

Family Well-Being Indicators for Connecticut Cities and Towns: Summary of 2007-2011 American Community Survey Census Data

May 2013

In December 2012, the U.S. Census Bureau released new data from the American Community Survey (ACS) on social and economic indicators for all 169 Connecticut cities and towns. These five-year estimates of household income, poverty, and educational attainment allow us to explore how Connecticut cities and towns have changed over the past decade. Because ACS data for all 169 Connecticut towns are not available for an earlier, non-overlapping time period, these estimates are compared here to results of the 2000 Decennial Census. Five-year data on health insurance coverage are not yet available.

All Residents in Poverty: The percent of all Connecticut residents in poverty increased to 9.5% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 7.9% in Census 2000 (1999 figures). The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of all residents in poverty: Ansonia, Avon, Berlin, Branford, Bridgeport, Bristol, Burlington, Chester, Cornwall, Danbury, Darien, East Hartford, East Haven, Enfield, Glastonbury, Hartford, Meriden, Middletown, New Britain, New Haven, Norwich, Plainfield, Putnam, Rocky Hill, Salem, Stamford, Sterling, Torrington, Vernon, Wallingford, Waterbury, West Hartford, Windham, and Windsor Locks. The following towns had statistically significant decreases in the percent of residents in poverty: Barkhamsted, Canterbury, Easton, Granby, Scotland, Suffield, and Voluntown.

All Children in Poverty: The percent of related children under 18 statewide in poverty increased to 12.6% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 10.4% in Census 2000 (1999 figures). The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of all children in poverty: Avon, Berlin, Bethel, Bridgeport, Chaplin, Colebrook, Cornwall, Darien, Derby, East Hartford, East Haven, Enfield, Harwinton, Middletown, New Britain, North Canaan, Norwich, Plainfield, Preston, Stamford, Torrington, Waterbury, and Windham. The following towns had statistically significant decreases in the percent of all children in poverty: Canterbury, Granby, Morris, New Canaan, Seymour, Sharon, Suffield, Thomaston, Union, and Waterford.

Residents Below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level: The percent of residents under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) increased to 21.6% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 19.3% in Census 2000 (1999 figures). The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of residents under 200% of the FPL: Andover, Avon, Berlin, Bridgeport, Canaan, Clinton, Cornwall, Danbury, Derby, East Hartford, East Windsor, Hartford, Lebanon, Madison, Manchester, Meriden, Middletown, Milford, Naugatuck, New Britain, New Milford, Newington, Newtown, Norwich, Preston, Prospect, Putnam, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, South Windsor, Southbury, Stamford, Stratford, Tolland, Torrington, West Hartford, West Haven, Windham, and Windsor Locks. The following towns had statistically significant decreases in the percent of residents under 200% of the FPL: Barkhamsted, Canterbury, Coventry, Easton, Granby, Roxbury, Somers, Sprague, Suffield, and Woodstock.

Median Household Income: After adjusting for inflation, the statewide median household income decreased to \$69,243 in ACS 2007-2011, down from \$70,593 in Census 2000 (1999 income). Median household income declined in the following towns: Avon, Branford, Bridgeport, Bridgewater, Bristol, Canaan, Clinton, Danbury, East Granby, East Hartford, East Lyme, Easton, Enfield, Franklin, Haddam, Hartford, Madison, Manchester, Meriden, Montville, Naugatuck, New Britain, New Fairfield, Newington, North Branford, North Haven, Norwalk, Putnam, Redding, Shelton, Southbury, Stamford, Stratford, Thomaston, Torrington, Wallingford, Washington, Waterbury, West Haven, Westbrook, Wilton, Windham, and Windsor. Median household income increased in the towns of Fairfield and Somers.

Residents with Bachelor's Degree: The percent of Connecticut residents over the age of 25 with bachelor's degrees increased to 35.7% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 31.4% in Census 2000. The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of resident over 25 with a bachelor's degree: Ansonia, Berlin, Bethel, Bloomfield, Branford, Bridgeport, Bristol, Brookfield, Canton, Chaplin, Clinton, Colchester, Cornwall, Coventry, Cromwell, Danbury, Darien, East Hampton, East Hartford, East Windsor, Easton, Ellington, Enfield, Fairfield, Farmington, Glastonbury, Goshen, Greenwich, Griswold, Groton, Guilford, Haddam, Hamden, Hampton, Hartford, Hartland, Killingly, Litchfield, Madison, Manchester, Meriden, Middlebury, Middlefield, Middletown, Milford, Monroe, Morris, Naugatuck, New Canaan, New Haven, New Milford, Newington, North Branford, North Haven, North Stonington, Norwalk, Old Lyme, Orange, Oxford, Plymouth, Portland, Prospect, Ridgefield, Rocky Hill, Salisbury, Seymour, Shelton, Sherman, Somers, South Windsor, Southbury, Southington, Sprague, Stamford, Stonington, Stratford, Thomaston, Torrington, Trumbull, Vernon, Wallingford, Waterbury, Waterford, Watertown, West Hartford, West Haven, Weston, Westport, Wethersfield, Windsor Locks, Windsor, Wolcott, Woodbridge, and Woodbury.

Family Well-Being Estimates for All Connecticut Cities and Towns, 2007-2011

Margins of error are included for each estimate. Because margins of error for towns can be wide, caution should be exercised in making comparisons between estimates. Additionally, note that these data do not represent a single point in time and may mask trends within the five year period. Arrows next to estimates indicate statistically significant changes in estimates between the 2000 Decennial Census and American Community Survey 2007-2011 data.

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree ⁺ | Home Ownership |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Andover | 5.7% | 8.2% | 13.4% ↑ | \$91,406 | 35.4% | 89.3% |
| muovei | (1.3% - 10.1%) | (0.0% - 19.7%) | (7.4% - 19.4%) | (\$83,641 - \$99,171) | (29.2% - 41.6%) | (84.8% - 93.8%) |
| Ansonia | 11.6% ↑ | 19.4% | 26.8% | \$55,250 | 18.8% ↑ | 57.9% |
| 7 misonia | (8.7% - 14.5%) | (11.9% - 26.9%) | (21.5% - 32.1%) | (\$50,175 - \$60,325) | (15.6% - 22.0%) | (53.7% - 62.1%) |
| Ashford | 5.0% | 6.2% | 19.1% | \$71,346 | 41.2% | 82.0% ↑ |
| Ashiota | (1.8% - 8.2%) | (0.0% - 12.4%) | (9.8% - 28.5%) | (\$65,396 - \$77,296) | (35.6% - 46.8%) | (77.8% - 86.2%) |
| Avon | 5.0% ↑ | 6.2% ↑ | 9.6% ↑ | \$107,733↓ | 64.6% | 82.0%↓ |
| TVOII | (3.2% - 6.8%) | (2.9% - 9.5%) | (7.3% - 11.8%) | (\$102,156 - \$113,310) | (62.0% - 67.2%) | (79.3% - 84.7%) |
| Barkhamsted | 0.9%↓ | 2.1% | 5.3%↓ | \$83,056 | 41.0% | 90.2% |
| Darknamsteu | (0.0% - 2.1%) | (0.0% - 5.3%) | (1.7% - 9.0%) | (\$72,865 - \$93,247) | (33.5% - 48.5%) | (85.0% - 95.4%) |
| Beacon Falls | 3.5% | 5.1% | 12.7% | \$80,182 | 27.7% | 83.3% |
| Deacon Fans | (0.8% - 6.2%) | (0.0% - 13.1%) | (7.6% - 17.8%) | (\$71,183 - \$89,181) | (22.4% - 33.0%) | (78.5% - 88.1%) |
| Berlin | 6.4% ↑ | 12.2% ↑ | 13.5% ↑ | \$87,091 | 35.1% ↑ | 89.4% |
| Definit | (3.8% - 9.0%) | (6.1% - 18.3%) | (9.9% - 17.0%) | (\$82,823 - \$91,359) | (32.3% - 37.9%) | (87.3% - 91.5%) |
| Rothany | 5.1% | 7.4% | 9.8% | \$106,579 | 49.5% | 95.0% |
| Bethany | (1.9% - 8.3%) | (0.0% - 15.1%) | (4.8% - 14.8%) | (\$89,219 - \$123,939) | (43.8% - 55.2%) | (91.5% - 98.5%) |
| Bethel | 4.2% | 5.6% ↑ | 11.8% | \$87,475 | 40.8% ↑ | 79.1% |
| Dethel | (1.9% - 6.5%) | (1.8% - 9.4%) | (8.5% - 15.1%) | (\$82,651 - \$92,299) | (37.3% - 44.3%) | (76.1% - 82.1%) |
| Bethlehem | 3.5% | 2.1% | 11.7% | \$86,891 | 38.9% | 87.5% |
| Detmenenn | (2.0% - 5.0%) | (0.0% - 5.4%) | (7.2% - 16.2%) | (\$81,905 - \$91,877) | (33.3% - 44.5%) | (82.1% - 92.9%) |
| Bloomfield | 7.6% | 6.3% | 17.0% | \$72,065 | 39.6% ↑ | 74.5% |
| Diooinneid | (5.5% - 9.7%) | (2.7% - 9.9%) | (13.7% - 20.2%) | (\$66,964 - \$77,166) | (36.8% - 42.4%) | (72.0% - 77.0%) |
| Dolton | 1.3% | 0.0% | 9.1% | \$93,348 | 36.4% | 81.4% |
| Bolton | (0.3% - 2.3%) | (0.0% - 3.2%) | (5.3% - 13.0%) | (\$84,078 - \$102,618) | (32.1% - 40.7%) | (75.4% - 87.4%) |
| Bozrah | 5.7% | 8.6% | 18.0% | \$81,122 | 22.6% | 83.0% |
| DOZTAII | (2.5% - 8.9%) | (0.6% - 16.6%) | (11.6% - 24.4%) | (\$75,719 - \$86,525) | (18.0% - 27.2%) | (78.0% - 88.0%) |
| Duanford | 5.8% ↑ | 8.3% | 15.6% | \$71,314↓ | 42.8% ↑ | 71.2% |
| Branford | (4.5% - 7.1%) | (4.7% - 11.9%) | (13.4% - 17.9%) | (\$66,667 - \$75,961) | (40.5% - 45.1%) | (69.0% - 73.4%) |

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree [†] | Home Ownership |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Buideonout | 21.9% ↑ | 30.6% ↑ | 45.0% ↑ | \$40,947↓ | 15.2% ↑ | 44.6% |
| Bridgeport | (20.7% - 23.1%) | (28.1% - 33.1%) | (42.7% - 47.3%) | (\$39,561 - \$42,333) | (14.2% - 16.2%) | (42.9% - 46.3%) |
| Bridgewater | 3.0% | 0.0% | 14.7% ↑ | \$85,481↓ | 49.0% | 87.1% |
| Dilugewater | (1.4% - 4.6%) | (0.0% - 9.5%) | (9.7% - 19.8%) | (\$72,293 - \$98,669) | (44.2% - 53.8%) | (82.4% - 91.8%) |
| Bristol | 8.2% ↑ | 11.9% | 21.0% | \$60,032↓ | 21.7% ↑ | 66.7% ↑ |
| DIIStol | (7.0% - 9.4%) | (9.3% - 14.5%) | (18.8% - 23.3%) | (\$58,062 - \$62,002) | (20.4% - 23.0%) | (65.1% - 68.3%) |
| Brookfield | 3.1% | 3.3% | 7.8% | \$108,576 | 52.5% ↑ | 87.3% |
| Drookneid | (1.5% - 4.7%) | (0.3% - 6.3%) | (5.7% - 9.9%) | (\$101,389 - \$115,763) | (49.1% - 55.9%) | (84.1% - 90.5%) |
| Brooklyn | 8.0% | 10.9% | 21.4% | \$66,023 | 23.4% | 78.0% |
| DIOOKIYII | (3.8% - 12.2%) | (3.6% - 18.2%) | (14.5% - 28.3%) | (\$57,730 - \$74,316) | (19.1% - 27.7%) | (72.7% - 83.3%) |
| Durlington | 4.0% ↑ | 4.1% | 6.5% | \$114,792 | 46.9% | 95.8% |
| Burlington | (2.0% - 6.0%) | (0.7% - 7.5%) | (4.2% - 8.9%) | (\$101,619 - \$127,965) | (41.7% - 52.1%) | (93.5% - 98.1%) |
| Comment | 6.4% | 9.5% | 22.6% ↑ | \$56,161↓ | 36.4% | 66.1%↓ |
| Canaan | (3.6% - 9.2%) | (1.7% - 17.3%) | (16.4% - 28.9%) | (\$48,101 - \$64,221) | (31.1% - 41.7%) | (59.4% - 72.8%) |
| $C \rightarrow 1$ | 1.5%↓ | 0.0%↓ | 7.1%↓ | \$73,000 | 20.0% | 86.8% |
| Canterbury | (0.4% - 2.6%) | (0.0% - 3.4%) | (4.4% - 9.7%) | (\$69,865 - \$76,135) | (15.0% - 25.0%) | (81.7% - 91.9%) |
| <u> </u> | 4.2% | 4.1% | 9.7% | \$82,619 | 50.3% ↑ | 81.8% |
| Canton | (2.2% - 6.2%) | (0.4% - 7.8%) | (7.0% - 12.4%) | (\$73,524 - \$91,714) | (46.0% - 54.6%) | (78.4% - 85.2%) |
| C1 I' | 3.7% | 8.5% ↑ | 17.5% | \$67,981 | 28.0% ↑ | 73.0% |
| Chaplin | (1.3% - 6.1%) | (1.2% - 15.8%) | (11.2% - 23.9%) | (\$57,496 - \$78,466) | (22.7% - 33.3%) | (66.2% - 79.8%) |
| Cl 1: | 2.5% | 1.6% | 5.8% | \$109,535 | 49.2% | 85.3% |
| Cheshire | (1.6% - 3.4%) | (0.1% - 3.1%) | (4.6% - 7.0%) | (\$105,820 - \$113,250) | (46.7% - 51.7%) | (82.8% - 87.8%) |
| <u>C1</u> | 6.3% ↑ | 3.0% | 14.0% | \$80,192 | 37.8% | 75.6% |
| Chester | (3.6% - 9.0%) | (0.0% - 7.9%) | (9.2% - 18.8%) | (\$73,362 - \$87,022) | (31.6% - 44.0%) | (69.1% - 82.1%) |
| | 3.6% | 4.2% | 16.4% ↑ | \$75,122↓ | 38.2% ↑ | 81.1% |
| Clinton | (2.2% - 5.0%) | (1.3% - 7.1%) | (12.6% - 20.2%) | (\$70,943 - \$79,301) | (34.8% - 41.6%) | (78.0% - 84.2%) |
| 0.11 | 2.5% | 3.3% | 10.4% | \$95,034 | 41.2% ↑ | 84.5% ↑ |
| Colchester | (1.3% - 3.7%) | (0.7% - 5.9%) | (7.7% - 13.1%) | (\$87,589 - \$102,479) | (37.1% - 45.3%) | (82.1% - 86.9%) |
| C 1 1 1 | 4.3% | 6.7% ↑ | 9.7% | \$71,125 | 28.4% | 90.7% |
| Colebrook | (1.8% - 6.8%) | (0.8% - 12.6%) | (5.8% - 13.5%) | (\$61,372 - \$80,878) | (23.9% - 32.9%) | (86.8% - 94.6%) |
| 0.1.1. | 3.9% | 2.3% | 8.8% | \$91,715 | 39.4% | 95.5% ↑ |
| Columbia | (1.7% - 6.1%) | (0.0% - 5.1%) | (5.0% - 12.6%) | (\$85,400 - \$98,030) | (32.9% - 45.9%) | (93.0% - 98.0%) |
| 0 11 | 11.4% | 25.4% ↑ | 18.9% ↑ | \$75,000 | 56.4% ↑ | 78.6% |
| Cornwall | (5.0% - 17.8%) | (8.3% - 42.5%) | (12.2% - 25.7%) | (\$60,657 - \$89,343) | (49.6% - 63.2%) | (72.6% - 84.6%) |
| 0 | 3.8% | 4.1% | 7.5%↓ | \$90,502 | 33.6% ↑ | 88.7% |
| Coventry | (1.8% - 5.8%) | (0.0% - 8.5%) | (4.8% - 10.2%) | (\$87,778 - \$93,226) | (29.3% - 37.9%) | (85.6% - 91.8%) |
| | | | | (1 | | () |

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree [†] | Home Ownership |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Cromwell | 2.6% | 2.5% | 10.4% | \$80,426 | 40.6% ↑ | 81.5% ↑ |
| Cromwell | (1.4% - 3.8%) | (0.5% - 4.5%) | (8.0% - 12.9%) | (\$75,940 - \$84,912) | (36.6% - 44.6%) | (79.0% - 84.0%) |
| Damburg | 10.0% ↑ | 11.4% | 25.7% ↑ | \$65,656↓ | 30.0% ↑ | 62.5% ↑ |
| Danbury | (8.6% - 11.4%) | (8.6% - 14.2%) | (23.2% - 28.1%) | (\$63,129 - \$68,183) | (28.3% - 31.7%) | (60.9% - 64.1%) |
| Darien | 5.1% ↑ | 6.0% ↑ | 7.9% | \$193,896 | 77.1% ↑ | 88.7% |
| Danen | (3.1% - 7.1%) | (2.7% - 9.3%) | (5.6% - 10.2%) | (\$177,873 - \$209,919) | (74.7% - 79.5%) | (86.7% - 90.7%) |
| Deer Dimer | 3.1% | 0.0% | 17.6% | \$76,319 | 32.7% | 79.7% |
| Deep River | (0.7% - 5.5%) | (0.0% - 3.8%) | (8.6% - 26.6%) | (\$63,848 - \$88,790) | (26.7% - 38.7%) | (74.5% - 84.9%) |
| D 1 | 12.8% | 26.8% ↑ | 27.9% ↑ | \$55,478 | 21.0% | 60.1% |
| Derby | (7.6% - 18.0%) | (12.4% - 41.2%) | (20.9% - 34.9%) | (\$48,973 - \$61,983) | (17.3% - 24.7%) | (56.0% - 64.2%) |
| | 1.5% | 1.9% | 5.4% | \$106,000 | 43.3% | 93.4% |
| Durham | (0.6% - 2.4%) | (0.0% - 4.7%) | (3.3% - 7.6%) | (\$94,929 - \$117,071) | (37.3% - 49.3%) | (89.9% - 96.9%) |
| | 3.0% | 3.3% | 6.4% | \$73,188↓ | 39.6% | 80.8% |
| East Granby | (0.5% - 5.5%) | (0.0% - 8.3%) | (3.1% - 9.7%) | (\$65,799 - \$80,577) | (34.1% - 45.1%) | (75.6% - 86.0%) |
| Г II. 11 | 5.2% | 10.3% | 12.4% | \$87,074 | 33.8% | 87.1% |
| East Haddam | (2.3% - 8.1%) | (2.2% - 18.4%) | (8.1% - 16.6%) | (\$77,040 - \$97,108) | (29.0% - 38.6%) | (82.4% - 91.8%) |
| | 3.8% | 3.4% | 8.9% | \$91,770 | 39.4% ↑ | 89.5% ↑ |
| East Hampton | (2.3% - 5.3%) | (0.6% - 6.2%) | (6.1% - 11.7%) | (\$86,423 - \$97,117) | (35.4% - 43.4%) | (86.9% - 92.1%) |
| | 16.0% ↑ | 25.1% ↑ | 34.3% ↑ | \$49,611↓ | 18.5% ↑ | 56.9% |
| East Hartford | (14.2% - 17.8%) | (20.7% - 29.5%) | (31.2% - 37.5%) | (\$47,017 - \$52,205) | (16.9% - 20.1%) | (54.7% - 59.1%) |
| | 7.8% ↑ | 13.2% ↑ | 19.3% | \$63,136 | 19.1% | 76.4% ↑ |
| East Haven | (6.0% - 9.6%) | (8.4% - 18.0%) | (16.1% - 22.6%) | (\$59,628 - \$66,644) | (17.2% - 21.0%) | (74.1% - 78.7%) |
| г т | 3.2% | 2.5% | 10.8% | \$80,293↓ | 37.7% | 82.1% ↑ |
| East Lyme | (2.0% - 4.4%) | (0.4% - 4.6%) | (8.7% - 12.9%) | (\$75,985 - \$84,601) | (35.2% - 40.2%) | (79.9% - 84.3%) |
| | 5.1% | 4.8% | 20.5% ↑ | \$66,699 | 26.4% ↑ | 67.6% |
| East Windsor | (2.9% - 7.3%) | (1.1% - 8.5%) | (15.2% - 25.8%) | (\$62,837 - \$70,561) | (22.3% - 30.5%) | (63.7% - 71.5%) |
| | 4.3% | 10.3% | 11.5% | \$80,147 | 35.5% | 92.7% ↑ |
| Eastford | (0.8% - 7.8%) | (0.2% - 20.4%) | (6.5% - 16.4%) | (\$75,431 - \$84,863) | (30.5% - 40.5%) | (89.2% - 96.2%) |
| E (| 0.9%↓ | 0.0% | 2.7%↓ | \$141,372↓ | 67.4% ↑ | 97.4% ↑ |
| Easton | (0.2% - 1.6%) | (0.0% - 1.6%) | (1.3% - 4.1%) | (\$129,292 - \$153,452) | (63.3% - 71.5%) | (95.7% - 99.1%) |
| D11 | 2.8% | 4.6% | 11.6% | \$84,758 | 38.7% ↑ | 69.6% |
| Ellington | (1.3% - 4.3%) | (1.6% - 7.6%) | (8.0% - 15.2%) | (\$79,722 - \$89,794) | (35.3% - 42.1%) | (65.5% - 73.7%) |
| E C 11 | 7.2% ↑ | 9.2% ↑ | 17.1% | \$67,809↓ | 23.5% ↑ | 77.3% |
| Enfield | (5.7% - 8.7%) | (5.7% - 12.7%) | (14.9% - 19.3%) | (\$65,689 - \$69,929) | (21.9% - 25.1%) | (75.7% - 78.9%) |
| Б | 4.3% | 2.1% | 11.7% ↑ | \$84,095 | 53.4% | 81.2% |
| Essex | (2.1% - 6.5%) | (0.0% - 5.3%) | (7.7% - 15.8%) | (\$68,805 - \$99,385) | (48.1% - 58.7%) | (76.0% - 86.4%) |
| | | | | | | × / |

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree ⁺ | Home Ownership |
|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Fairfield | 3.5% | 3.3% | 8.6% | \$118,476 ↑ | 60.1% ↑ | 85.4% ↑ |
| ranneu | (2.7% - 4.3%) | (2.1% - 4.5%) | (7.4% - 9.9%) | (\$114,477 - \$122,475) | (58.8% - 61.4%) | (83.9% - 86.9%) |
| Formington | 4.5% | 2.7% | 10.0% | \$86,675 | 55.5% ↑ | 77.1% |
| Farmington | (3.2% - 5.8%) | (0.8% - 4.6%) | (8.1% - 11.9%) | (\$82,194 - \$91,156) | (52.9% - 58.1%) | (74.7% - 79.5%) |
| Franklin | 3.4% | 2.0% | 11.4% | \$78,342↓ | 23.6% | 89.9% |
| гтанкии | (1.8% - 5.0%) | (0.0% - 4.7%) | (7.6% - 15.2%) | (\$76,033 - \$80,651) | (19.8% - 27.4%) | (86.8% - 93.0%) |
| Classical | 3.2% ↑ | 3.3% | 9.8% | \$104,967 | 59.3% ↑ | 83.7% |
| Glastonbury | (2.4% - 4.0%) | (1.7% - 4.9%) | (8.1% - 11.6%) | (\$100,500 - \$109,434) | (57.3% - 61.3%) | (81.6% - 85.8%) |
| 0.1 | 5.7% | 3.4% | 13.9% | \$83,125 | 46.5% ↑ | 89.7% |
| Goshen | (2.0% - 9.4%) | (0.0% - 7.9%) | (8.1% - 19.7%) | (\$66,876 - \$99,374) | (39.3% - 53.7%) | (84.5% - 94.9%) |
| 0 1 | 1.3%↓ | 0.4%↓ | 5.5%↓ | \$99,190 | 54.3% | 92.4% ↑ |
| Granby | (0.5% - 2.1%) | (0.0% - 1.5%) | (3.6% - 7.3%) | (\$89,574 - \$108,806) | (50.1% - 58.5%) | (89.6% - 95.2%) |
| 0 1 | 3.7% | 3.1% | 11.0% | \$127,201 | 63.7% ↑ | 72.2% ↑ |
| Greenwich | (3.0% - 4.4%) | (1.9% - 4.3%) | (9.5% - 12.5%) | (\$122,814 - \$131,588) | (62.1% - 65.3%) | (70.6% - 73.8%) |
| 0: 11 | 7.9% | 6.6% | 18.7% | \$66,303 | 19.6% ↑ | 78.2% ↑ |
| Griswold | (5.3% - 10.5%) | (1.1% - 12.1%) | (14.4% - 22.9%) | (\$60,091 - \$72,515) | (15.8% - 23.4%) | (73.8% - 82.6%) |
| | 7.4% | 9.5% | 21.2% | \$59,887 | 31.9% ↑ | 51.6% |
| Groton | (6.0% - 8.8%) | (6.5% - 12.5%) | (18.6% - 23.8%) | (\$57,443 - \$62,331) | (30.2% - 33.6%) | (49.8% - 53.4%) |
| 0.110 1 | 3.7% | 2.6% | 9.4% | \$95,085 | 53.9% ↑ | 86.9% |
| Guilford | (2.3% - 5.1%) | (0.6% - 4.6%) | (7.2% - 11.6%) | (\$87,624 - \$102,546) | (50.9% - 56.9%) | (85.1% - 88.7%) |
| TT 11 | 3.4% | 5.9% | 9.7% | \$87,883↓ | 40.6% ↑ | 91.9% ↑ |
| Haddam | (1.0% - 5.8%) | (1.5% - 10.3%) | (5.8% - 13.5%) | (\$77,623 - \$98,143) | (35.9% - 45.3%) | (88.0% - 95.8%) |
| TT 1 | 7.7% | 6.3%↓ | 18.9% | \$67,955 | 41.5% ↑ | 67.8% |
| Hamden | (6.6% - 8.8%) | (4.0% - 8.6%) | (16.8% - 20.9%) | (\$63,639 - \$72,271) | (39.3% - 43.7%) | (66.4% - 69.2%) |
| TT . | 5.3% | 9.3% | 13.9% | \$81,307 | 41.3% ↑ | 90.4% |
| Hampton | (2.1% - 8.5%) | (0.0% - 19.7%) | (9.1% - 18.6%) | (\$74,737 - \$87,877) | (36.2% - 46.4%) | (86.4% - 94.4%) |
| XX C 1 | 32.9% ↑ | 44.5% | 58.2% ↑ | \$29,107↓ | 14.3% ↑ | 25.0% |
| Hartford | (31.2% - 34.6%) | (41.3% - 47.7%) | (55.6% - 60.8%) | (\$28,057 - \$30,157) | (13.2% - 15.4%) | (23.8% - 26.2%) |
| TT 1 1 | 1.6% | 0.0% | 8.0% | \$90,278 | 37.4% ↑ | 91.4% |
| Hartland | (0.1% - 3.1%) | (0.0% - 6.7%) | (4.7% - 11.2%) | (\$84,246 - \$96,310) | (32.9% - 41.9%) | (87.9% - 94.9%) |
| ** • | 4.6% | 7.5% ↑ | 11.0% | \$85,920 | 34.7% | 95.9% |
| Harwinton | (1.8% - 7.4%) | (0.9% - 14.1%) | (6.9% - 15.2%) | (\$78,913 - \$92,927) | (29.9% - 39.5%) | (93.7% - 98.1%) |
| TT 1 | 2.3% | 2.7% | 7.1% | \$107,807 | 48.3% | 93.7% ↑ |
| Hebron | (0.4% - 4.2%) | (0.0% - 6.9%) | (3.8% - 10.3%) | (\$99,286 - \$116,328) | (43.2% - 53.4%) | (91.2% - 96.2%) |
| 17 | 9.0% | 8.2% | 18.9% | \$71,736 | 44.1% | 67.1% |
| Kent | (3.2% - 14.8%) | (0.0% - 23.8%) | (11.4% - 26.5%) | (\$55,090 - \$88,382) | (37.0% - 51.2%) | (58.1% - 76.1%) |
| | (| () | | (" | | |

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree [†] | Home Ownership |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Villinalu | 9.3% | 11.2% | 26.2% | \$54,446 | 19.2% ↑ | 69.7% ↑ |
| Killingly | (6.8% - 11.8%) | (7.1% - 15.3%) | (21.8% - 30.6%) | (\$50,064 - \$58,828) | (16.5% - 21.9%) | (65.7% - 73.7%) |
| Villin orres ath | 0.2% | 0.0% | 4.2% | \$105,764 | 49.5% | 96.9% |
| Killingworth | (0.0% - 0.5%) | (0.0% - 2.1%) | (0.9% - 7.6%) | (\$94,536 - \$116,992) | (44.1% - 54.9%) | (94.5% - 99.3%) |
| Lebanon | 3.8% | 0.0% | 20.4% ↑ | \$80,601 | 31.7% | 87.6% |
| Lebanon | (1.9% - 5.7%) | (0.0% - 2.0%) | (12.5% - 28.4%) | (\$69,275 - \$91,927) | (27.0% - 36.4%) | (82.5% - 92.7%) |
| T 1 1 | 2.6% | 2.9% | 8.8% | \$87,344 | 36.1% | 84.4% |
| Ledyard | (1.4% - 3.8%) | (0.2% - 5.6%) | (5.6% - 12.0%) | (\$84,121 - \$90,567) | (32.1% - 40.1%) | (80.8% - 88.0%) |
| т. 1 | 4.5% | 3.9% | 15.1% | \$74,190 | 20.1% | 91.8% |
| Lisbon | (2.1% - 6.9%) | (0.0% - 8.3%) | (10.2% - 20.1%) | (\$61,363 - \$87,017) | (14.3% - 25.9%) | (87.5% - 96.1%) |
| T : 1 C 11 | 5.1% | 6.2% | 14.1% | \$82,155 | 42.5% ↑ | 78.5% |
| Litchfield | (3.1% - 7.1%) | (2.4% - 10.0%) | (10.9% - 17.4%) | (\$75,283 - \$89,027) | (38.7% - 46.3%) | (75.1% - 81.9%) |
| Ŧ | 4.4% ↑ | 3.2% | 11.9% | \$91,522 | 61.4% | 82.7% |
| Lyme | (1.5% - 7.3%) | (0.0% - 6.6%) | (4.6% - 19.2%) | (\$73,107 - \$109,937) | (56.3% - 66.5%) | (75.8% - 89.6%) |
| | 2.1% | 1.7% | 9.0% ↑ | \$106,609 ↓ | 62.4% ↑ | 87.6% |
| Madison | (0.4% - 3.8%) | (0.0% - 4.1%) | (6.4% - 11.6%) | (\$100,700 - \$112,518) | (59.3% - 65.5%) | (85.3% - 89.9%) |
| 36 1 | 8.2% | 11.7% | 23.5% ↑ | \$62,436↓ | 34.9% ↑ | 59.5% ↑ |
| Manchester | (7.0% - 9.4%) | (8.8% - 14.6%) | (21.3% - 25.8%) | (\$59,804 - \$65,068) | (33.4% - 36.4%) | (57.7% - 61.3%) |
| | 17.4% | 10.0% | 28.4% | \$70,208 | 50.7% | 66.9% |
| Mansfield | (13.6% - 21.2%) | (2.7% - 17.3%) | (23.7% - 33.2%) | (\$62,579 - \$77,837) | (45.9% - 55.5%) | (62.3% - 71.5%) |
| 26.11 1 | 1.3% | 0.0% | 8.1% | \$112,665 | 43.9% | 92.3% |
| Marlborough | (0.1% - 2.5%) | (0.0% - 2.1%) | (2.6% - 13.5%) | (\$104,840 - \$120,490) | (38.6% - 49.2%) | (87.7% - 96.9%) |
| 36.11 | 14.8% ↑ | 23.9% ↑ | 32.5% ↑ | \$53,722↓ | 19.9% ↑ | 62.9% ↑ |
| Meriden | (13.0% - 16.6%) | (20.2% - 27.6%) | (29.6% - 35.3%) | (\$51,075 - \$56,369) | (18.6% - 21.2%) | (61.2% - 64.6%) |
| 261111 | 2.7% | 1.4% | 8.3% | \$99,679 | 47.6% ↑ | 91.5% |
| Middlebury | (1.3% - 4.1%) | (0.0% - 3.4%) | (5.2% - 11.5%) | (\$93,143 - \$106,215) | (42.7% - 52.5%) | (88.9% - 94.1%) |
| | 2.5% | 1.3% | 15.4% | \$81,638 | 34.6% ↑ | 85.5% |
| Middlefield | (1.2% - 3.8%) | (0.0% - 3.3%) | (7.9% - 22.9%) | (\$76,108 - \$87,168) | (29.3% - 39.9%) | (80.2% - 90.8%) |
| NC 111 | 11.5% ↑ | 15.2% ↑ | 24.6% ↑ | \$59,966 | 33.4% ↑ | 56.2% ↑ |
| Middletown | (9.8% - 13.2%) | (11.5% - 18.9%) | (22.1% - 27.1%) | (\$56,506 - \$63,426) | (31.4% - 35.4%) | (54.1% - 58.3%) |
| | 3.9% | 4.2% | 13.0% ↑ | \$79,828 | 38.6% ↑ | 77.5% |
| Milford | (3.1% - 4.7%) | (2.7% - 5.7%) | (11.3% - 14.7%) | (\$77,334 - \$82,322) | (36.8% - 40.4%) | (76.0% - 79.0%) |
| 24 | 3.3% | 3.2% | 9.3% | \$108,478 | 46.2% ↑ | 93.2% |
| Monroe | (2.0% - 4.6%) | (0.7% - 5.7%) | (6.9% - 11.6%) | (\$98,173 - \$118,783) | (42.4% - 50.0%) | (91.2% - 95.2%) |
| | 5.2% | 7.0% | 16.6% | \$67,734 ↓ | 18.5% | 78.5% |
| Montville | (3.0% - 7.4%) | (2.8% - 11.2%) | (12.5% - 20.7%) | (\$62,606 - \$72,862) | (16.4% - 20.6%) | (74.8% - 82.2%) |
| | | (| | (" -, | (| (|

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree [†] | Home Ownership |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| M · | 4.8% | 2.9%↓ | 14.0% | \$87,974 | 33.4% ↑ | 83.3% |
| Morris | (2.0% - 7.6%) | (0.0% - 7.1%) | (9.1% - 18.9%) | (\$77,021 - \$98,927) | (27.5% - 39.3%) | (77.5% - 89.1%) |
| NT / 1 | 8.5% | 10.8% | 21.6% ↑ | \$63,414↓ | 23.2% ↑ | 69.2% |
| Naugatuck | (6.4% - 10.6%) | (6.5% - 15.1%) | (18.2% - 25.1%) | (\$60,957 - \$65,871) | (20.7% - 25.7%) | (66.8% - 71.6%) |
| N. D. | 20.9% ↑ | 31.0% ↑ | 43.9% ↑ | \$39,838↓ | 17.5% | 43.3% |
| New Britain | (19.0% - 22.8%) | (26.6% - 35.4%) | (41.0% - 46.9%) | (\$38,102 - \$41,574) | (16.4% - 18.6%) | (41.6% - 45.0%) |
| N. C | 2.2% | 0.8%↓ | 7.0% | \$191,750 | 76.2% ↑ | 83.4% |
| New Canaan | (1.3% - 3.1%) | (0.2% - 1.4%) | (4.9% - 9.1%) | (\$162,580 - \$220,920) | (73.3% - 79.1%) | (80.5% - 86.3%) |
| N. D. C.11 | 1.4% | 0.8% | 8.8% | \$102,159↓ | 43.4% | 94.9% |
| New Fairfield | (0.7% - 2.1%) | (0.0% - 1.7%) | (6.1% - 11.4%) | (\$95,864 - \$108,454) | (40.4% - 46.4%) | (93.0% - 96.8%) |
| | 3.2% | 2.1% | 10.6% | \$84,297 | 41.0% | 91.1% ↑ |
| New Hartford | (1.5% - 4.9%) | (0.0% - 4.3%) | (7.1% - 14.1%) | (\$71,922 - \$96,672) | (35.1% - 46.9%) | (88.0% - 94.2%) |
| | 26.3% ↑ | 35.7% | 46.8% | \$39,094 | 32.1% ↑ | 31.1% |
| New Haven | (24.9% - 27.7%) | (32.8% - 38.6%) | (44.4% - 49.1%) | (\$37,290 - \$40,898) | (30.9% - 33.3%) | (29.7% - 32.5%) |
| | 17.9% | 27.6% | 39.1% | \$45,509 | 22.2% | 37.9% |
| New London | (15.0% - 20.8%) | (21.3% - 33.9%) | (34.2% - 44.0%) | (\$42,820 - \$48,198) | (19.7% - 24.7%) | (35.2% - 40.6%) |
| | 4.1% | 4.2% | 13.3% ↑ | \$84,818 | 38.0% ↑ | 82.7% ↑ |
| New Milford | (2.9% - 5.3%) | (1.8% - 6.6%) | (10.9% - 15.6%) | (\$81,498 - \$88,138) | (35.1% - 40.9%) | (80.7% - 84.7%) |
| | 4.6% | 7.5% | 14.2% ↑ | \$71,817↓ | 32.6% ↑ | 83.9% ↑ |
| Newington | (3.3% - 5.9%) | (4.0% - 11.0%) | (11.9% - 16.4%) | (\$68,507 - \$75,127) | (30.6% - 34.6%) | (82.3% - 85.5%) |
| | 3.3% | 3.0% | 10.6% ↑ | \$114,695 | 51.0% | 92.3% |
| Newtown | (1.8% - 4.8%) | (0.5% - 5.5%) | (8.3% - 12.9%) | (\$109,090 - \$120,300) | (48.1% - 53.9%) | (90.7% - 93.9%) |
| | 5.2% | 6.2% | 14.1% | \$82,250 | 41.2% | 78.2% |
| Norfolk | (2.6% - 7.8%) | (0.0% - 13.7%) | (10.1% - 18.1%) | (\$73,320 - \$91,180) | (35.6% - 46.8%) | (72.0% - 84.4%) |
| | 1.3% | 1.2% | 13.2% | \$78,720↓ | 34.9% ↑ | 84.8% |
| North Branford | (0.2% - 2.4%) | (0.0% - 3.1%) | (8.6% - 17.7%) | (\$72,591 - \$84,849) | (31.5% - 38.3%) | (81.5% - 88.1%) |
| | 13.9% | 21.4% ↑ | 28.4% | \$45,139 | 17.0% | 72.5% |
| North Canaan | (5.9% - 21.9%) | (3.4% - 39.4%) | (17.7% - 39.1%) | (\$37,187 - \$53,091) | (12.0% - 22.0%) | (64.6% - 80.4%) |
| | 4.3% | 6.8% | 11.9% | \$81,789↓ | 37.3% ↑ | 85.5% |
| North Haven | (2.5% - 6.1%) | (0.9% - 12.7%) | (9.3% - 14.6%) | (\$76,592 - \$86,986) | (34.9% - 39.7%) | (83.0% - 88.0%) |
| | 5.5% | 8.8% | 17.6% | \$81,905 | 43.4% ↑ | 91.1% |
| North Stonington | (0.8% - 10.2%) | (0.0% - 21.7%) | (9.9% - 25.4%) | (\$64,476 - \$99,334) | (37.8% - 49.0%) | (86.7% - 95.5%) |
| | 8.0% | 10.3% | 18.2% | \$76,384↓ | 40.2% ↑ | 65.1% ↑ |
| Norwalk | (7.0% - 9.0%) | (8.0% - 12.6%) | (16.3% - 20.0%) | (\$74,000 - \$78,768) | (38.7% - 41.7%) | (63.7% - 66.5%) |
| | 14.6% | 24.5% ↑ | 32.8% ↑ | \$51,225 | 20.6% | 57.3% ↑ |
| Norwich | (12.1% - 17.1%) | (18.1% - 30.9%) | (29.2% - 36.5%) | (\$49,225 - \$53,225) | (19.0% - 22.2%) | (54.9% - 59.7%) |
| | (12.170 17.170) | (10.170 50.270) | (27.270 50.570) | (#17,225 #55,225) | (17.070 22.270) | (31.770 37.770) |

| | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree ⁺ | Home Ownership |
|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Old Luma | 3.5% | 2.3% | 9.7% | \$93,682 | 54.7% ↑ | 82.3% |
| Old Lyme | (0.9% - 6.1%) | (0.0% - 4.6%) | (6.5% - 13.0%) | (\$83,317 - \$104,047) | (50.4% - 59.0%) | (78.4% - 86.2%) |
| Old Saybrook | 5.9% | 6.0% | 15.9% | \$80,347 | 42.3% | 81.1% |
| Old Saybrook | (3.6% - 8.2%) | (1.3% - 10.7%) | (11.1% - 20.7%) | (\$70,860 - \$89,834) | (37.9% - 46.7%) | (77.6% - 84.6%) |
| Orange | 2.6% | 1.0% | 8.8% | \$104,335 | 55.1% ↑ | 89.0% ↓ |
| Oralige | (1.4% - 3.8%) | (0.0% - 2.2%) | (6.1% - 11.5%) | (\$97,969 - \$110,701) | (51.8% - 58.4%) | (86.4% - 91.6%) |
| Oxford | 1.7% | 1.6% | 6.1% | \$111,122 | 38.7% ↑ | 95.8% ↑ |
| Oxioiu | (0.7% - 2.7%) | (0.0% - 3.4%) | (3.5% - 8.8%) | (\$102,240 - \$120,004) | (34.9% - 42.5%) | (93.7% - 97.9%) |
| Plainfield | 10.7% ↑ | 17.1% ↑ | 22.0% | \$61,058 | 12.0% | 73.9% ↑ |
| Plainneid | (7.6% - 13.8%) | (10.8% - 23.4%) | (17.8% - 26.2%) | (\$57,926 - \$64,190) | (10.0% - 14.0%) | (69.5% - 78.3%) |
| Plainville | 6.7% | 10.0% | 16.7% | \$61,489 | 19.2% | 73.9% ↑ |
| Plantville | (4.2% - 9.2%) | (3.9% - 16.1%) | (13.2% - 20.3%) | (\$57,375 - \$65,603) | (16.7% - 21.7%) | (71.0% - 76.8%) |
| Dl | 5.7% | 7.5% | 14.5% | \$74,317 | 20.9% ↑ | 88.3% ↑ |
| Plymouth | (3.6% - 7.8%) | (3.0% - 12.0%) | (11.3% - 17.7%) | (\$70,939 - \$77,695) | (17.7% - 24.1%) | (85.5% - 91.1%) |
| Deverfreet | 5.3% | 5.6% | 11.8% | \$77,422 | 40.7% | 76.2% |
| Pomfret | (1.4% - 9.2%) | (0.0% - 13.1%) | (6.5% - 17.2%) | (\$67,865 - \$86,979) | (33.7% - 47.7%) | (70.3% - 82.1%) |
| Portland | 5.3% | 6.3% | 8.8% | \$93,438 | 39.1% ↑ | 82.0% ↑ |
| Portiand | (2.7% - 7.9%) | (0.2% - 12.4%) | (5.8% - 11.7%) | (\$87,595 - \$99,281) | (34.6% - 43.6%) | (78.4% - 85.6%) |
| D (| 10.6% | 23.2% ↑ | 20.0% ↑ | \$78,250 | 22.0% | 85.9% |
| Preston | (5.2% - 16.0%) | (5.0% - 41.4%) | (12.0% - 28.0%) | (\$71,701 - \$84,799) | (17.4% - 26.6%) | (80.4% - 91.4%) |
| D | 2.4% | 3.1% | 9.9% ↑ | \$93,631 | 32.3% ↑ | 94.0% |
| Prospect | (0.9% - 3.9%) | (0.2% - 6.0%) | (6.9% - 12.8%) | (\$87,225 - \$100,037) | (28.4% - 36.2%) | (91.5% - 96.5%) |
| D (| 15.4% ↑ | 19.6% | 33.9% ↑ | \$51,171↓ | 19.0% | 56.6% |
| Putnam | (10.2% - 20.6%) | (8.7% - 30.5%) | (26.6% - 41.3%) | (\$46,649 - \$55,693) | (15.3% - 22.7%) | (51.2% - 62.0%) |
| D 11. | 3.7% | 4.8% | 6.9% | \$113,697↓ | 65.6% | 82.1%↓ |
| Redding | (1.0% - 6.4%) | (0.0% - 12.0%) | (4.0% - 9.9%) | (\$95,955 - \$131,439) | (61.2% - 70.0%) | (77.9% - 86.3%) |
| D:1C-11 | 1.9% | 0.8% | 5.8% | \$145,000 | 70.2% ↑ | 84.2% |
| Ridgefield | (1.2% - 2.6%) | (0.1% - 1.5%) | (4.3% - 7.2%) | (\$130,747 - \$159,253) | (67.9% - 72.5%) | (81.9% - 86.5%) |
| D 1 11.11 | 6.0% | 4.1% | 17.0% ↑ | \$75,582 | 43.1% ↑ | 65.6% |
| Rocky Hill | (3.4% - 8.6%) | (1.1% - 7.1%) | (12.7% - 21.3%) | (\$70,703 - \$80,461) | (40.1% - 46.1%) | (62.4% - 68.8%) |
| D 1 | 1.6% | 0.0% | 5.7%↓ | \$98,833 | 54.0% | 86.9% |
| Roxbury | (0.2% - 3.0%) | (0.0% - 7.6%) | (3.4% - 7.9%) | (\$81,991 - \$115,675) | (46.8% - 61.2%) | (81.7% - 92.1%) |
| 0.1 | 3.2% ↑ | 1.4% | 7.7% | \$97,424 | 43.2% | 92.7% ↑ |
| Salem | (1.3% - 5.1%) | (0.0% - 3.8%) | (4.8% - 10.5%) | (\$86,672 - \$108,176) | (37.6% - 48.8%) | (89.0% - 96.4%) |
| 0 1 1 | 6.8% | 6.3% | 23.7% | \$70,250 | 62.0% ↑ | 73.0% |
| Salisbury | (3.2% - 10.4%) | (0.0% - 13.9%) | (15.3% - 32.1%) | (\$55,516 - \$84,984) | (54.7% - 69.3%) | (64.8% - 81.2%) |
| | | | | | | |

| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree [†] | Home Ownership |
|---|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Commenting | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| | Saatland | 1.1%↓ | 1.9%↓ | 12.2% | \$77,143 | 22.2% | 88.5% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Scotland | (0.3% - 1.9%) | (0.0% - 4.7%) | (4.1% - 20.3%) | (\$72,999 - \$81,287) | (16.7% - 27.7%) | (83.7% - 93.3%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Corres on a | 5.2% | 2.1%↓ | 13.4% | \$75,482 | 26.0% ↑ | 76.4% ↑ |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Seymour | (3.2% - 7.2%) | (0.0% - 4.9%) | (10.4% - 16.4%) | (\$63,188 - \$87,776) | (22.6% - 29.4%) | (73.3% - 79.5%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Classica in | 5.3% | 0.0%↓ | 22.1% | \$73,661 | 35.4% | 78.7% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Sharon | (1.6% - 9.0%) | (0.0% - 7.8%) | (13.7% - 30.6%) | (\$62,555 - \$84,767) | (28.4% - 42.4%) | (71.2% - 86.2%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Markenergy} & (3.1\% - 5.1\%) & (2.3\% - 6.7\%) & (9.1\% - 12.4\%) & (8/9/436 - 886,820) & (32.2\% - 36.6\%) & (80.8\% - 84.2\%) \\ \mbox{Sherman} & \frac{1.8\% & 2.5\% & 8.5\% & 8120,458 & 57.9\% & 93.6\% \\ (0.1\% - 3.5\%) & (0.0\% - 6.4\%) & (3.8\% - 13.3\%) & ($105,371 - $135,545) & (52.1\% - 63.7\%) & (88.7\% - 98.5\%) \\ \mbox{Simsbury} & \frac{2.0\% & 1.4\% & 6.7\% & 810(1557 - $122,551) & (61.4\% - 66.6\%) & (84.7\% - 88.1\%) \\ \mbox{2.0\% & 2.9\% & 0.0\% - 2.9\%) & (52\% - 8.2\%) & ($110557 - $122,551) & (61.4\% - 66.6\%) & (84.7\% - 88.1\%) \\ \mbox{Somers} & \frac{4.4\% & 6.3\% & 8.0\% & 1 & $100,573 \uparrow & 30.1\% \uparrow & 93.6\% \uparrow \\ \mbox{2.4\% - 6.4\%) & (2.1\% - 10.5\%) & (4.9\% - 11.0\%) & ($94,911 - $106,235) & (26.4\% - 33.8\%) & (90.6\% - 96.6\%) \\ \mbox{South Windsor} & 3.7\% & 4.9\% & 9.2\% \uparrow & $92,513 & 45.5\% \uparrow & 90.6\% \\ \mbox{3.5\% & 2.2\% & 2.8\% & 15.3\% \uparrow & $72,177 \downarrow & 46.8\% \uparrow & 87.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $72,177 \downarrow & 46.8\% \uparrow & 87.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $77,112 & 33.0\% \uparrow & 84.5\% \uparrow \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $77,112 & 33.0\% \uparrow & 84.5\% \uparrow \\ \mbox{3.5\% & 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $77,3110 - $81,114) & (31.1\% - 34.9\%) & (82.7\% - 86.3\%) \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.4\% & 0.0\% & 16.5\% \downarrow & $63,355 & 2.7.2\% \uparrow & 64.7\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.4\% & 0.0.9\% - 15.6\%) & (16.7\% - 24.2\%) & ($55,570 & 20.7\% & 78.2\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 14.7\%) & (0.0\% - 37.6\%) & $16.5\% \downarrow & $65,570 & 20.7\% & 78.2\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 13.1\% \uparrow & 25.1\% \uparrow & $75,40 - $80,653] & (42.5\% - 45.3\%) & (55.4\% - 73.8\%) \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 13.1\% \uparrow & 25.1\% \uparrow & $75,40 - $80,653] & (42.5\% - 45.3\%) & (55.4\% - 73.4\%) \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 13.1\% \uparrow & 25.1\% \uparrow & $75,40 - $80,653] & (42.5\% - 45.3\%) & (55.4\% - 77.4\%) \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 15.4\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 15.4\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4$ | C1 1 | 4.1% | 4.5% | 10.8% | \$83,128↓ | 34.4% ↑ | 82.5% |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Shelton | (3.1% - 5.1%) | (2.3% - 6.7%) | (9.1% - 12.4%) | (\$79,436 - \$86,820) | (32.2% - 36.6%) | (80.8% - 84.2%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 01 | 1.8% | 2.5% | 8.5% | \$120,458 | 57.9% ↑ | 93.6% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Sherman | (0.1% - 3.5%) | (0.0% - 6.4%) | (3.8% - 13.3%) | (\$105,371 - \$135,545) | (52.1% - 63.7%) | (88.7% - 98.5%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 0: 1 | 2.0% | | 6.7% ↑ | . , | 64.0% | 86.4% ↑ |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Simsbury | (1.1% - 2.9%) | (0.0% - 2.9%) | (5.2% - 8.2%) | (\$110,557 - \$122,551) | (61.4% - 66.6%) | (84.7% - 88.1%) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 0 | 4.4% | 6.3% | 8.0%↓ | \$100,573 ↑ | 30.1% ↑ | 93.6% ↑ |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Somers | (2.4% - 6.4%) | (2.1% - 10.5%) | • | (\$94,911 - \$106,235) | (26.4% - 33.8%) | (90.6% - 96.6%) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 0 1 1 1 | 3.7% | 4.9% | 9.2% ↑ | \$92,513 | 45.3% ↑ | 90.6% |
| Southbury 5.2% 2.8% 15.3% \uparrow $\$72,177$ \downarrow 46.8% \uparrow 87.6% Southbury $(2.4\% - 8.0\%)$ $(0.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(12.0\% - 18.5\%)$ $(\$64,879 - \$79,475)$ $(43.5\% - 50.1\%)$ $(84.8\% - 90.4\%)$ Southington 3.5% 4.2% 11.5% $\$77,112$ 33.0% 84.5% $84.8\% - 90.4\%)$ Sprague $(2.4\% - 4.6\%)$ $(2.2\% - 6.2\%)$ $(9.9\% - 13.1\%)$ $(\$77,110 - \$81,114)$ $(31.1\% - 34.9\%)$ $(82.7\% - 86.3\%)$ Sprague 8.5% 18.0% 16.5% $\$63,155$ 27.2% 64.7% $(2.3\% - 14.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 37.6\%)$ $(8.7\% - 24.2\%)$ $(\$53,342 - \$72,968)$ $(21.0\% - 33.4\%)$ $(55.6\% - 73.8\%)$ Stafford 6.1% 3.8% 21.6% $\$65,570$ 20.7% 78.2% $(4.2\% - 8.0\%)$ $(0.8\% - 6.8\%)$ $(16.7\% - 26.5\%)$ $\$59,479 - \$71,661$ $(16.7\% - 24.7\%)$ $(74.5\% - 81.9\%)$ Stamford 11.0% 13.1% 25.1% $\$78,201 \downarrow$ 43.9% 55.4% $(9.8\% - 12.2\%)$ $(10.6\% - 15.6\%)$ $(23.1\% - 27.1\%)$ $(\$75,749 - \$80,653)$ $(42.5\% - 45.3\%)$ $(55.1\% - 57.7\%)$ Sterling 12.8% 15.4% 22.1% $\$75,900$ 13.4% 85.0% $(6.9\% - 18.7\%)$ $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.3\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$75,900$ 13.4% 85.0% Stonington 5.5% 5.4% 16.0% $\$75,972$ 44.8% 71.16% $(2.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$77,614 \downarrow$ 28.9% 80.5 | South Windsor | (1.8% - 5.6%) | (0.0% - 10.1%) | (6.1% - 12.3%) | (\$85,547 - \$99,479) | (42.9% - 47.7%) | (88.6% - 92.6%) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 0 11 | 5.2% | 2.8% | 15.3% ↑ | \$72,177↓ | 46.8% ↑ | 87.6% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Southbury | (2.4% - 8.0%) | (0.1% - 5.5%) | (12.0% - 18.5%) | (\$64,879 - \$79,475) | (43.5% - 50.1%) | (84.8% - 90.4%) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | 0 1 | 3.5% | 4.2% | 11.5% | \$77,112 | 33.0% ↑ | 84.5% ↑ |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Southington | (2.4% - 4.6%) | (2.2% - 6.2%) | (9.9% - 13.1%) | (\$73,110 - \$81,114) | (31.1% - 34.9%) | (82.7% - 86.3%) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | C | 8.5% | 18.0% | 16.5%↓ | \$63,155 | 27.2% ↑ | 64.7% |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Sprague | (2.3% - 14.7%) | (0.0% - 37.6%) | (8.7% - 24.2%) | (\$53,342 - \$72,968) | (21.0% - 33.4%) | (55.6% - 73.8%) |
| Stamford $(4.2\% - 8.0\%)$ $(0.8\% - 6.8\%)$ $(16.7\% - 26.5\%)$ $(\$59, 4/9 - \$/1, 661)$ $(16.7\% - 24.7\%)$ $(74.5\% - 81.9\%)$ Stamford 11.0% ↑ 13.1% ↑ 25.1% ↑ $\$78, 201 \downarrow$ 43.9% ↑ 56.4% $(9.8\% - 12.2\%)$ $(10.6\% - 15.6\%)$ $(23.1\% - 27.1\%)$ $(\$75, 749 - \$80, 653)$ $(42.5\% - 45.3\%)$ $(55.1\% - 57.7\%)$ Sterling 12.8% ↑ 15.4% 22.1% $\$75,000$ 13.4% 85.0% $(6.9\% - 18.7\%)$ $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.3\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$64, 810 - \$85, 190)$ $(9.5\% - 17.3\%)$ $(78.5\% - 91.5\%)$ Stonington 5.1% 6.5% 16.0% $\$75,972$ 44.8% ↑ 71.6% $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford 5.5% 5.4% 18.3% ↑ $\$67,761 \downarrow$ 28.9% ↑ 80.5% Stratford $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% Suffield 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | 0, 66, 1 | 6.1% | 3.8% | 21.6% | \$65,570 | 20.7% | 78.2% |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Stattord | (4.2% - 8.0%) | (0.8% - 6.8%) | (16.7% - 26.5%) | (\$59,479 - \$71,661) | (16.7% - 24.7%) | (74.5% - 81.9%) |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 11.0% ↑ | 13.1% ↑ | 25.1% ↑ | \$78,201↓ | 43.9% ↑ | 56.4% |
| Sterling 12.8% \uparrow 15.4% 22.1% $\$75,000$ 13.4% 85.0% Sterling $(6.9\% - 18.7\%)$ $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.3\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$64,\$10 - \$85,190)$ $(9.5\% - 17.3\%)$ $(78.5\% - 91.5\%)$ Stonington 5.1% 6.5% 16.0% $\$775,972$ 44.8% \uparrow 71.6% $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford 5.5% 5.4% 18.3% \uparrow $\$67,761$ \downarrow 28.9% \uparrow 80.5% Stratford $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield 2.2% \downarrow 0.5% \downarrow 6.1% \downarrow $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% 1.5% \downarrow 12.4% $\$64,982$ \downarrow 24.5% \uparrow 77.6% | Stamford | (9.8% - 12.2%) | (10.6% - 15.6%) | (23.1% - 27.1%) | (\$75,749 - \$80,653) | (42.5% - 45.3%) | (55.1% - 57.7%) |
| Stonington $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.5\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$64,\$10 - \$85,190)$ $(9.5\% - 17.5\%)$ $(78.5\% - 91.5\%)$ Stonington 5.1% 6.5% 16.0% $\$75,972$ $44.8\% \uparrow$ 71.6% $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford 5.5% 5.4% $18.3\% \uparrow$ $\$67,761 \downarrow$ $28.9\% \uparrow$ 80.5% Stratford $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | 0, 1 | 12.8% ↑ | 15.4% | 22.1% | \$75,000 | | 85.0% |
| Stonington $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford 5.5% 5.4% 18.3% $\$67,761\downarrow$ 28.9% 80.5% $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\%\downarrow$ $0.5\%\downarrow$ $6.1\%\downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% $1.5\%\downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982\downarrow$ $24.5\%\uparrow$ 77.6% | Sterling | (6.9% - 18.7%) | (4.4% - 26.4%) | (14.3% - 29.9%) | (\$64,810 - \$85,190) | (9.5% - 17.3%) | (78.5% - 91.5%) |
| Stratford $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$/1,626 - \$80,518)$ $(42.0\% - 4/.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford 5.5% 5.4% 18.3% $\$67,761$ 28.9% 80.5% $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield 2.2% 0.5% 6.1% $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% 1.5% 12.4% $\$64,982$ 24.5% 77.6% | Q | · · · · · | (/ | 16.0% | | 44.8% ↑ | 71.6% |
| Stratford $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(81.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | Stonington | (3.4% - 6.8%) | (2.5% - 10.5%) | (12.9% - 19.1%) | (\$71,626 - \$80,318) | (42.0% - 47.6%) | (68.7% - 74.5%) |
| Stratford $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(81.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | | 5.5% | 5.4% | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (|
| Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ 36.6% 84.8% $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - \$7.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | Stratford | (4.2% - 6.8%) | (3.3% - 7.5%) | | | • | (78.8% - 82.2%) |
| Suffield $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - \$7.9\%)$ Thomaston 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | 0 00 11 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | (|
| 2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ 12.4% $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ 77.6% | Suttield | • | • | • | · · · · | (33.2% - 40.0%) | |
| Thomaston | 711 | · · · · · · | | (/ | | (/ | (/ |
| | Thomaston | (1.6% - 4.0%) | (0.0% - 3.2%) | (8.6% - 16.3%) | (\$58,934 - \$71,030) | (20.9% - 28.1%) | (73.4% - 81.8%) |

| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | Residents in Poverty | All Children in Poverty | Residents Below 200% of the FPL* | Median Household Income** | Residents with Bachelor's Degree [†] | Home Ownership |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Compositions | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Connecticut | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | 6.2% | 5.0% | 20.2% | \$61,517 | 19.1% | 83.2% |
| | mompson | (3.3% - 9.1%) | (0.8% - 9.2%) | (15.1% - 25.3%) | (\$57,367 - \$65,667) | (15.9% - 22.3%) | (78.9% - 87.5%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Talland | 3.2% | 2.3% | 10.9% ↑ | \$102,370 | 47.0% | 92.3% |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Tolland | (1.7% - 4.7%) | (0.0% - 5.0%) | (7.5% - 14.4%) | (\$93,484 - \$111,256) | (43.0% - 51.0%) | (89.8% - 94.8%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Tominaton | 12.0% ↑ | 15.1% ↑ | 28.0% ↑ | \$48,742↓ | 19.7% ↑ | 66.4% |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | Tornington | (10.0% - 14.0%) | (10.8% - 19.4%) | (25.0% - 31.1%) | (\$45,985 - \$51,499) | (18.0% - 21.4%) | (64.2% - 68.6%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | T | 2.3% | 2.4% | 7.5% | \$106,058 | 50.8% ↑ | 89.4% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Trumbull | (1.5% - 3.1%) | (0.8% - 4.0%) | (5.9% - 9.0%) | (\$101,613 - \$110,503) | (48.7% - 52.9%) | (87.9% - 90.9%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | TT · | 0.7%↓ | 1.0%↓ | 6.9% | \$84,028 | 28.0% | 94.7% ↑ |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Union | (0.0% - 1.5%) | (0.0% - 2.7%) | (0.0% - 16.9%) | (\$76,457 - \$91,599) | (18.8% - 37.2%) | (89.8% - 99.6%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | V 7 | 8.5% ↑ | 12.8% | 20.4% | \$62,115 | 30.2% ↑ | 59.2% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Vernon | (6.5% - 10.5%) | (7.4% - 18.2%) | (17.4% - 23.3%) | (\$58,399 - \$65,831) | (27.9% - 32.5%) | (57.0% - 61.4%) |
| Wallingford $(0.0\% \circ - 6.8\%)$ $(4.7\% - 15.5\%)$ $(8.1,865 - $80,948)$ $(1.1.9\% - 27.0\%)$ $(76.9\% - 87.9\%)$ Wallingford $6.0\% \uparrow$ 6.7% $15.7\% \uparrow$ $$72,540 \downarrow$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ 72.9% Warren 4.2% 6.4% 11.9% $$97,794$ 40.4% 88.8% Warren 4.2% 6.4% 11.9% $$97,794$ 40.4% 88.8% Washington 6.3% 5.2% 14.0% $$72,540 \downarrow$ 40.4% 88.8% Washington 6.3% 5.2% 14.0% $$70,068 \downarrow$ 40.1% 72.6% Waterbury $20.6\% \uparrow$ $31.7\% \uparrow$ $40.9\% \uparrow$ $$84,499 \downarrow$ $17.2\% \uparrow$ $49.6\% \uparrow$ $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $(539,887 - 543,111)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(48.3\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford 4.3% $2.3\% \downarrow$ $10.3\% - 15.2\%$ $(568,148 - $75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown 3.4% 2.3% 10.4% $$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $85.2\% \uparrow$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ 5.9% 14.5% $29.0\% \uparrow$ $$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% $29.0\% \uparrow$ $$85,057 \downarrow$ $$2.0\% \uparrow$ $$72,536$ $$52.9\% \uparrow$ $$72,536$ $$52.9\% \uparrow$ $$72,536$ $$52.9\% \uparrow$ Westbrook $6.1\% \uparrow$ 5.2% 10.4% $$85.9\% \uparrow$ $$80,061$ $$9.1\% \uparrow$ $$72.5\% \uparrow$ $$85,057 \downarrow$ $$2.0\% \uparrow$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ < | N7 1 | 2.2%↓ | 3.4% | 9.1% | \$76,208 | 22.0% | 82.4% |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Voluntown | (0.8% - 3.6%) | (0.0% - 6.8%) | (4.7% - 13.6%) | (\$71,868 - \$80,548) | (17.0% - 27.0%) | (76.9% - 87.9%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | W/ 11. C 1 | 6.0% | 6.7% | 15.7% ↑ | \$72,540↓ | 32.5% ↑ | 72.9% |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | Wallingford | (4.6% - 7.4%) | (3.7% - 9.7%) | (13.5% - 17.9%) | (\$68,536 - \$76,544) | (30.7% - 34.3%) | (71.0% - 74.8%) |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | W7 | 4.2% | 6.4% | 11.9% | \$97,794 | 40.4% | 88.8% |
| $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | warren | (1.9% - 6.5%) | (0.7% - 12.1%) | (4.5% - 19.3%) | (\$83,171 - \$112,417) | (34.5% - 46.3%) | (83.6% - 94.0%) |
| Waterbury $(3.5\% - 9.3\%)$ $(0.0\% - 11.0\%)$ $(9.2\% - 18.5\%)$ $(852,064 - $7,8,072)$ $(32.8\% - 47.4\%)$ $(65.2\% - 80.0\%)$ Waterbury 20.6% ↑ 31.7% ↑ 40.9% ↑ $$41,499$ ↓ 17.2% ↑ 49.6% ↑ $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $($39,887 - $43,111)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(48.3\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford 4.3% 2.3% ↓ 12.8% $$72,036$ 35.9% ↑ 85.3% Watertown 3.4% 2.3% $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $($68,148 - $75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ West Hartford 6.1% ↑ 5.9% 10.4% $$81,203$ 32.5% ↑ 85.2% ↑West Hartford 6.1% ↑ 5.9% 15.8% ↑ $$80,061$ 59.1% ↑ 73.8% ↑West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% ↑ $$53,057$ ↓ 23.0% ↑ 57.7% ↑West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% ↑ $$53,057$ ↓ 23.0% ↑ 57.7% ↑Westbrook 4.3% ↑ 7.2% ↓ $(1.0\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $($77,806 - $82,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% ↑ $$53,057$ ↓ 23.0% ↑ 57.7% ↑Westbrook 4.3% ↑ 7.2% ↓ 35.3% ↑ 79.9% ↑ $$60,422$ ↓ 35.3% ↑ 79.9% ↑Weston 2.4% ↓ 1.5% ↓ 4.3% $$205,563$ 80.8% ↑ 93.0% Weston 2.4% ↓ 1.5% ↓ 4.3% ↓ $$205,563$ 80.8% ↑ 93 | W/1 | 6.3% | 5.2% | 14.0% | \$70,068↓ | 40.1% | 72.6% |
| Waterbury $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $(\$39,887 - \$43,111)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(48.3\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford 4.3% 2.3% 12.8% $\$72,036$ 35.9% 85.3% $(3.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(0.8\% - 3.8\%)$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $(\$68,148 - \$75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown 3.4% 2.3% 10.4% $\$81,203$ 32.5% 85.2% $(2.3\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford 6.1% 5.9% 15.8% $\$80,061$ 59.1% 73.8% $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057$ 23.0% 57.7% $(8.7\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(29.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422$ 35.3% 79.9% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $$205,563$ 80.8% $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $$205,563$ 80.8% 90.9% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $$205,563$ 80.8% 90.1% Weston 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $$155,792$ (74.2%) 85.5% | Washington | (3.3% - 9.3%) | (0.0% - 11.0%) | (9.2% - 18.9%) | (\$62,064 - \$78,072) | (32.8% - 47.4%) | (65.2% - 80.0%) |
| Waterford $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(148.5\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford 4.3% $2.3\% \downarrow$ 12.8% $\$72,036$ $35.9\% \uparrow$ 85.3% Waterford 3.4% $2.3\% \downarrow$ 10.4% $\$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $88.2\% \uparrow$ Watertown 3.4% $2.3\% \downarrow$ 10.4% $\$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $85.2\% \uparrow$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ 5.9% $15.8\% \uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ 5.9% $15.8\% \uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% $29.0\% \uparrow$ $\$53,057 \downarrow$ $23.0\% \uparrow$ $57.7\% \uparrow$ West Haven $(0.0\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422 \downarrow$ 35.3% $79.9\% \uparrow$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ 93.0% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$16,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$16,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Weston 2.4% 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ $74.2\% \uparrow$ 85.5% | | 20.6% ↑ | 31.7% ↑ | 40.9% ↑ | \$41,499↓ | 17.2% ↑ | 49.6% ↑ |
| Waterford $(3.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(0.8\% - 3.8\%)$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $(\$68,148 - \$75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown 3.4% 2.3% 10.4% $\$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $85.2\% \uparrow$ $(2.3\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ 5.9% $15.8\% \uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% $29.0\% \uparrow$ $\$53,057 \downarrow$ $23.0\% \uparrow$ $57.7\% \uparrow$ $(8.7\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422 \downarrow$ 35.3% $79.9\% \uparrow$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ 93.0% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ 93.0% Weston 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $$155,792$ $(74.2\% \uparrow$ 85.5% | waterbury | (19.3% - 21.9%) | (28.6% - 34.8%) | (38.8% - 43.1%) | (\$39,887 - \$43,111) | (15.9% - 18.5%) | (48.3% - 50.9%) |
| Watertown $(3.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(0.8\% - 3.8\%)$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $(\$68,148 - \$/5,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(\$83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown 3.4% 2.3% 10.4% $\$81,203$ 32.5% 85.2% $\$65.2\%$ West Hartford 6.1% $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford 6.1% 5.9% 15.8% $\$80,061$ 59.1% 73.8% 73.8% West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057$ 23.0% $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057$ 23.0% 57.7% Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422$ 35.3% 79.9% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$20.563$ 80.8% 93.0% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$20.5563$ 80.8% 93.0% Westort 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $$7.3\%$ $$155,792$ 74.2% 93.0% | Watarford | 4.3% | 2.3%↓ | 12.8% | \$72,036 | 35.9% ↑ | 85.3% |
| Watertown $(2.3\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford 6.1% ↑ 5.9% 15.8% ↑ $\$80,061$ 59.1% ↑ 73.8% ↑West Hartford $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% ↑ $\$53,057$ ↓ 23.0% ↑ 57.7% ↑West Haven 10.5% $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422$ ↓ 35.3% 79.9% ↑Westbrook 2.4% 1.5% $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ 80.8% ↑ 93.0% Weston 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ 74.2% ↑ 85.5% | waterford | (3.1% - 5.5%) | (0.8% - 3.8%) | (10.3% - 15.2%) | (\$68,148 - \$75,924) | (33.1% - 38.7%) | (83.0% - 87.6%) |
| West Hartford $(0.2\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$/6,527 - \$85,8/9)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford 6.1% \uparrow 5.9% 15.8% \uparrow $\$80,061$ 59.1% \uparrow 73.8% \uparrow West Haven $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% \uparrow $\$53,057$ \downarrow 23.0% \uparrow 57.7% \uparrow West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% \uparrow $\$53,057$ \downarrow 23.0% \uparrow 57.7% \uparrow Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422$ \downarrow 35.3% 79.9% \uparrow Westbrook 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$60,422$ \downarrow 35.3% 79.9% \uparrow Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ 80.8% \uparrow 93.0% Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ 80.8% \uparrow 93.0% Weston 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ 74.2% \uparrow 85.5% | W/ - + + | 3.4% | 2.3% | 10.4% | \$81,203 | 32.5% ↑ | 85.2% ↑ |
| West Hartford $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057$ 23.0% 57.7% 57.7% West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057$ 23.0% 57.7% 57.7% West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057$ 23.0% 57.7% 57.7% Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422$ 35.3% 79.9% Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ 80.8% 93.0% Westont 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ 74.2% 85.5% | watertown | (2.3% - 4.5%) | (0.2% - 4.4%) | (8.5% - 12.4%) | (\$76,527 - \$85,879) | (29.8% - 35.2%) | (82.7% - 87.7%) |
| West Haven $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$/7,806 - \$82,316)$ $(5/.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(7/2.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven 10.5% 14.5% 29.0% $\$53,057\downarrow$ 23.0% 57.7% $(6.7\% - 12.3\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422\downarrow$ 35.3% 79.9% Westbrook $(1.1\% - 7.5\%)$ $(0.0\% - 16.1\%)$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ 80.8% 93.0% (1.1\% - 3.7\%) $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westort 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ 74.2% 85.5% | W/aat II. at faud | 6.1% ↑ | 5.9% | 15.8% ↑ | \$80,061 | 59.1% ↑ | 73.8% ↑ |
| West Haven $(8.7\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $\$60,422 \downarrow$ 35.3% $79.9\% \uparrow$ $(1.1\% - 7.5\%)$ $(0.0\% - 16.1\%)$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ 93.0% $(1.1\% - 3.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westort 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ $74.2\% \uparrow$ 85.5% | west martifierd | (5.2% - 7.0%) | (4.3% - 7.5%) | (14.1% - 17.4%) | (\$77,806 - \$82,316) | (57.4% - 60.8%) | (72.4% - 75.2%) |
| (8. /% - 12.3%)(10.8% - 18.2%)(25.9% - 32.2%)(\$50, /98 - \$55,316)(21.3% - 24. /%)(55.6% - 59.8%)Westbrook 4.3% 7.2% 21.7% $$60,422 \downarrow$ 35.3% $79.9\% \uparrow$ (1.1% - 7.5%)(0.0% - 16.1%)(14.9% - 28.5%)(\$53,346 - \$67,498)(29.1% - 41.5%)(75.0% - 84.8%)Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% \$205,563 $80.8\% \uparrow$ 93.0% (1.1% - 3.7%)(0.0% - 3.1%)(2.6% - 5.9%)(\$186,625 - \$224,501)(77.7% - 83.9%)(90.1% - 95.9%)Westort 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% \$155,792 $74.2\% \uparrow$ 85.5% | West Harrow | 10.5% | 14.5% | 29.0% ↑ | \$53,057↓ | 23.0% ↑ | 57.7% ↑ |
| Westbrook $(1.1\% - 7.5\%)$ $(0.0\% - 16.1\%)$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston 2.4% 1.5% 4.3% $\$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ 93.0% $(1.1\% - 3.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westort 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ $74.2\% \uparrow$ 85.5% | west maven | (8.7% - 12.3%) | (10.8% - 18.2%) | (25.9% - 32.2%) | (\$50,798 - \$55,316) | (21.3% - 24.7%) | (55.6% - 59.8%) |
| (1.1% - 7.5%) $(0.0% - 16.1%)$ $(14.9% - 28.5%)$ $($53,346 - $67,498)$ $(29.1% - 41.5%)$ $(75.0% - 84.8%)$ Weston $2.4%$ $1.5%$ $4.3%$ $$205,563$ $80.8%$ $93.0%$ $(1.1% - 3.7%)$ $(0.0% - 3.1%)$ $(2.6% - 5.9%)$ $($186,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7% - 83.9%)$ $(90.1% - 95.9%)$ Westport $3.5%$ $3.4%$ $7.3%$ $$155,792$ $74.2%$ $85.5%$ | Waathraal | | 7.2% | 21.7% | \$60,422↓ | 35.3% | 79.9% ↑ |
| Weston $(1.1\% - 3.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - \$3.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westport 3.5% 3.4% 7.3% $\$155,792$ 74.2% 85.5% | Westbrook | (1.1% - 7.5%) | (0.0% - 16.1%) | (14.9% - 28.5%) | (\$53,346 - \$67,498) | (29.1% - 41.5%) | (75.0% - 84.8%) |
| (1.1% - 3.7%) $(0.0% - 3.1%)$ $(2.6% - 5.9%)$ $($186,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7% - $3.9%)$ $(90.1% - 95.9%)$ Westport $3.5%$ $3.4%$ $7.3%$ $$155,792$ $74.2%$ $85.5%$ | Wester | 2.4% | 1.5% | 4.3% | \$205,563 | 80.8% ↑ | 93.0% |
| Workport | weston | (1.1% - 3.7%) | (0.0% - 3.1%) | (2.6% - 5.9%) | (\$186,625 - \$224,501) | (77.7% - 83.9%) | (90.1% - 95.9%) |
| $(2.3\% - 4.7\%) \qquad (1.2\% - 5.6\%) \qquad (5.4\% - 9.2\%) \qquad (\$146,945 - \$164,639) \qquad (72.1\% - 76.3\%) \qquad (83.1\% - 87.9\%)$ | Westport | 3.5% | 3.4% | 7.3% | \$155,792 | 74.2% ↑ | 85.5% |
| | westport | (2.3% - 4.7%) | (1.2% - 5.6%) | (5.4% - 9.2%) | (\$146,945 - \$164,639) | (72.1% - 76.3%) | (83.1% - 87.9%) |

| | Residents in | All Children in | Residents Below | Median Household | Residents with | Home |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Poverty | Poverty | 200% of the FPL* | Income** | Bachelor's Degree ⁺ | Ownership |
| Connecticut | 9.5% ↑ | 12.6% ↑ | 21.6% ↑ | \$69,243 ↓ | 35.7% ↑ | 68.9% ↑ |
| | (9.3% - 9.7%) | (12.6% - 12.6%) | (21.3% - 21.9%) | (\$68,834 - \$69,652) | (35.4% - 36.0%) | (68.6% - 69.2%) |
| Wethersfield | 4.5% | 5.2% | 14.5% | \$72,041 | 40.6% ↑ | 78.5% |
| | (3.3% - 5.7%) | (2.7% - 7.7%) | (11.8% - 17.3%) | (\$68,189 - \$75,893) | (38.4% - 42.8%) | (76.2% - 80.8%) |
| Willington | 16.5% | 4.8% | 27.8% | \$67,736 | 36.5% | 68.7% |
| | (11.5% - 21.5%) | (0.0% - 11.2%) | (21.1% - 34.6%) | (\$55,421 - \$80,051) | (30.4% - 42.6%) | (63.4% - 74.0%) |
| Wilton | 2.1% | 0.9% | 4.7% | \$159,720 ↓ | 73.9% | 90.8% |
| | (1.1% - 3.1%) | (0.1% - 1.7%) | (3.5% - 6.0%) | (\$148,647 - \$170,793) | (71.3% - 76.5%) | (88.7% - 92.9%) |
| Winchester | 6.7% | 4.6% ↓ | 21.3% | \$57,050 | 19.9% | 69.4% |
| | (4.1% - 9.3%) | (0.4% - 8.8%) | (16.1% - 26.6%) | (\$51,193 - \$62,907) | (16.5% - 23.3%) | (64.8% - 74.0%) |
| Windham | 21.9% ↑ | 34.0% ↑ | 44.6% ↑ | \$42,178 ↓ | 19.4% | 50.6% |
| | (18.9% - 24.9%) | (27.6% - 40.4%) | (39.7% - 49.5%) | (\$38,965 - \$45,391) | (17.2% - 21.6%) | (47.6% - 53.6%) |
| Windsor Locks | 8.2% ↑ | 9.1% | 18.9% ↑ | \$62,212 | 21.8% ↑ | 81.6% ↑ |
| | (5.0% - 11.4%) | (3.0% - 15.2%) | (14.6% - 23.3%) | (\$57,531 - \$66,893) | (18.3% - 25.3%) | (78.1% - 85.1%) |
| Windsor | 4.0% | 5.2% | 11.7% | \$79,927 ↓ | 35.3% ↑ | 81.6% |
| | (2.8% - 5.2%) | (2.6% - 7.8%) | (9.6% - 13.8%) | (\$77,309 - \$82,545) | (32.8% - 37.8%) | (79.4% - 83.8%) |
| Wolcott | 3.3% | 2.5% | 11.1% | \$80,529 | 24.5% ↑ | 88.8% |
| | (2.0% - 4.6%) | (0.2% - 4.8%) | (8.5% - 13.6%) | (\$74,849 - \$86,209) | (21.2% - 27.8%) | (86.1% - 91.5%) |
| Woodbridge | 2.2% | 2.7% | 7.0% | \$129,583 | 66.6% ↑ | 90.1% |
| | (0.5% - 3.9%) | (0.0% - 7.2%) | (3.5% - 10.4%) | (\$115,000 - \$144,166) | (62.1% - 71.1%) | (86.8% - 93.4%) |
| Woodbury | 4.7% | 4.3% | 10.9% | \$86,802 | 49.6% ↑ | 82.6% ↑ |
| | (2.6% - 6.8%) | (0.2% - 8.4%) | (7.9% - 14.0%) | (\$72,431 - \$101,173) | (45.3% - 53.9%) | (78.9% - 86.3%) |
| Woodstock | 5.2% | 4.5% | 10.7% ↓ | \$81,320 | 35.6% | 87.7% |
| | (2.4% - 8.0%) | (0.0% - 10.0%) | (7.0% - 14.5%) | (\$71,987 - \$90,653) | (30.0% - 41.2%) | (84.0% - 91.4%) |

Data from the U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) 2007-2011 five-year estimate.

* 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) approaches Connecticut's Self-Sufficiency Standard, the state's official measure of the income necessary for a family to meet basic needs. However, in most areas of the state, the Self Sufficiency Standard is far higher than 200% of the FPL.

** Median household income is 2011 (inflation-adjusted) dollars.

† Percent of residents over 25 who have completed a bachelor's degree.

All Residents in Poverty: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of all Connecticut residents in poverty increased from 7.9% in Census 2000 (1999 figures) to 9.5% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in poverty estimates over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in other towns.

Towns with Increase in All Residents in Poverty

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 | |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| Ansonia | 7.6% (6.5% -8.7%) | 11.6% ↑ (8.7% - 14.5%) | Midd |
| Avon | 1.7% (1.1% -2.3%) | 5.0% ↑ (3.2% - 6.8%) | New |
| Berlin | 2.5% (1.9% -3.1%) | 6.4% ↑ (3.8% - 9.0%) | New |
| Branford | 4.1% (3.5% -4.7%) | 5.8% ↑ (4.5% - 7.1%) | Norw |
| Bridgeport | 18.4% (17.8% -19.0%) | 21.9% ↑ (20.7% - 23.1%) | Plain |
| Bristol | 6.6% (6.0% -7.2%) | 8.2% ↑ (7.0% - 9.4%) | Putna |
| Burlington | 1.1% (0.5% -1.7%) | 4.0% ↑ (2.0% - 6.0%) | Rock |
| Chester | 1.3% (0.5% -2.1%) | 6.3% ↑ (3.6% - 9.0%) | Salen |
| Cornwall | 3.0% (2.0% -4.0%) | 11.4% ↑ (5.0% - 17.8%) | Stam |
| Danbury | 8.0% (7.4% -8.6%) | 10.0% ↑ (8.6% - 11.4%) | Sterli |
| Darien | 2.0% (1.6% -2.4%) | 5.1% ↑ (3.1% - 7.1%) | Torri |
| East Hartford | 10.3% (9.5% -11.1%) | 16.0% ↑ (14.2% - 17.8%) | Verno |
| East Haven | 5.2% (4.5% -5.9%) | 7.8% ↑ (6.0% - 9.6%) | Walli |
| Enfield | 4.0% (3.6% -4.4%) | 7.2% ↑ (5.7% - 8.7%) | Water |
| Glastonbury | 2.1% (1.7% -2.5%) | 3.2% ↑ (2.4% - 4.0%) | West Hartf |
| Hartford | 30.6% (29.9% -31.3%) | 32.9% ↑ (31.2% - 34.6%) | Wind |
| Meriden | 11.0% (10.3% -11.7%) | 14.8% ↑ (13.0% - 16.6%) | Wind Locks |

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Middletown | 7.5% (6.8% -8.2%) | 11.5% ↑ (9.8% - 13.2%) |
| New Britain | 16.4% (15.6% -17.2%) | 20.9% ↑ (19.0% - 22.8%) |
| New Haven | 24.4% (23.7% -25.1%) | 26.3% ↑ (24.9% - 27.7%) |
| Norwich | 11.5% (10.6% -12.4%) | 14.6% ↑ (12.1% - 17.1%) |
| Plainfield | 7.0% (5.8% -8.2%) | 10.7% ↑ (7.6% - 13.8%) |
| Putnam | 7.7% (6.1% -9.3%) | 15.4% ↑ (10.2% - 20.6%) |
| Rocky Hill | 2.9% (2.2% -3.6%) | 6.0% ↑ (3.4% - 8.6%) |
| Salem | 1.0% (0.1% -1.9%) | 3.2% ↑ (1.3% - 5.1%) |
| Stamford | 7.9% (7.5% -8.3%) | 11.0% ↑ (9.8% - 12.2%) |
| Sterling | 6.0% (4.1% -7.9%) | 12.8% ↑ (6.9% - 18.7%) |
| Torrington | 7.4% (6.6% -8.2%) | 12.0% ↑ (10.0% - 14.0%) |
| Vernon | 5.9% (5.1% -6.7%) | 8.5% ↑ (6.5% - 10.5%) |
| Wallingford | 3.6% (3.1% -4.1%) | 6.0% ↑ (4.6% - 7.4%) |
| Waterbury | 16.0% (15.4% -16.6%) | 20.6% ↑ (19.3% - 21.9%) |
| West Hartford | 4.5% (4.0% -5.0%) | 6.1% ↑ (5.2% - 7.0%) |
| Windham | 17.5% (16.0% -19.0%) | 21.9% ↑ (18.9% - 24.9%) |
| Windsor Locks | 4.4% (3.4% -5.4%) | 8.2% ↑ (5.0% - 11.4%) |

Towns with Decrease in All Residents in Poverty:

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Barkhamsted | 3.0% (1.7% -4.3%) | 0.9% ↓ (0.0% - 2.1%) |
| Canterbury | 4.5% (3.2% -5.8%) | 1.5% ↓ (0.4% - 2.6%) |
| Easton | 2.4% (1.6% -3.2%) | 0.9% ↓ (0.2% - 1.6%) |
| Granby | 3.1% (2.2% -4.0%) | 1.3% ↓ (0.5% - 2.1%) |
| Scotland | 4.7% (3.5% -5.9%) | 1.1% ↓ (0.3% - 1.9%) |
| Suffield | 3.6% (2.7% -4.5%) | 2.2% ↓ (1.2% - 3.2%) |
| Voluntown | 4.9% (3.0% -6.8%) | 2.2% ↓ (0.8% - 3.6%) |

All Children Under 18 in Poverty: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of related children under 18 statewide in poverty increased from 10.4% in Census 2000 (1999 figures) to 12.6% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in estimates of poverty among related children over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in estimates for related children in poverty in other towns.

Towns with Increase in All Children in Poverty:

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 | | Census 2000 |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Avon | 1.3% (0.3% -2.3%) | 6.2% ↑ (2.9% - 9.5%) | Harwinton | 0.7% (0.0% -1.7%) |
| Berlin | 1.2% (0.3% -2.1%) | 12.2% ↑ (6.1% - 18.3%) | Middletown | 7.7% (6.2% -9.2%) |
| Bethel | 1.3% (0.6% -2.0%) | 5.6% ↑ (1.8% - 9.4%) | New Britain | 25.3% (23.5% -27.1%) |
| Bridgeport | 25.1% (23.9% -26.3%) | 30.6% ↑ (28.1% - 33.1%) | North Canaan | 3.1% (0.3% -5.9%) |
| Chaplin | 0.9% (0.0% -2.7%) | 8.5% ↑ (1.2% - 15.8%) | Canaan | 5.6% (2.4% -8.8%) |
| Colebrook | 0.6% (0.0% -1.5%) | 6.7% ↑ (0.8% - 12.6%) | Norwich | 14.8% (12.7% -16.9%) |
| Cornwall | 3.0% (0.9% -5.1%) | 25.4% ↑ (8.3% - 42.5%) | Plainfield | 9.6% (7.0% -12.2%) |
| Darien | 1.8% (1.1% -2.5%) | 6.0% ↑ (2.7% - 9.3%) | Preston | 2.4% (0.3% -4.5%) |
| Derby | 10.1% (6.9% -13.3%) | 26.8% ↑ (12.4% - 41.2%) | Stamford | 8.9% (7.9% -9.9%) |
| East Hartford | 16.0% (14.1% -17.9%) | 25.1% ↑ (20.7% - 29.5%) | Torrington | 8.8% (7.1% -10.5%) |
| East Haven | 5.3% (3.7% -6.9%) | 13.2% ↑ (8.4% - 18.0%) | Waterbury | 23.9% (22.5% -25.3%) |
| Enfield | 3.8% (3.0% -4.6%) | 9.2% ↑ (5.7% - 12.7%) | Windham | 23.8% (20.5% -27.1%) |

Towns with Decrease in All Children in Poverty: (margins of error included for each estimate)

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Canterbury | 5.2% (2.4% -8.0%) | 0.0% ↓ (0.0% - 3.4%) |
| Granby | 4.2% (2.1% -6.3%) | 0.4% ↓ (0.0% - 1.5%) |
| Morris | 11.4% (5.5% -17.3%) | 2.9% ↓ (0.0% - 7.1%) |
| New Canaan | 2.2% (1.2% -3.2%) | 0.8% ↓ (0.2% - 1.4%) |
| Seymour | 5.6% (3.5% -7.7%) | 2.1% ↓ (0.0% - 4.9%) |
| Sharon | 10.4% (5.1% -15.7%) | 0.0% ↓ (0.0% - 7.8%) |
| Suffield | 3.0% (1.3% -4.7%) | 0.5% ↓ (0.0% - 1.2%) |
| Thomaston | 5.8% (3.4% -8.2%) | 1.5% ↓ (0.0% - 3.2%) |
| Union | 5.9% (1.7% -10.1%) | 1.0% ↓ (0.0% - 2.7%) |
| Waterford | 5.7% (3.7% -7.7%) | 2.3% ↓ (0.8% - 3.8%) |

All Residents Under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of residents under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level* increased from 19.3% in Census 2000 (1999 figures) to 21.6% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in estimates of the percent of residents under 200% of the federal poverty level over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in estimates of the percent of residents under 200% of the federal poverty level over the federal poverty level in other towns.

Towns with Increase in Residents Under 200% of the FPL:

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 | | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Andover | 6.7% | 13.4% ↑ | New Milford | 9.5% | 13.3% ↑ |
| Alluover | (4.7% -8.7%) | (7.4% - 19.4%) | | (8.5% -10.5%) | (10.9% - 15.6%) |
| Avon | 6.4% | 9.6% ↑ | Newington | 11.2% | 14.2% ↑ |
| Avon | (5.4% -7.5%) | (7.3% - 11.8%) | rewington | (10.2% -12.2%) | (11.9% - 16.4%) |
| Berlin | 8.2% | 13.5% ↑ | Newtown | 6.9% | 10.6% ↑ |
| Denni | (7.1% -9.3%) | (9.9% - 17.0%) | | (6.2% -7.6%) | (8.3% - 12.9%) |
| Bridgeport | 39.3% | 45.0% ↑ | Norwich | 28.5% | 32.8% ↑ |
| -8-1 | (38.5% -40.0%) | (42.7% - 47.3%) | | (27.2% -29.8%) | (29.2% - 36.5%) |
| Canaan | 15.3% | 22.6% (1 (40(20.00() | Preston | 11.6% | 20.0% ↑ |
| | (12.8% -17.7%) | (16.4% - 28.9%) | | (9.6% -13.7%) | (12.0% - 28.0%) |
| Clinton | 11.2% | 16.4% | Prospect | 5.1% | 9.9% |
| | (10.0% -12.4%) 11.4% | (12.6% - 20.2%) 18.9% ↑ | | (3.8% -6.4%) 22.2% | (6.9% - 12.8%) 33.9% ↑ |
| Cornwall | (9.6% -13.3%) | (12.2% - 25.7%) | Putnam | (19.8% -24.7%) | (26.6% - 41.3%) |
| | 21.6% | (12.27% - 23.77%) 25.7% ↑ | | 9.9% | 17.0% ↑ |
| Danbury | (20.7% -22.4%) | (23.2% - 28.1%) | Rocky Hill | (8.6% -11.1%) | (12.7% - 21.3%) |
| | 19.8% | 27.9% ↑ | | 4.9% | <u>6.7%</u> ↑ |
| Derby | (17.8% -21.7%) | (20.9% - 34.9%) | Simsbury | (4.2% -5.5%) | (5.2% - 8.2%) |
| | 25.7% | 34.3% ↑ | | 5.4% | 9.2% ↑ |
| East Hartford | (24.6% -26.8%) | (31.2% - 37.5%) | South Windsor | (4.6% -6.2%) | (6.1% - 12.3%) |
| East Windsor | 14.0% | 20.5% ↑ | Southbury | 11.4% | 15.3% ↑ |
| East windsor | (12.0% -15.9%) | (15.2% - 25.8%) | Southbury | (10.1% -12.7%) | (12.0% - 18.5%) |
| Hartford | 55.1% | 58.2% ↑ | Stamford | 21.4% | 25.1% ↑ |
| Hannond | (54.3% -55.9%) | (55.6% - 60.8%) | Staimord | (20.7% -22.1%) | (23.1% - 27.1%) |
| Lebanon | 11.5% | 20.4% ↑ | Stratford | 14.5% | 18.3% ↑ |
| Leounon | (9.4% -13.7%) | (12.5% - 28.4%) | | (13.6% -15.4%) | (15.9% - 20.8%) |
| Madison | 4.8% | 9.0% ↑ | Tolland | 5.9% | 10.9% ↑ |
| | (3.9% -5.7%) | (6.4% - 11.6%) | | (4.8% -7.1%) | (7.5% - 14.4%) |
| Manchester | 19.7% | 23.5% ↑ | Torrington | 22.0% | 28.0% ↑ |
| | (18.7% -20.6%) | (21.3% - 25.8%) | | (20.7% -23.2%) | (25.0% - 31.1%) |
| Meriden | 27.7% (26.7% -28.7%) | 32.5% ↑ (29.6% - 35.3%) | West Hartford | 13.0% | 15.8% ↑ |
| | 19.7% | (29.6% - 33.5%) 24.6% ↑ | | (12.3% -13.8%) 23.6% | (14.1% - 17.4%) 29.0% ↑ |
| Middletown | (18.6% -20.8%) | (22.1% - 27.1%) | West Haven | (22.5% -24.6%) | (25.9% - 32.2%) |
| | 11.1% | 13.0% ↑ | Windham | 37.8% | 44.6% |
| Milford | (10.5% -11.7%) | (11.3% - 14.7%) | | (35.9% - 39.7%) | (39.7% - 49.5%) |
| | 17.8% | 21.6% ↑ | | 13.8% | 18.9% ↑ |
| Naugatuck | (16.6% -19.0%) | (18.2% - 25.1%) | Windsor Locks | (12.1% -15.6%) | (14.6% - 23.3%) |
| | 35.7% | 43.9% ↑ | <u> </u> | 1 (10:0,0) | |
| New Britain | (34.7% -36.7%) | (41.0% - 46.9%) | | | |

* 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) approaches Connecticut's Self-Sufficiency Standard, the state's official measure of the income necessary for a family to meet basic needs. However, in most areas of the state, the Self Sufficiency Standard is far higher than 200% of the FPL.

Towns with Decrease in Residents Under 200% of the FPL:

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Barkhamsted | 11.7% (9.3% -14.1%) | 5.3% ↓ (1.7% - 9.0%) |
| Canterbury | 13.9% (11.6% -16.1%) | 7.1% ↓ (4.4% - 9.7%) |
| Coventry | 14.0% (12.2% -15.7%) | 7.5% ↓ (4.8% - 10.2%) |
| Easton | 6.6% (5.3% -7.9%) | 2.7% ↓ (1.3% - 4.1%) |
| Granby | 7.8% (6.4% -9.3%) | 5.5% ↓ (3.6% - 7.3%) |
| Roxbury | 11.0% (8.0% -13.9%) | 5.7% ↓ (3.4% - 7.9%) |
| Somers | 12.9% (11.3% -14.6%) | 8.0% ↓ (4.9% - 11.0%) |
| Sprague | 25.8% (22.3% -29.4%) | 16.5% ↓ (8.7% - 24.2%) |
| Suffield | 9.7% (8.2% -11.2%) | 6.1% ↓ (4.4% - 7.7%) |
| Woodstock | 16.1% (13.7% -18.5%) | 10.7% ↓ (7.0% - 14.5%) |

Median household income: Changes from Census 2000^a

After adjusting for inflation, the statewide median household income decreased from \$70,593 in Census 2000 (1999 income) to \$69,243 in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in inflation-adjusted income estimates over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in inflation-adjusted estimates of median household income in other towns.

Towns with increase in median household income:

(margins of error included for each estimate)

| | Census 2000 ACS 2007-2 | |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Fairfield | \$112,756 (\$108,720 -\$116,792) | \$118,476 ↑ (\$114,477 - \$122,475) |
| Somers | \$88,130 (\$82,345 -\$93,915) | \$100,573 ↑ (\$94,911 - \$106,235) |

^aAll income figures are reported in 2011 (inflation-adjusted) dollars. Median income figures from Census 2000 (1999 income) have been adjusted to 2011 dollars by multiplying by the CPI-U-RS adjustment factor of 1.35017407, as advised by the Census Bureau. Note that this is a nationwide measure of inflation and does not account for regional differences in the rate of inflation.

Towns with decrease in median household income:

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Avon | \$122,777 | \$107,733↓ |
| | (\$116,986 -\$128,567) | (\$102,156 - \$113,310) |
| Branford | \$78,322 | \$71,314↓ |
| | (\$75,048 -\$81,596) | (\$66,667 - \$75,961) |
| Bridgeport | \$46,794 (\$45,726 -\$47,863) | \$40,947 ↓ (\$39,561 - \$42,333) |
| | \$108,581 | \$85,481 \$ |
| Bridgewater | (\$100,889 -\$116,273) | (\$72,293 - \$98,669) |
| D : / 1 | \$64,028 | \$60,032↓ |
| Bristol | (\$61,050 -\$67,006) | (\$58,062 - \$62,002) |
| Canaan | \$73,838 | \$56,161↓ |
| Callaali | (\$66,338 -\$81,338) | (\$48,101 - \$64,221) |
| Clinton | \$81,646 | \$75,122↓ |
| | (\$77,802 -\$85,491) | (\$70,943 - \$79,301) |
| Danbury | \$72,456 (\$70,138 -\$74,773) | \$65,656↓ (\$63,129 - \$68,183) |
| | \$92,752 | \$73,188 \ |
| East Granby | (\$84,849 -\$100,655) | (\$65,799 - \$80,577) |
| | \$55,930 | \$49,611 |
| East Hartford | (\$54,137 -\$57,723) | (\$47,017 - \$52,205) |
| Fast Luma | \$89,839 | \$80,293↓ |
| East Lyme | (\$86,435 -\$93,244) | (\$75,985 - \$84,601) |
| Easton | \$169,524 | \$141,372↓ |
| | (\$155,829 -\$183,219) | (\$129,292 - \$153,452) |
| Enfield | \$71,303 | \$67,809 ↓ |
| | (\$69,183 -\$73,422) | (\$65,689 - \$69,929) |
| Franklin | \$83,823 (\$79,494 -\$88,152) | \$78,342 ↓ (\$76,033 - \$80,651) |
| | \$106,085 | \$87,883 \ |
| Haddam | (\$99,669 -\$112,500) | (\$77,623 - \$98,143) |
| I I a net fa n d | \$33,511 | \$29,107↓ |
| Hartford | (\$32,633 -\$34,390) | (\$28,057 - \$30,157) |
| Madison | \$118,136 | \$106,609↓ |
| | (\$111,950 -\$124,322) | (\$100,700 - \$112,518) |
| Manchester | \$66,734 | \$62,436↓ |
| | (\$64,876 -\$68,591) | (\$59,804 - \$65,068) |
| Meriden | \$58,377 (\$56,608 -\$60,147) | \$53,722 ↓ (\$51,075 - \$56,369) |
| | \$74,376 | \$67,734 \ |
| Montville | (\$71,454 -\$77,297) | (\$62,606 - \$72,862) |
| NT | \$69,192 | \$63,414 \ |
| Naugatuck | (\$66,645 -\$71,740) | (\$60,957 - \$65,871) |
| New Britain | \$46,156 | \$39,838↓ |
| INCW DIILAIII | (\$45,062 -\$47,249) | (\$38,102 - \$41,574) |

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| New Fairfield | \$113,921 | \$102,159 ↓ (©05 864 . ©109 454) |
| | (\$107,996 -\$119,846) | (\$95,864 - \$108,454) |
| Newington | \$77,119 (\$75,308 -\$78,930) | \$71,817↓ (\$68,507 - \$75,127) |
| | \$87,003 | \$78,720 J |
| North Branford | (\$83,206 - \$90,799) | (\$72,591 - \$84,849) |
| | \$88,710 | \$81,789 J |
| North Haven | (\$85,618 -\$91,803) | (\$76,592 - \$86,986) |
| NT 11 | \$80,793 | \$76,384↓ |
| Norwalk | (\$78,804 - \$82,782) | (\$74,000 - \$78,768) |
| | \$58,071 | \$51,171↓ |
| Putnam | (\$54,020 -\$62,122) | (\$46,649 - \$55,693) |
| Deddlar | \$140,603 | \$113,697↓ |
| Redding | (\$132,556 -\$148,650) | (\$95,955 - \$131,439) |
| Shelton | \$90,856 | \$83,128↓ |
| Shelton | (\$88,154 -\$93,558) | (\$79,436 - \$86,820) |
| Southbury | \$83,601 | \$72,177↓ |
| Southbury | (\$78,588 -\$88,615) | (\$64,879 - \$79,475) |
| Stamford | \$81,761 | \$78,201↓ |
| Staimoru | (\$79,794 -\$83,728) | (\$75,749 - \$80,653) |
| Stratford | \$72,226 | \$67,761↓ |
| | (\$70,261 -\$74,192) | (\$64,237 - \$71,285) |
| Thomaston | \$73,310 | \$64,982↓ |
| 11101110000 | (\$68,113 -\$78,508) | (\$58,934 - \$71,030) |
| Torrington | \$56,493 | \$48,742↓ |
| - 01111 9 1011 | (\$55,076 -\$57,910) | (\$45,985 - \$51,499) |
| Wallingford | \$77,376 | \$72,540↓ |
| 8 | (\$74,984 -\$79,768) | (\$68,536 - \$76,544) |
| Washington | \$88,150 | \$70,068↓ |
| 0 | (\$76,101 -\$100,199) | (\$62,064 - \$78,072) |
| Waterbury | \$46,291 | \$41,499↓ |
| • | (\$45,142 -\$47,440) | (\$39,887 - \$43,111) |
| West Haven | \$57,238 (\$55,375,\$50,101) | \$53,057↓ (\$50,708 \$55,316) |
| | (\$55,375 -\$59,101) | (\$50,798 - \$55,316) |
| Westbrook | \$77,677 (\$70,815 -\$84,539) | \$60,422↓ (\$53,346 - \$67,498) |
| | \$190,952 | \$159,720 \ |
| Wilton | (\$177,529 -\$204,376) | (\$148,647 - \$170,793) |
| | \$47,374 | \$42,178 \ |
| Windham | (\$44,551 -\$50,197) | \$42,178↓ (\$38,965 - \$45,391) |
| | \$86,596 | \$79,927 J |
| Windsor | (\$83,583 -\$89,609) | (\$77,309 - \$82,545) |
| | (405,505-407,007) | (# <i>11,307 - 402,343)</i> |

Residents with Bachelor's Degrees: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of Connecticut residents over the age of 25 with bachelor's degrees increased from 31.4% in Census 2000 to 35.7% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in estimates of the percent of residents over 25 with bachelor's degrees during this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in estimates for percent of residents with bachelor's degrees in other towns.

Towns with Increase in Residents with Bachelor's Degrees:

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 | | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Ansonia | 15.0% | 18.8% ↑ | Fairfield | 52.2% | 60.1% ↑ |
| misoma | (13.6% -16.4%) | (15.6% - 22.0%) | Tannelu | (51.1% -53.3%) | (58.8% - 61.4%) |
| Berlin | 30.8% | 35.1% ↑ | Farmington | 49.2% | 55.5% ↑ |
| | (29.0% -32.6%) | (32.3% - 37.9%) | 1 unington | (47.5% -50.9%) | (52.9% - 58.1%) |
| Bethel | 36.8% | 40.8% ↑ | Glastonbury | 55.0% | 59.3% ↑ |
| | (35.2% -38.4%) | (37.3% - 44.3%) | j | (53.5% -56.5%) | (57.3% - 61.3%) |
| Bloomfield | 30.8% | 39.6% ↑ | Goshen | 32.4% | 46.5% ↑ |
| | (29.1% -32.5%) 38.7% | <u>(36.8% - 42.4%)</u> 42.8% ↑ | | (28.5% -36.3%) 58.8% | (39.3% - 53.7%) |
| Branford | | | Greenwich | | 63.7% \uparrow |
| | (37.2% -40.2%) 12.2% | (40.5% - 45.1%) 15.2% ↑ | | (57.8% -59.8%) 15.1% | (62.1% - 65.3%) 19.6% ↑ |
| Bridgeport | (11.7% -12.7%) | (14.2% - 16.2%) | Griswold | (13.2% -17.0%) | (15.8% - 23.4%) |
| | 16.2% | 21.7% ↑ | | 26.0% | 31.9% ↑ |
| Bristol | (15.4% -17.0%) | (20.4% - 23.0%) | Groton | (24.8% -27.2%) | (30.2% - 33.6%) |
| D 1011 | 43.9% | 52.5% ↑ | 0 110 1 | 50.0% | <u>53.9%</u> ↑ |
| Brookfield | (41.8% -46.0%) | (49.1% - 55.9%) | Guilford | (48.2% -51.8%) | (50.9% - 56.9%) |
| Canton | 43.0% | 50.3%↑ | Haddam | 33.6% | 40.6% ↑ |
| Canton | (40.7% -45.3%) | (46.0% - 54.6%) | пациан | (30.7% -36.5%) | (35.9% - 45.3%) |
| Chaplin | 20.3% | 28.0% ↑ | Hamden | 36.5% | 41.5% ↑ |
| Спаріш | (16.5% -24.1%) | (22.7% - 33.3%) | Tamuch | (35.4% -37.6%) | (39.3% - 43.7%) |
| Clinton | 33.3% | 38.2% ↑ | Hampton | 30.6% | 41.3% ↑ |
| | (31.5% -35.1%) | (34.8% - 41.6%) | manpton | (28.2% -33.0%) | (36.2% - 46.4%) |
| Colchester | 31.6% | 41.2% ↑ | Hartford | 12.4% | 14.3% ↑ |
| | (29.5% -33.7%) | (37.1% - 45.3%) | | (11.8% -13.0%) | (13.2% - 15.4%) |
| Cornwall | 47.4% | 56.4% ↑ | Hartland | 28.6% | 37.4% ↑ |
| | (44.6% -50.2%) | (49.6% - 63.2%) | | (26.4% -30.8%) | (32.9% - 41.9%) |
| Coventry | 28.2% | 33.6% (20, 20/, 27, 00/) | Killingly | 12.7% | 19.2% (1(50/ 21.00/) |
| _ | (25.9% -30.5%) 33.1% | (29.3% - 37.9%) 40.6% ↑ | | (11.3% -14.1%) 35.9% | (16.5% - 21.9%) 42.5% ↑ |
| Cromwell | (31.0% -35.2%) | (36.6% - 44.6%) | Litchfield | (33.6% -38.2%) | (38.7% - 46.3%) |
| | 27.1% | 30.0% ↑ | | 57.2% | <u>(38.7/0 - 40.3/0)</u> 62.4% ↑ |
| Danbury | (26.2% -28.0%) | (28.3% - 31.7%) | Madison | (55.2% -59.2%) | (59.3% - 65.5%) |
| D 1 | 70.4% | 77.1% ↑ | | 29.4% | 34.9% ↑ |
| Darien | (68.9% -71.9%) | (74.7% - 79.5%) | Manchester | (28.4% -30.4%) | (33.4% - 36.4%) |
| East | 30.2% | 39.4% ↑ | Maridan | 16.4% | 19.9% ↑ |
| Hampton | (27.9% -32.5%) | (35.4% - 43.4%) | Meriden | (15.6% -17.2%) | (18.6% - 21.2%) |
| East | 13.4% | 18.5% ↑ | Middlebury | 41.5% | 47.6% ↑ |
| Hartford | (12.6% -14.2%) | (16.9% - 20.1%) | Wildlebury | (38.8% -44.2%) | (42.7% - 52.5%) |
| East | 20.2% | 26.4% ↑ | Middlefield | 26.4% | 34.6% ↑ |
| Windsor | (18.1% -22.3%) | (22.3% - 30.5%) | | (23.4% -29.4%) | (29.3% - 39.9%) |
| Easton | 59.5% | 67.4% | Middletown | 30.4% | 33.4% ↑ |
| | (56.9% -62.1%) | (63.3% - 71.5%) | | (29.2% -31.6%) | (31.4% - 35.4%) |
| Ellington | 32.1% | 38.7% ↑ | Milford | 29.3% | 38.6% ↑ |
| | (29.9% -34.3%) | (35.3% - 42.1%) | | (28.4% -30.2%) | (36.8% - 40.4%) |
| Enfield | 18.2% | 23.5% ↑ | Monroe | 41.3% | 46.2% ↑ |
| | (17.4% -19.0%) | (21.9% - 25.1%) | | (39.4% -43.2%) | (42.4% - 50.0%) |

| | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 | | Census 2000 | ACS 2007-2011 |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|----------------------------|
| Morris | 25.3% | 33.4% ↑ | Southbury | 42.5% | 46.8% ↑ |
| | (21.3% -29.3%) | (27.5% - 39.3%) | | (40.6% -44.4%) | (43.5% - 50.1%) |
| Naugatuck | 19.0% | 23.2% ↑ | Southington | 27.2% | 33.0% ↑ |
| 8 | (17.8% -20.2%) | (20.7% - 25.7%) | 8 | (26.2% -28.2%) | (31.1% - 34.9%) |
| New Canaan | 71.6% | 76.2% ↑ | Sprague | 14.6% | 27.2% ↑ |
| | (69.8% -73.4%) | (73.3% - 79.1%) | 1 0 | (11.7% -17.5%) | (21.0% - 33.4%) |
| New Haven | 27.1% | 32.1% | Stamford | 39.6% | 43.9% ↑ |
| | (26.4% -27.8%) 30.5% | (30.9% - 33.3%) 38.0% ↑ | | (38.9% -40.3%) 34.6% | (42.5% - 45.3%) 44.8% ↑ |
| New Milford | (29.0% -32.0%) | (35.1% - 40.9%) | Stonington | (33.1% -36.1%) | (42.0% - 47.6%) |
| | 29.1% | 32.6% ↑ | | 25.7% | 28.9% ↑ |
| Newington | (27.7% -30.5%) | (30.6% - 34.6%) | Stratford | (24.7% -26.7%) | (27.3% - 30.5%) |
| | 27.8% | 34.9% ↑ | | 18.5% | 24.5% ↑ |
| North Branford | (25.8% -29.8%) | (31.5% - 38.3%) | Thomaston | (16.5% -20.5%) | (20.9% - 28.1%) |
| | 32.3% | 37.3% ↑ | | 15.7% | 19.7% ↑ |
| North Haven | (30.7% -33.9%) | (34.9% - 39.7%) | Torrington | (14.7% -16.7%) | (18.0% - 21.4%) |
| North | 29.3% | 43.4% ↑ | 77 1 11 | 43.4% | 50.8% ↑ |
| Stonington | (26.4% -32.2%) | (37.8% - 49.0%) | Trumbull | (42.0% -44.8%) | (48.7% - 52.9%) |
| Norwalk | 34.2% | 40.2% ↑ | Vernon | 26.8% | 30.2% ↑ |
| INOFWAIK | (33.3% -35.1%) | (38.7% - 41.7%) | vernon | (25.4% -28.2%) | (27.9% - 32.5%) |
| Old Lyme | 44.9% | 54.7% ↑ | Wallingford | 28.8% | 32.5% ↑ |
| Old Lynic | (42.4% -47.4%) | (50.4% - 59.0%) | wannigioiu | (27.6% -30.0%) | (30.7% - 34.3%) |
| Lyme | 55.5% | 61.4% | Waterbury | 13.9% | 17.2% ↑ |
| Lynic | (50.8% -60.2%) | (56.3% - 66.5%) | waterbary | (13.3% -14.5%) | (15.9% - 18.5%) |
| Orange | 45.6% | 55.1%↑ | Waterford | 28.1% | 35.9% ↑ |
| 8- | (43.3% -47.9%) | (51.8% - 58.4%) | | (26.4% -29.8%) | (33.1% - 38.7%) |
| Oxford | 32.2% | 38.7% ↑ | Watertown | 25.0% | 32.5% ↑ |
| | (29.7% -34.7%) | (34.9% - 42.5%) | | (23.4% -26.6%) | (29.8% - 35.2%) |
| Plymouth | 13.9% | 20.9% \uparrow | West | 53.0% | 59.1% ↑ |
| | (12.2% -15.6%) | (17.7% - 24.1%) | Hartford | (51.9% -54.1%) | (57.4% - 60.8%) |
| Portland | 32.4% | 39.1% | West Haven | 19.1% | 23.0% (21.20/ 24.70/) |
| | (30.2% -34.6%) 22.8% | (34.6% - 43.6%) 32.3% ↑ | | (18.2% -20.0%) 74.4% | (21.3% - 24.7%) 80.8% ↑ |
| Prospect | (20.4% -25.2%) | (28.4% - 36.2%) | Weston | (72.4% -76.4%) | (77.7% - 83.9%) |
| | 65.9% | 70.2% ↑ | | <u>(72.470-70.470)</u> <u>69.4%</u> | 74.2% ↑ |
| Ridgefield | (64.5% -67.3%) | (67.9% - 72.5%) | Westport | (67.9% -70.9%) | (72.1% - 76.3%) |
| | 37.1% | 43.1%↑ | | 33.3% | 40.6% ↑ |
| Rocky Hill | (35.2% - 39.0%) | (40.1% - 46.1%) | Wethersfield | (31.8% -34.8%) | (38.4% - 42.8%) |
| 0 1: 1 | 45.3% | 62.0% ↑ | Windsor | 17.2% | 21.8% ↑ |
| Salisbury | (41.9% -48.7%) | (54.7% - 69.3%) | Locks | (15.4% -19.0%) | (18.3% - 25.3%) |
| Source out | 19.4% | 26.0% ↑ | Windson | 30.9% | 35.3% ↑ |
| Seymour | (17.7% -21.1%) | (22.6% - 29.4%) | Windsor | (29.4% -32.4%) | (32.8% - 37.8%) |
| Shelton | 29.9% | 34.4% ↑ | Wolcott | 19.3% | 24.5% ↑ |
| | (28.7% -31.1%) | (32.2% - 36.6%) | worcon | (17.6% -21.0%) | (21.2% - 27.8%) |
| Sherman | 42.3% | 57.9% ↑ | Woodbridge | 60.9% | 66.6% ↑ |
| Cherman | (38.8% -45.8%) | (52.1% - 63.7%) | woodbildge | (58.6% -63.2%) | (62.1% - 71.1%) |
| Somers | 23.0% | 30.1%↑ | Woodbury | 41.8% | 49.6% ↑ |
| | (21.2% -24.8%) | (26.4% - 33.8%) | | (39.1% -44.5%) | (45.3% - 53.9%) |
| South Windsor | 41.6% | 45.3% ↑ | | | |
| | (39.9% -43.3%) | (42.9% - 47.7%) | | | |

Technical Notes on the Data

Data Source. The United States Census Bureau released 2007-2011 five-year estimates from the American Community Survey (ACS) on December 6, 2012. These data are based on a rolling sample collected between January 2007 and December 2011. Consequently, these data do not represent a specific point in time and may mask trends within the five year period. For more information, refer to the ACS Handbooks for Data Users, available online at http://www.census.gov/acs/www/guidance for data users/handbooks/.

Comparing Data Over Time or Between Geographic Areas. The numbers reported in ACS surveys are estimates because only a sample of the entire population is surveyed. For this reason, estimates reported by the ACS are published with additional data that allow us to estimate the range of values within which the population's actual poverty or uninsured rate is likely to fall. This enables us to determine whether or not the change in an estimate from one time period to the next or between two geographic areas is large enough to conclude that an actual difference in the population exists, or whether the change in the estimate may have been due to random chance. For example, in the field of opinion polling, the "margin of error" of a poll helps to assess whether there has been a significant change in polling results over time. **A change in Census estimates is called** "statistically significant" if it is unlikely to have occurred by chance (this term describes the statistical evidence of change, not whether it is important or meaningful). Unless a difference in Census estimates is statistically significant, it is not accurate to say, for example, that poverty rates have increased or declined over time.

Margins of Error. The numbers reported in ACS surveys are estimates because only a sample of the entire population is surveyed. The margin of error estimates the range of values within which the population's actual poverty rate, median income, etc. is likely to fall. For example, there is a 90% probability that the actual percentage of people in poverty in Derby is between 7.6% and 18.0% (12.8% with a margin of error of +/- 5.2%). Because margins of error around town estimates can be wide, comparisons between estimates for towns should be made with caution. Some margins of error reported here are estimated based on methodology provided by the Census Bureau. These values may differ from margins of error calculated using other methodologies.

ACS and Census 2000. As ACS data for all 169 Connecticut towns are not available for an earlier, non-overlapping time period, to make comparisons over time it is necessary to compare to the results of the 2000 Decennial Census. Data users should be aware there are slight differences in survey methodology between the 2000 Census and the ACS. Specifically, questions regarding income on the ACS use a reference period of "the past 12 months," while questions on Census 2000 asked about the "previous calendar year." The Census Bureau has suggested that respondents may report slightly lower incomes when asked the version of the question used by the ACS. Therefore, the Census Bureau advises that data users exercise caution when comparing income and poverty estimates between ACS and Census 2000.