 77.6\% | 3,693,740.0 | 22.4\% | I,067,22I.I |
| Average of 75 Communities | 526.9 | I,503,986.7 | 77.1\% | I, I24,332.4 | 22.9\% | 379,654.3 |
| Total of All 75 Communities | 39,518 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Explanation ofTable I5:

## Total Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total expenditures made by arts audiences in each participating community as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The percentage of arts event attendees who are residents. Residents are attendees who reside within the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place.

## Column Two:

The total number of arts event attendees who are residents.

## Column Three:

The average dollars spent per person by residents as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The total arts event-related dollars spent by residents during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by multiplying the total number of resident arts event attendees (column two) by the average arts event-related dollars spent per person by residents (column three).

## Column Five:

The percentage of arts event attendees who are non-residents. Non-residents are attendees who reside outside the county in which the nonprofit art events took place.

## Column Six:

The total number of arts event attendees who are non-residents.

## Column Seven:

The average dollars spent per person by non-residents as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events.

## Column Eight:

The total arts event-related dollars spent by non-residents during fiscal 2000. This figure is calculated by multiplying the total number of non-resident arts event attendees (column six) by the average arts eventrelated dollars spent per person by non-residents (column seven).

## Column Nine:

The total expenditures made by arts audiences in each participating community as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000. For example, when patrons attend an arts event, they may purchase dinner at a restaurant, pay a valet to park their car, eat dessert after the show, and/or pay a babysitter upon returning home. This figure is the sum of the total arts event-related spending by residents (column four) and non-residents (column eight).

Table 15: Total Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
Table 15: Total Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

|  | Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Total Audience Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group I <br> Pop. $<50,000(n=15)$ | \% of Arts <br> Event <br> Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Resident Spending | Total Resident Spending | \% of Arts Events Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Non-Resident Spending | Total <br> Non-Resident <br> Spending |  |
| Homer, AK | 98.4\% | 84,877 | \$17.94 | \$1,522,691 | 1.6\% | 1,380 | \$32.26 | \$44,522 | \$1,567,214 |
| Sonora, CA | 42.8\% | 51,521 | \$21.59 | \$1,112,346 | 57.2\% | 68,856 | \$28.03 | \$1,930,024 | \$3,042,370 |
| Rockland, ME | 14.9\% | 31,084 | \$22.45 | \$697,838 | 85.1\% | 177,534 | \$70.99 | \$12,603, 133 | \$13,300,970 |
| Ketchikan, AK | 98.7\% | 58,931 | \$12.27 | \$723,081 | 1.3\% | 776 | \$28.33 | \$21,989 | \$745,071 |
| Grand Haven, MI | 62.7\% | 18,488 | \$16.73 | \$309,310 | 37.3\% | 10,999 | \$43.72 | \$480,861 | \$790, 171 |
| Portsmouth, NH | 61.6\% | 430,158 | \$21.33 | \$9,175,277 | 38.4\% | 268,151 | \$31.08 | \$8,334,122 | \$17,509,400 |
| Door Co., WI | 28.5\% | 76,144 | \$15.83 | \$1,205,360 | 71.5\% | 191,028 | \$32.77 | \$6,259,987 | \$7,465,347 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | 95.2\% | 100,384 | \$8.73 | \$876,349 | 4.8\% | 5,061 | \$23.60 | \$119,448 | \$995,797 |
| Dublin, OH | 91.7\% | 26,703 | \$14.09 | \$376,246 | 8.3\% | 2,417 | \$19.09 | \$46, 140 | \$422,386 |
| Dover, DE | 90.5\% | 142,395 | \$10.59 | \$1,507,958 | 9.5\% | 14,947 | \$27.58 | \$412,252 | \$1,920,210 |
| Beloit, WI | 60.1\% | 33,265 | \$14.99 | \$498,648 | 39.9\% | 22,085 | \$22.46 | \$496,021 | \$994,669 |
| Minot, ND | 64.7\% | 116,270 | \$15.69 | \$1,824,283 | 35.3\% | 63,437 | \$46.24 | \$2,933,307 | \$4,757,590 |
| Wausau, WI | 88.7\% | 264,327 | \$14.09 | \$3,724,366 | 11.3\% | 33,674 | \$40.68 | \$1,369,863 | \$5,094,229 |
| Group I Average | 69.1\% | 110,350 | \$15.87 | \$1,811,827 | 30.9\% | 66,180 | \$34.37 | \$2,696,282 | \$4,508,109 |
| \% of Group I Total |  |  |  | 40.2\% |  |  |  | 59.8\% | 100.0\% |

Table 15: Total Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

Table 15: Total Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Total <br> Audience Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Resident Spending | Total Resident Spending | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Non-Resident Spending | Non-Total Resident Spending |  |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | 70.5\% | 769,395 | \$22.15 | \$17,042,108 | 29.5\% | 321,946 | \$72.94 | \$23,482,712 | \$40,524,820 |
| Lodi, CA | 78.0\% | 273,390 | \$8.17 | \$2,233,596 | 22.0\% | 77,110 | \$10.76 | \$829,704 | \$3,063,300 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | 82.1\% | 308,800 | \$14.20 | \$4,384,964 | 17.9\% | 67,327 | \$21.11 | \$1,421,267 | \$5,806,23। |
| Janesville, WI | 88.5\% | 49,773 | \$8.26 | \$411,127 | 11.5\% | 6,468 | \$26.69 | \$172,623 | \$583,751 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 52.8\% | 1,873,084 | \$21.58 | \$40,421,146 | 47.2\% | 1,674,423 | \$66.02 | \$110,545,427 | \$150,966,573 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | 90.3\% | 913,699 | \$18.62 | \$17,013,071 | 9.7\% | 98,149 | \$44.04 | \$4,322,493 | \$21,335,564 |
| Springfield, OH | 94.7\% | 333,743 | \$11.21 | \$3,741,256 | 5.3\% | 18,678 | \$53.56 | \$1,000,410 | \$4,741,666 |
| Bellingham, WA | 91.3\% | 270,558 | \$19.12 | \$5,173,060 | 8.7\% | 25,781 | \$32.11 | \$827,844 | \$6,000,903 |
| Asheville, NC | 81.5\% | 1,339,404 | \$22.99 | \$30,792,908 | 18.5\% | 304,037 | \$51.05 | \$15,52 1,068 | \$46,313,975 |
| Bloomington, IN | 82.7\% | 370,336 | \$16.17 | \$5,988,326 | 17.3\% | 77,470 | \$38.02 | \$2,945,426 | \$8,933,752 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | 64.3\% | 352,512 | \$20.34 | \$7,170,092 | 35.7\% | 195,718 | \$47.78 | \$9,351,41। | \$16,521,503 |
| Chemung Co., NY | 71.7\% | 55,559 | \$14.23 | \$790,603 | 28.3\% | 21,929 | \$39.80 | \$872,778 | \$1,663,381 |
| New Bedford, MA | 69.9\% | 337,302 | \$17.30 | \$5,835,320 | 30.1\% | 145,247 | \$30.94 | \$4,493,950 | \$10,329,270 |
| Boulder, CO | 86.9\% | 499,765 | \$16.86 | \$8,426,030 | 13.1\% | 75,338 | \$37.84 | \$2,850,809 | \$11,276,838 |
| Steuben Co., NY | 74.8\% | 33,660 | \$15.64 | \$526,442 | 25.2\% | 11,340 | \$39.26 | \$445,208 | \$971,651 |
| Group II Average | 78.7\% | 518,732 | \$16.46 | \$9,996,670 | 21.3\% | 208,064 | \$40.79 | \$11,938,875 | \$21,935,545 |
| \% of Group II Total |  |  |  | 45.6\% |  |  |  | 54.4\% | 100.0\% |
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|  | Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Total <br> Audience <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-4999,999 ( $n=13$ ) | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Resident Spending | Total Resident Spending | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Non-Resident Spending | Total <br> Non-Resident <br> Spending |  |
| Anchorage, AK | 94.1\% | 639,324 | \$28.24 | \$18,054,506 | 5.9\% | 40,085 | \$30.89 | \$1,238,230 | \$19,292,736 |
| Northampton Co.., PA | 62.2\% | 1,389,05 । | \$12.93 | \$17,960,430 | 37.8\% | 844,150 | \$36.18 | \$30,541,346 | \$48,501,776 |
| Newark, NJ | 60.5\% | 859,978 | \$21.26 | \$18,283,129 | 39.5\% | 561,473 | \$20.36 | \$11,431,593 | \$29,714,722 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | 76.9\% | 1,261,489 | \$11.03 | \$13,914,225 | 23.1\% | 378,939 | \$34.56 | \$13,096, 127 | \$27,010,352 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | 70.8\% | 862,805 | \$23.41 | \$20, 198,263 | 29.2\% | 355,846 | \$32.41 | \$1 1,532,972 | \$31,731,235 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | 90.8\% | 74,264 | \$14.97 | \$1,111,725 | 9.2\% | 7,524 | \$29.39 | \$221,145 | \$1,332,870 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | 79.8\% | 178,035 | \$8.85 | \$1,575,606 | 20.2\% | 45,066 | \$19.60 | \$883,301 | \$2,458,908 |
| Berks Co., PA | 78.2\% | 351,105 | \$14.97 | \$5,256,037 | 21.8\% | 97,878 | \$24.52 | \$2,399,976 | \$7,656,013 |
| Minneapolis, MN | 77.8\% | 4,000,256 | \$14.85 | \$59,403,799 | 22.2\% | 1,141,461 | \$33.97 | \$38,775,436 | \$98,179,235 |
| Mesa, AZ | 94.7\% | 623,281 | \$10.50 | \$6,544,454 | 5.3\% | 34,883 | \$38.83 | \$1,354,495 | \$7,898,949 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | 88.0\% | 556,127 | \$14.48 | \$8,052,725 | 12.0\% | 75,836 | \$66.62 | \$5,052,165 | \$13,104,890 |
| New Orleans, LA | 70.6\% | 4,250,364 | \$23.75 | \$100,946, 135 | 29.4\% | 1,769,98। | \$89.29 | \$158,041,642 | \$258,987,777 |
| Group IV Average | 78.7\% | 1,253,840 | \$16.60 | \$22,608,420 | 21.3\% | 446,094 | \$38.05 | \$22,880,702 | \$45,489, 122 |
| \% of Group IV Total |  |  |  | 49.7\% |  |  |  | 50.3\% | 100.0\% |
|  | Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |  |  |  |
| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,00-999,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) | \% of Arts <br> Event <br> Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Resident Spending | Total <br> Resident <br> Spending | \% of Arts <br> Event <br> Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Non-Resident Spending | Total <br> Non-Resident <br> Spending | Total <br> Audience <br> Spending |
| Union Co., NJ | 79.7\% | 165,668 | \$10.56 | \$1,749,450 | 20.3\% | 42,196 | \$18.06 | \$762,067 | \$2,511,517 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | 83.5\% | 344,868 | \$18.70 | \$6,449,023 | 16.5\% | 68,147 | \$23.11 | \$1,574,888 | \$8,023,91। |
| Memphis, TN | 81.6\% | 1,624,269 | \$22.34 | \$36,286, 174 | 18.4\% | 366,257 | \$48.80 | \$17,873,331 | \$54,159,505 |
| Columbus, OH | 89.9\% | 5,599, 177 | \$21.14 | \$ $118,366,601$ | 10.1\% | 629,05 I | \$64.60 | \$40,636,696 | \$159,003,298 |
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| Continued <br> Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,00-999,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) | Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Non-Resident Attendees |  |  |  | Total <br> Audience <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Resident Spending | Total Resident Spending | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Non-Resident Spending | Total <br> Non-Resident <br> Spending |  |
| Indianapolis, IN | 60.6\% | 2,801,036 | \$26.42 | \$74,003,377 | 39.4\% | 1,821,136 | \$39.58 | \$72,080,554 | \$146,083,93। |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | 89.2\% | 6,445,691 | \$21.31 | \$137,357,675 | 10.8\% | 780,420 | \$72.45 | \$56,541,428 | \$193,899, 104 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | 86.4\% | 3,976,213 | \$20.04 | \$79,683,302 | 13.6\% | 625,885 | \$53.97 | \$33,779,031 | \$113,462,333 |
| Westchester Co., NY | 62.7\% | 1,070,848 | \$17.47 | \$18,707,709 | 37.3\% | 637,043 | \$29.34 | \$18,690,852 | \$37,398,560 |
| Detroit, MI | 47.1\% | 3,689,037 | \$18.17 | \$67,029,808 | 52.9\% | 4,143,314 | \$27.69 | \$114,728,356 | \$181,758,164 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | 75.6\% | 2,857,423 | \$19.57 | \$59,959,235 | 24.4\% | 1,012,606 | \$41.96 | \$39,629,689 | \$99,588,925 |
| \% of Group V Total |  |  |  | 60.2\% |  |  |  | 39.8\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Resid | Attendees |  |  | Non-R | nt Attendee |  |  |
| Population Group VI <br> Pop. $1,000,000$ or more ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of Arts } \\ & \text { Event } \\ & \text { Attendees } \end{aligned}$ | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Resident Spending | Total Resident Spending | \% of Arts Event Attendees | Arts Event Attendance | Avg. Per Non-Resident Spending | Total <br> Non-Resident <br> Spending | Total Audience Spending |
| Phoenix, AZ | 81.9\% | 4,405,543 | \$26.51 | \$116,790,937 | 18.1\% | 973,630 | \$57.80 | \$56,275,832 | \$173,066,769 |
| St. Louis City, MO | 74.0\% | 4,511,751 | \$24.50 | \$110,537,903 | 26.0\% | 1,585,210 | \$51.24 | \$81,226,153 | \$191,764,056 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | 75.0\% | 2,103,350 | \$13.61 | \$28,626,597 | 25.0\% | 701,117 | \$30.73 | \$21,545,318 | \$50,171,915 |
| Broward Co., FL | 83.2\% | 1,844,986 | \$18.09 | \$33,375,793 | 16.8\% | 372,545 | \$36.92 | \$13,754,369 | \$47,130,162 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | 69.9\% | 3,495,000 | \$38.07 | \$133,054,650 | 30.1\% | 1,505,000 | \$92.56 | \$139,302,800 | \$272,357,450 |
| San Diego Co., CA | 69.1\% | 3,823,582 | \$25.78 | \$98,571,948 | 30.9\% | 1,709,822 | \$61.23 | \$104,692,39 1 | \$203,264,339 |
| Harris Co., TX | 90.1\% | 5,671,968 | \$16.11 | \$91,375,404 | 9.9\% | 623,224 | \$53.88 | \$33,579,310 | \$124,954,714 |
| Group VI Average | 77.6\% | 3,693,740 | \$23.24 | \$87,476,176 | 22.4\% | 1,067,22 1 | \$54.91 | \$64,339,453 | \$151,815,629 |
| \% of Group VI Total |  |  |  | 57.6\% |  |  |  | 42.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of All 75 Communities | 77.1\% | I, 124,332 | \$17.40 | \$23,128,513 | 22.9\% | 379,654 | \$37.74 | \$18,275,636 | \$41,404,149 |
| \% of Total Spending |  |  |  | 55.9\% |  |  |  | 44.1\% | 100.0\% |

## Explanation of Table 16:

## Total Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total economic impact of the expenditures made by arts audiences in each participating community as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000.

The total impact is a measure of the effect each expended dollar has on the local economy as it is spent and re-spent within the community. It is derived from input/output models that are customized for each participating community. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The total expenditures made by arts audiences in each participating community as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000. For example, when patrons attend an arts event, they may purchase dinner at a restaurant, pay a valet to park their car, eat dessert after the show, or pay a babysitter upon returning home.

## Column Two:

The total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs supported by the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community. An FTE job can represent one full-time employee, two employees who work half-time, four employees who work quarter-time, etc.

## Column Three:

The total amount of household income paid to community residents as a result of the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community. Household income includes salaries, wages, and proprietary income.

## Column Four:

The total amount of revenue that the local government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a result of the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community.

## Column Five:

The total amount of revenue that the state government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a result of the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community.

Table 16: Total Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$1,567,214 | 53 | \$747,000 | \$73,000 | \$114,000 |
| Sonora, CA | \$3,042,370 | 100 | \$1,381,000 | \$106,000 | \$231,000 |
| Rockland, ME | \$13,300,970 | 437 | \$6,285,000 | \$600,000 | \$779,000 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$745,071 | 24 | \$382,000 | \$45,000 | \$31,000 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$790,171 | 26 | \$393,000 | \$21,000 | \$72,000 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$17,509,400 | 596 | \$9,538,000 | \$1,049,000 | \$411,000 |
| Door Co., WI | \$7,465,347 | 257 | \$3,394,000 | \$424,000 | \$545,000 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$995,797 | 26 | \$484,000 | \$65,000 | \$44,000 |
| Dublin, OH | \$422,386 | 15 | \$288,000 | \$13,000 | \$34,000 |
| Dover, DE | \$1,920,210 | 73 | \$960,000 | \$21,000 | \$73,000 |
| Beloit, WI | \$994,669 | 36 | \$480,000 | \$26,000 | \$75,000 |
| Minot, ND | \$4,757,590 | 170 | \$2,372,000 | \$249,000 | \$290,000 |
| Wausau, WI | \$5,094,229 | 175 | \$2,731,000 | \$358,000 | \$380,000 |
| Group I Average | \$4,508,109 | 153 | \$2,264,23I | \$234,615 | \$236,846 |

Total Economic Impact

| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$40,524,820 | 1,386 | \$19,025,000 | \$977,000 | \$4,678,000 |
| Lodi, CA | \$3,063,300 | 106 | \$1,798,000 | \$127,000 | \$280,000 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$5,806,231 | 224 | \$3,288,000 | \$149,000 | \$489,000 |
| Janesville, WI | \$583,75 1 | 19 | \$284,000 | \$15,000 | \$42,000 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$150,966,573 | 4,589 | \$76,008,000 | \$6,948,000 | \$8,988,000 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$21,335,564 | 652 | \$10,782,000 | \$1,052,000 | \$1,742,000 |
| Springfield, OH | \$4,741,666 | 165 | \$2,232,000 | \$99,000 | \$304,000 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$6,000,903 | 194 | \$3,121,000 | \$142,000 | \$417,000 |
| Asheville, NC | \$46,313,975 | 1,553 | \$24,349,000 | \$1,356,000 | \$3,041,000 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$8,933,752 | 318 | \$4,602,000 | \$238,000 | \$647,000 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$16,521,503 | 580 | \$8,541,000 | \$703,000 | \$967,000 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$1,663,38 I | 61 | \$840,000 | \$47,000 | \$174,000 |
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## Continued
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| Continued |  | Total Economic Impact |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Total Economic Impact

| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$25,499,237 | 981 | \$14,843,000 | \$712,000 | \$1,961,000 |
| Erie, PA | \$4,316,072 | 167 | \$2,225,000 | \$103,000 | \$326,000 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$7,213,459 | 211 | \$3,199,000 | \$229,000 | \$427,000 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$5,406, 169 | 191 | \$2,72 1,000 | \$302,000 | \$209,000 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$8,879,223 | 297 | \$5,724,000 | \$541,000 | \$779,000 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$7,283,325 | 223 | \$3,532,000 | \$166,000 | \$502,000 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$638,851 | 20 | \$429,000 | \$36,000 | \$54,000 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$2,782,930 | 105 | \$1,767,000 | \$94,000 | \$222,000 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$17,961,688 | 641 | \$10,039,000 | \$461,000 | \$1,506,000 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$2,632,282 | 98 | \$1,616,000 | \$86,000 | \$218,000 |
| Boise, ID | \$8,190,962 | 293 | \$4,739,000 | \$272,000 | \$610,000 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$1,467,932 | 64 | \$813,000 | \$104,000 | \$132,000 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$9,620,992 | 297 | \$4,305,000 | \$143,000 | \$551,000 |
| Glendale, CA | \$6,660,71 I | 206 | \$4,236,000 | \$349,000 | \$563,000 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$13,715,225 | 506 | \$8,577,000 | \$468,000 | \$1,125,000 |
| Madison, WI | \$15,062,139 | 616 | \$9,232,000 | \$1,213,000 | \$1,188,000 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$10,215,034 | 377 | \$6,151,000 | \$659,000 | \$554,000 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$61,024,700 | 2,129 | \$35,631,000 | \$2,807,000 | \$4,043,000 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$4,222,431 | 131 | \$2,119,000 | \$167,000 | \$351,000 |
| Group III Average | \$11,199,651 | 398 | \$6,415,684 | \$469,053 | \$806,368 |

## Continued
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| Population Group IV Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Total Economic Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Anchorage, AK | \$19,292,736 | 487 | \$10,266,000 | \$611,000 | \$1,108,000 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$48,501,776 | 1,68। | \$25,538,000 | \$1,058,000 | \$3,873,000 |
| Newark, NJ | \$29,714,722 | 812 | \$17,998,000 | \$1,313,000 | \$2,168,000 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$27,010,352 | 1,016 | \$16,510,000 | \$1,134,000 | \$1,947,000 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$31,731,235 | 1,042 | \$18,736,000 | \$805,000 | \$2,278,000 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$1,332,870 | 45 | \$853,000 | \$46,000 | \$116,000 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$2,458,908 | 81 | \$1,429,000 | \$175,000 | \$190,000 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$7,656,013 | 244 | \$4,089,000 | \$218,000 | \$612,000 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$98, 179,235 | 3,225 | \$65,040,000 | \$2,976,000 | \$9,302,000 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$7,898,949 | 275 | \$4,901,000 | \$269,000 | \$663,000 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$13,104,890 | 442 | \$7,610,000 | \$253,000 | \$696,000 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$258,987,777 | 8,604 | \$153,596,000 | \$15,882,000 | \$14,050,000 |
| Group IV Average | \$45,489, 122 | 1,496 | \$27,2 13,833 | \$2,06I,667 | \$3,083,583 |

Total Economic Impact

| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$2,511,517 | 74 | \$1,361,000 | \$110,000 | \$185,000 |
| Washington, DC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n /a | n /a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$8,023,91। | 239 | \$4,179,000 | \$290,000 | \$579,000 |
| Memphis, TN | \$54,159,505 | 1,948 | \$34,645,000 | \$1,611,000 | \$4,44।,000 |
| Columbus, OH | \$159,003,298 | 5,673 | \$101,338,000 | \$4,855,000 | \$12,041,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$146,083,931 | 5,718 | \$99,209,000 | \$5,225,000 | \$12,518,000 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$193,899, 104 | 6,191 | \$133,818,000 | \$8,332,000 | \$12,524,000 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$113,462,333 | 3,060 | \$64,552,000 | \$3,723,000 | \$7,502,000 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$37,398,560 | 1,162 | \$24,307,000 | \$2,867,000 | \$4,193,000 |
| Detroit, MI | \$181,758,164 | 5,683 | \$97,407,000 | \$5,585,000 | \$16,214,000 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$99,588,925 | 3,305 | \$62,312,889 | \$3,622,000 | \$7,799,667 |
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|  |  | Total Economic Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group VI <br> Pop. $>1,000,000(n=7)$ | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$173,066,769 | 5,732 | \$107,297,000 | \$6,340,000 | \$13,389,000 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$191,764,056 | 6,831 | \$ 1 14,976,000 | \$7,860,000 | \$12,754,000 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$50,171,915 | 1,75। | \$29, 107,000 | \$2,032,000 | \$3,576,000 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$47, 130,162 | 1,531 | \$26,384,000 | \$1,771,000 | \$3,094,000 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$272,357,450 | 9,032 | \$188,129,000 | \$12,806,000 | \$17,070,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$203,264,339 | 6,493 | \$17,944,000 | \$13,062,000 | \$18, I I , 000 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$124,954,714 | 4,25। | \$73,879,000 | \$6,400,000 | \$8,654,000 |
| Group VI Average | \$151,815,629 | 5,089 | \$93,959,429 | \$7,181,571 | \$10,949,714 |
| Average of All Communities | \$41,404,149 | 1,380 | \$24,849,040 | \$1,761,653 | \$3,006,653 |

## Explanation ofTable I7:

## Direct Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the direct economic impact of the expenditures made by arts audiences in each participating community as a direct result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000.

The direct impact is a measure of the effect of the initial expenditure. Because it measures only one round of spending the direct impact is always smaller than the total impact, which measures the effect of each dollar as it is spent and re-spent within the community. It is derived from input/output models that are customized for each participating community. See Background and Scope of Study Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The total expenditures made by arts audiences in each participating community as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000. For example, when patrons attend an arts event, they may purchase dinner at a restaurant, pay a valet to park their car, eat dessert after the show, or pay a babysitter upon returning home.

## Column Two:

The number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs directly supported by the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community. An FTE job can represent one full-time employee, two employees who work half-time, four employees who work quarter-time, etc.

## Column Three:

The amount of household income paid to community residents as a direct result of the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community. Household income includes salaries, wages, and proprietary income.

## Column Four:

The amount of revenue that the local government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a direct result of the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community.

## Column Five:

The amount of revenue that the state government receives (e.g., licenses, fees, taxes) as a direct result of the expenditures made by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community.

Table 17: Direct Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced By Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

Direct Economic Impact

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$1,567,214 | 46 | \$577,000 | \$48,000 | \$59,000 |
| Sonora, CA | \$3,042,370 | 82 | \$992,000 | \$66,000 | \$129,000 |
| Rockland, ME | \$13,300,970 | 346 | \$4,448,000 | \$356,000 | \$439,000 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$745,071 | 21 | \$289,000 | \$31,000 | \$12,000 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$790,171 | 21 | \$269,000 | \$9,000 | \$42,000 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$17,509,400 | 464 | \$6,089,000 | \$346,000 | \$173,000 |
| Door Co., WI | \$7,465,347 | 206 | \$2,486,000 | \$245,000 | \$284,000 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$995,797 | 21 | \$339,000 | \$45,000 | \$18,000 |
| Dublin, OH | \$422,386 | 11 | \$151,000 | \$3,000 | \$17,000 |
| Dover, DE | \$1,920,210 | 58 | \$645,000 | \$6,000 | \$18,000 |
| Beloit, WI | \$994,669 | 30 | \$329,000 | \$8,000 | \$42,000 |
| Minot, ND | \$4,757,590 | 128 | \$1,535,000 | \$155,000 | \$173,000 |
| Wausau, WI | \$5,094,229 | 135 | \$1,700,000 | \$235,000 | \$200,000 |
| Group I Average | \$4,508,109 | 121 | \$1,526,846 | \$119,462 | \$123,538 |

Direct Economic Impact

| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$40,524,820 | 1,129 | \$13,599,000 | \$439,000 | \$2,710,000 |
| Lodi, CA | \$3,063,300 | 83 | \$1,184,000 | \$68,000 | \$160,000 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$5,806,23 I | 166 | \$1,997,000 | \$49,000 | \$254,000 |
| Janesville, WI | \$583,75 1 | 16 | \$198,000 | \$5,000 | \$23,000 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$150,966,573 | 3,455 | \$49,004,000 | \$4,753,000 | \$4,913,000 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$21,335,564 | 545 | \$7,076,000 | \$637,000 | \$1,044,000 |
| Springfield, OH | \$4,741,666 | 135 | \$1,618,000 | \$46,000 | \$172,000 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$6,000,903 | 147 | \$2,014,000 | \$49,000 | \$313,000 |
| Asheville, NC | \$46,313,975 | 1,138 | \$14,649,000 | \$694,000 | \$1,434,000 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$8,933,752 | 242 | \$3,020,000 | \$74,000 | \$344,000 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$16,521,503 | 428 | \$5,670,000 | \$390,000 | \$530,000 |
| Chemung Co., NY | \$1,663,38 1 | 48 | \$553,000 | \$21,000 | \$94,000 |

Table 17 Continued on Page A83

## Continued

Table 17: Direct Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

|  |  | Direct Economic Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| New Bedford, MA | \$10,329,270 | 274 | \$3,444,000 | \$161,000 | \$472,000 |
| Boulder, CO | \$11,276,838 | 291 | \$3,976,000 | \$96,000 | \$177,000 |
| Steuben Co., NY | \$971,651 | 24 | \$300,000 | \$23,000 | \$60,000 |
| Group II Average | \$21,935,545 | 541 | \$7,220,133 | \$500,333 | \$846,667 |
|  |  | Direct Economic Impact |  |  |  |
| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Green Bay, WI | \$25,499,237 | 733 | \$8,601,000 | \$164,000 | \$1,008,000 |
| Erie, PA | \$4,316,072 | 132 | \$1,427,000 | \$38,000 | \$190,000 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$7,213,459 | 171 | \$2,231,000 | \$93,000 | \$304,000 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$5,406, 169 | 149 | \$1,795,000 | \$183,000 | \$95,000 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$8,879,223 | 212 | \$3,042,000 | \$314,000 | \$424,000 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$7,283,325 | 169 | \$2,323,000 | \$63,000 | \$283,000 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$638,85 I | 14 | \$234,000 | \$20,000 | \$28,000 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$2,782,930 | 76 | \$984,000 | \$21,000 | \$131,000 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$17,961,688 | 508 | \$6,369,000 | \$125,000 | \$810,000 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$2,632,282 | 70 | \$868,000 | \$16,000 | \$131,000 |
| Boise, ID | \$8,190,962 | 220 | \$2,838,000 | \$110,000 | \$308,000 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$1,467,932 | 55 | \$599,000 | \$71,000 | \$73,000 |
| Arlington Co., VA | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$9,620,992 | 238 | \$3,010,000 | \$67,000 | \$350,000 |
| Glendale, CA | \$6,660,71 I | 142 | \$2,222,000 | \$182,000 | \$298,000 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$13,715,225 | 359 | \$4,570,000 | \$95,000 | \$662,000 |
| Madison, WI | \$15,062,139 | 461 | \$5, 1 13,000 | \$697,000 | \$576,000 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$10,215,034 | 272 | \$3,519,000 | \$439,000 | \$298,000 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$61,024,700 | 1,549 | \$21,135,000 | \$1,348,000 | \$2,769,000 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$4,222,431 | 106 | \$1,435,000 | \$82,000 | \$204,000 |
| Group III Average | \$11,199,651 | 297 | \$3,806,053 | \$217,263 | \$470,632 |

Table 17 Continued on Page A84

## Continued

Table 17: Direct Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance To Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Direct Economic Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Anchorage, AK | \$19,292,736 | 358 | \$6,121,000 | \$248,000 | \$469,000 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$48,501,776 | 1,341 | \$16,588,000 | \$257,000 | \$2,317,000 |
| Newark, NJ | \$29,714,722 | 589 | \$10,080,000 | \$544,000 | \$1,307,000 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$27,010,352 | 743 | \$9,544,000 | \$704,000 | \$946,000 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$31,731,235 | 761 | \$11,425,000 | \$213,000 | \$1,221,000 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$1,332,870 | 30 | \$448,000 | \$9,000 | \$70,000 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$2,458,908 | 61 | \$848,000 | \$107,000 | \$100,000 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$7,656,013 | 185 | \$2,520,000 | \$82,000 | \$356,000 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$98, 179,235 | 2,231 | \$33,185,000 | \$836,000 | \$4,906,000 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$7,898,949 | 191 | \$2,602,000 | \$56,000 | \$398,000 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$13,104,890 | 309 | \$4,414,000 | \$76,000 | \$364,000 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$258,987,777 | 6,324 | \$94,033,000 | \$10,817,000 | \$7,784,000 |
| Group IV Average | \$45,489, 122 | 1,094 | \$15,984,000 | \$1,162,417 | \$1,686,500 |

Direct Economic Impact

| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$2,511,517 | 58 | \$824,000 | \$41,000 | \$1 12,000 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$8,023,911 | 193 | \$2,741,000 | \$90,000 | \$363,000 |
| Memphis, TN | \$54,159,505 | 1,370 | \$19,233,000 | \$633,000 | \$3,069,000 |
| Columbus, OH | \$159,003,298 | 3,915 | \$51,742,000 | \$1,221,000 | \$5,676,000 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$146,083,931 | 3,970 | \$49,191,000 | \$828,000 | \$5,912,000 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$193,899, 104 | 4,231 | \$68,351,000 | \$3,321,000 | \$5,602,000 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$113,462,333 | 2,107 | \$37,623,000 | \$1,988,000 | \$3,550,000 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$37,398,560 | 868 | \$15,044,000 | \$1,032,000 | \$2,326,000 |
| Detroit, MI | \$181,758,164 | 4,424 | \$59,523,000 | \$2,417,000 | \$9,5 17,000 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$99,588,925 | 2,348 | \$33,808,000 | \$1,285,667 | \$4,014, 11 I |
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## Continued

Table 17: Direct Economic Impact of Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

|  |  | Total Economic Impact |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group VI Pop. > I,000,000 (n=7) | Total Audience Spending | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident <br> Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$173,066,769 | 3,876 | \$56,672,000 | \$1,693,000 | \$7,623,000 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$191,764,056 | 5,075 | \$65,310,000 | \$4,044,000 | \$6,612,000 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$50,171,915 | 1,263 | \$16,454,000 | \$721,000 | \$2,635,000 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$47, 130, 62 | 1,130 | \$15,287,000 | \$534,000 | \$2,138,000 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$272,357,450 | 5,961 | \$100,824,000 | \$3,609,000 | \$10,984,000 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$203,264,339 | 4,792 | \$68,2 19,000 | \$7,925,000 | \$10,017,000 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$124,954,714 | 3,258 | \$43, 143,000 | \$2,718,000 | \$6,497,000 |
| Group VI Average | \$151,815,629 | 3,622 | \$52,272,714 | \$3,034,857 | \$6,643,714 |
| Average of All Communities | \$4I,404,149 | 999 | \$14,166,067 | \$799,333 | \$1,681,587 |

## Explanation of Table I8:

## Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000

This table summarizes in the form of ratios the total and direct economic impacts of the audience expenditures that were induced by attendance to nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

Using this chart, a determination can be made of the economic impact per $\$ 100,000$ of spending by nonprofit arts audiences. These ratios are derived by dividing the total and direct economic impact of audience expenditures (found in Tables 16 and 17) by the total event-related audience spending, and then multiplying by 100,000. See Background and Scope of Study and Frequently Used Terms for more information about direct and total economic impacts. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The average per person expenditure made by arts audiences as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

## Column Two:

The economic impact ratio for the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs supported per $\$ 100,000$ of spending by nonprofit arts audiences in each participating community.

## Column Three:

The economic impact ratio for the total amount of household income paid to local residents per \$100,000.

## Column Four:

The economic impact ratio for the total amount of revenue that the local government receives per \$100,000.

## Column Five:

The economic impact ratio for the total amount of revenue that the state government receives per $\$ 100,000$.

## Column Six:

The direct economic impact ratio for the number of (FTE) jobs supported per \$100,000.

## Column Seven:

The direct economic impact ratio for the amount of household income paid to local residents per \$100,000.

## Column Eight:

The direct economic impact ratio for the amount of revenue that the local government receives per $\$ 100,000$.

## Column Nine:

The direct economic impact ratio for the amount of revenue that the state government receives per \$100,000.

Table 18: Economic Impact Per \$100,000 of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000
Table 18: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000

|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group I <br> Pop. $<50,000(n=15)$ | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Goverment Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| Homer, AK | \$18.15 | 3.38 | \$47,664 | \$4,658 | \$7,274 | 2.94 | \$36,817 | \$3,063 | \$3,765 |
| Sonora, CA | \$25.28 | 3.29 | \$45,392 | \$3,484 | \$7,593 | 2.70 | \$32,606 | \$2,169 | \$4,240 |
| Rockland, ME | \$63.77 | 3.29 | \$47,252 | \$4,51। | \$5,857 | 2.60 | \$33,441 | \$2,676 | \$3,301 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$12.46 | 3.22 | \$51,270 | \$6,040 | \$4,161 | 2.82 | \$38,788 | \$4,161 | \$1,611 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$26.80 | 3.29 | \$49,736 | \$2,658 | \$9,112 | 2.66 | \$34,043 | \$1,139 | \$5,315 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$25.07 | 3.40 | \$54,474 | \$5,991 | \$2,347 | 2.65 | \$34,776 | \$1,976 | \$988 |
| Door Co., WI | \$32.52 | 3.44 | \$45,463 | \$5,680 | \$7,300 | 2.76 | \$33,301 | \$3,282 | \$3,804 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$9.44 | 2.61 | \$48,604 | \$6,527 | \$4,419 | 2.11 | \$34,043 | \$4,519 | \$1,808 |
| Dublin, OH | \$14.50 | 3.55 | \$68,184 | \$3,078 | \$8,050 | 2.60 | \$35,749 | \$710 | \$4,025 |
| Dover, DE | \$12.22 | 3.80 | \$49,995 | \$1,094 | \$3,802 | 3.02 | \$33,590 | \$312 | \$937 |
| Beloit, WI | \$17.98 | 3.62 | \$48,257 | \$2,614 | \$7,540 | 3.02 | \$33,076 | \$804 | \$4,223 |
| Minot, ND | \$26.45 | 3.57 | \$49,857 | \$5,234 | \$6,096 | 2.69 | \$32,264 | \$3,258 | \$3,636 |
| Wausau, WI | \$17.12 | 3.44 | \$53,610 | \$7,028 | \$7,459 | 2.65 | \$33,371 | \$4,613 | \$3,926 |
| Group I Average | \$23.21 | 3.38 | \$50,751 | \$4,507 | \$6,231 | 2.71 | \$34,297 | \$2,514 | \$3,198 |
| Group I Standard Deviation |  | 0.28 | \$5,901 | \$1,795 | \$1,992 | 0.23 | \$1,838 | \$1,470 | \$1,390 |
| Group I \% Error of Mean |  | 8.3\% | $11.6 \%$ | 39.8\% | 32.0\% | 8.6\% | 5.4\% | 58.5\% | 43.4\% |
|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Goverment Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$37.12 | 3.42 | \$46,947 | \$2,411 | \$11,544 | 2.79 | \$33,557 | \$1,083 | \$6,687 |
| Lodi, CA | \$8.74 | 3.46 | \$58,695 | \$4,146 | \$9,140 | 2.71 | \$38,65 I | \$2,220 | \$5,223 |

Table 18: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000

|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Goverment Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$15.45 | 3.86 | \$56,629 | \$2,566 | \$8,422 | 2.86 | \$34,394 | \$844 | \$4,375 |
| Janesville, WI | \$10.40 | 3.25 | \$48,651 | \$2,570 | \$7,195 | 2.74 | \$33,919 | \$857 | \$3,940 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$42.56 | 3.04 | \$50,348 | \$4,602 | \$5,954 | 2.29 | \$32,460 | \$3,148 | \$3,254 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$21.10 | 3.06 | \$50,535 | \$4,931 | \$8,165 | 2.55 | \$33,165 | \$2,986 | \$4,893 |
| Springfield, OH | \$13.46 | 3.48 | \$47,072 | \$2,088 | \$6,411 | 2.85 | \$34,123 | \$970 | \$3,627 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$20.25 | 3.23 | \$52,009 | \$2,366 | \$6,949 | 2.45 | \$33,562 | \$817 | \$5,216 |
| Asheville, NC | \$28.18 | 3.35 | \$52,574 | \$2,928 | \$6,566 | 2.46 | \$31,630 | \$1,498 | \$3,096 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$19.95 | 3.56 | \$51,513 | \$2,664 | \$7,242 | 2.71 | \$33,804 | \$828 | \$3,851 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$30.15 | 3.51 | \$51,696 | \$4,255 | \$5,853 | 2.59 | \$34,319 | \$2,361 | \$3,208 |
| Chemung County, NY | \$21.48 | 3.67 | \$50,500 | \$2,826 | \$10,461 | 2.89 | \$33,246 | \$1,262 | \$5,651 |
| New Bedford, MA | \$21.42 | 3.51 | \$54,012 | \$3,369 | \$9,110 | 2.65 | \$33,342 | \$1,559 | \$4,570 |
| Boulder, CO | \$19.61 | 3.37 | \$56,745 | \$2,802 | \$3,893 | 2.58 | \$35,258 | \$851 | \$1,570 |
| Steuben County, NY | \$21.59 | 2.88 | \$41,064 | \$3,705 | \$10,498 | 2.47 | \$30,875 | \$2,367 | \$6,175 |
| Group II Average | \$22.10 | 3.38 | \$51,266 | \$3,215 | \$7,827 | 2.64 | \$33,754 | \$1,577 | \$4,356 |
| Group II Standard Deviation |  | 0.25 | \$4,417 | \$897 | \$2,065 | 0.17 | \$1,742 | \$826 | \$1,339 |
| Group II \% Error of Mean |  | 7.5\% | 8.6\% | 27.9\% | 26.4\% | 6.6\% | 5.2\% | 52.4\% | 30.7\% |

Table 18: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000
Table 18: Economic Impact Per $\$ 100,000$ of Spending by Nonprofit Arts Audiences during Fiscal 2000

|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Goverment Revenue | State Government Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| Green Bay, WI | \$16.81 | 3.85 | \$58,2 10 | \$2,792 | \$7,690 | 2.87 | \$33,730 | \$643 | \$3,953 |
| Erie, PA | \$15.55 | 3.87 | \$51,552 | \$2,386 | \$7,553 | 3.06 | \$33,062 | \$880 | \$4,402 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$20.33 | 2.93 | \$44,348 | \$3,175 | \$5,919 | 2.37 | \$30,928 | \$1,289 | \$4,214 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$12.96 | 3.53 | \$50,331 | \$5,586 | \$3,866 | 2.76 | \$33,203 | \$3,385 | \$1,757 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$36.15 | 3.34 | \$64,465 | \$6,093 | \$8,773 | 2.39 | \$34,260 | \$3,536 | \$4,775 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$20.99 | 3.06 | \$48,494 | \$2,279 | \$6,892 | 2.32 | \$31,895 | \$865 | \$3,886 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$21.94 | 3.13 | \$67,152 | \$5,635 | \$8,453 | 2.19 | \$36,628 | \$3,131 | \$4,383 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$7.45 | 3.77 | \$63,494 | \$3,378 | \$7,977 | 2.73 | \$35,358 | \$755 | \$4,707 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$19.97 | 3.57 | \$55,891 | \$2,567 | \$8,385 | 2.83 | \$35,459 | \$696 | \$4,510 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$10.23 | 3.72 | \$61,392 | \$3,267 | \$8,282 | 2.66 | \$32,975 | \$608 | \$4,977 |
| Boise, ID | \$19.33 | 3.58 | \$57,856 | \$3,321 | \$7,447 | 2.69 | \$34,648 | \$1,343 | \$3,760 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$14.78 | 4.36 | \$55,384 | \$7,085 | \$8,992 | 3.75 | \$40,806 | \$4,837 | \$4,973 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$22.08 | 3.09 | \$44,746 | \$1,486 | \$5,727 | 2.47 | \$31,286 | \$696 | \$3,638 |
| Glendale, CA | \$19.96 | 3.09 | \$63,597 | \$5,240 | \$8,453 | 2.13 | \$33,360 | \$2,732 | \$4,474 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$16.78 | 3.69 | \$62,536 | \$3,412 | \$8,203 | 2.62 | \$33,321 | \$693 | \$4,827 |
| Madison, WI | \$16.81 | 4.09 | \$61,293 | \$8,053 | \$7,887 | 3.06 | \$33,946 | \$4,627 | \$3,824 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$12.96 | 3.69 | \$60,215 | \$6,451 | \$5,423 | 2.66 | \$34,449 | \$4,298 | \$2,917 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$26.08 | 3.49 | \$58,388 | \$4,600 | \$6,625 | 2.54 | \$34,634 | \$2,209 | \$4,538 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$21.24 | 3.10 | \$50,184 | \$3,955 | \$8,313 | 2.51 | \$33,985 | \$1,942 | \$4,831 |
| Group III Average | \$18.55 | 3.52 | \$56,817 | \$4,25 I | \$7,414 | 2.66 | \$34,102 | \$2,061 | \$4,176 |
| Group III Standard Deviation |  | 0.39 | \$6,806 | \$1,826 | \$1,350 | 0.37 | \$2,145 | \$1,495 | \$794 |
| Group III\% Error of Mean |  | 11.0\% | 12.0\% | 43.0\% | 18.2\% | 13.8\% | 6.3\% | 72.5\% | 19.0\% |
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|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time <br> Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Goverment <br> Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time <br> Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue |
| Anchorage, AK | \$28.39 | 2.52 | \$53,212 | \$3,167 | \$5,743 | 1.86 | \$31,727 | \$1,285 | \$2,431 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$21.73 | 3.47 | \$52,654 | \$2,181 | \$7,985 | 2.76 | \$34,201 | \$530 | \$4,777 |
| Newark, NJ | \$20.91 | 2.73 | \$60,569 | \$4,419 | \$7,296 | 1.98 | \$33,923 | \$1,831 | \$4,398 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$16.47 | 3.76 | \$61,125 | \$4,198 | \$7,208 | 2.75 | \$35,335 | \$2,606 | \$3,502 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$26.03 | 3.28 | \$59,046 | \$2,537 | \$7,179 | 2.40 | \$36,006 | \$671 | \$3,848 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$16.31 | 3.38 | \$63,997 | \$3,451 | \$8,703 | 2.25 | \$33,612 | \$675 | \$5,252 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$11.01 | 3.29 | \$58,115 | \$7,117 | \$7,727 | 2.48 | \$34,487 | \$4,352 | \$4,067 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$17.05 | 3.19 | \$53,409 | \$2,847 | \$7,994 | 2.42 | \$32,915 | \$1,071 | \$4,650 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$19.09 | 3.28 | \$66,246 | \$3,031 | \$9,475 | 2.27 | \$33,800 | \$852 | \$4,997 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$13.03 | 3.48 | \$62,046 | \$3,406 | \$8,394 | 2.42 | \$32,941 | \$709 | \$5,039 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$20.73 | 3.37 | \$58,070 | \$1,931 | \$5,311 | 2.36 | \$33,682 | \$580 | \$2,778 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$43.01 | 3.32 | \$59,306 | \$6,132 | \$5,425 | 2.44 | \$36,308 | \$4,177 | \$3,006 |
| Group IV Average | \$21.15 | 3.26 | \$58,983 | \$3,701 | \$7,370 | 2.37 | \$34,078 | \$1,612 | \$4,062 |
| Group IV Standard Deviation |  | 0.33 | \$4,270 | \$1,560 | \$1,310 | 0.26 | \$1,318 | \$1,379 | \$953 |
| Group IV \% Error of Mean |  | 10.1\% | 7.2\% | 42.1\% | 17.8\% | 11.1\% | 3.9\% | 85.6\% | 23.5\% |
|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,000 ( $n=14$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | FullTime Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Goverment Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Government Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Union Co., NJ | \$12.09 | 2.95 | \$54,190 | \$4,380 | \$7,366 | 2.31 | \$32,809 | \$1,632 | \$4,459 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$19.43 | 2.98 | \$52,082 | \$3,614 | \$7,216 | 2.41 | \$34,160 | \$1,122 | \$4,524 |
| Memphis, TN | \$27.24 | 3.60 | \$63,968 | \$2,975 | \$8,200 | 2.53 | \$35,512 | \$1,169 | \$5,667 |
| Table 18 Continued on Page A9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continued <br> Population Group V 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local Goverment Revenue | State <br> Government <br> Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government <br> Revenue | State Government Revenue |
| Columbus, OH | \$25.53 | 3.57 | \$63,733 | \$3,053 | \$7,573 | 2.46 | \$32,541 | \$768 | \$3,570 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$31.59 | 3.91 | \$67,912 | \$3,577 | \$8,569 | 2.72 | \$33,673 | \$567 | \$4,047 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$26.86 | 3.19 | \$69,014 | \$4,297 | \$6,459 | 2.18 | \$35,251 | \$1,713 | \$2,889 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$24.68 | 2.70 | \$56,893 | \$3,281 | \$6,612 | 1.86 | \$33,159 | \$1,752 | \$3,129 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$21.90 | 3.11 | \$64,994 | \$7,666 | \$11,212 | 2.32 | \$40,226 | \$2,759 | \$6,219 |
| Detroit, MI | \$23.21 | 3.13 | \$53,592 | \$3,073 | \$8,921 | 2.43 | \$32,748 | \$1,330 | \$5,236 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$23.61 | 3.24 | \$60,709 | \$3,991 | \$8,014 | 2.36 | \$34,453 | \$1,424 | \$4,416 |
| Group V Standard Deviation |  | 0.38 | \$6,530 | \$1,472 | \$1,459 | 0.24 | \$2,417 | \$647 | \$1,137 |
| Group V \% Error of Mean |  | 11.8\% | 10.8\% | 36.9\% | 18.2\% | 10.2\% | 7.0\% | 45.4\% | 25.8\% |
|  |  | Total Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  | Direct Impact (Per 100,000 of Spending) |  |  |  |
| Population Group VI <br> Pop. > 1,000,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | Avg. Per Person Audience Spending | Full Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Goverment Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue | Full-Time Equivalent Jobs | Resident Household Income | Local <br> Government Revenue | State <br> Government Revenue |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$32.17 | 3.31 | \$61,997 | \$3,663 | \$7,736 | 2.24 | \$32,746 | \$978 | \$4,405 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$31.44 | 3.56 | \$59,957 | \$4,099 | \$6,651 | 2.65 | \$34,057 | \$2,109 | \$3,448 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$17.88 | 3.49 | \$58,015 | \$4,050 | \$7,127 | 2.52 | \$32,795 | \$1,437 | \$5,252 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$21.25 | 3.25 | \$55,98। | \$3,758 | \$6,565 | 2.40 | \$32,436 | \$1,133 | \$4,536 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$54.44 | 3.32 | \$69,074 | \$4,702 | \$6,267 | 2.19 | \$37,019 | \$1,325 | \$4,033 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$36.73 | 3.19 | \$58,025 | \$6,426 | \$8,910 | 2.36 | \$33,562 | \$3,899 | \$4,928 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$19.87 | 3.40 | \$59,125 | \$5,122 | \$6,926 | 2.61 | \$34,527 | \$2,175 | \$5,199 |
| Group VI Average | \$30.54 | 3.36 | \$60,311 | \$4,546 | \$7,169 | 2.42 | \$33,877 | \$1,865 | \$4,543 |
| Group VI Standard Deviation |  | 0.13 | \$4,290 | \$978 | \$900 | 0.18 | \$1,579 | \$1,007 | \$653 |
| Group VI\% Error of Mean |  | 3.9\% | 7.1\% | 21.5\% | 12.6\% | 7.3\% | 4.7\% | 54.0\% | 14.4\% |
| Table 18 Continued on Page A92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## Explanation of Table 19:

## Total Itemized Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total dollars spent by arts audiences as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data. To calculate these figures, the dollars spent by resident attendees and non-resident attendees are summed. The results do not equal the average per person spending by all attendees multiplied by total attendance to arts events.

## Column One:

The total dollars spent by audience members to purchase refreshments (e.g., snacks, drinks) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Two:

The total dollars spent by audience members to purchase meals (e.g., dinner at a restaurant, coffee at a café) before or after attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Three:

The total dollars spent by audience members to purchase souvenirs and gifts (e.g., books, recordings, art) as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The total dollars spent by audience members to purchase clothing or accessories for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Five:

The total dollars spent by audience members on transportation (e.g., gas, parking, taxi fares, tolls, car rentals) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Six:

The total dollars spent by audience members on childcare (e.g., babysitter fees) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Seven:

The total dollars spent by audience members on overnight lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, bed and breakfast) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events. (The audience survey instrument requested that respondents provide the cost of one night only.)

## Column Eight:

The total dollars spent by audience members for miscellaneous products and services for the purpose or as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Nine:

The total expenditures made by arts audiences as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2001.

Table 19: Total Itemized Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
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|  | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group I <br> Pop. < 50,000 ( $n=15$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories <br> Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other Event-Related Spending |  |
| Homer, AK | \$345,270 | \$375,880 | \$403,007 | \$36,545 | \$155,138 | \$89,121 | \$75,678 | \$86,574 | \$1,567,214 |
| Sonora, CA | \$253,292 | \$1,002,321 | \$327,267 | \$303,735 | \$386,834 | \$9,630 | \$442,323 | \$316,967 | \$3,042,370 |
| Rockland, ME | \$548,175 | \$3,363,204 | \$1,353,432 | \$858,645 | \$1,568,100 | \$143,145 | \$4,630,085 | \$836,185 | \$13,300,970 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$19,203 | \$381,413 | \$47,364 | \$172,80। | \$44,891 | \$74,253 | \$3,796 | \$1,351 | \$745,071 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$69,383 | \$221,965 | \$120,209 | \$91,608 | \$103,492 | \$13,279 | \$135,031 | \$35,204 | \$790, 171 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$2,478,159 | \$6,892,422 | \$1,332,374 | \$1,235,113 | \$1,511,532 | \$158,432 | \$1,605,831 | \$2,295,537 | \$17,509,400 |
| Door Co., WI | \$434,822 | \$960,243 | \$1,469,994 | \$556,292 | \$1,268,706 | \$50,04 I | \$2,269,680 | \$455,568 | \$7,465,347 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$70,285 | \$505,284 | \$115,357 | \$56,333 | \$132,000 | \$24,092 | \$50,521 | \$41,925 | \$995,797 |
| Dublin, OH | \$58,163 | \$137,757 | \$151,551 | \$10,681 | \$31,607 | \$7,744 | \$13,134 | \$11,749 | \$422,386 |
| Dover, DE | \$176,955 | \$779, 158 | \$169,921 | \$158,058 | \$276,497 | \$41,279 | \$301,412 | \$16,930 | \$1,920,210 |
| Beloit, WI | \$217,459 | \$282,79 1 | \$98,627 | \$88,624 | \$123,737 | \$15,523 | \$164,364 | \$3,545 | \$994,669 |
| Minot, ND | \$526,998 | \$1,336,143 | \$624,448 | \$630, 161 | \$720,161 | \$39,537 | \$548,919 | \$331,223 | \$4,757,590 |
| Wausau, WI | \$673,655 | \$1,601,302 | \$1,440,716 | \$452,747 | \$474,453 | \$29,076 | \$256,087 | \$166,192 | \$5,094,229 |
| Group I Average | \$451,678 | \$1,372,299 | \$588,790 | \$357,796 | \$522,858 | \$53,473 | \$807,451 | \$353,765 | \$4,508,109 |
| \% of Group I Total | 10.0\% | 30.4\% | 13.1\% | 7.9\% | 11.6\% | 1.2\% | 17.9\% | 7.8\% | 100.0\% |
|  | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories <br> Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$4,020,337 | \$10,595,393 | \$9,608,876 | \$2,381,306 | \$5,954,738 | \$302,629 | \$5,622,971 | \$2,038,570 | \$40,524,820 |
| Lodi, CA | \$195,930 | \$838,256 | \$1,139,756 | \$118,749 | \$485,232 | \$233,924 | \$27,760 | \$23,694 | \$3,063,300 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
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| Continued <br> Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending |  |
| Pasadena, CA | \$368,513 | \$4,331,351 | \$583,958 | \$694,514 | \$1,530,899 | \$91,345 | \$889,522 | \$389,122 | \$8,879,223 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$988,549 | \$1,718,910 | \$2,443,672 | \$921,111 | \$378,423 | \$55,568 | \$106,739 | \$670,355 | \$7,283,325 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$92,024 | \$180,199 | \$103,852 | \$71,484 | \$62,676 | \$30,330 | \$44,983 | \$53,303 | \$638,85 I |
| Tempe, AZ | \$144,760 | \$1,543,535 | \$150,817 | \$249,571 | \$435,354 | \$160,438 | \$98,456 | \$0 | \$2,782,930 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$1,898,330 | \$6,621,237 | \$3,429,585 | \$853,209 | \$3,052,422 | \$886,620 | \$48,091 | \$1,172,194 | \$17,961,688 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$219,323 | \$1,468,360 | \$312,465 | \$59,179 | \$384,200 | \$0 | \$68,648 | \$120,108 | \$2,632,282 |
| Boise, ID | \$790,096 | \$3,234,566 | \$1,033,947 | \$955,231 | \$671,436 | \$195,317 | \$493,126 | \$817,244 | \$8,190,962 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$209,793 | \$379,612 | \$353,113 | \$5,838 | \$71,434 | \$4,671 | \$313,280 | \$130,191 | \$1,467,932 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$1,657,947 | \$2,555,537 | \$1,914,956 | \$1,622,662 | \$913,761 | \$51,569 | \$444,360 | \$460,200 | \$9,620,992 |
| Glendale, CA | \$580,85 । | \$2,483,100 | \$1,231,122 | \$962,349 | \$749,215 | \$62,831 | \$122,306 | \$468,937 | \$6,660,71। |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$1,295,291 | \$6,715,731 | \$1,656,396 | \$799,031 | \$1,439,263 | \$61, 142 | \$1,395, 104 | \$353,267 | \$13,715,225 |
| Madison, WI | \$1,169,126 | \$8,312,682 | \$1,197,410 | \$1,318,279 | \$1,595,265 | \$413,252 | \$627,827 | \$428,299 | \$15,062,139 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$939,766 | \$4,083,316 | \$1,850,591 | \$1,180,054 | \$809,120 | \$200,791 | \$248,628 | \$902,768 | \$10,215,034 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$10,704,214 | \$17,219,100 | \$8,742,985 | \$4,060,513 | \$6,318,213 | \$836,415 | \$8,686,476 | \$4,456,784 | \$61,024,700 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$805,266 | \$1,117,049 | \$1,089,446 | \$225,904 | \$493,439 | \$39,325 | \$199,483 | \$252,519 | \$4,222,431 |
| Group III Average | \$1,504,482 | \$4,103,487 | \$1,697,151 | \$930,200 | \$1,230,184 | \$176,155 | \$929,896 | \$628,094 | \$11,199,651 |
| \% of Group III Total | 13.4\% | 36.6\% | 15.2\% | 8.3\% | $11.0 \%$ | 1.6\% | 8.3\% | 5.6\% | 100.0\% |
|  | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Group IV 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts <br> Event-Related Spending |
| Anchorage, AK | \$2,153,183 | \$7,841,637 | \$822,927 | \$4,978,64। | \$1,785,507 | \$446,732 | \$872,395 | \$391,713 | \$19,292,736 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$2,972,748 | \$20,742,016 | \$9,872,803 | \$1,205,839 | \$5,373,439 | \$827,982 | \$6,550,604 | \$956,346 | \$48,501,776 |
| Newark, NJ | \$7,806,182 | \$7,969,365 | \$4,182,264 | \$2,079,796 | \$3,385,186 | \$233,758 | \$3,103,880 | \$954,291 | \$29,714,722 |

Table 19: Total Itemized Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
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Table 19: Total Itemized Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

|  | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Arts <br> Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group IV 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending |  |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$2,752,080 | \$9,793,142 | \$5,441,103 | \$1,536,556 | \$2,578,999 | \$445,360 | \$3,675,707 | \$787,405 | \$27,010,352 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$3,9 10,895 | \$8,049,336 | \$5, 156, 112 | \$1,283,873 | \$2,889, 129 | \$294,426 | \$1,897,00 1 | \$8,250,462 | \$31,731,235 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$232,219 | \$321,518 | \$535,309 | \$18,739 | \$113,819 | \$0 | \$111,264 | \$0 | \$1,332,870 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$179,204 | \$842,362 | \$787,404 | \$73,940 | \$192,585 | \$16,112 | \$204, 128 | \$163,172 | \$2,458,908 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$797,466 | \$2, 150,072 | \$2,248,022 | \$787,408 | \$609,459 | \$79,156 | \$409,544 | \$574,887 | \$7,656,013 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$12,500,337 | \$32,329,265 | \$19,801,781 | \$9,111,431 | \$10,942,396 | \$1,810,707 | \$8,546, 15 I | \$3,137,167 | \$98,179,235 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$593,835 | \$3,181,914 | \$1,485,147 | \$366,683 | \$1,151,043 | \$31,164 | \$1,026,835 | \$62,328 | \$7,898,949 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$1,095,824 | \$4,245,780 | \$1,345,323 | \$1,537,440 | \$1,141,831 | \$16,684 | \$1,593,052 | \$2,128,957 | \$13,104,890 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$23,267,79 1 | \$83,205,02 1 | \$40,358,346 | \$13,852,453 | \$16,890, 198 | \$7,753,362 | \$65,289,799 | \$8,370,808 | \$258,987,777 |
| Group III Average | \$4,855,147 | \$15,055,952 | \$7,669,712 | \$3,069,400 | \$3,921,133 | \$996,287 | \$7,773,363 | \$2,148,128 | \$45,489,122 |
| \% of Group III Total | 10.7\% | 33.1\% | 16.9\% | 6.7\% | 8.6\% | 2.2\% | 17.1\% | 4.7\% | 100.0\% |

All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident)

| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,000 ( $n=14$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$181,029 | \$1,436,261 | \$192,954 | \$237,840 | \$215,549 | \$13,253 | \$183,664 | \$50,966 | \$2,511,517 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$863,883 | \$3,312,649 | \$1,560,144 | \$755,755 | \$760,010 | \$220,777 | \$314,304 | \$236,389 | \$8,023,911 |
| Memphis, TN | \$3,055,059 | \$16,794,307 | \$14,138,308 | \$4,774,078 | \$5,729,052 | \$823,122 | \$5,158,010 | \$3,687,569 | \$54, 159,505 |
| Columbus, OH | \$22,115,815 | \$52,806,218 | \$24,876, 165 | \$19,540,816 | \$17,575,623 | \$1,255,673 | \$13,910,374 | \$6,922,613 | \$159,003,298 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$21,987,118 | \$57,032, 148 | \$18,109,300 | \$4,041,073 | \$19,147,532 | \$1,229,775 | \$20,545,924 | \$3,991,061 | \$146,083,931 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$18,826,910 | \$66,470, 105 | \$21,817,941 | \$17,061,715 | \$25,685,645 | \$4,491,75 | \$17,311,739 | \$22,233,298 | \$193,899, 104 |

Table 19: Total Itemized Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
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| Continued <br> Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,000 ( $n=14$ ) | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Refreshments or Snacks During Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other Event-Related Spending |  |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$14,667,439 | \$31,276,226 | \$15,397,147 | \$10,967,352 | \$17,133,427 | \$596,432 | \$22,239,915 | \$1,184,396 | \$113,462,333 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$4,802,658 | \$10,262,75 \| | \$13,257,231 | \$3,684,280 | \$3,054,478 | \$222,572 | \$1,528,904 | \$585,687 | \$37,398,560 |
| Detroit, MI | \$26,926,2 13 | \$58,485,26। | \$28,896,284 | \$15, 32,964 | \$28,529,887 | \$934,791 | \$10,694,840 | \$12,157,923 | \$181,758,164 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$12,602,902 | \$33,097,325 | \$15,360,608 | \$8,466,208 | \$13,092,356 | \$1,087,572 | \$10,209,742 | \$5,672,21। | \$99,588,925 |
| \% of Group V Total | 12.7\% | 33.2\% | 15.4\% | 8.5\% | 13.1\% | 1.1\% | 10.3\% | 5.7\% | 100.0\% |
|  | All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Population Group VI <br> Pop. > 1,000,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks During Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other Event-Related Spending | Total Arts <br> Event-Related <br> Spending |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$16,664,947 | \$57,381,306 | \$26,227,126 | \$28,206,393 | \$18,411,457 | \$1,365,718 | \$15,345,490 | \$9,464,332 | \$173,066,769 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$18,904,237 | \$66,421,513 | \$27,926,520 | \$10,305,083 | \$29,209,321 | \$1,916,885 | \$32,427,297 | \$4,653,201 | \$191,764,056 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$6,674,631 | \$21,769,675 | \$5,742,146 | \$5,896,392 | \$5,636,979 | \$343,547 | \$2,524,020 | \$1,584,524 | \$50,171,915 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$7,636,467 | \$20,350,193 | \$2,039,596 | \$4,340,684 | \$7,615,001 | \$288,279 | \$3,685,891 | \$1, 174,050 | \$47, 130,162 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$13,056,000 | \$69,587,500 | \$17,477,850 | \$29, I 1 8,900 | \$39,357,150 | \$10,990,700 | \$78,732,700 | \$14,036,650 | \$272,357,450 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$18,214,859 | \$64,359,852 | \$17,955,232 | \$11,194,298 | \$34,826,138 | \$1,663,120 | \$46,529,675 | \$8,521,165 | \$203,264,339 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$15,663,256 | \$54,806,256 | \$11,512,836 | \$12,358,595 | \$12,632,310 | \$5,802,216 | \$10,572,397 | \$1,606,848 | \$124,954,714 |
| Group VI Average | \$13,830,628 | \$50,668,042 | \$15,554,472 | \$14,488,62। | \$2 1,098,337 | \$3,195,78। | \$27, 116,781 | \$5,862,967 | \$151,815,629 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 9.1\% | 33.4\% | 10.2\% | 9.5\% | 13.9\% | 2.1\% | 17.9\% | 3.9\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of 75 Communities | \$4,373,687 | \$13,971,773 | \$5,73I,120 | \$3,635,440 | \$5,102,373 | \$672,258 | \$5,860,450 | \$2,057,047 | \$41,404,149 |
| \% of Total | 10.6\% | 33.7\% | 13.8\% | 8.8\% | 12.3\% | 1.6\% | 14.2\% | 5.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Explanation of Table 20:

## Average Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the average dollars spent per person by arts audiences as a result of their attendance at nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members to purchase refreshments (e.g., snacks, drinks) while attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Two:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members to purchase meals (e.g., dinner at a restaurant, coffee at a café) before or after attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Three:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members to purchase souvenirs and gifts (e.g., books, recordings, art) as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members to purchase clothing or accessories for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Five:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members on transportation (e.g., gas, parking, taxi fares, tolls, car rentals) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Six:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members on childcare (e.g., babysitter fees) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Seven:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members on overnight lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, bed and breakfast) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events. (The audience survey instrument requested that respondents provide the cost of one night only.)

## Column Eight:

The average dollars spent per person by audience members for miscellaneous products and services for the purpose or as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Nine:

The average per person expenditure made by all responding arts audience members as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000.

Table 20: Average Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident)

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 ( } n=15 \text { ) }$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or <br> After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories <br> (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$4.00 | \$4.35 | \$4.67 | \$0.43 | \$1.80 | \$1.03 | \$0.87 | \$1.00 | \$18.15 |
| Sonora, CA | \$2.11 | \$8.33 | \$2.72 | \$2.53 | \$3.21 | \$0.08 | \$3.67 | \$2.63 | \$25.28 |
| Rockland, ME | \$2.63 | \$16.12 | \$6.49 | \$4.12 | \$7.52 | \$0.68 | \$22.20 | \$4.01 | \$63.77 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$0.32 | \$6.38 | \$0.79 | \$2.89 | \$0.75 | \$1.25 | \$0.06 | \$0.02 | \$12.46 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$2.35 | \$7.53 | \$4.08 | \$3.11 | \$3.51 | \$0.45 | \$4.58 | \$1.19 | \$26.80 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$3.55 | \$9.87 | \$1.91 | \$1.77 | \$2.16 | \$0.22 | \$2.30 | \$3.29 | \$25.07 |
| Door Co., WI | \$1.63 | \$11.81 | \$1.87 | \$2.08 | \$4.75 | \$0.19 | \$8.49 | \$1.70 | \$32.52 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$0.67 | \$4.79 | \$1.09 | \$0.53 | \$1.25 | \$0.23 | \$0.48 | \$0.40 | \$9.44 |
| Dublin, OH | \$2.00 | \$4.73 | \$5.20 | \$0.36 | \$1.09 | \$0.26 | \$0.45 | \$0.41 | \$14.50 |
| Dover, DE | \$1.13 | \$4.95 | \$1.08 | \$1.01 | \$1.76 | \$0.26 | \$1.92 | \$0.11 | \$12.22 |
| Beloit, WI | \$3.93 | \$5.11 | \$1.78 | \$1.60 | \$2.24 | \$0.28 | \$2.97 | \$0.07 | \$17.98 |
| Minot, ND | \$2.93 | \$7.43 | \$3.47 | \$3.51 | \$4.00 | \$0.22 | \$3.05 | \$1.84 | \$26.45 |
| Wausau, WI | \$2.26 | \$5.38 | \$4.84 | \$1.52 | \$1.59 | \$0. 10 | \$0.87 | \$0.56 | \$17.12 |
| Group I Average | \$2.27 | \$7.44 | \$3.08 | \$1.96 | \$2.74 | \$0.40 | \$3.99 | \$1.33 | \$23.21 |
| \% of Group I Total Value | 9.8\% | 32.1\% | 13.3\% | 8.4\% | 11.8\% | 1.7\% | 17.2\% | 5.7\% | 100.0\% |

All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident)

| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cloching or } \\ & \text { Accessories } \\ & \text { Purchased } \\ & \text { (for Events) } \end{aligned}$ | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$3.69 | \$9.70 | \$8.80 | \$2.18 | \$5.45 | \$0.28 | \$5.15 | \$1.87 | \$37.12 |
| Lodi, CA | \$0.56 | \$2.39 | \$3.25 | \$0.34 | \$1.38 | \$0.67 | \$0.08 | \$0.07 | \$8.74 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$1.28 | \$6.15 | \$1.84 | \$1.87 | \$1.46 | \$0.23 | \$0.45 | \$2.17 | \$15.45 |
| Janesville, WI | \$1.42 | \$3.22 | \$0.74 | \$1.08 | \$1.50 | \$0.09 | \$0.79 | \$1.56 | \$10.40 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$2.52 | \$15.66 | \$5.36 | \$4.28 | \$5.98 | \$0.12 | \$6.02 | \$2.62 | \$42.56 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$1.79 | \$10.76 | \$2.06 | \$2.37 | \$2.20 | \$0.38 | \$1.01 | \$0.53 | \$21.10 |
| Springfield, OH | \$2.50 | \$3.93 | \$2.17 | \$0.89 | \$1.44 | \$0.07 | \$1.14 | \$1.32 | \$13.46 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$2.39 | \$6.15 | \$6.63 | \$1.30 | \$1.33 | \$0.09 | \$0.75 | \$1.61 | \$20.25 |
| Asheville, NC | \$2.40 | \$10.38 | \$3.33 | \$6.13 | \$2.58 | \$0.31 | \$2.29 | \$0.76 | \$28.18 |
| Bloomington, $\mathbb{I N}$ | \$1.11 | \$7.62 | \$4.71 | \$1.36 | \$2.02 | \$0.22 | \$1.34 | \$1.57 | \$19.95 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$2.63 | \$8.92 | \$9.45 | \$2.87 | \$2.20 | \$0.21 | \$2.06 | \$1.81 | \$30.15 |
| Table 20 Continued on Page Al01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Continued

## Table 20: Average Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000



## Continued

Table 20: Average Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident)

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$3.17 | \$11.54 | \$1.21 | \$7.32 | \$2.63 | \$0.66 | \$1.29 | \$0.57 | \$28.39 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$1.33 | \$9.29 | \$4.42 | \$0.54 | \$2.41 | \$0.37 | \$2.94 | \$0.43 | \$21.73 |
| Newark, NJ | \$5.49 | \$5.61 | \$2.94 | \$1.46 | \$2.38 | \$0.17 | \$2.19 | \$0.67 | \$20.9 1 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$1.68 | \$5.97 | \$3.32 | \$0.94 | \$1.57 | \$0.27 | \$2.24 | \$0.48 | \$16.47 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$3.21 | \$6.61 | \$4.23 | \$1.05 | \$2.37 | \$0.24 | \$1.55 | \$6.77 | \$26.03 |
| Fox Valley, WI | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$2.84 | \$3.94 | \$6.54 | \$0.23 | \$1.40 | \$0.00 | \$1.36 | \$0.00 | \$16.31 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$0.80 | \$3.78 | \$3.52 | \$0.33 | \$0.87 | \$0.07 | \$0.91 | \$0.73 | \$11.01 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$1.78 | \$4.79 | \$5.00 | \$1.75 | \$1.36 | \$0.18 | \$0.91 | \$1.28 | \$17.05 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$2.43 | \$6.29 | \$3.85 | \$1.77 | \$2.13 | \$0.35 | \$1.66 | \$0.61 | \$19.09 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$1.16 | \$5.81 | \$2.28 | \$0.48 | \$1.57 | \$0.04 | \$1.46 | \$0.23 | \$13.03 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$1.73 | \$6.72 | \$2.13 | \$2.43 | \$1.80 | \$0.03 | \$2.52 | \$3.37 | \$20.73 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$3.86 | \$13.82 | \$6.70 | \$2.30 | \$2.81 | \$1.29 | \$10.84 | \$1.39 | \$43.01 |
| Group IV Average | \$2.46 | \$7.01 | \$3.85 | \$1.72 | \$1.94 | \$0.31 | \$2.49 | \$1.38 | \$21.15 |
| \% of Group IV Total Value | 11.6\% | 33.2\% | 18.2\% | 8.1\% | 9.2\% | 1.4\% | 11.8\% | 6.5\% | 100.0\% |

All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident)

| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$0.87 | \$6.91 | \$0.92 | \$1.15 | \$1.04 | \$0.06 | \$0.89 | \$0.25 | \$12.09 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$2.09 | \$8.02 | \$3.78 | \$1.83 | \$1.84 | \$0.54 | \$0.76 | \$0.57 | \$19.43 |
| Memphis, TN | \$1.54 | \$8.44 | \$7.11 | \$2.40 | \$2.88 | \$0.42 | \$2.59 | \$1.86 | \$27.24 |
| Columbus, OH | \$3.55 | \$8.47 | \$4.00 | \$3.14 | \$2.82 | \$0.20 | \$2.24 | \$1.11 | \$25.53 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$4.75 | \$12.34 | \$3.92 | \$0.87 | \$4.14 | \$0.26 | \$4.45 | \$0.86 | \$31.59 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$2.61 | \$9.20 | \$3.02 | \$2.36 | \$3.56 | \$0.62 | \$2.41 | \$3.08 | \$26.86 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$3.19 | \$6.80 | \$3.34 | \$2.39 | \$3.73 | \$0.13 | \$4.84 | \$0.26 | \$24.68 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$2.81 | \$6.01 | \$7.77 | \$2.16 | \$1.79 | \$0.13 | \$0.89 | \$0.34 | \$21.90 |
| Detroit, MI | \$3.44 | \$7.47 | \$3.69 | \$1.94 | \$3.64 | \$0.12 | \$1.36 | \$1.55 | \$23.21 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$2.76 | \$8.18 | \$4.17 | \$2.03 | \$2.83 | \$0.28 | \$2.27 | \$1.10 | \$23.61 |
| \% of Group V Total Value | 11.7\% | 34.7\% | 17.7\% | 8.6\% | 12.0\% | 1.2\% | 9.6\% | 4.6\% | 100.0\% |

Table 20 Continued on Page A103
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Table 20: Average Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

All Arts Event Attendees (Resident and Non-Resident)

| Population Group VI <br> Pop. > $1,000,000(n=7)$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or <br> After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clothing or or } \\ & \text { Accessories } \\ & \text { Purchased } \\ & \text { (for Events) } \end{aligned}$ | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other EventRelated Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$3.09 | \$10.67 | \$4.88 | \$5.24 | \$3.42 | \$0.26 | \$2.85 | \$1.76 | \$32.17 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$3.10 | \$10.89 | \$4.58 | \$1.69 | \$4.79 | \$0.31 | \$5.32 | \$0.76 | \$31.44 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$2.38 | \$7.76 | \$2.05 | \$2.10 | \$2.01 | \$0.12 | \$0.90 | \$0.56 | \$17.88 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$3.45 | \$9.17 | \$0.92 | \$1.96 | \$3.43 | \$0.13 | \$1.66 | \$0.53 | \$21.25 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$2.61 | \$13.91 | \$3.50 | \$5.82 | \$7.86 | \$2.19 | \$15.74 | \$2.81 | \$54.44 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$3.29 | \$11.63 | \$3.24 | \$2.03 | \$6.29 | \$0.30 | \$8.41 | \$1.54 | \$36.73 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$2.49 | \$8.71 | \$1.83 | \$1.97 | \$2.01 | \$0.92 | \$1.69 | \$0.25 | \$19.87 |
| Group VI Average | \$2.92 | \$10.39 | \$3.00 | \$2.97 | \$4.26 | \$0.60 | \$5.22 | \$1.17 | \$30.54 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 9.5\% | 34.0\% | 9.8\% | 9.7\% | 13.9\% | 2.0\% | 17.1\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of 75 Responding Attendees | \$2.44 | \$7.89 | \$3.51 | \$2.19 | \$2.63 | \$0.33 | \$2.55 | \$1.33 | \$22.87 |
| \% of Total | 10.7\% | 34.5\% | 15.3\% | 9.6\% | 11.5\% | 1.4\% | 11.1\% | 5.8\% | 100.0\% |

Explanation of Table 21:

## Itemized Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total audience expenditures made by residents as a result of their attendance at nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Residents are attendees who reside within the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

Column One:
The total dollars spent by resident audience members to purchase refreshments (e.g., snacks, drinks). for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Two:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members to purchase meals (e.g., dinner at a restaurant, coffee at a café) before or after attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Three:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members to purchase souvenirs and gifts (e.g., books, recordings, art) as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members to purchase clothing or accessories. for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Five:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members on transportation (e.g., gas, parking, taxi fares, tolls, car rentals) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Six:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members on childcare (e.g., babysitter fees) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Seven:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members on overnight lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, bed and breakfast) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events. (The audience survey instrument requested that respondents provide the cost of one night only.)

## Column Eight:

The total dollars spent by resident audience members for miscellaneous products and services for the purpose or as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Nine:

The total expenditures made by resident audience members as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

Table 21: Itemized Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to

| Population Group I Pop. $<50,000(n=15)$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$335,264 | \$365,819 | \$395,526 | \$33,951 | \$149,383 | \$89,121 | \$67,053 | \$86,574 | \$1,522,69 1 |
| Sonora, CA | \$114,893 | \$387,441 | \$134,471 | \$185,992 | \$131,379 | \$4,122 | \$105,619 | \$48,430 | \$1,112,346 |
| Rockland, ME | \$77,710 | \$267,012 | \$92,941 | \$91,698 | \$151,379 | \$17,096 | \$0 | \$0 | \$697,838 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$15,322 | \$374,800 | \$45,377 | \$170,899 | \$42,430 | \$74,253 | \$0 | \$0 | \$723,081 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$36,607 | \$83,382 | \$51,028 | \$41,784 | \$20,892 | \$9,429 | \$43,633 | \$22,556 | \$309,310 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$1,410,919 | \$3,669,251 | \$696,857 | \$417,254 | \$709,761 | \$86,032 | \$55,921 | \$2,129,284 | \$9,175,277 |
| Door Co., WI | \$96,703 | \$505,596 | \$44,925 | \$103,556 | \$137,82 1 | \$2,284 | \$141,628 | \$172,847 | \$1,205,360 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$68,261 | \$462,769 | \$101,387 | \$54,207 | \$102,391 | \$24,092 | \$23,088 | \$40,153 | \$876,349 |
| Dublin, OH | \$52,338 | \$1 19,630 | \$140,191 | \$10,681 | \$26,169 | \$7,744 | \$7,744 | \$11,749 | \$376,246 |
| Dover, DE | \$153,786 | \$629,384 | \$123,883 | \$103,948 | \$205,048 | \$35,599 | \$242,071 | \$14,239 | \$1,507,958 |
| Beloit, WI | \$114,765 | \$158,676 | \$57,549 | \$68,527 | \$41,582 | \$15,302 | \$39,586 | \$2,661 | \$498,648 |
| Minot, ND | \$210,449 | \$575,539 | \$331,371 | \$317,418 | \$213,938 | \$18,603 | \$74,413 | \$82,552 | \$1,824,283 |
| Wausau, WI | \$518,081 | \$1,242,336 | \$1,152,465 | \$325,122 | \$264,327 | \$29,076 | \$79,298 | \$113,661 | \$3,724,366 |
| Group I Average | \$246,546 | \$680,126 | \$259,075 | \$148,080 | \$168,962 | \$31,750 | \$67,696 | \$209,593 | \$1,811,827 |
| \% of Group I Total | 13.6\% | 37.5\% | 14.3\% | 8.2\% | 9.3\% | 1.8\% | 3.7\% | 11.6\% | 100.0\% |
| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Refreshments <br> or Snacks during <br> Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$2,146,613 | \$4,500,963 | \$6,486,003 | \$1,315,666 | \$1,354,136 | \$0 | \$484,719 | \$754,007 | \$17,042,108 |
| Lodi, CA | \$155,832 | \$636,999 | \$877,582 | \$90,2 19 | \$256,987 | \$202,309 | \$0 | \$13,670 | \$2,233,596 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
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| Continued <br> Table 21 : Itemized Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$348,944 | \$1,766,338 | \$497,168 | \$580,545 | \$308,800 | \$67,936 | \$0 | \$815,233 | \$4,384,964 |
| Janesville, WI | \$70, 180 | \$132,895 | \$30,362 | \$60,723 | \$48,280 | \$4,977 | \$0 | \$63,710 | \$411,127 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$3,409,012 | \$17,925,4\|। | \$3,427,743 | \$6,537,062 | \$4,663,978 | \$374,617 | \$3,090,588 | \$992,734 | \$40,421,146 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$1,589,836 | \$8,872,015 | \$1,672,069 | \$2,183,740 | \$1,736,028 | \$319,795 | \$392,890 | \$246,699 | \$17,013,071 |
| Springfield, OH | \$814,332 | \$1,154,750 | \$647,461 | \$260,319 | \$313,718 | \$23,362 | \$63,411 | \$463,902 | \$3,741,256 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$641,221 | \$1,520,533 | \$1,804,619 | \$351,725 | \$300,319 | \$10,822 | \$75,756 | \$468,064 | \$5,173,060 |
| Asheville, NC | \$2,718,991 | \$11,893,911 | \$3,763,726 | \$8,023,032 | \$2,236,805 | \$321,457 | \$1,339,404 | \$495,580 | \$30,792,908 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$359,225 | \$2,533,095 | \$1,466,529 | \$551,800 | \$362,929 | \$96,287 | \$0 | \$618,460 | \$5,988,326 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$898,905 | \$2,125,647 | \$2,220,825 | \$888,330 | \$306,685 | \$105,754 | \$172,731 | \$451,215 | \$7,170,092 |
| Chemung County, NY | \$86,672 | \$295,573 | \$132,786 | \$67,782 | \$105,006 | \$6,111 | \$90,005 | \$6,667 | \$790,603 |
| New Bedford, MA | \$1,062,501 | \$2,077,779 | \$1,210,913 | \$418,254 | \$425,000 | \$6,746 | \$104,564 | \$529,564 | \$5,835,320 |
| Boulder, CO | \$69,967 | \$4,922,680 | \$194,908 | \$629,703 | \$554,739 | \$29,986 | \$0 | \$2,024,046 | \$8,426,030 |
| Steuben County, NY | \$60,588 | \$125,215 | \$85,496 | \$84,487 | \$69,003 | \$1,010 | \$98,624 | \$2,020 | \$526,442 |
| Group II Average | \$962,188 | \$4,032,254 | \$1,634,546 | \$1,469,559 | \$869,494 | \$104,745 | \$394,180 | \$529,705 | \$9,996,670 |
| \% of Group II Total | 9.6\% | 40.3\% | 16.4\% | 14.7\% | 8.7\% | 1.0\% | 3.9\% | 5.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Green Bay, WI | \$3,977,974 | \$5,984,357 | \$3,688,034 | \$649,465 | \$1,345,321 | \$0 | \$197,159 | \$208,757 | \$16,051,066 |
| Erie, PA | \$422,313 | \$1,567,569 | \$255,297 | \$412,769 | \$307,787 | \$66,807 | \$4,772 | \$171,788 | \$3,209, 102 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$183,298 | \$1,433,532 | \$100,295 | \$838,676 | \$290,511 | \$15,563 | \$79,545 | \$58,794 | \$3,000,214 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$147,471 | \$2,057,222 | \$125,350 | \$685,741 | \$342,870 | \$51,615 | \$0 | \$276,508 | \$3,686,777 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 Contin | on Page Al0 |
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| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pasadena, CA | \$340,872 | \$3,680,52 1 | \$429,988 | \$601,538 | \$1,238,723 | \$91,345 | \$514,649 | \$311,909 | \$7,209,544 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$800,286 | \$1, \| 18,82| | \$1,742,727 | \$895,056 | \$184,276 | \$42,120 | \$0 | \$629, 172 | \$5,412,459 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$86,275 | \$154,553 | \$99,772 | \$68,543 | \$55,576 | \$28,582 | \$26,994 | \$50,547 | \$570,842 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$128,35 1 | \$1,458,206 | \$142,612 | \$249,571 | \$377,921 | \$160,438 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,517,100 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$1,434,712 | \$4,921,304 | \$2,468,667 | \$641,212 | \$2,140,046 | \$793,500 | \$48,091 | \$1,170,212 | \$13,617,745 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$210,677 | \$1,370,586 | \$312,465 | \$59,179 | \$286,426 | \$0 | \$68,648 | \$68,648 | \$2,376,629 |
| Boise, ID | \$654,676 | \$2,477,355 | \$896,460 | \$777,428 | \$398,014 | \$130,191 | \$152,510 | \$513,326 | \$5,999,961 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$71,810 | \$178,064 | \$190,324 | \$5,838 | \$28,023 | \$4,671 | \$71,810 | \$130,191 | \$680,731 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$1,157,921 | \$1,898,990 | \$1,637,630 | \$1,366,346 | \$506, 177 | \$46,317 | \$22 1,659 | \$334,143 | \$7,169,182 |
| Glendale, CA | \$562,335 | \$2,387,569 | \$1,159,227 | \$882,772 | \$700,563 | \$62,831 | \$65,972 | \$449,240 | \$6,270,510 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$1,107,356 | \$4,904,976 | \$930,723 | \$502,726 | \$543,488 | \$61,142 | \$0 | \$353,267 | \$8,403,678 |
| Madison, WI | \$867,522 | \$6, 107,918 | \$571,295 | \$818,151 | \$677,090 | \$289, 174 | \$141,060 | \$176,326 | \$9,648,536 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$816,250 | \$3,477,934 | \$1,817,043 | \$1,164,043 | \$624,608 | \$191,641 | \$70,978 | \$873,032 | \$9,035,530 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$5,513,037 | \$10,735,218 | \$4, \| 1 1,64| | \$3,225,854 | \$3,172,971 | \$317,297 | \$2,406, 170 | \$568,49 1 | \$30,050,678 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$626,182 | \$801,635 | \$850,035 | \$193,602 | \$269,228 | \$39,325 | \$24,200 | \$152,764 | \$2,956,973 |
| Group III Average | \$1,005,754 | \$2,985,070 | \$1,133,136 | \$738,869 | \$709,980 | \$125,924 | \$215,485 | \$341,953 | \$7,256, 71 |
| \% of Group III Total | 13.9\% | 41.1\% | 15.6\% | 10.2\% | 9.8\% | 1.7\% | 3.0\% | 4.7\% | 100.0\% |
| Population Group IV <br> 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Anchorage, AK | \$2,071,409 | \$7,486,483 | \$760,795 | \$4,756,570 | \$1,598,310 | \$319,662 | \$709,649 | \$351,628 | \$18,054,506 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$1,208,474 | \$8,653,788 | \$5,542,314 | \$319,482 | \$1,389,051 | \$819,540 | \$0 | \$27,781 | \$17,960,430 |
| Newark, NJ | \$5,925,247 | \$4,583,682 | \$3,362,513 | \$1,608,159 | \$1,470,562 | \$171,996 | \$627,784 | \$533,186 | \$18,283,129 |
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| Other | Total Arts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Event－Related | Event－Related |
| Spending | Spending |

$\$ 605,515 \quad \$ 13,914,225$

$\$ 1,111,725$ $\$ 1,575,606$
$\$ 5,256,037$
 tSt＇trs＇9\＄

 \％0001 $\$ 0$

n／a
$\$ 92.829$ \＄92，829 $\$ 1,780$
$\$ 157,997$ $\$ 1,800,115$ $\$ 392,667$ $\$ 344,799$
$\$ 3,995,342$ $\$ 685,542$
$3.0 \%$ 3．0\％
hild Care
$\$ 258,841$
둥 $\$ 0$
$\$ 8,902$ $\$ 35,110$ $\$ 1,160,074$ $\$ 31,164$ $\$ 16,684$
$\$ 7,735,662$ $\$ 913,443$ \％${ }^{\prime} \downarrow$ $\$ 517211 \quad \$ 1.211 .030$ $\$ 1,156,159$
n／a
$\$ 64,609$ $\$ 131,746$ $\$ 308,972$
$\$ 560358$ $\$ 5,600,358$ $\$ 1,096,975$
$\$ 578,373$

 8．8\％8．4\％
Clothing or

$20.3 \% \quad 8.8 \%$
รㄴํㅇ＇suox \＄2，295，910 \＄3，839，482
\＄465，632 \＄567，930
 $\$ 11,320,724$
$\$ 1,389,917$ $\$ 1,389,917$
$\$ 633,985$ $\$ 23,632,021$ 9sて＇66s＇\＄\＄

## 6．3\％

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Other } \\ \text { Event－Related }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Total Arts } \\ \text { Event－Related } \\ \text { Ever }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Other |
| :--- |
| Event－Reated |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { TotalArts } \\ \text { Event－Related } \\ \text { Spending }\end{array}$ |

$\$$
$\stackrel{\pi}{c}$




n／a
 Event－Related Overnight

侖 n／a $\$ 68,974$
$\$ 1543056$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$


ถ゙ $\$ 3,545,130$ $\begin{array}{r}\$ 13,253 \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \mathrm{n} / 2 \\ \hline \$ 168,985 \\ \$ 812,135 \\ \hline \$ 783,885 \\ \hline \$ 56,280 \\ \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \hline \$ 3,867,415\end{array}$ กิ ะ๊ ट゙ $\begin{aligned} & \text { n／a } \\ & \$ 682,838 \$ 469,020\end{aligned}$ \＄3，557，150

 | $\frac{m}{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |
|  |
| $\vdots$ |
| $\alpha$ |
| $\vdots$ |

 $\$ 17,467,823$ $\$ 187,204$
$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$
Clothing or
Accessories
̊ํํ อี
$\$ 220,715$


 $\$ 112,041$ ． |  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| chased（for Events） | Transportatio |
| $\$ 187,204$ | $\$ 71237$ |

$\qquad$



 \＄15，469，658 | Events，Gitst， |
| :---: |
| or Souveniss |

 n／a $\$ 1,386,367$
 H
营
O．
on $\$ 10,223,782$ n／a \＄15，082，917 Meals Before or 안 들 n／a $\$ 2731,351$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$

 $\bar{\oplus}$

 \＄1，764，653 $\$ 55,276,390 \quad \$ 15,082,917 \quad \$ 15,469,658$

 Refress during $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Forsyth Co．，NC } & \$ 2,157,146 & \$ 6,723,737\end{array}$ 2，743，720 \begin{tabular}{|l|r|r|}
\hline Lehigh Co．，PA \& $\$ 2,743,720$ \& $\$ 4,978,384$ <br>
\hline Fox Valley，WI \& n／a \& n／a

 

\hline Fox Valley，Wl \& n／a \& n／a <br>
\hline Western Maricopa Co．，AZ \& $\$ 198,284$ \& $\$ 279,231$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} Waukesha Co．，WI \＄156，670 \＄569，711 $\$ 614,433$ \＄9，920，634 $\$ 548,488$ \＄2，748，671 \＄633，985 $\$ 11,816,011$

 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Forsyth Co．，NC } & \$ 2,157,146 & \$ 6,723,737\end{array}$ $\$ 614,433-\$ 1,618,593$
$\$ 9.0,16,289$ $\$ 3,231,100$ 26s＇tr6＇＜\＄ \％ 1 ＇$\varsigma$ Berks Co．，PA
Minneapolis，MN Mesa，AZ $\forall 7$＇पșued uosuəみə $\forall 7$＇sureə Group III Average
\％of Group III Total

 | Union Co．，NJ | $\$ 134,19$ । |
| ---: | ---: |
| Washington，DC | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Milwaukee，WI | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Monmouth Co．，NJ | $\$ 720,773$ |
| Memphis，TN | $\$ 2,209,006$ |
| Columbus，OH | $\$ 19,373,152$ |
| Indianapolis，IN | $\$ 14,957,533$ |
| Prince George＇s Co．，MD | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Fulton Co．，GA | $\$ 15,018,460$ |
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Explanation of Table 22:
Average Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the average dollars spent per person by residents as a result of their attendance at nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Residents are attendees who reside within the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members to purchase refreshments (e.g., snacks, drinks) while attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Two:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members to purchase meals (e.g., dinner at a restaurant, coffee at a café) before or after attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Three:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members to purchase souvenirs and gifts (e.g., books, recordings, art) as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members to purchase clothing or accessories for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Five:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members on transportation (e.g., gas, parking, taxi fares, tolls, car rentals) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Six:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members on childcare (e.g., babysitter fees) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Seven:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members on overnight lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, bed and breakfast) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.(The audience survey instrument requested that respondents provide the cost of one night only.)

## Column Eight:

The average dollars spent per person by resident audience members for miscellaneous products and services for the purpose or as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Nine:

The average per person expenditure made by all responding resident audience members as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000.

Table 22: Average Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I Pop. < 50,000 (n=15) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$3.95 | \$4.31 | \$4.66 | \$0.40 | \$1.76 | \$1.05 | \$0.79 | \$1.02 | \$17.94 |
| Sonora, CA | \$2.23 | \$7.52 | \$2.61 | \$3.61 | \$2.55 | \$0.08 | \$2.05 | \$0.94 | \$21.59 |
| Rockland, ME | \$2.50 | \$8.59 | \$2.99 | \$2.95 | \$4.87 | \$0.55 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$22.45 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$0.26 | \$6.36 | \$0.77 | \$2.90 | \$0.72 | \$1.26 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$12.27 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$1.98 | \$4.51 | \$2.76 | \$2.26 | \$1.13 | \$0.51 | \$2.36 | \$1.22 | \$16.73 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$3.28 | \$8.53 | \$1.62 | \$0.97 | \$1.65 | \$0.20 | \$0.13 | \$4.95 | \$21.33 |
| Door Co., WI | \$1.27 | \$6.64 | \$0.59 | \$1.36 | \$1.81 | \$0.03 | \$1.86 | \$2.27 | \$15.83 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$0.68 | \$4.61 | \$1.01 | \$0.54 | \$1.02 | \$0.24 | \$0.23 | \$0.40 | \$8.73 |
| Dublin, OH | \$1.96 | \$4.48 | \$5.25 | \$0.40 | \$0.98 | \$0.29 | \$0.29 | \$0.44 | \$14.09 |
| Dover, DE | \$1.08 | \$4.42 | \$0.87 | \$0.73 | \$1.44 | \$0.25 | \$1.70 | \$0.10 | \$10.59 |
| Beloit, WI | \$3.45 | \$4.77 | \$1.73 | \$2.06 | \$1.25 | \$0.46 | \$1.19 | \$0.08 | \$14.99 |
| Minot, ND | \$1.81 | \$4.95 | \$2.85 | \$2.73 | \$1.84 | \$0.16 | \$0.64 | \$0.71 | \$15.69 |
| Wausau, WI | \$1.96 | \$4.70 | \$4.36 | \$1.23 | \$1.00 | \$0.1 1 | \$0.30 | \$0.43 | \$14.09 |
| Group I Average | \$2.03 | \$5.72 | \$2.47 | \$1.70 | \$1.69 | \$0.40 | \$0.89 | \$0.97 | \$15.87 |
| \% of Group I Total Value | 12.8\% | 36.1\% | I5.5\% | 10.7\% | 10.7\% | 2.5\% | 5.6\% | 6.1\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$2.79 | \$5.85 | \$8.43 | \$1.71 | \$1.76 | \$0.00 | \$0.63 | \$0.98 | \$22.15 |
| Lodi, CA | \$0.57 | \$2.33 | \$3.21 | \$0.33 | \$0.94 | \$0.74 | \$0.00 | \$0.05 | \$8.17 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$1.13 | \$5.72 | \$1.61 | \$1.88 | \$1.00 | \$0.22 | \$0.00 | \$2.64 | \$14.20 |
| Janesville, WI | \$1.41 | \$2.67 | \$0.61 | \$1.22 | \$0.97 | \$0.10 | \$0.00 | \$1.28 | \$8.26 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$1.82 | \$9.57 | \$1.83 | \$3.49 | \$2.49 | \$0.20 | \$1.65 | \$0.53 | \$21.58 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$1.74 | \$9.71 | \$1.83 | \$2.39 | \$1.90 | \$0.35 | \$0.43 | \$0.27 | \$18.62 |
| Springfield, OH | \$2.44 | \$3.46 | \$1.94 | \$0.78 | \$0.94 | \$0.07 | \$0.19 | \$1.39 | \$11.21 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$2.37 | \$5.62 | \$6.67 | \$1.30 | \$1.11 | \$0.04 | \$0.28 | \$1.73 | \$19.12 |
| Asheville, NC | \$2.03 | \$8.88 | \$2.81 | \$5.99 | \$1.67 | \$0.24 | \$1.00 | \$0.37 | \$22.99 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$0.97 | \$6.84 | \$3.96 | \$1.49 | \$0.98 | \$0.26 | \$0.00 | \$1.67 | \$16.17 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$2.55 | \$6.03 | \$6.30 | \$2.52 | \$0.87 | \$0.30 | \$0.49 | \$1.28 | \$20.34 |
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## Continued

Table 22: Average Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or <br> After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clothing or or } \\ & \text { Accessories } \\ & \text { Purchased } \\ & \text { (for Events) } \end{aligned}$ | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemung County, NY | \$1.56 | \$5.32 | \$2.39 | \$1.22 | \$1.89 | \$0.11 | \$1.62 | \$0.12 | \$14.23 |
| New Bedford, MA | \$3.15 | \$6.16 | \$3.59 | \$1.24 | \$1.26 | \$0.02 | \$0.31 | \$1.57 | \$17.30 |
| Boulder, CO | \$0.14 | \$9.85 | \$0.39 | \$1.26 | \$1.11 | \$0.06 | \$0.00 | \$4.05 | \$16.86 |
| Steuben County, NY | \$1.80 | \$3.72 | \$2.54 | \$2.51 | \$2.05 | \$0.03 | \$2.93 | \$0.06 | \$15.64 |
| Group II Average | \$1.76 | \$6.12 | \$3.21 | \$1.96 | \$1.40 | \$0.18 | \$0.64 | \$1.20 | \$16.46 |
| \% of Group II Total Value | 10.7\% | 37.2\% | 19.5\% | 11.9\% | 8.5\% | 1.1\% | 3.9\% | 7.3\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related <br> Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$3.43 | \$5.16 | \$3.18 | \$0.56 | \$1.16 | \$0.00 | \$0.17 | \$0.18 | \$13.84 |
| Erie, PA | \$1.77 | \$6.57 | \$1.07 | \$1.73 | \$1.29 | \$0.28 | \$0.02 | \$0.72 | \$13.45 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$1.06 | \$8.29 | \$0.58 | \$4.85 | \$1.68 | \$0.09 | \$0.46 | \$0.34 | \$17.35 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$0.40 | \$5.58 | \$0.34 | \$1.86 | \$0.93 | \$0. 14 | \$0.00 | \$0.75 | \$10.00 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$1.53 | \$16.52 | \$1.93 | \$2.70 | \$5.56 | \$0.41 | \$2.31 | \$1.40 | \$32.36 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$3.04 | \$4.25 | \$6.62 | \$3.40 | \$0.70 | \$0.16 | \$0.00 | \$2.39 | \$20.56 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$3.26 | \$5.84 | \$3.77 | \$2.59 | \$2.10 | \$1.08 | \$1.02 | \$1.91 | \$21.57 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$0.36 | \$4.09 | \$0.40 | \$0.70 | \$1.06 | \$0.45 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$7.06 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$1.79 | \$6.14 | \$3.08 | \$0.80 | \$2.67 | \$0.99 | \$0.06 | \$1.46 | \$16.99 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$0.89 | \$5.79 | \$1.32 | \$0.25 | \$1.21 | \$0.00 | \$0.29 | \$0.29 | \$10.04 |
| Boise, ID | \$1.76 | \$6.66 | \$2.41 | \$2.09 | \$1.07 | \$0.35 | \$0.41 | \$1.38 | \$16.13 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$1.23 | \$3.05 | \$3.26 | \$0. 10 | \$0.48 | \$0.08 | \$1.23 | \$2.23 | \$11.66 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$3.50 | \$5.74 | \$4.95 | \$4.13 | \$1.53 | \$0.14 | \$0.67 | \$1.01 | \$21.67 |
| Glendale, CA | \$1.79 | \$7.60 | \$3.69 | \$2.81 | \$2.23 | \$0.20 | \$0.21 | \$1.43 | \$19.96 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$1.63 | \$7.22 | \$1.37 | \$0.74 | \$0.80 | \$0.09 | \$0.00 | \$0.52 | \$12.37 |
| Madison, WI | \$1.23 | \$8.66 | \$0.81 | \$1.16 | \$0.96 | \$0.41 | \$0.20 | \$0.25 | \$13.68 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$1.15 | \$4.90 | \$2.56 | \$1.64 | \$0.88 | \$0.27 | \$0.10 | \$1.23 | \$12.73 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$4.17 | \$8.12 | \$3.11 | \$2.44 | \$2.40 | \$0.24 | \$1.82 | \$0.43 | \$22.73 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$4.14 | \$5.30 | \$5.62 | \$1.28 | \$1.78 | \$0.26 | \$0.16 | \$1.01 | \$19.55 |
| Group III Average | \$2.01 | \$6.60 | \$2.64 | \$1.89 | \$1.60 | \$0.30 | \$0.48 | \$1.00 | \$16.51 |
| \% of Group III Total Value | 12.2\% | 40.0\% | 16.0\% | 11.4\% | 9.7\% | 1.8\% | 2.9\% | 6.0\% | 100.0\% |

## Continued

Table 22: Average Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=13$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spendin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$3.24 | \$11.71 | \$1.19 | \$7.44 | \$2.50 | \$0.50 | \$1.11 | \$0.55 | \$28.24 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$0.87 | \$6.23 | \$3.99 | \$0.23 | \$1.00 | \$0.59 | \$0.00 | \$0.02 | \$12.93 |
| Newark, NJ | \$6.89 | \$5.33 | \$3.91 | \$1.87 | \$1.71 | \$0.20 | \$0.73 | \$0.62 | \$21.26 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$1.71 | \$5.33 | \$1.82 | \$0.41 | \$0.96 | \$0.32 | \$0.00 | \$0.48 | \$11.03 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$3.18 | \$5.77 | \$4.45 | \$0.89 | \$1.34 | \$0.30 | \$0.12 | \$7.36 | \$23.41 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$2.67 | \$3.76 | \$6.27 | \$0.15 | \$0.87 | \$0.00 | \$1.25 | \$0.00 | \$14.97 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$0.88 | \$3.20 | \$3.19 | \$0.39 | \$0.74 | \$0.05 | \$0.01 | \$0.39 | \$8.85 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$1.75 | \$4.61 | \$3.93 | \$2.12 | \$0.88 | \$0.10 | \$0.45 | \$1.13 | \$14.97 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$2.48 | \$5.04 | \$2.83 | \$1.61 | \$1.40 | \$0.29 | \$0.45 | \$0.75 | \$14.85 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$0.88 | \$4.41 | \$2.23 | \$0.44 | \$1.76 | \$0.05 | \$0.63 | \$0.10 | \$10.50 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$1.14 | \$5.81 | \$1.14 | \$1.96 | \$1.04 | \$0.03 | \$0.62 | \$2.74 | \$14.48 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$2.78 | \$8.07 | \$5.56 | \$1.71 | \$1.90 | \$1.82 | \$0.94 | \$0.97 | \$23.75 |
| Group IV Average | \$2.37 | \$5.77 | \$3.38 | \$1.60 | \$1.34 | \$0.35 | \$0.53 | \$1.26 | \$16.60 |
| \% of Group IV Total Value | 14.3\% | 34.8\% | 20.3\% | 9.6\% | 8.1\% | 2.1\% | 3.2\% | 7.6\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$0.81 | \$6.80 | \$0.91 | \$1.13 | \$0.43 | \$0.08 | \$0.10 | \$0.30 | \$10.56 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$2.09 | \$7.92 | \$4.02 | \$1.98 | \$1.36 | \$0.49 | \$0.20 | \$0.64 | \$18.70 |
| Memphis, TN | \$1.36 | \$7.07 | \$7.02 | \$1.92 | \$2.19 | \$0.50 | \$0.95 | \$1.33 | \$22.34 |
| Columbus, OH | \$3.46 | \$7.92 | \$3.58 | \$2.99 | \$1.92 | \$0.14 | \$0.27 | \$0.86 | \$21.14 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$5.34 | \$12.13 | \$3.65 | \$0.63 | \$3.39 | \$0.27 | \$0.97 | \$0.04 | \$26.42 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$2.33 | \$7.80 | \$2.34 | \$2.40 | \$2.71 | \$0.60 | \$0.55 | \$2.58 | \$21.31 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$2.96 | \$6.01 | \$2.92 | \$2.36 | \$3.13 | \$0.15 | \$2.39 | \$0.12 | \$20.04 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$2.26 | \$5.36 | \$6.80 | \$1.87 | \$0.80 | \$0.19 | \$0.00 | \$0.19 | \$17.47 |
| Detroit, MI | \$3.66 | \$6.06 | \$3.61 | \$1.62 | \$2.41 | \$0.04 | \$0.08 | \$0.69 | \$18.17 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | \$2.70 | \$7.45 | \$3.87 | \$1.88 | \$2.04 | \$0.27 | \$0.61 | \$0.75 | \$19.57 |
| \% of Group V Total Value | 13.8\% | 38.1\% | 19.8\% | 9.6\% | 10.4\% | 1.4\% | 3.1\% | 3.8\% | 100.0\% |
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## Continued

Table 22: Average Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI <br> Pop. $>1,000,000(n=7)$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$3.27 | \$9.67 | \$3.92 | \$4.84 | \$2.82 | \$0.31 | \$1.05 | \$0.63 | \$26.51 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$3.28 | \$9.03 | \$4.31 | \$0.71 | \$3.60 | \$0.33 | \$2.82 | \$0.42 | \$24.50 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$2.10 | \$7.27 | \$1.67 | \$0.74 | \$1.32 | \$0.06 | \$0.02 | \$0.43 | \$13.61 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$3.40 | \$8.51 | \$0.74 | \$1.86 | \$2.30 | \$0. 13 | \$0.55 | \$0.60 | \$18.09 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$2.25 | \$11.66 | \$2.12 | \$5.89 | \$4.13 | \$0.35 | \$8.36 | \$3.31 | \$38.07 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$3.23 | \$9.36 | \$1.91 | \$1.85 | \$3.76 | \$0.35 | \$3.95 | \$1.37 | \$25.78 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$2.60 | \$7.82 | \$1.02 | \$2.08 | \$1.28 | \$0.99 | \$0.04 | \$0.28 | \$16.11 |
| Group VI Average | \$2.88 | \$9.05 | \$2.24 | \$2.57 | \$2.74 | \$0.36 | \$2.40 | \$1.01 | \$23.24 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 12.4\% | 38.9\% | 9.6\% | 11.0\% | 11.8\% | 1.5\% | 10.3\% | 4.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of All Responding Attendees | \$2.40 | \$7.59 | \$3.49 | \$2.13 | \$2.39 | \$0.33 | \$2.13 | \$1.29 | \$21.75 |
| \% of Total | 11.0\% | 34.9\% | 16.0\% | 9.8\% | 11.0\% | 1.5\% | 9.8\% | 5.9\% | 100.0\% |

Explanation of Table 23:
Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the total audience expenditures made by non-residents as a result of their attendance at nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Non-residents are attendees who reside outside the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

Column One:
The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members to purchase refreshments (e.g., snacks, drinks) while attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Two:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members to purchase meals (e.g., dinner at a restaurant, coffee at a café) before or after attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Three:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members to purchase souvenirs and gifts (e.g., books, recordings, art) as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members to purchase clothing or accessories for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Five:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members on transportation (e.g., gas, parking, taxi fares, tolls, car rentals) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Six:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members on childcare (e.g., babysitter fees) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Seven:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members on overnight lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, bed and breakfast) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events. (The audience survey instrument requested that respondents provide the cost of one night only.)

## Column Eight:

The total dollars spent by non-resident audience members for miscellaneous products and services for the purpose or as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Nine:

The total expenditures made by non-resident audience members as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000.

Table 23: Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000
Table 23: Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. }<50,000(n=15)$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories <br> Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$10,006 | \$10,061 | \$7,480 | \$2,595 | \$5,755 | \$0 | \$8,626 | \$0 | \$44,522 |
| Sonora, CA | \$138,400 | \$614,881 | \$192,796 | \$117,743 | \$255,454 | \$5,508 | \$336,704 | \$268,537 | \$1,930,024 |
| Rockland, ME | \$470,465 | \$3,096,192 | \$1,260,49 1 | \$766,947 | \$1,416,721 | \$126,049 | \$4,630,085 | \$836,185 | \$12,603,133 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$3,881 | \$6,613 | \$1,987 | \$1,902 | \$2,461 | \$0 | \$3,796 | \$1,351 | \$21,989 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$32,776 | \$138,583 | \$69,182 | \$49,824 | \$82,600 | \$3,850 | \$91,399 | \$12,648 | \$480,861 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$1,067,240 | \$3,223,171 | \$635,517 | \$817,860 | \$801,770 | \$72,401 | \$1,549,911 | \$166,253 | \$8,334,122 |
| Door Co., WI | \$338,120 | \$454,647 | \$1,425,069 | \$452,736 | \$1, 130,886 | \$47,757 | \$2,128,052 | \$282,721 | \$6,259,987 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$2,025 | \$42,515 | \$13,969 | \$2,126 | \$29,609 | \$0 | \$27,433 | \$1,771 | \$1 19,448 |
| Dublin, OH | \$5,825 | \$18,127 | \$11,360 | \$0 | \$5,438 | \$0 | \$5,390 | \$0 | \$46, 140 |
| Dover, DE | \$23,169 | \$149,774 | \$46,038 | \$54,110 | \$71,449 | \$5,680 | \$59,342 | \$2,691 | \$412,252 |
| Beloit, WI | \$102,694 | \$124,116 | \$41,077 | \$20,097 | \$82,155 | \$221 | \$124,778 | \$883 | \$496,021 |
| Minot, ND | \$316,548 | \$760,604 | \$293,077 | \$312,742 | \$506,224 | \$20,934 | \$474,506 | \$248,671 | \$2,933,307 |
| Wausau, WI | \$155,574 | \$358,966 | \$288,250 | \$127,625 | \$210,126 | \$0 | \$176,789 | \$52,532 | \$1,369,863 |
| Group I Average | \$205, 132 | \$692,173 | \$329,715 | \$209,716 | \$353,896 | \$21,723 | \$739,754 | \$144,173 | \$2,696,282 |
| \% of Group I Total | 7.6\% | 25.7\% | 12.2\% | 7.8\% | 13.1\% | 0.8\% | 27.4\% | 5.3\% | 100.0\% |
| Population Group II Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $n=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$1,873,723 | \$6,094,430 | \$3,122,872 | \$1,065,640 | \$4,600,603 | \$302,629 | \$5,138,252 | \$1,284,563 | \$23,482,712 |
| Lodi, CA | \$40,097 | \$201,257 | \$262,174 | \$28,531 | \$228,246 | \$31,615 | \$27,760 | \$10,024 | \$829,704 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Table 23：Itemized Non－Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e／u | ели | ¢／u | ¢／u | е／ | e／u | е／и | p／u | е／и | $\forall \wedge$＇rupuexə ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| р／и | е／u | e／u | e／u | e／u | ели | e／u | e／u | e／u | ｜W＇®ulsue7 |
| て68＇61ぐ1\＄ | L6L＇L8I\＄ | ャく1＇¢8¢\＄ | $0 \$$ | 119 ＇\＆8て\＄ | ｜દ¢＇9¢\＄ | 108 ＇91 \＄ | ¢ $\downarrow$ ¢ $\downarrow 8+$ \＄ | 9EI＇LSI\＄ | O）＇sul｜｜o \％ |
| ¢ヶて＇\＆1でゅ\＄ | ع00＇। ¢\＄ | $18 \varepsilon^{\prime}+68$ \＄ | ｜とt＇g\％ | てく1＇L¢ヤ\＄ | 016＇98／\＄ | 0t6＇¢91\＄ | 958＇\＆6＇1\＄ | て¢¢ 6 で\＄ |  |
| 0＜6＇901＇1 | ャんがい1 | て¢＇¢¢८\＄ | 806＇6r\＄ | 86て＇r91 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 8て¢＇zr\＄ | 6\＆1＇rて\＄ | 809＇801\＄ | ＋8を＇66\＄ | Vd＇${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ¢ |
|  | ＋8E＇761\＄ | てヤと＇990＇て\＄ | ع00＇LS\＄ | 808＇96て＇1\＄ | 192 ＇trて\＄ | $869^{\prime}$｜¢9＇। ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 58゙てくよ゙て\＄ | $16168 t^{\prime}$ \＄ | M＇Keg บәコ． |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | （ $\varepsilon==\mathrm{u}) 666^{\circ} 6 \mathrm{t} \tau-000^{\prime} 001 \cdot \mathrm{dod}$ III dno． uolue｜ndod |
| \％0001 | \％ $1 \cdot$ | \％${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{LI}$ | \％to | \％＇s | \％$L^{\prime}$ | \％${ }^{\circ} 1$ | \％\％を์ | \％ 6 ＇s |  |
| ¢ $488866^{\prime \prime} 1$ \＄ | 99t＇s6／\＄ |  | 8¢1＇9ヶ\＄ | 98L＇76く1\＄ | 869＇rz6\＄ | 9s10sくı＇\＄ | ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime} 168^{\prime}$ ¢\＄ | 096＇80＜\＄ |  |
| 80て＇Sth\＄ | ع60＇01\＄ | ていてくす | 866＇\％ | 1sL＇sLS | 686＇88\＄ | ¢81＇¢¢ | 966 ＇¢｜\＄ | ＋81＇t99 | iN＇Kıunoכ uranə |
| 608＇0s8＇2\＄ | $6 \varepsilon 6 ' \$ \angle \Sigma \$$ | 8てて＇109\＄ | $0 \$$ | 010＇9と¢\＄ | 61 ＇r8।\＄ | 196 ＇ıIて\＄ | Oع¢＇611＇1\＄ | 128 ＇si\＄ | O）＇Jepnog |
| Os6＇E6t＇ts | 69\％＇L81\＄ | 88t＇\％06\＄ | $0 \$$ | 9ヶL＇96＊\＄ | $\downarrow 68$＇ 8 ¢S\＄ | ＋91＇$¢ 1<\$$ | 680＇66\＄ | $10 ¢<19 \$$ | VW＇puoppag мәN |
| 8LL＇てL8\＄ | $12919 \$$ | Lて9てくı\＄ | L6＇¢\＄ | 260＇921\＄ | 900＇16\＄ | ع18＇88\＄ | 8t6＇trr\＄ | szL＇Eol\＄ | iN＇イıunos sunurys |
| $11 \downarrow^{\prime}$ ¢ c＇$^{\prime}$ \＄ | ¢zて＇8¢¢\＄ | 290＇L56\＄ | Eャぐい 1 | $9 \downarrow$＇868\＄ | 950＇¢89\＄ | ¢1て＇196＇r\＄ | ¢296scı\％ | 6 61＇で¢\＄ | 5＞＞әวхаıмет |
| e／u | e／u | e／u | e／u | 8／ | P／u | e／u | p／u | еи | OW＇ 4 dasol 75 |
| 9 9r＇st6＇r\＄ | ع68785 | 129665\＄ | とで＇s\＄ | 890＇Et¢\＄ | ع01＇85\＄ | SSt＇1t9s | OtL＇LC8\＄ | દて1＇LE। | N ${ }^{\text {＇uolsulumoold }}$ |
| 890＇IZs＇s1\＄ | 150＇LSL ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 29t＇S81\＄ | Ors＇L66＇1\＄ | 8てE＇8S0＇て\＄ | 99ぐけぐ1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 乙8＇s91＇s\＄ | 80ع＇8zで1\＄ |  |
| ャ¢＇Lて8\＄ | 0＜SOO\＄ | L69\％わ1\＄ | 8\＆t＇t｜ | 6でと¢6\＄$^{\text {d }}$ | 000 ＇غ¢\＄ | о¢と＇6SI\＄ | 061 ＇ 0 ¢\＄ | 06でL9\＄ |  |
| $01 \mathrm{t}^{\prime} 000^{\prime}$ \＄ | $0 \$$ | เ9て＇8\＆¢\＄ | 0\＄ | 188＇と61\＄ | 98 て「¢\＄ | $891611 \$$ | てt6＇9zて\＄ | 0＜9＇695 | HO＇paysuuds |
| と6tでと＇t\＄ | غ£9＇ャ8て\＄ | ¢¢1＇879\＄ | 6Lく＇99\＄ | ع16＇18t\＄ | 200＇て12\＄ | Stで11禹 | 1 ¢0＇E10＇r\＄ | szl＇rzz\＄ |  |
| Lてt＇Sts＇0\｜। | 0や｜＇S0¢＇8\＄ | 856＇L92＇81\＄ | દとて＇os\＄ | ročtrs＇91\＄ | 08て＇とて9＇8\＄ | sz9＇s09＇s1\＄ | $980^{\prime \prime} 1$ ¢＇Lદ\＄ | عร8＇80＇s\＄ | WN＇ay eques |
| とて9てくı\＄ | 998＇とて\＄ | $0117+5$ | 0\＄ | 096＇ร¢\＄ | $0 \$$ | 8 blill $^{\text {d }}$ | てEL゙L ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 80¢＇6\＄ |  |
|  | O\＄ | ＜1と891\＄ | 158815 | 0¢0＇1｜$\downarrow$ \＄ | で8＇611\＄ | 126 ＇S61\＄ | $998 . L$ ¢S | 1＋6＇6て1\＄ | NW＇pnop 7 S |
|  |  |  |  | นọ！enodsuveı |  | s．l！uəanos 10 <br>  |  |  | （ $61=\mathrm{u}$ ）000＇66－000＇0c $\cdot \mathrm{do}$ <br>  рәпи！ииод |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Continued <br> Table 23: Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continued Population Group III Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=23$ ) | Refreshments or <br> Snacks during <br> Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or <br> Accessories <br> Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Pasadena, CA | \$27,641 | \$650,830 | \$153,970 | \$92,976 | \$292, 177 | \$0 | \$374,872 | \$77,213 | \$1,669,679 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$188,263 | \$600,089 | \$700,945 | \$26,054 | \$194,147 | \$13,447 | \$106,739 | \$41,183 | \$1,870,867 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$5,749 | \$25,646 | \$4,080 | \$2,941 | \$7,100 | \$1,749 | \$17,989 | \$2,755 | \$68,009 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$16,409 | \$85,328 | \$8,205 | \$0 | \$57,433 | \$0 | \$98,456 | \$0 | \$265,831 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$463,618 | \$1,699,933 | \$960,918 | \$211,996 | \$912,377 | \$93,120 | \$0 | \$1,981 | \$4,343,943 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$8,645 | \$97,774 | \$0 | \$0 | \$97,774 | \$0 | \$0 | \$51,460 | \$255,653 |
| Boise, ID | \$135,419 | \$757,211 | \$137,487 | \$177,802 | \$273,423 | \$65,125 | \$340,616 | \$303,918 | \$2,191,002 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$137,983 | \$201,548 | \$162,789 | \$0 | \$43,410 | \$0 | \$241,470 | \$0 | \$787,201 |
| Arlington County, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$500,026 | \$656,547 | \$277,326 | \$256,316 | \$407,585 | \$5,252 | \$222,701 | \$126,057 | \$2,451,810 |
| Glendale, CA | \$18,515 | \$95,531 | \$71,895 | \$79,577 | \$48,652 | \$0 | \$56,334 | \$19,697 | \$390,202 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$187,934 | \$1,810,755 | \$725,674 | \$296,305 | \$895,775 | \$0 | \$1,395, 104 | \$0 | \$5,311,547 |
| Madison, WI | \$301,604 | \$2,204,764 | \$626,115 | \$500, 128 | \$918,175 | \$124,078 | \$486,766 | \$251,973 | \$5,413,603 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$123,516 | \$605,382 | \$33,548 | \$16,011 | \$184,512 | \$9,149 | \$177,650 | \$29,735 | \$1,179,504 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$5,191,177 | \$6,483,882 | \$4,631,344 | \$834,660 | \$3,145,242 | \$519,118 | \$6,280,306 | \$3,888,293 | \$30,974,022 |
| Placer County, CA | \$179,083 | \$315,415 | \$239,411 | \$32,302 | \$224,210 | \$0 | \$175,283 | \$99,755 | \$1,265,459 |
| Group III Average | \$498,729 | \$1,118,417 | \$564,015 | \$191,331 | \$520,204 | \$50,230 | \$714,411 | \$286,141 | \$3,943,479 |
| \% of Group III Total | 12.6\% | 28.4\% | 14.3\% | 4.9\% | 13.2\% | 1.3\% | 18.1\% | 7.3\% | 100\% |
| Population Group IV <br> Pop. 250,000-499,999 $(\mathrm{n}=13)$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| Anchorage, AK | \$81,774 | \$355, 154 | \$62,132 | \$222,072 | \$187,198 | \$127,070 | \$162,746 | \$40,085 | \$1,238,230 |
| Northampton County, PA | \$1,764,273 | \$12,088,228 | \$4,330,489 | \$886,357 | \$3,984,388 | \$8,441 | \$6,550,604 | \$928,565 | \$30,541,346 |
| Newark, NJ | \$1,880,935 | \$3,385,683 | \$819,751 | \$471,637 | \$1,914,623 | \$61,762 | \$2,476,097 | \$421, 105 | \$11,431,593 |

Table 23: Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

## Continued

Table 23: Itemized Non-Resident Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

| Continued <br> Population Group IV 250,000-499,999 ( $n=13$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related <br> Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$594,934 | \$3,069,405 | \$3,145,193 | \$1,019,346 | \$1,367,969 | \$41,683 | \$3,675,707 | \$181,891 | \$13,096, 127 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$1,167,175 | \$3,070,952 | \$1,316,631 | \$515,977 | \$1,732,970 | \$35,585 | \$1,793,464 | \$1,900,218 | \$11,532,972 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$33,935 | \$42,288 | \$69,677 | \$7,600 | \$49,210 | \$0 | \$18,435 | \$0 | \$221,145 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$22,533 | \$272,652 | \$219,473 | \$4,507 | \$60,840 | \$7,211 | \$202,348 | \$93,738 | \$883,301 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$183,032 | \$531,479 | \$868,180 | \$43,066 | \$300,486 | \$44,045 | \$251,547 | \$178,138 | \$2,399,976 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$2,579,702 | \$12,167,976 | \$8,481,057 | \$2,671,019 | \$5,342,038 | \$650,633 | \$6,746,036 | \$136,975 | \$38,775,436 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$45,347 | \$433,243 | \$95,230 | \$92,439 | \$54,068 | \$0 | \$634,167 | \$0 | \$1,354,495 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$461,839 | \$1,014,680 | \$711,338 | \$447,430 | \$563,458 | \$0 | \$1,248,253 | \$605,168 | \$5,052,165 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$11,451,780 | \$48,904,587 | \$16,726,325 | \$6,584,331 | \$8,814,508 | \$17,700 | \$61,294,457 | \$4,247,955 | \$158,041,642 |
| Group IV Average | \$1,688,938 | \$7,111,361 | \$3,070,456 | \$1,080,482 | \$2,030,980 | \$82,844 | \$7,087,822 | \$727,820 | \$22,880,702 |
| \% of Group IV Total | 7.4\% | 31.1\% | 13.4\% | 4.7\% | 8.9\% | 0.4\% | 31.0\% | 3.2\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,000 ( $n=14$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event-Related Spending | Total Arts Event-Related Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$46,838 | \$309,722 | \$42,196 | \$50,636 | \$144,312 | \$0 | \$167,098 | \$1,266 | \$762,067 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$143,110 | \$581,298 | \$173,776 | \$72,918 | \$290,990 | \$51,792 | \$245,331 | \$15,674 | \$1,574,888 |
| Memphis, TN | \$846,053 | \$5,310,723 | \$2,735,938 | \$1,655,48\| | \$2,171,903 | \$10,988 | \$3,614,954 | \$1,527,291 | \$17,873,331 |
| Columbus, OH | \$2,742,662 | \$8,460,736 | \$4,831,112 | \$2,799,277 | \$6,825,204 | \$471,788 | \$12,398,596 | \$2,107,321 | \$40,636,696 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$7,029,584 | \$23,055,579 | \$7,885,518 | \$2,276,420 | \$9,652,020 | \$473,495 | \$17,828,919 | \$3,879,019 | \$72,080,554 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$3,808,450 | \$16,193,715 | \$6,735,024 | \$1,592,057 | \$8,217,822 | \$624,336 | \$13,766,609 | \$5,603,416 | \$56,541,428 |
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Explanation of Table 24:
Average Non-Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Arts Events during Fiscal 2000

This table presents the average dollars spent per person by non-residents as a result of their attendance at nonprofit arts events in each participating community during fiscal 2000. Non-residents are attendees who reside outside the county in which the nonprofit arts events took place. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience data.

## Column One:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members to purchase refreshments (e.g., snacks, drinks) while attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Two:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members to purchase meals (e.g., dinner at a restaurant, coffee at a café) before or after attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Three:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members to purchase souvenirs and gifts (e.g., books, recordings, art) as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Four:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members to purchase clothing or accessories for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Five:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members on transportation (e.g., gas, parking, taxi fares, tolls, car rentals) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Six:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members on childcare (e.g., babysitter fees) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Seven:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members on overnight lodging (e.g., hotel, motel, bed and breakfast) for the purpose of attending nonprofit arts events. (The audience survey instrument requested that respondents provide the cost of one night only.)

## Column Eight:

The average dollars spent per person by non-resident audience members for miscellaneous products and services for the purpose or as a result of attending nonprofit arts events.

## Column Nine:

The average per person expenditure made by all responding non-resident audience members as a result of their attendance to nonprofit arts events during fiscal 2000.

Table 24: Average Non-Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group I $\text { Pop. < 50,000 ( } \mathrm{n}=15 \text { ) }$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other Event- <br> Related Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homer, AK | \$7.25 | \$7.29 | \$5.42 | \$1.88 | \$4.17 | \$0.00 | \$6.25 | \$0.00 | \$32.26 |
| Sonora, CA | \$2.01 | \$8.93 | \$2.80 | \$1.71 | \$3.71 | \$0.08 | \$4.89 | \$3.90 | \$28.03 |
| Rockland, ME | \$2.65 | \$17.44 | \$7.10 | \$4.32 | \$7.98 | \$0.71 | \$26.08 | \$4.71 | \$70.99 |
| Ketchikan, AK | \$5.00 | \$8.52 | \$2.56 | \$2.45 | \$3.17 | \$0.00 | \$4.89 | \$1.74 | \$28.33 |
| Grand Haven, MI | \$2.98 | \$12.60 | \$6.29 | \$4.53 | \$7.51 | \$0.35 | \$8.31 | \$1.15 | \$43.72 |
| Portsmouth, NH | \$3.98 | \$12.02 | \$2.37 | \$3.05 | \$2.99 | \$0.27 | \$5.78 | \$0.62 | \$31.08 |
| Door Co., WI | \$1.77 | \$2.38 | \$7.46 | \$2.37 | \$5.92 | \$0.25 | \$11.14 | \$1.48 | \$32.77 |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | \$0.40 | \$8.40 | \$2.76 | \$0.42 | \$5.85 | \$0.00 | \$5.42 | \$0.35 | \$23.60 |
| Dublin, OH | \$2.41 | \$7.50 | \$4.70 | \$0.00 | \$2.25 | \$0.00 | \$2.23 | \$0.00 | \$19.09 |
| Dover, DE | \$1.55 | \$10.02 | \$3.08 | \$3.62 | \$4.78 | \$0.38 | \$3.97 | \$0.18 | \$27.58 |
| Beloit, WI | \$4.65 | \$5.62 | \$1.86 | \$0.91 | \$3.72 | \$0.01 | \$5.65 | \$0.04 | \$22.46 |
| Minot, ND | \$4.99 | \$11.99 | \$4.62 | \$4.93 | \$7.98 | \$0.33 | \$7.48 | \$3.92 | \$46.24 |
| Wausau, WI | \$4.62 | \$10.66 | \$8.56 | \$3.79 | \$6.24 | \$0.00 | \$5.25 | \$1.56 | \$40.68 |
| Group I Average | \$3.40 | \$9.49 | \$4.58 | \$2.61 | \$5.10 | \$0.18 | \$7.49 | \$1.51 | \$34.37 |
| \% of Group I Total Value | 9.9\% | 27.6\% | 13.3\% | 7.6\% | 14.8\% | 0.5\% | 21.8\% | 4.4\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Niagara Falls, NY | \$5.82 | \$18.93 | \$9.70 | \$3.31 | \$14.29 | \$0.94 | \$15.96 | \$3.99 | \$72.94 |
| Lodi, CA | \$0.52 | \$2.61 | \$3.40 | \$0.37 | \$2.96 | \$0.41 | \$0.36 | \$0.13 | \$10.76 |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Cloud, MN | \$1.93 | \$8.13 | \$2.91 | \$1.78 | \$3.58 | \$0.28 | \$2.50 | \$0.00 | \$21.11 |
| Janesville, WI | \$1.47 | \$7.38 | \$1.77 | \$0.00 | \$5.56 | \$0.00 | \$6.82 | \$3.69 | \$26.69 |
| Santa Fe, NM | \$3.29 | \$22.48 | \$9.32 | \$5.15 | \$9.88 | \$0.03 | \$10.91 | \$4.96 | \$66.02 |
| Walnut Creek, CA | \$2.31 | \$20.51 | \$4.19 | \$2.16 | \$4.91 | \$0.66 | \$6.40 | \$2.90 | \$44.04 |
| Springfield, OH | \$3.73 | \$12.15 | \$6.38 | \$2.81 | \$10.38 | \$0.00 | \$18.11 | \$0.00 | \$53.56 |
| Bellingham, WA | \$2.61 | \$11.76 | \$6.18 | \$1.28 | \$3.62 | \$0.56 | \$5.69 | \$0.41 | \$32.11 |
| Asheville, NC | \$4.04 | \$16.99 | \$5.64 | \$6.77 | \$6.57 | \$0.61 | \$7.94 | \$2.49 | \$51.05 |
| Bloomington, IN | \$1.77 | \$11.33 | \$8.28 | \$0.75 | \$7.01 | \$0.07 | \$7.74 | \$1.07 | \$38.02 |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Lawrence, KS | \$2.77 | \$14.10 | \$15.13 | \$3.49 | \$4.59 | \$0.06 | \$4.89 | \$2.75 | \$47.78 |
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## Continued

Table 24: Average Non-Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Total Arts <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemung County, NY | \$4.73 | \$11.17 | \$4.05 | \$4.15 | \$5.75 | \$0.18 | \$6.96 | \$2.81 | \$39.80 |
| New Bedford, MA | \$4.25 | \$6.83 | \$4.91 | \$4.02 | \$3.42 | \$0.00 | \$6.22 | \$1.29 | \$30.94 |
| Boulder, CO | \$0.21 | \$14.86 | \$2.84 | \$2.42 | \$4.46 | \$0.00 | \$8.06 | \$4.99 | \$37.84 |
| Steuben County, NY | \$5.66 | \$11.90 | \$2.75 | \$4.32 | \$6.68 | \$0.26 | \$6.80 | \$0.89 | \$39.26 |
| Group II Average | \$3.01 | \$12.74 | \$5.83 | \$2.85 | \$6.24 | \$0.27 | \$7.69 | \$2.16 | \$40.79 |
| \% of Group II Total Value | 7.4\% | 31.2\% | 14.3\% | 7.0\% | 15.3\% | 0.7\% | 18.9\% | 5.3\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Bay, WI | \$4.18 | \$6.94 | \$4.58 | \$0.68 | \$3.64 | \$0.16 | \$5.80 | \$0.54 | \$26.52 |
| Erie, PA | \$2.43 | \$10.52 | \$0.57 | \$0.58 | \$4.23 | \$0.77 | \$6.53 | \$2.87 | \$28.50 |
| Indian River Co., FL | \$1.37 | \$8.75 | \$0.90 | \$4.32 | \$2.40 | \$0.20 | \$4.91 | \$0.28 | \$23.13 |
| Fort Collins, CO | \$3.28 | \$10.11 | \$3.44 | \$1.18 | \$5.92 | \$0.00 | \$8.04 | \$3.92 | \$35.89 |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | \$1.21 | \$28.49 | \$6.74 | \$4.07 | \$12.79 | \$0.00 | \$16.41 | \$3.38 | \$73.09 |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | \$2.24 | \$7.14 | \$8.34 | \$0.31 | \$2.31 | \$0.16 | \$1.27 | \$0.49 | \$22.26 |
| Santa Clarita, CA | \$2.17 | \$9.68 | \$1.54 | \$1.11 | \$2.68 | \$0.66 | \$6.79 | \$1.04 | \$25.67 |
| Tempe, AZ | \$1.00 | \$5.20 | \$0.50 | \$0.00 | \$3.50 | \$0.00 | \$6.00 | \$0.00 | \$16.20 |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | \$4.68 | \$17.16 | \$9.70 | \$2.14 | \$9.21 | \$0.94 | \$0.00 | \$0.02 | \$43.85 |
| Chandler, AZ | \$0.42 | \$4.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$4.75 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$2.50 | \$12.42 |
| Boise, ID | \$2.62 | \$14.65 | \$2.66 | \$3.44 | \$5.29 | \$1.26 | \$6.59 | \$5.88 | \$42.39 |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | \$3.56 | \$5.20 | \$4.20 | \$0.00 | \$1.12 | \$0.00 | \$6.23 | \$0.00 | \$20.31 |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | \$4.76 | \$6.25 | \$2.64 | \$2.44 | \$3.88 | \$0.05 | \$2.12 | \$1.20 | \$23.34 |
| Glendale, CA | \$0.94 | \$4.85 | \$3.65 | \$4.04 | \$2.47 | \$0.00 | \$2.86 | \$1.00 | \$19.81 |
| Scottsdale, AZ | \$1.37 | \$13.20 | \$5.29 | \$2.16 | \$6.53 | \$0.00 | \$10.17 | \$0.00 | \$38.72 |
| Madison, WI | \$1.58 | \$11.55 | \$3.28 | \$2.62 | \$4.81 | \$0.65 | \$2.55 | \$1.32 | \$28.36 |
| Baton Rouge, LA | \$1.62 | \$7.94 | \$0.44 | \$0.21 | \$2.42 | \$0.12 | \$2.33 | \$0.39 | \$15.47 |
| St. Petersburg, FL | \$5.10 | \$6.37 | \$4.55 | \$0.82 | \$3.09 | \$0.51 | \$6.17 | \$3.82 | \$30.43 |
| Placer Co., CA | \$3.77 | \$6.64 | \$5.04 | \$0.68 | \$4.72 | \$0.00 | \$3.69 | \$2.10 | \$26.64 |
| Group III Average | \$2.54 | \$9.76 | \$3.58 | \$1.62 | \$4.51 | \$0.29 | \$5.18 | \$1.62 | \$29.11 |
| \% of Group III Total Value | 8.7\% | 33.5\% | 12.3\% | 5.6\% | 15.5\% | 1.0\% | 17.8\% | 5.6\% | 100.0\% |
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Table 24: Average Non-Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group IV $\text { Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( } n=13 \text { ) }$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anchorage, AK | \$2.04 | \$8.86 | \$1.55 | \$5.54 | \$4.67 | \$3.17 | \$4.06 | \$1.00 | \$30.89 |
| Northampton Co., PA | \$2.09 | \$14.32 | \$5.13 | \$1.05 | \$4.72 | \$0.01 | \$7.76 | \$1.10 | \$36.18 |
| Newark, NJ | \$3.35 | \$6.03 | \$1.46 | \$0.84 | \$3.41 | \$0.11 | \$4.41 | \$0.75 | \$20.36 |
| Forsyth Co., NC | \$1.57 | \$8.10 | \$8.30 | \$2.69 | \$3.61 | \$0.11 | \$9.70 | \$0.48 | \$34.56 |
| Lehigh Co., PA | \$3.28 | \$8.63 | \$3.70 | \$1.45 | \$4.87 | \$0.10 | \$5.04 | \$5.34 | \$32.41 |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | \$4.51 | \$5.62 | \$9.26 | \$1.01 | \$6.54 | \$0.00 | \$2.45 | \$0.00 | \$29.39 |
| Waukesha Co., WI | \$0.50 | \$6.05 | \$4.87 | \$0.10 | \$1.35 | \$0.16 | \$4.49 | \$2.08 | \$19.60 |
| Berks Co., PA | \$1.87 | \$5.43 | \$8.87 | \$0.44 | \$3.07 | \$0.45 | \$2.57 | \$1.82 | \$24.52 |
| Minneapolis, MN | \$2.26 | \$10.66 | \$7.43 | \$2.34 | \$4.68 | \$0.57 | \$5.91 | \$0.12 | \$33.97 |
| Mesa, AZ | \$1.30 | \$12.42 | \$2.73 | \$2.65 | \$1.55 | \$0.00 | \$18.18 | \$0.00 | \$38.83 |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | \$6.09 | \$13.38 | \$9.38 | \$5.90 | \$7.43 | \$0.00 | \$16.46 | \$7.98 | \$66.62 |
| New Orleans, LA | \$6.47 | \$27.63 | \$9.45 | \$3.72 | \$4.98 | \$0.01 | \$34.63 | \$2.40 | \$89.29 |
| Group IV Average | \$2.94 | \$10.59 | \$6.01 | \$2.31 | \$4.24 | \$0.39 | \$9.64 | \$1.92 | \$38.05 |
| \% of Group IV Total Value | 7.7\% | 27.8\% | 15.8\% | 6.1\% | II.1\% | 1.0\% | 25.3\% | 5.1\% | 100.0\% |


| Population Group V Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other EventRelated Spending | Avg. EventRelated Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Co., NJ | \$1.11 | \$7.34 | \$1.00 | \$1.20 | \$3.42 | \$0.00 | \$3.96 | \$0.03 | \$18.06 |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | \$2.10 | \$8.53 | \$2.55 | \$1.07 | \$4.27 | \$0.76 | \$3.60 | \$0.23 | \$23.11 |
| Memphis, TN | \$2.31 | \$14.50 | \$7.47 | \$4.52 | \$5.93 | \$0.03 | \$9.87 | \$4.17 | \$48.80 |
| Columbus, OH | \$4.36 | \$13.45 | \$7.68 | \$4.45 | \$10.85 | \$0.75 | \$19.71 | \$3.35 | \$64.60 |
| Indianapolis, IN | \$3.86 | \$12.66 | \$4.33 | \$1.25 | \$5.30 | \$0.26 | \$9.79 | \$2.13 | \$39.58 |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | \$4.88 | \$20.75 | \$8.63 | \$2.04 | \$10.53 | \$0.80 | \$17.64 | \$7.18 | \$72.45 |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | \$4.63 | \$11.79 | \$6.05 | \$2.53 | \$7.49 | \$0.00 | \$20.35 | \$1.13 | \$53.97 |
| Westchester Co., NY | \$3.74 | \$7.10 | \$9.38 | \$2.64 | \$3.45 | \$0.03 | \$2.40 | \$0.60 | \$29.34 |
| Detroit, MI | \$3.24 | \$8.72 | \$3.76 | \$2.21 | \$4.74 | \$0.19 | \$2.51 | \$2.32 | \$27.69 |
| Fairfax Co., VA | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Group V Average | \$3.36 | \$11.65 | \$5.65 | \$2.43 | \$6.22 | \$0.31 | \$9.98 | \$2.35 | \$41.96 |
| \% of Group V Total Value | 8.0\% | 27.8\% | 13.5\% | 5.8\% | 14.8\% | 0.7\% | 23.8\% | 5.6\% | 100.0\% |

Table 24 Continued on Page A125

## Continued

Table 24: Average Non-Resident Per Person Audience Spending Induced by Attendance to Nonprofit Art Events during Fiscal 2000

| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > } 1,000,000(n=7)$ | Refreshments or Snacks during Events | Meals Before or After Events | Events, Gifts, or Souvenirs | Clothing or Accessories Purchased (for Events) | Transportation | Event-Related Child Care | Overnight <br> Lodging | Other <br> Event- <br> Related <br> Spending | Avg. Event- <br> Related <br> Spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phoenix, AZ | \$2.32 | \$15.18 | \$9.20 | \$7.07 | \$6.15 | \$0.00 | \$11.01 | \$6.87 | \$57.80 |
| St. Louis, MO | \$2.59 | \$16.20 | \$5.35 | \$4.48 | \$8.18 | \$0.27 | \$12.43 | \$1.74 | \$51.24 |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | \$3.22 | \$9.24 | \$3.18 | \$6.19 | \$4.08 | \$0.31 | \$3.54 | \$0.97 | \$30.73 |
| Broward Co., FL | \$3.66 | \$12.48 | \$1.81 | \$2.44 | \$9.05 | \$0.13 | \$7.17 | \$0.18 | \$36.92 |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | \$3.45 | \$19.16 | \$6.69 | \$5.67 | \$16.56 | \$6.49 | \$32.90 | \$1.64 | \$92.56 |
| San Diego Co., CA | \$3.43 | \$16.71 | \$6.23 | \$2.41 | \$11.96 | \$0.19 | \$18.38 | \$1.92 | \$61.23 |
| Harris Co., TX | \$1.47 | \$16.77 | \$9.19 | \$0.90 | \$8.62 | \$0.30 | \$16.60 | \$0.03 | \$53.88 |
| Group VI Average | \$2.88 | \$15.11 | \$5.95 | \$4.17 | \$9.23 | \$1.10 | \$14.58 | \$1.91 | \$54.91 |
| \% of Group VI Total | 5.2\% | 27.5\% | 10.8\% | 7.6\% | 16.8\% | 2.0\% | 26.5\% | 3.5\% | 100.0\% |
| Average of All Responding Attendees | \$3.09 | \$12.03 | \$4.01 | \$3.16 | \$5.74 | \$0.37 | \$7.80 | \$1.85 | \$38.05 |
| \% of Total | 8.1\% | $31.6 \%$ | 10.5\% | 8.3\% | 15.1\% | 1.0\% | 20.5\% | 4.9\% | 100.0\% |

## Explanation of Table 25:

## Demographics of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

This table presents a demographic description of the audience survey respondents in each participating community. Averages are calculated for each population group and for the 75 communities that collected audience surveys. (Note: Due to rounding, the averages may not add to exactly I 00 percent.)

## Column One:

The percentage of attendees to nonprofit arts events who are male.

## Column Two:

The percentage of attendees to nonprofit arts events who are female.

## Column Three:

The percentage of attendees to nonprofit arts events in each participating community who are between 18 and 34 years of age. Survey respondents were required to be at least 18 years old.

## Column Four:

The percentage of attendees who are between 35 and 54 years of age.

## Column Five:

The percentage of attendees who are 55 years of age or older.

## Column Six:

The percentage of attendees to nonprofit arts events in each participating community whose highest completed level of education is a high school degree or less.

## Column Seven:

The percentage of attendees whose highest completed level of education is a two- or four-year college degree.

## Column Eight:

The percentage of attendees whose highest completed level of education is a master's or doctoral degree.

## Column Nine:

The percentage of attendees to nonprofit arts events in each participating community whose annual household income is less than $\$ 60,000$.

## Column Ten:

The percentage of attendees whose annual household income is between $\$ 60,000$ and $\$ 99,999$.

## Column Eleven:

The percentage of attendees whose annual household income is $\$ 100,000$ or more.

Table 25: Demographics of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education Level Completed |  |  | Annual Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group I <br> Pop. $<50,000(n=15)$ | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { or } \\ & \text { Older } \end{aligned}$ | High <br> School Degree or Less | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } \\ & \text { Yar } \\ & \text { College } \\ & \text { Degree } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Masters } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { Doctoral } \\ & \text { Degree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Less <br> than <br> $\$ 60,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Homer, AK | 28.0\% | 72.0\% | 15.2\% | 72.0\% | 12.8\% | 6.5\% | 69.4\% | 24.2\% | 64.7\% | 27.6\% | 7.8\% |
| Sonora, CA | 43.3\% | 56.7\% | 13.1\% | 42.2\% | 44.7\% | 12.7\% | 59.9\% | 27.3\% | 41.2\% | 36.4\% | 22.5\% |
| Rockland, ME | 31.7\% | 68.3\% | 6.1\% | 33.3\% | 60.6\% | 6.7\% | 56.2\% | 37.1\% | 29.2\% | 33.9\% | 36.9\% |
| Ketchikan, AK | 20.5\% | 79.5\% | 11.3\% | 63.4\% | 25.4\% | 20.8\% | 61.1\% | 18.1\% | 53.7\% | 38.8\% | 7.5\% |
| Grand Haven, MI | 30.9\% | 69.1\% | 9.2\% | 49.8\% | 41.0\% | 23.4\% | 55.5\% | 21.1\% | 48.4\% | 36.2\% | 15.3\% |
| Portsmouth, NH | 38.9\% | 61.1\% | 14.6\% | 55.5\% | 30.0\% | 12.0\% | 51.4\% | 36.6\% | 37.1\% | 34.7\% | 28.2\% |
| Door Co., WI | 36.7\% | 63.3\% | 10.5\% | 38.6\% | 50.9\% | 12.3\% | 50.9\% | 36.8\% | 30.9\% | 27.5\% | 41.6\% |
| Fairbanks, AK | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Gloucester, MA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Juneau, AK | 40.7\% | 59.3\% | 17.9\% | 60.8\% | 21.3\% | $11.6 \%$ | 55.3\% | 33.1\% | 37.5\% | 40.1\% | 22.5\% |
| Dublin, OH | 38.3\% | 61.7\% | 20.4\% | 57.3\% | 22.3\% | 12.8\% | 55.8\% | 31.4\% | 29.9\% | 38.7\% | 31.4\% |
| Dover, DE | 34.6\% | 65.4\% | 22.1\% | 52.0\% | 25.9\% | 29.0\% | 52.8\% | 18.3\% | 48.2\% | 37.3\% | 14.5\% |
| Beloit, WI | 38.1\% | 61.9\% | 18.8\% | 37.9\% | 43.4\% | 33.2\% | 47.7\% | 19.1\% | 51.4\% | 29.2\% | 19.4\% |
| Minot, ND | 35.9\% | 64.1\% | 19.3\% | 44.2\% | 36.5\% | 23.7\% | 50.7\% | 25.6\% | 55.6\% | 28.0\% | 16.4\% |
| Wausau, WI | 29.8\% | 70.2\% | 18.4\% | 51.6\% | 29.9\% | 24.8\% | 50.4\% | 24.8\% | 45.2\% | 32.6\% | 22.2\% |
| Group I Average | 34.4\% | 65.6\% | 15.1\% | 50.7\% | 34.2\% | 17.7\% | 55.2\% | 27.2\% | 44.1\% | 33.9\% | 22.0\% |


|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education Level Completed |  |  | Annual <br> Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55 or Older | High School Degree or Less | 2 to 4 <br> Year <br> College <br> Degree | Masters or Doctoral Degree | Less than \$60,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Oak Park, IL | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| Flagstaff, AZ | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Niagara Falls, NY | 35.6\% | 64.4\% | 20.1\% | 52.6\% | 27.3\% | 26.3\% | 48.6\% | 25.1\% | 56.5\% | 30.8\% | 12.7\% |
| Lodi, CA | 29.4\% | 70.6\% | 13.3\% | 43.4\% | 43.3\% | 25.4\% | 57.8\% | 16.8\% | 47.5\% | 34.8\% | 17.7\% |
| Missoula, MT | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| St. Cloud, MN | 28.3\% | 71.7\% | 15.0\% | 46.3\% | 38.8\% | 24.6\% | 49.7\% | 25.7\% | 48.6\% | 35.3\% | 16.1\% |
| Janesville, WI | 31.3\% | 68.7\% | 14.5\% | 38.4\% | 47.2\% | 32.5\% | 49.4\% | 18.1\% | 53.5\% | 33.1\% | 13.4\% |
| Santa Fe, NM | 39.4\% | 60.6\% | 15.5\% | 37.4\% | 47.1\% | 7.8\% | 42.0\% | 50.2\% | 34.3\% | 32.8\% | 32.8\% |
| Walnut Creek, CA | 37.0\% | 63.0\% | 13.0\% | 48.0\% | 39.0\% | 11.2\% | 58.6\% | 30.1\% | 22.7\% | 38.2\% | 39.1\% |
| Springfield, OH | 36.0\% | 64.0\% | 10.2\% | 45.1\% | 44.7\% | 38.7\% | 44.0\% | 17.4\% | 53.3\% | 33.8\% | 12.9\% |
| Bellingham, WA | 35.3\% | 64.7\% | 19.9\% | 55.0\% | 25.1\% | 14.4\% | 58.3\% | 27.3\% | 58.5\% | 28.7\% | 12.8\% |
| Asheville, NC | 38.5\% | 61.5\% | 23.7\% | 44.9\% | 31.4\% | 10.8\% | 55.8\% | 33.5\% | 50.6\% | 28.3\% | 21.1\% |
| Bloomington, IN | 33.6\% | 66.4\% | 33.7\% | 35.0\% | 31.3\% | 17.2\% | 39.6\% | 43.3\% | 51.8\% | 29.4\% | 18.8\% |
| St. Joseph, MO | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Table 25 Continued on Page A128

## Continued

## Table 25: Demographics of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education Level Completed |  |  | Annual Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continued <br> Population Group II <br> Pop. 50,000-99,000 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55 or Older | High School Degree or Less | 2 to 4 Year College Degree | Masters or <br> Doctoral <br> Degree | Less than \$60,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Lawrence, KS | 37.1\% | 62.9\% | 25.9\% | 40.8\% | 33.3\% | 13.9\% | 47.1\% | 39.0\% | 51.0\% | 29.7\% | 19.3\% |
| Chemung Co., NY | 37.8\% | 62.2\% | 8.8\% | 42.2\% | 49.0\% | 24.6\% | 36.5\% | 38.9\% | 51.7\% | 27.3\% | $21.0 \%$ |
| New Bedford, MA | 40.4\% | 59.6\% | 19.4\% | 53.0\% | 27.6\% | 25.2\% | 52.4\% | 22.5\% | 45.8\% | 36.5\% | 17.7\% |
| Boulder, CO | 42.0\% | 58.0\% | 21.7\% | 67.1\% | 11.1\% | 4.3\% | 62.2\% | 33.5\% | 33.5\% | 17.5\% | 49.0\% |
| Steuben County, NY | 33.0\% | 67.0\% | 12.6\% | 54.4\% | 33.0\% | 32.1\% | 42.5\% | 25.5\% | 56.9\% | 26.1\% | 17.0\% |
| Group II Average | 35.6\% | 64.4\% | 17.8\% | 46.9\% | 35.3\% | 20.6\% | 49.6\% | 29.8\% | 47.7\% | 30.8\% | 21.4\% |


|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education Level Completed |  |  | Annual Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group III <br> Pop. 100,000-249,999 ( $n=23$ ) | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55 or Older | High School Degree or Less | 2 to 4 <br> Year <br> College <br> Degree | Masters <br> or <br> Doctoral <br> Degree | Less than \$60,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Green Bay, WI | 38.4\% | 61.6\% | 19.2\% | 55.4\% | 25.4\% | 22.2\% | 62.5\% | 15.3\% | 47.7\% | 38.1\% | 14.2\% |
| Erie, PA | 34.5\% | 65.5\% | 14.2\% | 45.5\% | 40.4\% | 23.2\% | 51.8\% | 25.1\% | 46.8\% | 37.1\% | 16.1\% |
| Indian River Co., FL | 30.1\% | 69.9\% | 6.3\% | 15.4\% | 78.3\% | 22.0\% | 53.4\% | 24.6\% | 42.0\% | 32.3\% | 25.6\% |
| Fort Collins, CO | 32.4\% | 67.6\% | 21.1\% | 39.3\% | 39.6\% | 14.2\% | 50.0\% | 35.8\% | 45.0\% | 30.5\% | 24.5\% |
| Lansing, MI | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Alexandria, VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Pasadena, CA | 42.5\% | 57.5\% | 16.4\% | 40.4\% | 43.2\% | 8.2\% | 48.8\% | 43.0\% | 31.9\% | 27.7\% | 40.4\% |
| Tippecanoe Co., IN | 41.3\% | 58.7\% | 22.7\% | 47.5\% | 29.8\% | 10.9\% | 44.5\% | 44.7\% | 47.9\% | 29.7\% | 22.4\% |
| Santa Clarita, CA | 35.5\% | 64.5\% | 19.4\% | 63.6\% | 17.1\% | 17.4\% | 61.2\% | 21.4\% | 29.7\% | 38.0\% | 32.3\% |
| Tempe, AZ | 38.6\% | 61.4\% | 19.3\% | 47.4\% | 33.3\% | 9.6\% | 53.5\% | 36.8\% | 49.5\% | 22.4\% | 28.0\% |
| Dayton, OH | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a |
| Worcester, MA | 35.3\% | 64.7\% | 8.1\% | 86.6\% | 5.3\% | 7.3\% | 86.0\% | 6.7\% | 60.1\% | 38.1\% | 1.8\% |
| Chandler, AZ | 36.6\% | 63.4\% | 4.3\% | 27.5\% | 68.1\% | 21.1\% | 59.2\% | 19.7\% | 45.2\% | 45.2\% | 9.7\% |
| Boise, ID | 30.7\% | 69.3\% | 21.7\% | 47.6\% | 30.7\% | 10.4\% | 55.1\% | 34.5\% | 39.1\% | 32.5\% | 28.4\% |
| Chippewa Valley, WI | 24.1\% | 75.9\% | 8.8\% | 33.8\% | 57.5\% | 43.6\% | 43.6\% | 12.8\% | 62.5\% | 31.3\% | 6.3\% |
| Arlington Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| St. Tammany Parish, LA | 39.2\% | 60.8\% | 17.3\% | 49.9\% | 32.8\% | 22.7\% | 54.0\% | 23.3\% | 49.5\% | 29.6\% | 20.9\% |
| Glendale, CA | 45.8\% | 54.2\% | 22.7\% | 49.2\% | 28.1\% | 11.9\% | 58.3\% | 29.7\% | 41.0\% | 30.6\% | 28.3\% |
| Scottsdale, AZ | 37.4\% | 62.6\% | 10.5\% | 38.6\% | 50.9\% | 6.4\% | 57.3\% | 36.3\% | 30.2\% | 42.0\% | 27.8\% |
| Madison, WI | 39.6\% | 60.4\% | 22.0\% | 41.3\% | 36.8\% | 12.1\% | 50.2\% | 37.7\% | 36.5\% | 34.6\% | 28.8\% |
| Baton Rouge, LA | 32.1\% | 67.9\% | 27.3\% | 51.3\% | 21.4\% | 15.0\% | 55.3\% | 29.7\% | 41.9\% | 32.0\% | 26.0\% |
| St. Petersburg, FL | 44.3\% | 55.7\% | 15.8\% | 45.5\% | 38.7\% | 15.1\% | 59.1\% | 25.9\% | 41.0\% | 34.3\% | 24.7\% |
| Placer Co., CA | 25.3\% | 74.7\% | 16.4\% | 54.0\% | 29.6\% | 14.6\% | 67.3\% | 18.1\% | 41.0\% | 34.3\% | 24.7\% |
| Group III Average | 36.0\% | 64.0\% | 16.5\% | 46.3\% | 37.2\% | 16.2\% | 56.4\% | 27.4\% | 43.6\% | 33.7\% | 22.7\% |

[^3]
## Continued

Table 25: Demographics of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education <br> Level Completed |  |  | Annual Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group IV Pop. 250,000-499,999 ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { or } \\ & \text { Older } \end{aligned}$ | High School Degree or Less | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } 4 \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \text { College } \\ & \text { Degree } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Masters } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { Doctoral } \\ & \text { Degree } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Less than $\$ 60,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Anchorage, AK | 31.1\% | 68.9\% | 15.4\% | 50.2\% | 34.5\% | 13.5\% | 51.4\% | 35.1\% | 32.1\% | 31.4\% | 36.6\% |
| Northampton Co., PA | 35.0\% | 65.0\% | 12.0\% | 52.7\% | 35.3\% | 10.6\% | 46.3\% | 43.1\% | 32.7\% | 35.5\% | 31.8\% |
| Newark, NJ | 40.6\% | 59.4\% | 28.2\% | 42.5\% | 29.3\% | 22.2\% | 51.4\% | 26.4\% | 50.2\% | 32.4\% | 17.3\% |
| Forsyth Co., NC | 33.1\% | 66.9\% | 20.3\% | 47.7\% | 32.0\% | 13.1\% | 57.6\% | 29.3\% | 43.0\% | 32.7\% | 24.4\% |
| Lehigh Co., PA | 39.9\% | 60.1\% | 14.4\% | 49.3\% | 36.3\% | 13.4\% | 45.3\% | 41.3\% | 35.5\% | 35.2\% | 29.4\% |
| Fox Valley, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Western Maricopa Co., AZ | 37.5\% | 62.5\% | 6.7\% | 30.9\% | 62.4\% | 10.7\% | 65.5\% | 23.7\% | 37.7\% | 47.3\% | 15.1\% |
| Waukesha Co., WI | 29.6\% | 70.4\% | 14.1\% | 35.9\% | 50.0\% | 24.0\% | 53.7\% | 22.3\% | 48.3\% | 37.5\% | 14.2\% |
| Berks Co., PA | 37.7\% | 62.3\% | 15.8\% | 51.3\% | 32.9\% | 33.9\% | 48.3\% | 17.8\% | 51.2\% | 35.1\% | 13.7\% |
| Minneapolis, MN | 42.1\% | 57.9\% | 31.1\% | 49.9\% | 19.0\% | 14.8\% | 60.3\% | 25.0\% | 59.1\% | 25.5\% | 15.4\% |
| Mesa, AZ | 35.6\% | 64.4\% | 26.4\% | 47.2\% | 26.4\% | 25.4\% | 54.9\% | 19.7\% | 54.3\% | 33.1\% | 12.6\% |
| Jefferson Parish, LA | 35.8\% | 64.2\% | 18.3\% | 52.3\% | 29.3\% | 14.0\% | 62.0\% | 24.0\% | 40.2\% | 30.0\% | 29.8\% |
| New Orleans, LA | 40.7\% | 59.3\% | 28.5\% | 49.9\% | 21.6\% | 9.9\% | 56.0\% | 34.1\% | 4.1\% | 29.2\% | 29.7\% |
| Group IV Average | 36.6\% | 63.4\% | 19.3\% | 46.7\% | 34.1\% | 17.1\% | 54.4\% | 28.5\% | 43.8\% | 33.7\% | 22.5\% |


|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education Level Completed |  |  | Annual Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group V <br> Pop. 500,000-999,999 ( $n=14$ ) | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \text { or } \\ & \text { Older } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { High } \\ & \text { School } \\ & \text { Degree } \\ & \text { or Less } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } 4 \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \text { College } \\ & \text { Degree } \end{aligned}$ | Masters <br> or <br> Doctoral <br> Degree | Less than $\$ 60,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Union Co., NJ | 38.0\% | 62.0\% | 7.0\% | 32.2\% | 60.8\% | 15.2\% | 51.4\% | 33.4\% | 37.2\% | 32.6\% | 30.1\% |
| Washington, DC | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | n/a |
| Milwaukee, WI | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Monmouth Co., NJ | 34.6\% | 65.4\% | 10.3\% | 56.2\% | 33.5\% | 11.9\% | 62.5\% | 25.6\% | 24.5\% | 42.3\% | 33.3\% |
| Memphis, TN | 33.8\% | 66.2\% | 27.4\% | 45.7\% | 26.9\% | 13.5\% | 56.7\% | 29.8\% | 43.7\% | 31.8\% | 24.4\% |
| Columbus, OH | 39.7\% | 60.3\% | 30.1\% | 42.7\% | 27.3\% | 14.3\% | 51.0\% | 34.7\% | 47.5\% | 29.4\% | 23.1\% |
| Indianapolis, IN | 32.0\% | 68.0\% | 23.3\% | 55.2\% | 21.4\% | 22.1\% | 54.4\% | 23.5\% | 54.2\% | 25.9\% | 19.8\% |
| Prince George's Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Fulton Co., GA | 37.3\% | 62.7\% | 27.6\% | 51.5\% | 20.9\% | 13.3\% | 56.1\% | 30.5\% | 45.1\% | 28.0\% | 26.9\% |
| Montgomery Co., MD | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Honolulu Co., HI | 33.4\% | 66.6\% | 21.9\% | 46.5\% | 31.6\% | 14.1\% | 60.3\% | 25.6\% | 53.9\% | 28.6\% | 17.5\% |
| Westchester Co., NY | 35.5\% | 64.5\% | 10.6\% | 45.7\% | 43.7\% | 8.6\% | 46.6\% | 44.8\% | 25.8\% | 29.4\% | 44.7\% |
| Detroit, MI | 34.9\% | 65.1\% | 24.0\% | 48.6\% | 27.4\% | 13.6\% | 55.0\% | 31.3\% | 46.1\% | 30.3\% | 23.7\% |
| Fairfax Co., VA | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Group V Average | 35.5\% | 64.5\% | 20.2\% | 47.1\% | 32.6\% | 14.1\% | 54.9\% | 31.0\% | 42.0\% | 30.9\% | 27.1\% |

Table 25 Continued on Page A130

## Continued

Table 25: Demographics of Nonprofit Arts Audiences

|  | Gender |  | Age Range |  |  | Education <br> Level Completed |  |  | Annual <br> Household Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population Group VI $\text { Pop. > 1,000,000 ( } n=7 \text { ) }$ | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55 or | High <br> School <br> Degree <br> or Les | 2 to 4 <br> Year <br> College <br> Degre | Masters <br> or <br> Doctoral <br> Degree | Less than $\$ 60,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 60,000 \\ & \text { to, } \\ & \$ 90,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000 \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { More } \end{aligned}$ |
| Phoenix, AZ | 36.2\% | 63.8\% | 19.0\% | 35.4\% | 45.6\% | 17.7\% | 43.5\% | 38.8\% | 43.2\% | 32.8\% | 24.0\% |
| St. Louis, MO | 37.9\% | 62.1\% | 14.6\% | 48.6\% | 36.8\% | 13.1\% | 47.8\% | 39.1\% | 38.7\% | 29.9\% | 31.4\% |
| Northeast Tarrant Co., TX | 32.1\% | 67.9\% | 23.4\% | 51.9\% | 24.6\% | 17.6\% | 63.7\% | 18.6\% | 40.1\% | 34.5\% | 25.5\% |
| Broward Co., FL | 26.9\% | 73.1\% | 15.2\% | 34.9\% | 49.9\% | 17.5\% | 55.2\% | 27.3\% | 37.4\% | 31.6\% | 31.1\% |
| Miami-Dade Co., FL | 40.6\% | 59.4\% | 17.8\% | 37.6\% | 44.6\% | 9.8\% | 47.7\% | 42.4\% | 29.2\% | 31.5\% | 39.3\% |
| San Diego Co., CA | 40.3\% | 59.7\% | 27.4\% | 42.7\% | 29.9\% | 15.9\% | 58.5\% | 25.6\% | 43.7\% | 33.3\% | 23.0\% |
| Harris Co., TX | 44.1\% | 55.9\% | 30.6\% | 48.9\% | 20.4\% | 9.8\% | 56.6\% | 33.6\% | 32.8\% | 29.2\% | 38.0\% |
| Group VI Average | 36.9\% | 63.1\% | 21.1\% | 42.9\% | 36.0\% | 14.5\% | 53.3\% | 32.2\% | 37.9\% | 31.8\% | 30.3\% |
| Average of All 75 Communities | 35.8\% | 64.2\% | 17.9\% | 47.0\% | 35.1\% | 17.1\% | 54.0\% | 28.9\% | 43.8\% | 32.7\% | 23.5\% |

## Appendix B: Survey Instruments

Organizational Survey
Audience Survey

## Organizational Survey

## About Your Organization

Organization Name: $\qquad$
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
City, State, Zip: $\qquad$
Telephone Number: $\qquad$
Fax Number: $\qquad$
Organization's Website: $\qquad$
Name of Staff Executive: $\qquad$

Please provide a contact in case we have questions about your survey

Contact Person: $\qquad$
Job Title: $\qquad$

E-mail Address:

## Designation/Status of Your Organization

1. Is your organization private or public? (Please check only one and answer the related questions)
____Private/Nonprofit Organization (If Private, please CONTINUE with Questions Ia and Ib)
___ Public/Government Agency (If Public, please CONTINUE with Question IC)
la. If private/nonprofit, does your organization have tax-exempt $501(\mathrm{c})(3)$ status?
$\qquad$ Yes No

1b. If private, are you designated by your local government? (Does your organization have an official written charter or resolution to provide services or recommend funding amounts on behalf of your local government?)
$\qquad$ Yes, with the city government $\qquad$ Yes, with the Chamber of Commerce
$\square$ Yes, with the county goverment No, we have no official designation

## lc. If public/government, is your organization:

$\qquad$ Part of the city government
___ Part of a combined city/county government
Part of the county government $\qquad$

## Continued

## Organizational Survey

## Financial Profile of Your Organization

2. What was the END DATE for your organization's most recently completed fiscal year?
$\qquad$ Month $\qquad$ Year
3. Please provide the itemized expenditures that your organization made during the most recently completed fiscal year that you identified in Question 4. This information is vital!

## Staff Expenses

A. Total organizational payroll (including full-time and part-time staff)
B. Total payroll taxes and fringe benefits (including FICA)
C. Contractors (full-time contract staff)
D. Other personnel expenses (Please Specify): $\qquad$
E. Total Staff and Employee Expenses (sum of lines A through D)
\$ $\qquad$
\$ $\qquad$
\$ $\qquad$
\$ $\qquad$

Payments to Artists
F. Payments to local artists
\$
$\qquad$
G. Payroll taxes and fringe benefits (including FICA) for local artists
\$ $\qquad$
H. Payments to non-local artists
I. Payroll taxes and fringe benefits (including FICA) for non-local artists
$\$$ $\qquad$
J. Total Payments to Artists (sum of lines F through I)
\$ $\qquad$
Overhead and Operating Expenses
K. Advertising and promotional costs
L. Contract services (Part-time or seasonal, including accounting and legal)
M. Insurance

N . Office machinery (not including capital expenditures)
O. Postage
P. Production (including presenting programs and special events)
Q. Publications
R. Supplies and materials
S. Telephone
T. Travel costs
U. Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
V. Total Overhead Expenses (sum of lines K through U)
$\$$
\$
\$ $\square$
S
\$ $\qquad$
\$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
\$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\$$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Continued

## Organizational Survey

Financial Profile of Your Organization Continued

Facility Expenses

| W. Rental and/or lease costs | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| X. Mortgage costs | $\$$ |
| Y. $\quad$ Property taxes | $\$$ |
| Z. Contribution in lieu of taxes | $\$$ |
| AA. Utilities (including electric, water, and refuse) | $\$$ |
| BB. Other facility costs (Please specify:) |  |
| CC. Total facility expenses (sum of lines W through BB) | $\$$ |
| DD. Total Organizational Expenditures (sum of lines E,J,V, and CC ) | $\$$ |

4. Please provide your organization's asset acquisition and capital expenditures for your most recently completed fiscal year that you identified in Question 2.

Equipment
A. Outright purchases
B. Down payments
C. Amounts financed
\$
$\$$
$\$$

Real Estate
D. Outright purchases
E. Down payments
F. Amounts financed

Art
G. Outright purchases
H. Down payments
I. Amounts financed
$\qquad$
\$
J. Total asset aquisition (sum of lines A through I)

## Continued

## Organizational Survey

## In-Kind Contributions to Your Organization

5. Please provide the estimated dollar values of the in-kind contributions that your organization received during the most recently completed fiscal year that you identified in Question 4. In-kind contributions are non-monetary donations such as materials, facilities, and services.
A. From corporations
B. From local (city or county) government
C. From local arts agencies
D. From your state arts agency
E. From individuals
F. Other (Please specify):
G. Total in-kind contributions (sum of lines A through F)
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$
\$ $\qquad$
\$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
,

## Volunteer Hours Dedicated to Your Organization

6. Please provide the total hours volunteered to your organization during the most recently completed fiscal year you identified in Question 4. Include time donated by board members, docents, ushers, etc.
A. Professional
B. Artistic
C. Clerical services
D. Service (ticket takers, gift shop, docents, etc.)
E. Other (Please specify):
F. Total volunteers and hours (sum of lines A through F)
$\qquad$
\#
\# $\qquad$

Total Hours
\# $\qquad$
\# $\qquad$
\# $\qquad$
\# $\qquad$
\# $\qquad$
\# $\qquad$

## Attendance Figures for Your Organization

7. Please provide the total attendance figures for your organization for the most recently completed fiscal year that you identified in Question 4. This figure should include attendance at cultural events that your organization produces. This information is vital!

| A. Performance attendees | Number of Attendees |
| :--- | :--- |
| B. Exhibition, museum, or gallery attendees |  |
| C. Other attendees (Please specify): |  |
| D. Total attendance (sum of lines A through C) |  |

Thank You For Your Participation!

## Audience Survey

## Arts-Related Event Attended in Your Local Area

1. What is your home zip code? $\qquad$
2. Do you live within a 50 mile radius of this local area?
$\qquad$ Yes (SKIP to Q. 6) No (CONTINUE with Q. 3)
3. What is your primary purpose for being in this local area? (Please check only one)
$\qquad$ To attend this arts event To attend a convention
___ Vacation/holiday General business (e.g., meeting, sales call)
$\qquad$ To visit friends or relatives
___Combination of business and pleasure
___Personal business (e.g. weddings, funeral)
___Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
4. How did you arrive in this local area? (Check all that apply)
___By your own car
___By airplane
___By bus
___By a rental car
By train
___ Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
5. How many nights away from home did you or will you spend in this local area during this trip?
$\qquad$ \# (If "0", SKIP to Q. 6)
5a. Where did you or will you stay overnight? (Please check only one)
$\qquad$ At the home of family or friends $\qquad$ Condominium or timeshare
_-
Hotel or motel
___Campground
____Inn/bed and breakfast
___ Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
6. How many people are in your party today?
$\qquad$ \# of Adults
$\qquad$ \# of Children (Under 18)
7. List below the estimated total amount that you and the members of your party have spent or plan to spend in this local area specifically as a result of your attendance at this event.
Remember to include money spent before, during, and after the event.
A. Admission/tickets to this event
\$
B. Refreshments and/or snacks purchased
C. Food, drinks, or meals purchased
D. Souvenirs, books, recordings, or art
E. Clothing or accessories for this event purchased in the local area
F. Transportation (gas, parking, tolls taxi, rental car, public transportation)
G. Child-care related to this event
$\$$ $\qquad$
H. Overnight accommodations
I. Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
\$
\$
\$ $\qquad$
\$
\$ $\qquad$
$\$$
\$
\$ $\qquad$
8. How did you pay for these items? (Check all that apply)
___Cash $\qquad$ American Express $\qquad$ Discover Card
___ Personal Check $\qquad$ Visa Card $\qquad$ Debit Card
___Traveler's check MasterCard Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
9. During the past 12 months, how many times did you travel 50 miles or more away from home specifically to attend an arts-related event? (Include your current trip, if applicable)
___ \# (If "0", SKIP to Q. I5)

## Continued

Audience Survey

Please answer questions 10-14 based upon your MOST RECENT trip of 50 or more miles away from home specifically to attend an arts-related event. However, if you are currently at least 50 miles from home specifically to attend this event, please skip to Q.I5.
10. During your most recent arts-related trip of over 50 miles, how many people were in your party?
$\qquad$ \# of Adults \# of Children (Under I8)
11. How many nights did you spend?
$\qquad$ \# (If "0", SKIP to Q. I2)
11 a. Where did you stay overnight during that most recent trip away from home? (Please check only one)
___At the home of family or friends
Hotel or motel
____Inn/bed and breakfastCondominium or timeshare
Campground
____Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
12. What other local places or activities did you visit on this most recent trip away from home?
(Check all that apply)
___Sporting event
Restaurant
__Museum
__Amusement park
___Historical site or activity
___Gambling/lottery
$\qquad$位
___Festival
___Beaches
___Other (Please Specify):
___Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
$\qquad$
13. List below the estimated amount that you and the members of your party spent as a result of the same trip. Remember to include money spent before, during, and after the event-but only money spent in the area to which you traveled. If you are currently at least 50 miles from home specifically to attend this event, please skip to Q . I5.
A. Admission/tickets to this event
B. Refreshments and/or snacks purchased
C. Food, drinks, or meals purchased
D. Souvenirs, books, recordings, or art
E. Clothing or accessories for this event purchased in the local area
F. Transportation (gas, parking, tolls taxi, rental car, public transportation)
G. Child-care related to this event
H. Overnight accommodations
l. Other (Please Specify): $\qquad$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
\$
\$
\$
$\$$ $\qquad$
14. How did you pay for these items? (Check all that apply)

| Cash | American Express |
| :---: | :---: |
| Personal Check | Visa Card |
| Traveler's check | MasterCard |

Discover Card
Debit Card
___Other (Please Specify):
$\qquad$
15. What is your gender? $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Female
16. Which range includes your age?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ 55-64 $\qquad$ 25-34 $\qquad$
$\qquad$ $65+$
17. What is the highest level of education you completed?
___ Less than high
2-year college/technical/associates degree 4-year college degree
$\qquad$ Masters degree
___ High school
___Doctoral degree
18. Which range includes your annual household income?
$\qquad$
___Less than $\$ 40,000 \ldots \$ 60,000-\$ 79,999 \ldots \$ 100,000-\$ 119,999$ \$80,000-\$99,000

Thank You For Your Participation!

Americans for the Arts<br>1000 Vermont Avenue NW, 6th Floor<br>Washington, DC 20005<br>T 202.371.2830<br>F 202.371.0424<br>info@artsusa.org<br>www.AmericansForTheArts.org<br>Copyright © 2003 Americans for the Arts
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[^2]:    'e.g., accounting, legal
    ${ }^{2}$ e.g., programs, events
    ${ }^{3}$ e.g., newsletters
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