

## Family Well-Being Indicators for Connecticut Cities and Towns: Summary of 2007-2011 American Community Survey Census Data

#### May 2013

In December 2012, the U.S. Census Bureau released new data from the American Community Survey (ACS) on social and economic indicators for all 169 Connecticut cities and towns. These five-year estimates of household income, poverty, and educational attainment allow us to explore how Connecticut cities and towns have changed over the past decade. Because ACS data for all 169 Connecticut towns are not available for an earlier, non-overlapping time period, these estimates are compared here to results of the 2000 Decennial Census. Five-year data on health insurance coverage are not yet available.

All Residents in Poverty: The percent of all Connecticut residents in poverty increased to 9.5% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 7.9% in Census 2000 (1999 figures). The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of all residents in poverty: Ansonia, Avon, Berlin, Branford, Bridgeport, Bristol, Burlington, Chester, Cornwall, Danbury, Darien, East Hartford, East Haven, Enfield, Glastonbury, Hartford, Meriden, Middletown, New Britain, New Haven, Norwich, Plainfield, Putnam, Rocky Hill, Salem, Stamford, Sterling, Torrington, Vernon, Wallingford, Waterbury, West Hartford, Windham, and Windsor Locks. The following towns had statistically significant decreases in the percent of residents in poverty: Barkhamsted, Canterbury, Easton, Granby, Scotland, Suffield, and Voluntown.

All Children in Poverty: The percent of related children under 18 statewide in poverty increased to 12.6% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 10.4% in Census 2000 (1999 figures). The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of all children in poverty: Avon, Berlin, Bethel, Bridgeport, Chaplin, Colebrook, Cornwall, Darien, Derby, East Hartford, East Haven, Enfield, Harwinton, Middletown, New Britain, North Canaan, Norwich, Plainfield, Preston, Stamford, Torrington, Waterbury, and Windham. The following towns had statistically significant decreases in the percent of all children in poverty: Canterbury, Granby, Morris, New Canaan, Seymour, Sharon, Suffield, Thomaston, Union, and Waterford.

**Residents Below 200% of the Federal Poverty Level:** The percent of residents under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) increased to 21.6% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 19.3% in Census 2000 (1999 figures). The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of residents under 200% of the FPL: Andover, Avon, Berlin, Bridgeport, Canaan, Clinton, Cornwall, Danbury, Derby, East Hartford, East Windsor, Hartford, Lebanon, Madison, Manchester, Meriden, Middletown, Milford, Naugatuck, New Britain, New Milford, Newington, Newtown, Norwich, Preston, Prospect, Putnam, Rocky Hill, Simsbury, South Windsor, Southbury, Stamford, Stratford, Tolland, Torrington, West Hartford, West Haven, Windham, and Windsor Locks. The following towns had statistically significant decreases in the percent of residents under 200% of the FPL: Barkhamsted, Canterbury, Coventry, Easton, Granby, Roxbury, Somers, Sprague, Suffield, and Woodstock.

**Median Household Income:** After adjusting for inflation, the statewide median household income decreased to \$69,243 in ACS 2007-2011, down from \$70,593 in Census 2000 (1999 income). Median household income declined in the following towns: Avon, Branford, Bridgeport, Bridgewater, Bristol, Canaan, Clinton, Danbury, East Granby, East Hartford, East Lyme, Easton, Enfield, Franklin, Haddam, Hartford, Madison, Manchester, Meriden, Montville, Naugatuck, New Britain, New Fairfield, Newington, North Branford, North Haven, Norwalk, Putnam, Redding, Shelton, Southbury, Stamford, Stratford, Thomaston, Torrington, Wallingford, Washington, Waterbury, West Haven, Westbrook, Wilton, Windham, and Windsor. Median household income increased in the towns of Fairfield and Somers.

**Residents with Bachelor's Degree:** The percent of Connecticut residents over the age of 25 with bachelor's degrees increased to 35.7% in ACS 2007-2011, up from 31.4% in Census 2000. The following towns had statistically significant increases in the percent of resident over 25 with a bachelor's degree: Ansonia, Berlin, Bethel, Bloomfield, Branford, Bridgeport, Bristol, Brookfield, Canton, Chaplin, Clinton, Colchester, Cornwall, Coventry, Cromwell, Danbury, Darien, East Hampton, East Hartford, East Windsor, Easton, Ellington, Enfield, Fairfield, Farmington, Glastonbury, Goshen, Greenwich, Griswold, Groton, Guilford, Haddam, Hamden, Hampton, Hartford, Hartland, Killingly, Litchfield, Madison, Manchester, Meriden, Middlebury, Middlefield, Middletown, Milford, Monroe, Morris, Naugatuck, New Canaan, New Haven, New Milford, Newington, North Branford, North Haven, North Stonington, Norwalk, Old Lyme, Orange, Oxford, Plymouth, Portland, Prospect, Ridgefield, Rocky Hill, Salisbury, Seymour, Shelton, Sherman, Somers, South Windsor, Southbury, Southington, Sprague, Stamford, Stonington, Stratford, Thomaston, Torrington, Trumbull, Vernon, Wallingford, Waterbury, Waterford, Watertown, West Hartford, West Haven, Weston, Westport, Wethersfield, Windsor Locks, Windsor, Wolcott, Woodbridge, and Woodbury.

## Family Well-Being Estimates for All Connecticut Cities and Towns, 2007-2011

Margins of error are included for each estimate. Because margins of error for towns can be wide, caution should be exercised in making comparisons between estimates. Additionally, note that these data do not represent a single point in time and may mask trends within the five year period. Arrows next to estimates indicate statistically significant changes in estimates between the 2000 Decennial Census and American Community Survey 2007-2011 data.

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	<b>Residents Below</b> 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>+</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Andover	5.7%	8.2%	13.4% ↑	\$91,406	35.4%	89.3%
muovei	(1.3% - 10.1%)	(0.0% - 19.7%)	(7.4% - 19.4%)	(\$83,641 - \$99,171)	(29.2% - 41.6%)	(84.8% - 93.8%)
Ansonia	11.6% ↑	19.4%	26.8%	\$55,250	18.8% ↑	57.9%
7 misonia	(8.7% - 14.5%)	(11.9% - 26.9%)	(21.5% - 32.1%)	(\$50,175 - \$60,325)	(15.6% - 22.0%)	(53.7% - 62.1%)
Ashford	5.0%	6.2%	19.1%	\$71,346	41.2%	82.0% ↑
Ashiota	(1.8% - 8.2%)	(0.0% - 12.4%)	(9.8% - 28.5%)	(\$65,396 - \$77,296)	(35.6% - 46.8%)	(77.8% - 86.2%)
Avon	5.0% ↑	6.2% ↑	9.6% ↑	\$107,733↓	64.6%	82.0%↓
TVOII	(3.2% - 6.8%)	(2.9% - 9.5%)	(7.3% - 11.8%)	(\$102,156 - \$113,310)	(62.0% - 67.2%)	(79.3% - 84.7%)
Barkhamsted	0.9%↓	2.1%	5.3%↓	\$83,056	41.0%	90.2%
Darknamsteu	(0.0% - 2.1%)	(0.0% - 5.3%)	(1.7% - 9.0%)	(\$72,865 - \$93,247)	(33.5% - 48.5%)	(85.0% - 95.4%)
Beacon Falls	3.5%	5.1%	12.7%	\$80,182	27.7%	83.3%
Deacon Fans	(0.8% - 6.2%)	(0.0% - 13.1%)	(7.6% - 17.8%)	(\$71,183 - \$89,181)	(22.4% - 33.0%)	(78.5% - 88.1%)
Berlin	6.4% ↑	12.2% ↑	13.5% ↑	\$87,091	35.1% ↑	89.4%
Definit	(3.8% - 9.0%)	(6.1% - 18.3%)	(9.9% - 17.0%)	(\$82,823 - \$91,359)	(32.3% - 37.9%)	(87.3% - 91.5%)
Rothany	5.1%	7.4%	9.8%	\$106,579	49.5%	95.0%
Bethany	(1.9% - 8.3%)	(0.0% - 15.1%)	(4.8% - 14.8%)	(\$89,219 - \$123,939)	(43.8% - 55.2%)	(91.5% - 98.5%)
Bethel	4.2%	5.6% ↑	11.8%	\$87,475	40.8% ↑	79.1%
Dethel	(1.9% - 6.5%)	(1.8% - 9.4%)	(8.5% - 15.1%)	(\$82,651 - \$92,299)	(37.3% - 44.3%)	(76.1% - 82.1%)
Bethlehem	3.5%	2.1%	11.7%	\$86,891	38.9%	87.5%
Detmenenn	(2.0% - 5.0%)	(0.0% - 5.4%)	(7.2% - 16.2%)	(\$81,905 - \$91,877)	(33.3% - 44.5%)	(82.1% - 92.9%)
Bloomfield	7.6%	6.3%	17.0%	\$72,065	39.6% ↑	74.5%
Diooinneid	(5.5% - 9.7%)	(2.7% - 9.9%)	(13.7% - 20.2%)	(\$66,964 - \$77,166)	(36.8% - 42.4%)	(72.0% - 77.0%)
Dolton	1.3%	0.0%	9.1%	\$93,348	36.4%	81.4%
Bolton	(0.3% - 2.3%)	(0.0% - 3.2%)	(5.3% - 13.0%)	(\$84,078 - \$102,618)	(32.1% - 40.7%)	(75.4% - 87.4%)
Bozrah	5.7%	8.6%	18.0%	\$81,122	22.6%	83.0%
DOZTAII	(2.5% - 8.9%)	(0.6% - 16.6%)	(11.6% - 24.4%)	(\$75,719 - \$86,525)	(18.0% - 27.2%)	(78.0% - 88.0%)
Duanford	5.8% ↑	8.3%	15.6%	\$71,314↓	42.8% ↑	71.2%
Branford	(4.5% - 7.1%)	(4.7% - 11.9%)	(13.4% - 17.9%)	(\$66,667 - \$75,961)	(40.5% - 45.1%)	(69.0% - 73.4%)

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>†</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Buideonout	21.9% ↑	30.6% ↑	45.0% ↑	\$40,947↓	15.2% ↑	44.6%
Bridgeport	(20.7% - 23.1%)	(28.1% - 33.1%)	(42.7% - 47.3%)	(\$39,561 - \$42,333)	(14.2% - 16.2%)	(42.9% - 46.3%)
Bridgewater	3.0%	0.0%	14.7% ↑	\$85,481↓	49.0%	87.1%
Dilugewater	(1.4% - 4.6%)	(0.0% - 9.5%)	(9.7% - 19.8%)	(\$72,293 - \$98,669)	(44.2% - 53.8%)	(82.4% - 91.8%)
Bristol	8.2% ↑	11.9%	21.0%	\$60,032↓	21.7% ↑	66.7% ↑
DIIStol	(7.0% - 9.4%)	(9.3% - 14.5%)	(18.8% - 23.3%)	(\$58,062 - \$62,002)	(20.4% - 23.0%)	(65.1% - 68.3%)
Brookfield	3.1%	3.3%	7.8%	\$108,576	52.5% ↑	87.3%
Drookneid	(1.5% - 4.7%)	(0.3% - 6.3%)	(5.7% - 9.9%)	(\$101,389 - \$115,763)	(49.1% - 55.9%)	(84.1% - 90.5%)
Brooklyn	8.0%	10.9%	21.4%	\$66,023	23.4%	78.0%
DIOOKIYII	(3.8% - 12.2%)	(3.6% - 18.2%)	(14.5% - 28.3%)	(\$57,730 - \$74,316)	(19.1% - 27.7%)	(72.7% - 83.3%)
Durlington	4.0% ↑	4.1%	6.5%	\$114,792	46.9%	95.8%
Burlington	(2.0% - 6.0%)	(0.7% - 7.5%)	(4.2% - 8.9%)	(\$101,619 - \$127,965)	(41.7% - 52.1%)	(93.5% - 98.1%)
Comment	6.4%	9.5%	22.6% ↑	\$56,161↓	36.4%	66.1%↓
Canaan	(3.6% - 9.2%)	(1.7% - 17.3%)	(16.4% - 28.9%)	(\$48,101 - \$64,221)	(31.1% - 41.7%)	(59.4% - 72.8%)
$C \rightarrow 1$	1.5%↓	0.0%↓	7.1%↓	\$73,000	20.0%	86.8%
Canterbury	(0.4% - 2.6%)	(0.0% - 3.4%)	(4.4% - 9.7%)	(\$69,865 - \$76,135)	(15.0% - 25.0%)	(81.7% - 91.9%)
<u> </u>	4.2%	4.1%	9.7%	\$82,619	50.3% ↑	81.8%
Canton	(2.2% - 6.2%)	(0.4% - 7.8%)	(7.0% - 12.4%)	(\$73,524 - \$91,714)	(46.0% - 54.6%)	(78.4% - 85.2%)
C1 I'	3.7%	8.5% ↑	17.5%	\$67,981	28.0% ↑	73.0%
Chaplin	(1.3% - 6.1%)	(1.2% - 15.8%)	(11.2% - 23.9%)	(\$57,496 - \$78,466)	(22.7% - 33.3%)	(66.2% - 79.8%)
Cl 1:	2.5%	1.6%	5.8%	\$109,535	49.2%	85.3%
Cheshire	(1.6% - 3.4%)	(0.1% - 3.1%)	(4.6% - 7.0%)	(\$105,820 - \$113,250)	(46.7% - 51.7%)	(82.8% - 87.8%)
<u>C1</u>	6.3% ↑	3.0%	14.0%	\$80,192	37.8%	75.6%
Chester	(3.6% - 9.0%)	(0.0% - 7.9%)	(9.2% - 18.8%)	(\$73,362 - \$87,022)	(31.6% - 44.0%)	(69.1% - 82.1%)
	3.6%	4.2%	16.4% ↑	\$75,122↓	38.2% ↑	81.1%
Clinton	(2.2% - 5.0%)	(1.3% - 7.1%)	(12.6% - 20.2%)	(\$70,943 - \$79,301)	(34.8% - 41.6%)	(78.0% - 84.2%)
0.11	2.5%	3.3%	10.4%	\$95,034	41.2% ↑	84.5% ↑
Colchester	(1.3% - 3.7%)	(0.7% - 5.9%)	(7.7% - 13.1%)	(\$87,589 - \$102,479)	(37.1% - 45.3%)	(82.1% - 86.9%)
C 1 1 1	4.3%	6.7% ↑	9.7%	\$71,125	28.4%	90.7%
Colebrook	(1.8% - 6.8%)	(0.8% - 12.6%)	(5.8% - 13.5%)	(\$61,372 - \$80,878)	(23.9% - 32.9%)	(86.8% - 94.6%)
0.1.1.	3.9%	2.3%	8.8%	\$91,715	39.4%	95.5% ↑
Columbia	(1.7% - 6.1%)	(0.0% - 5.1%)	(5.0% - 12.6%)	(\$85,400 - \$98,030)	(32.9% - 45.9%)	(93.0% - 98.0%)
0 11	11.4%	25.4% ↑	18.9% ↑	\$75,000	56.4% ↑	78.6%
Cornwall	(5.0% - 17.8%)	(8.3% - 42.5%)	(12.2% - 25.7%)	(\$60,657 - \$89,343)	(49.6% - 63.2%)	(72.6% - 84.6%)
0	3.8%	4.1%	7.5%↓	\$90,502	33.6% ↑	88.7%
Coventry	(1.8% - 5.8%)	(0.0% - 8.5%)	(4.8% - 10.2%)	(\$87,778 - \$93,226)	(29.3% - 37.9%)	(85.6% - 91.8%)
				(1		()

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>†</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Cromwell	2.6%	2.5%	10.4%	\$80,426	40.6% ↑	81.5% ↑
Cromwell	(1.4% - 3.8%)	(0.5% - 4.5%)	(8.0% - 12.9%)	(\$75,940 - \$84,912)	(36.6% - 44.6%)	(79.0% - 84.0%)
Damburg	10.0% ↑	11.4%	25.7% ↑	\$65,656↓	30.0% ↑	62.5% ↑
Danbury	(8.6% - 11.4%)	(8.6% - 14.2%)	(23.2% - 28.1%)	(\$63,129 - \$68,183)	(28.3% - 31.7%)	(60.9% - 64.1%)
Darien	5.1% ↑	6.0% ↑	7.9%	\$193,896	77.1% ↑	88.7%
Danen	(3.1% - 7.1%)	(2.7% - 9.3%)	(5.6% - 10.2%)	(\$177,873 - \$209,919)	(74.7% - 79.5%)	(86.7% - 90.7%)
Deer Dimer	3.1%	0.0%	17.6%	\$76,319	32.7%	79.7%
Deep River	(0.7% - 5.5%)	(0.0% - 3.8%)	(8.6% - 26.6%)	(\$63,848 - \$88,790)	(26.7% - 38.7%)	(74.5% - 84.9%)
D 1	12.8%	26.8% ↑	27.9% ↑	\$55,478	21.0%	60.1%
Derby	(7.6% - 18.0%)	(12.4% - 41.2%)	(20.9% - 34.9%)	(\$48,973 - \$61,983)	(17.3% - 24.7%)	(56.0% - 64.2%)
	1.5%	1.9%	5.4%	\$106,000	43.3%	93.4%
Durham	(0.6% - 2.4%)	(0.0% - 4.7%)	(3.3% - 7.6%)	(\$94,929 - \$117,071)	(37.3% - 49.3%)	(89.9% - 96.9%)
	3.0%	3.3%	6.4%	\$73,188↓	39.6%	80.8%
East Granby	(0.5% - 5.5%)	(0.0% - 8.3%)	(3.1% - 9.7%)	(\$65,799 - \$80,577)	(34.1% - 45.1%)	(75.6% - 86.0%)
Г II. 11	5.2%	10.3%	12.4%	\$87,074	33.8%	87.1%
East Haddam	(2.3% - 8.1%)	(2.2% - 18.4%)	(8.1% - 16.6%)	(\$77,040 - \$97,108)	(29.0% - 38.6%)	(82.4% - 91.8%)
	3.8%	3.4%	8.9%	\$91,770	39.4% ↑	89.5% ↑
East Hampton	(2.3% - 5.3%)	(0.6% - 6.2%)	(6.1% - 11.7%)	(\$86,423 - \$97,117)	(35.4% - 43.4%)	(86.9% - 92.1%)
	16.0% ↑	25.1% ↑	34.3% ↑	\$49,611↓	18.5% ↑	56.9%
East Hartford	(14.2% - 17.8%)	(20.7% - 29.5%)	(31.2% - 37.5%)	(\$47,017 - \$52,205)	(16.9% - 20.1%)	(54.7% - 59.1%)
	7.8% ↑	13.2% ↑	19.3%	\$63,136	19.1%	76.4% ↑
East Haven	(6.0% - 9.6%)	(8.4% - 18.0%)	(16.1% - 22.6%)	(\$59,628 - \$66,644)	(17.2% - 21.0%)	(74.1% - 78.7%)
г т	3.2%	2.5%	10.8%	\$80,293↓	37.7%	82.1% ↑
East Lyme	(2.0% - 4.4%)	(0.4% - 4.6%)	(8.7% - 12.9%)	(\$75,985 - \$84,601)	(35.2% - 40.2%)	(79.9% - 84.3%)
	5.1%	4.8%	20.5% ↑	\$66,699	26.4% ↑	67.6%
East Windsor	(2.9% - 7.3%)	(1.1% - 8.5%)	(15.2% - 25.8%)	(\$62,837 - \$70,561)	(22.3% - 30.5%)	(63.7% - 71.5%)
	4.3%	10.3%	11.5%	\$80,147	35.5%	92.7% ↑
Eastford	(0.8% - 7.8%)	(0.2% - 20.4%)	(6.5% - 16.4%)	(\$75,431 - \$84,863)	(30.5% - 40.5%)	(89.2% - 96.2%)
E (	0.9%↓	0.0%	2.7%↓	\$141,372↓	67.4% ↑	97.4% ↑
Easton	(0.2% - 1.6%)	(0.0% - 1.6%)	(1.3% - 4.1%)	(\$129,292 - \$153,452)	(63.3% - 71.5%)	(95.7% - 99.1%)
<b>D11</b>	2.8%	4.6%	11.6%	\$84,758	38.7% ↑	69.6%
Ellington	(1.3% - 4.3%)	(1.6% - 7.6%)	(8.0% - 15.2%)	(\$79,722 - \$89,794)	(35.3% - 42.1%)	(65.5% - 73.7%)
E C 11	7.2% ↑	9.2% ↑	17.1%	\$67,809↓	23.5% ↑	77.3%
Enfield	(5.7% - 8.7%)	(5.7% - 12.7%)	(14.9% - 19.3%)	(\$65,689 - \$69,929)	(21.9% - 25.1%)	(75.7% - 78.9%)
Б	4.3%	2.1%	11.7% ↑	\$84,095	53.4%	81.2%
Essex	(2.1% - 6.5%)	(0.0% - 5.3%)	(7.7% - 15.8%)	(\$68,805 - \$99,385)	(48.1% - 58.7%)	(76.0% - 86.4%)
						× /

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>+</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Fairfield	3.5%	3.3%	8.6%	\$118,476 ↑	60.1% ↑	85.4% ↑
ranneu	(2.7% - 4.3%)	(2.1% - 4.5%)	(7.4% - 9.9%)	(\$114,477 - \$122,475)	(58.8% - 61.4%)	(83.9% - 86.9%)
Formington	4.5%	2.7%	10.0%	\$86,675	55.5% ↑	77.1%
Farmington	(3.2% - 5.8%)	(0.8% - 4.6%)	(8.1% - 11.9%)	(\$82,194 - \$91,156)	(52.9% - 58.1%)	(74.7% - 79.5%)
Franklin	3.4%	2.0%	11.4%	\$78,342↓	23.6%	89.9%
гтанкии	(1.8% - 5.0%)	(0.0% - 4.7%)	(7.6% - 15.2%)	(\$76,033 - \$80,651)	(19.8% - 27.4%)	(86.8% - 93.0%)
Classical	3.2% ↑	3.3%	9.8%	\$104,967	59.3% ↑	83.7%
Glastonbury	(2.4% - 4.0%)	(1.7% - 4.9%)	(8.1% - 11.6%)	(\$100,500 - \$109,434)	(57.3% - 61.3%)	(81.6% - 85.8%)
0.1	5.7%	3.4%	13.9%	\$83,125	46.5% ↑	89.7%
Goshen	(2.0% - 9.4%)	(0.0% - 7.9%)	(8.1% - 19.7%)	(\$66,876 - \$99,374)	(39.3% - 53.7%)	(84.5% - 94.9%)
0 1	1.3%↓	0.4%↓	5.5%↓	\$99,190	54.3%	92.4% ↑
Granby	(0.5% - 2.1%)	(0.0% - 1.5%)	(3.6% - 7.3%)	(\$89,574 - \$108,806)	(50.1% - 58.5%)	(89.6% - 95.2%)
0 1	3.7%	3.1%	11.0%	\$127,201	63.7% ↑	72.2% ↑
Greenwich	(3.0% - 4.4%)	(1.9% - 4.3%)	(9.5% - 12.5%)	(\$122,814 - \$131,588)	(62.1% - 65.3%)	(70.6% - 73.8%)
0: 11	7.9%	6.6%	18.7%	\$66,303	19.6% ↑	78.2% ↑
Griswold	(5.3% - 10.5%)	(1.1% - 12.1%)	(14.4% - 22.9%)	(\$60,091 - \$72,515)	(15.8% - 23.4%)	(73.8% - 82.6%)
	7.4%	9.5%	21.2%	\$59,887	31.9% ↑	51.6%
Groton	(6.0% - 8.8%)	(6.5% - 12.5%)	(18.6% - 23.8%)	(\$57,443 - \$62,331)	(30.2% - 33.6%)	(49.8% - 53.4%)
0.110 1	3.7%	2.6%	9.4%	\$95,085	53.9% ↑	86.9%
Guilford	(2.3% - 5.1%)	(0.6% - 4.6%)	(7.2% - 11.6%)	(\$87,624 - \$102,546)	(50.9% - 56.9%)	(85.1% - 88.7%)
TT 11	3.4%	5.9%	9.7%	\$87,883↓	40.6% ↑	91.9% ↑
Haddam	(1.0% - 5.8%)	(1.5% - 10.3%)	(5.8% - 13.5%)	(\$77,623 - \$98,143)	(35.9% - 45.3%)	(88.0% - 95.8%)
TT 1	7.7%	6.3%↓	18.9%	\$67,955	41.5% ↑	67.8%
Hamden	(6.6% - 8.8%)	(4.0% - 8.6%)	(16.8% - 20.9%)	(\$63,639 - \$72,271)	(39.3% - 43.7%)	(66.4% - 69.2%)
TT .	5.3%	9.3%	13.9%	\$81,307	41.3% ↑	90.4%
Hampton	(2.1% - 8.5%)	(0.0% - 19.7%)	(9.1% - 18.6%)	(\$74,737 - \$87,877)	(36.2% - 46.4%)	(86.4% - 94.4%)
XX C 1	32.9% ↑	44.5%	58.2% ↑	\$29,107↓	14.3% ↑	25.0%
Hartford	(31.2% - 34.6%)	(41.3% - 47.7%)	(55.6% - 60.8%)	(\$28,057 - \$30,157)	(13.2% - 15.4%)	(23.8% - 26.2%)
TT 1 1	1.6%	0.0%	8.0%	\$90,278	37.4% ↑	91.4%
Hartland	(0.1% - 3.1%)	(0.0% - 6.7%)	(4.7% - 11.2%)	(\$84,246 - \$96,310)	(32.9% - 41.9%)	(87.9% - 94.9%)
** •	4.6%	7.5% ↑	11.0%	\$85,920	34.7%	95.9%
Harwinton	(1.8% - 7.4%)	(0.9% - 14.1%)	(6.9% - 15.2%)	(\$78,913 - \$92,927)	(29.9% - 39.5%)	(93.7% - 98.1%)
TT 1	2.3%	2.7%	7.1%	\$107,807	48.3%	93.7% ↑
Hebron	(0.4% - 4.2%)	(0.0% - 6.9%)	(3.8% - 10.3%)	(\$99,286 - \$116,328)	(43.2% - 53.4%)	(91.2% - 96.2%)
17	9.0%	8.2%	18.9%	\$71,736	44.1%	67.1%
Kent	(3.2% - 14.8%)	(0.0% - 23.8%)	(11.4% - 26.5%)	(\$55,090 - \$88,382)	(37.0% - 51.2%)	(58.1% - 76.1%)
	(	()		("		

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>†</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Villinalu	9.3%	11.2%	26.2%	\$54,446	19.2% ↑	69.7% ↑
Killingly	(6.8% - 11.8%)	(7.1% - 15.3%)	(21.8% - 30.6%)	(\$50,064 - \$58,828)	(16.5% - 21.9%)	(65.7% - 73.7%)
Villin orres ath	0.2%	0.0%	4.2%	\$105,764	49.5%	96.9%
Killingworth	(0.0% - 0.5%)	(0.0% - 2.1%)	(0.9% - 7.6%)	(\$94,536 - \$116,992)	(44.1% - 54.9%)	(94.5% - 99.3%)
Lebanon	3.8%	0.0%	20.4% ↑	\$80,601	31.7%	87.6%
Lebanon	(1.9% - 5.7%)	(0.0% - 2.0%)	(12.5% - 28.4%)	(\$69,275 - \$91,927)	(27.0% - 36.4%)	(82.5% - 92.7%)
T 1 1	2.6%	2.9%	8.8%	\$87,344	36.1%	84.4%
Ledyard	(1.4% - 3.8%)	(0.2% - 5.6%)	(5.6% - 12.0%)	(\$84,121 - \$90,567)	(32.1% - 40.1%)	(80.8% - 88.0%)
т. 1	4.5%	3.9%	15.1%	\$74,190	20.1%	91.8%
Lisbon	(2.1% - 6.9%)	(0.0% - 8.3%)	(10.2% - 20.1%)	(\$61,363 - \$87,017)	(14.3% - 25.9%)	(87.5% - 96.1%)
T : 1 C 11	5.1%	6.2%	14.1%	\$82,155	42.5% ↑	78.5%
Litchfield	(3.1% - 7.1%)	(2.4% - 10.0%)	(10.9% - 17.4%)	(\$75,283 - \$89,027)	(38.7% - 46.3%)	(75.1% - 81.9%)
Ŧ	4.4% ↑	3.2%	11.9%	\$91,522	61.4%	82.7%
Lyme	(1.5% - 7.3%)	(0.0% - 6.6%)	(4.6% - 19.2%)	(\$73,107 - \$109,937)	(56.3% - 66.5%)	(75.8% - 89.6%)
	2.1%	1.7%	9.0% ↑	\$106,609 ↓	62.4% ↑	87.6%
Madison	(0.4% - 3.8%)	(0.0% - 4.1%)	(6.4% - 11.6%)	(\$100,700 - \$112,518)	(59.3% - 65.5%)	(85.3% - 89.9%)
36 1	8.2%	11.7%	23.5% ↑	\$62,436↓	34.9% ↑	59.5% ↑
Manchester	(7.0% - 9.4%)	(8.8% - 14.6%)	(21.3% - 25.8%)	(\$59,804 - \$65,068)	(33.4% - 36.4%)	(57.7% - 61.3%)
	17.4%	10.0%	28.4%	\$70,208	50.7%	66.9%
Mansfield	(13.6% - 21.2%)	(2.7% - 17.3%)	(23.7% - 33.2%)	(\$62,579 - \$77,837)	(45.9% - 55.5%)	(62.3% - 71.5%)
26.11 1	1.3%	0.0%	8.1%	\$112,665	43.9%	92.3%
Marlborough	(0.1% - 2.5%)	(0.0% - 2.1%)	(2.6% - 13.5%)	(\$104,840 - \$120,490)	(38.6% - 49.2%)	(87.7% - 96.9%)
36.11	14.8% ↑	23.9% ↑	32.5% ↑	\$53,722↓	19.9% ↑	62.9% ↑
Meriden	(13.0% - 16.6%)	(20.2% - 27.6%)	(29.6% - 35.3%)	(\$51,075 - \$56,369)	(18.6% - 21.2%)	(61.2% - 64.6%)
261111	2.7%	1.4%	8.3%	\$99,679	47.6% ↑	91.5%
Middlebury	(1.3% - 4.1%)	(0.0% - 3.4%)	(5.2% - 11.5%)	(\$93,143 - \$106,215)	(42.7% - 52.5%)	(88.9% - 94.1%)
	2.5%	1.3%	15.4%	\$81,638	34.6% ↑	85.5%
Middlefield	(1.2% - 3.8%)	(0.0% - 3.3%)	(7.9% - 22.9%)	(\$76,108 - \$87,168)	(29.3% - 39.9%)	(80.2% - 90.8%)
NC 111	11.5% ↑	15.2% ↑	24.6% ↑	\$59,966	33.4% ↑	56.2% ↑
Middletown	(9.8% - 13.2%)	(11.5% - 18.9%)	(22.1% - 27.1%)	(\$56,506 - \$63,426)	(31.4% - 35.4%)	(54.1% - 58.3%)
	3.9%	4.2%	13.0% ↑	\$79,828	38.6% ↑	77.5%
Milford	(3.1% - 4.7%)	(2.7% - 5.7%)	(11.3% - 14.7%)	(\$77,334 - \$82,322)	(36.8% - 40.4%)	(76.0% - 79.0%)
24	3.3%	3.2%	9.3%	\$108,478	46.2% ↑	93.2%
Monroe	(2.0% - 4.6%)	(0.7% - 5.7%)	(6.9% - 11.6%)	(\$98,173 - \$118,783)	(42.4% - 50.0%)	(91.2% - 95.2%)
	5.2%	7.0%	16.6%	\$67,734 ↓	18.5%	78.5%
Montville	(3.0% - 7.4%)	(2.8% - 11.2%)	(12.5% - 20.7%)	(\$62,606 - \$72,862)	(16.4% - 20.6%)	(74.8% - 82.2%)
		(		(" -,	(	(

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>†</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
M ·	4.8%	2.9%↓	14.0%	\$87,974	33.4% ↑	83.3%
Morris	(2.0% - 7.6%)	(0.0% - 7.1%)	(9.1% - 18.9%)	(\$77,021 - \$98,927)	(27.5% - 39.3%)	(77.5% - 89.1%)
NT / 1	8.5%	10.8%	21.6% ↑	\$63,414↓	23.2% ↑	69.2%
Naugatuck	(6.4% - 10.6%)	(6.5% - 15.1%)	(18.2% - 25.1%)	(\$60,957 - \$65,871)	(20.7% - 25.7%)	(66.8% - 71.6%)
N. D.	20.9% ↑	31.0% ↑	43.9% ↑	\$39,838↓	17.5%	43.3%
New Britain	(19.0% - 22.8%)	(26.6% - 35.4%)	(41.0% - 46.9%)	(\$38,102 - \$41,574)	(16.4% - 18.6%)	(41.6% - 45.0%)
N. C	2.2%	0.8%↓	7.0%	\$191,750	76.2% ↑	83.4%
New Canaan	(1.3% - 3.1%)	(0.2% - 1.4%)	(4.9% - 9.1%)	(\$162,580 - \$220,920)	(73.3% - 79.1%)	(80.5% - 86.3%)
N. D. C.11	1.4%	0.8%	8.8%	\$102,159↓	43.4%	94.9%
New Fairfield	(0.7% - 2.1%)	(0.0% - 1.7%)	(6.1% - 11.4%)	(\$95,864 - \$108,454)	(40.4% - 46.4%)	(93.0% - 96.8%)
	3.2%	2.1%	10.6%	\$84,297	41.0%	91.1% ↑
New Hartford	(1.5% - 4.9%)	(0.0% - 4.3%)	(7.1% - 14.1%)	(\$71,922 - \$96,672)	(35.1% - 46.9%)	(88.0% - 94.2%)
	26.3% ↑	35.7%	46.8%	\$39,094	32.1% ↑	31.1%
New Haven	(24.9% - 27.7%)	(32.8% - 38.6%)	(44.4% - 49.1%)	(\$37,290 - \$40,898)	(30.9% - 33.3%)	(29.7% - 32.5%)
	17.9%	27.6%	39.1%	\$45,509	22.2%	37.9%
New London	(15.0% - 20.8%)	(21.3% - 33.9%)	(34.2% - 44.0%)	(\$42,820 - \$48,198)	(19.7% - 24.7%)	(35.2% - 40.6%)
	4.1%	4.2%	13.3% ↑	\$84,818	38.0% ↑	82.7% ↑
New Milford	(2.9% - 5.3%)	(1.8% - 6.6%)	(10.9% - 15.6%)	(\$81,498 - \$88,138)	(35.1% - 40.9%)	(80.7% - 84.7%)
	4.6%	7.5%	14.2% ↑	\$71,817↓	32.6% ↑	83.9% ↑
Newington	(3.3% - 5.9%)	(4.0% - 11.0%)	(11.9% - 16.4%)	(\$68,507 - \$75,127)	(30.6% - 34.6%)	(82.3% - 85.5%)
	3.3%	3.0%	10.6% ↑	\$114,695	51.0%	92.3%
Newtown	(1.8% - 4.8%)	(0.5% - 5.5%)	(8.3% - 12.9%)	(\$109,090 - \$120,300)	(48.1% - 53.9%)	(90.7% - 93.9%)
	5.2%	6.2%	14.1%	\$82,250	41.2%	78.2%
Norfolk	(2.6% - 7.8%)	(0.0% - 13.7%)	(10.1% - 18.1%)	(\$73,320 - \$91,180)	(35.6% - 46.8%)	(72.0% - 84.4%)
	1.3%	1.2%	13.2%	\$78,720↓	34.9% ↑	84.8%
North Branford	(0.2% - 2.4%)	(0.0% - 3.1%)	(8.6% - 17.7%)	(\$72,591 - \$84,849)	(31.5% - 38.3%)	(81.5% - 88.1%)
	13.9%	21.4% ↑	28.4%	\$45,139	17.0%	72.5%
North Canaan	(5.9% - 21.9%)	(3.4% - 39.4%)	(17.7% - 39.1%)	(\$37,187 - \$53,091)	(12.0% - 22.0%)	(64.6% - 80.4%)
	4.3%	6.8%	11.9%	\$81,789↓	37.3% ↑	85.5%
North Haven	(2.5% - 6.1%)	(0.9% - 12.7%)	(9.3% - 14.6%)	(\$76,592 - \$86,986)	(34.9% - 39.7%)	(83.0% - 88.0%)
	5.5%	8.8%	17.6%	\$81,905	43.4% ↑	91.1%
North Stonington	(0.8% - 10.2%)	(0.0% - 21.7%)	(9.9% - 25.4%)	(\$64,476 - \$99,334)	(37.8% - 49.0%)	(86.7% - 95.5%)
	8.0%	10.3%	18.2%	\$76,384↓	40.2% ↑	65.1% ↑
Norwalk	(7.0% - 9.0%)	(8.0% - 12.6%)	(16.3% - 20.0%)	(\$74,000 - \$78,768)	(38.7% - 41.7%)	(63.7% - 66.5%)
	14.6%	24.5% ↑	32.8% ↑	\$51,225	20.6%	57.3% ↑
Norwich	(12.1% - 17.1%)	(18.1% - 30.9%)	(29.2% - 36.5%)	(\$49,225 - \$53,225)	(19.0% - 22.2%)	(54.9% - 59.7%)
	(12.170 17.170)	(10.170 50.270)	(27.270 50.570)	(#17,225 #55,225)	(17.070 22.270)	(31.770 37.770)

	Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>+</sup>	Home Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Old Luma	3.5%	2.3%	9.7%	\$93,682	54.7% ↑	82.3%
Old Lyme	(0.9% - 6.1%)	(0.0% - 4.6%)	(6.5% - 13.0%)	(\$83,317 - \$104,047)	(50.4% - 59.0%)	(78.4% - 86.2%)
Old Saybrook	5.9%	6.0%	15.9%	\$80,347	42.3%	81.1%
Old Saybrook	(3.6% - 8.2%)	(1.3% - 10.7%)	(11.1% - 20.7%)	(\$70,860 - \$89,834)	(37.9% - 46.7%)	(77.6% - 84.6%)
Orange	2.6%	1.0%	8.8%	\$104,335	55.1% ↑	89.0% ↓
Oralige	(1.4% - 3.8%)	(0.0% - 2.2%)	(6.1% - 11.5%)	(\$97,969 - \$110,701)	(51.8% - 58.4%)	(86.4% - 91.6%)
Oxford	1.7%	1.6%	6.1%	\$111,122	38.7% ↑	95.8% ↑
Oxioiu	(0.7% - 2.7%)	(0.0% - 3.4%)	(3.5% - 8.8%)	(\$102,240 - \$120,004)	(34.9% - 42.5%)	(93.7% - 97.9%)
Plainfield	10.7% ↑	17.1% ↑	22.0%	\$61,058	12.0%	73.9% ↑
Plainneid	(7.6% - 13.8%)	(10.8% - 23.4%)	(17.8% - 26.2%)	(\$57,926 - \$64,190)	(10.0% - 14.0%)	(69.5% - 78.3%)
Plainville	6.7%	10.0%	16.7%	\$61,489	19.2%	73.9% ↑
Plantville	(4.2% - 9.2%)	(3.9% - 16.1%)	(13.2% - 20.3%)	(\$57,375 - \$65,603)	(16.7% - 21.7%)	(71.0% - 76.8%)
Dl	5.7%	7.5%	14.5%	\$74,317	20.9% ↑	88.3% ↑
Plymouth	(3.6% - 7.8%)	(3.0% - 12.0%)	(11.3% - 17.7%)	(\$70,939 - \$77,695)	(17.7% - 24.1%)	(85.5% - 91.1%)
Deverfreet	5.3%	5.6%	11.8%	\$77,422	40.7%	76.2%
Pomfret	(1.4% - 9.2%)	(0.0% - 13.1%)	(6.5% - 17.2%)	(\$67,865 - \$86,979)	(33.7% - 47.7%)	(70.3% - 82.1%)
Portland	5.3%	6.3%	8.8%	\$93,438	39.1% ↑	82.0% ↑
Portiand	(2.7% - 7.9%)	(0.2% - 12.4%)	(5.8% - 11.7%)	(\$87,595 - \$99,281)	(34.6% - 43.6%)	(78.4% - 85.6%)
D (	10.6%	23.2% ↑	20.0% ↑	\$78,250	22.0%	85.9%
Preston	(5.2% - 16.0%)	(5.0% - 41.4%)	(12.0% - 28.0%)	(\$71,701 - \$84,799)	(17.4% - 26.6%)	(80.4% - 91.4%)
D	2.4%	3.1%	9.9% ↑	\$93,631	32.3% ↑	94.0%
Prospect	(0.9% - 3.9%)	(0.2% - 6.0%)	(6.9% - 12.8%)	(\$87,225 - \$100,037)	(28.4% - 36.2%)	(91.5% - 96.5%)
D (	15.4% ↑	19.6%	33.9% ↑	\$51,171↓	19.0%	56.6%
Putnam	(10.2% - 20.6%)	(8.7% - 30.5%)	(26.6% - 41.3%)	(\$46,649 - \$55,693)	(15.3% - 22.7%)	(51.2% - 62.0%)
D 11.	3.7%	4.8%	6.9%	\$113,697↓	65.6%	82.1%↓
Redding	(1.0% - 6.4%)	(0.0% - 12.0%)	(4.0% - 9.9%)	(\$95,955 - \$131,439)	(61.2% - 70.0%)	(77.9% - 86.3%)
D:1C-11	1.9%	0.8%	5.8%	\$145,000	70.2% ↑	84.2%
Ridgefield	(1.2% - 2.6%)	(0.1% - 1.5%)	(4.3% - 7.2%)	(\$130,747 - \$159,253)	(67.9% - 72.5%)	(81.9% - 86.5%)
D 1 11.11	6.0%	4.1%	17.0% ↑	\$75,582	43.1% ↑	65.6%
Rocky Hill	(3.4% - 8.6%)	(1.1% - 7.1%)	(12.7% - 21.3%)	(\$70,703 - \$80,461)	(40.1% - 46.1%)	(62.4% - 68.8%)
D 1	1.6%	0.0%	5.7%↓	\$98,833	54.0%	86.9%
Roxbury	(0.2% - 3.0%)	(0.0% - 7.6%)	(3.4% - 7.9%)	(\$81,991 - \$115,675)	(46.8% - 61.2%)	(81.7% - 92.1%)
0.1	3.2% ↑	1.4%	7.7%	\$97,424	43.2%	92.7% ↑
Salem	(1.3% - 5.1%)	(0.0% - 3.8%)	(4.8% - 10.5%)	(\$86,672 - \$108,176)	(37.6% - 48.8%)	(89.0% - 96.4%)
0 1 1	6.8%	6.3%	23.7%	\$70,250	62.0% ↑	73.0%
Salisbury	(3.2% - 10.4%)	(0.0% - 13.9%)	(15.3% - 32.1%)	(\$55,516 - \$84,984)	(54.7% - 69.3%)	(64.8% - 81.2%)

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>†</sup>	Home Ownership
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Commenting	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6%	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
	Saatland	1.1%↓	1.9%↓	12.2%	\$77,143	22.2%	88.5%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Scotland	(0.3% - 1.9%)	(0.0% - 4.7%)	(4.1% - 20.3%)	(\$72,999 - \$81,287)	(16.7% - 27.7%)	(83.7% - 93.3%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Corres on a	5.2%	2.1%↓	13.4%	\$75,482	26.0% ↑	76.4% ↑
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Seymour	(3.2% - 7.2%)	(0.0% - 4.9%)	(10.4% - 16.4%)	(\$63,188 - \$87,776)	(22.6% - 29.4%)	(73.3% - 79.5%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Classica in	5.3%	0.0%↓	22.1%	\$73,661	35.4%	78.7%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sharon	(1.6% - 9.0%)	(0.0% - 7.8%)	(13.7% - 30.6%)	(\$62,555 - \$84,767)	(28.4% - 42.4%)	(71.2% - 86.2%)
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Markenergy} & (3.1\% - 5.1\%) & (2.3\% - 6.7\%) & (9.1\% - 12.4\%) & (8/9/436 - 886,820) & (32.2\% - 36.6\%) & (80.8\% - 84.2\%) \\ \mbox{Sherman} & \frac{1.8\% & 2.5\% & 8.5\% & 8120,458 & 57.9\% & 93.6\% \\ (0.1\% - 3.5\%) & (0.0\% - 6.4\%) & (3.8\% - 13.3\%) & ($105,371 - $135,545) & (52.1\% - 63.7\%) & (88.7\% - 98.5\%) \\ \mbox{Simsbury} & \frac{2.0\% & 1.4\% & 6.7\% & 810(1557 - $122,551) & (61.4\% - 66.6\%) & (84.7\% - 88.1\%) \\ \mbox{2.0\% & 2.9\% & 0.0\% - 2.9\%) & (52\% - 8.2\%) & ($110557 - $122,551) & (61.4\% - 66.6\%) & (84.7\% - 88.1\%) \\ \mbox{Somers} & \frac{4.4\% & 6.3\% & 8.0\% & 1 & $100,573 \uparrow & 30.1\% \uparrow & 93.6\% \uparrow \\ \mbox{2.4\% - 6.4\%) & (2.1\% - 10.5\%) & (4.9\% - 11.0\%) & ($94,911 - $106,235) & (26.4\% - 33.8\%) & (90.6\% - 96.6\%) \\ \mbox{South Windsor} & 3.7\% & 4.9\% & 9.2\% \uparrow & $92,513 & 45.5\% \uparrow & 90.6\% \\ \mbox{3.5\% & 2.2\% & 2.8\% & 15.3\% \uparrow & $72,177 \downarrow & 46.8\% \uparrow & 87.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $72,177 \downarrow & 46.8\% \uparrow & 87.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $77,112 & 33.0\% \uparrow & 84.5\% \uparrow \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $77,112 & 33.0\% \uparrow & 84.5\% \uparrow \\ \mbox{3.5\% & 4.2\% & 11.5\% & $77,3110 - $81,114) & (31.1\% - 34.9\%) & (82.7\% - 86.3\%) \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.4\% & 0.0\% & 16.5\% \downarrow & $63,355 & 2.7.2\% \uparrow & 64.7\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 4.4\% & 0.0.9\% - 15.6\%) & (16.7\% - 24.2\%) & ($55,570 & 20.7\% & 78.2\% \\ \mbox{3.6w + 14.7\%) & (0.0\% - 37.6\%) & $16.5\% \downarrow & $65,570 & 20.7\% & 78.2\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 13.1\% \uparrow & 25.1\% \uparrow & $75,40 - $80,653] & (42.5\% - 45.3\%) & (55.4\% - 73.8\%) \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 13.1\% \uparrow & 25.1\% \uparrow & $75,40 - $80,653] & (42.5\% - 45.3\%) & (55.4\% - 73.4\%) \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 13.1\% \uparrow & 25.1\% \uparrow & $75,40 - $80,653] & (42.5\% - 45.3\%) & (55.4\% - 77.4\%) \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 15.4\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 10.0\% \uparrow & 15.4\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4\% & 10.5\% & 10.0\% & $75,772 & 44.8\% \uparrow & 71.6\% \\ \mbox{3.6m + 15.4$	C1 1	4.1%	4.5%	10.8%	\$83,128↓	34.4% ↑	82.5%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Shelton	(3.1% - 5.1%)	(2.3% - 6.7%)	(9.1% - 12.4%)	(\$79,436 - \$86,820)	(32.2% - 36.6%)	(80.8% - 84.2%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	01	1.8%	2.5%	8.5%	\$120,458	57.9% ↑	93.6%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sherman	(0.1% - 3.5%)	(0.0% - 6.4%)	(3.8% - 13.3%)	(\$105,371 - \$135,545)	(52.1% - 63.7%)	(88.7% - 98.5%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0: 1	2.0%		6.7% ↑	. ,	64.0%	86.4% ↑
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Simsbury	(1.1% - 2.9%)	(0.0% - 2.9%)	(5.2% - 8.2%)	(\$110,557 - \$122,551)	(61.4% - 66.6%)	(84.7% - 88.1%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0	4.4%	6.3%	8.0%↓	\$100,573 ↑	30.1% ↑	93.6% ↑
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Somers	(2.4% - 6.4%)	(2.1% - 10.5%)	•	(\$94,911 - \$106,235)	(26.4% - 33.8%)	(90.6% - 96.6%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0 1 1 1	3.7%	4.9%	9.2% ↑	\$92,513	45.3% ↑	90.6%
Southbury $5.2\%$ $2.8\%$ $15.3\%$ $\uparrow$ $\$72,177$ $\downarrow$ $46.8\%$ $\uparrow$ $87.6\%$ Southbury $(2.4\% - 8.0\%)$ $(0.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(12.0\% - 18.5\%)$ $(\$64,879 - \$79,475)$ $(43.5\% - 50.1\%)$ $(84.8\% - 90.4\%)$ Southington $3.5\%$ $4.2\%$ $11.5\%$ $\$77,112$ $33.0\%$ $84.5\%$ $84.8\% - 90.4\%)$ Sprague $(2.4\% - 4.6\%)$ $(2.2\% - 6.2\%)$ $(9.9\% - 13.1\%)$ $(\$77,110 - \$81,114)$ $(31.1\% - 34.9\%)$ $(82.7\% - 86.3\%)$ Sprague $8.5\%$ $18.0\%$ $16.5\%$ $\$63,155$ $27.2\%$ $64.7\%$ $(2.3\% - 14.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 37.6\%)$ $(8.7\% - 24.2\%)$ $(\$53,342 - \$72,968)$ $(21.0\% - 33.4\%)$ $(55.6\% - 73.8\%)$ Stafford $6.1\%$ $3.8\%$ $21.6\%$ $\$65,570$ $20.7\%$ $78.2\%$ $(4.2\% - 8.0\%)$ $(0.8\% - 6.8\%)$ $(16.7\% - 26.5\%)$ $\$59,479 - \$71,661$ $(16.7\% - 24.7\%)$ $(74.5\% - 81.9\%)$ Stamford $11.0\%$ $13.1\%$ $25.1\%$ $\$78,201 \downarrow$ $43.9\%$ $55.4\%$ $(9.8\% - 12.2\%)$ $(10.6\% - 15.6\%)$ $(23.1\% - 27.1\%)$ $(\$75,749 - \$80,653)$ $(42.5\% - 45.3\%)$ $(55.1\% - 57.7\%)$ Sterling $12.8\%$ $15.4\%$ $22.1\%$ $\$75,900$ $13.4\%$ $85.0\%$ $(6.9\% - 18.7\%)$ $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.3\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$75,900$ $13.4\%$ $85.0\%$ Stonington $5.5\%$ $5.4\%$ $16.0\%$ $\$75,972$ $44.8\%$ $71.16\%$ $(2.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$77,614 \downarrow$ $28.9\%$ $80.5$	South Windsor	(1.8% - 5.6%)	(0.0% - 10.1%)	(6.1% - 12.3%)	(\$85,547 - \$99,479)	(42.9% - 47.7%)	(88.6% - 92.6%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0 11	5.2%	2.8%	15.3% ↑	\$72,177↓	46.8% ↑	87.6%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Southbury	(2.4% - 8.0%)	(0.1% - 5.5%)	(12.0% - 18.5%)	(\$64,879 - \$79,475)	(43.5% - 50.1%)	(84.8% - 90.4%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0 1	3.5%	4.2%	11.5%	\$77,112	33.0% ↑	84.5% ↑
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Southington	(2.4% - 4.6%)	(2.2% - 6.2%)	(9.9% - 13.1%)	(\$73,110 - \$81,114)	(31.1% - 34.9%)	(82.7% - 86.3%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	C	8.5%	18.0%	16.5%↓	\$63,155	27.2% ↑	64.7%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sprague	(2.3% - 14.7%)	(0.0% - 37.6%)	(8.7% - 24.2%)	(\$53,342 - \$72,968)	(21.0% - 33.4%)	(55.6% - 73.8%)
Stamford $(4.2\% - 8.0\%)$ $(0.8\% - 6.8\%)$ $(16.7\% - 26.5\%)$ $(\$59, 4/9 - \$/1, 661)$ $(16.7\% - 24.7\%)$ $(74.5\% - 81.9\%)$ Stamford $11.0\%$ ↑ $13.1\%$ ↑ $25.1\%$ ↑ $\$78, 201 \downarrow$ $43.9\%$ ↑ $56.4\%$ $(9.8\% - 12.2\%)$ $(10.6\% - 15.6\%)$ $(23.1\% - 27.1\%)$ $(\$75, 749 - \$80, 653)$ $(42.5\% - 45.3\%)$ $(55.1\% - 57.7\%)$ Sterling $12.8\%$ ↑ $15.4\%$ $22.1\%$ $\$75,000$ $13.4\%$ $85.0\%$ $(6.9\% - 18.7\%)$ $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.3\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$64, 810 - \$85, 190)$ $(9.5\% - 17.3\%)$ $(78.5\% - 91.5\%)$ Stonington $5.1\%$ $6.5\%$ $16.0\%$ $\$75,972$ $44.8\%$ ↑ $71.6\%$ $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford $5.5\%$ $5.4\%$ $18.3\%$ ↑ $\$67,761 \downarrow$ $28.9\%$ ↑ $80.5\%$ Stratford $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ Suffield $2.8\%$ $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$	0, 66, 1	6.1%	3.8%	21.6%	\$65,570	20.7%	78.2%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Stattord	(4.2% - 8.0%)	(0.8% - 6.8%)	(16.7% - 26.5%)	(\$59,479 - \$71,661)	(16.7% - 24.7%)	(74.5% - 81.9%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		11.0% ↑	13.1% ↑	25.1% ↑	\$78,201↓	43.9% ↑	56.4%
Sterling $12.8\%$ $\uparrow$ $15.4\%$ $22.1\%$ $\$75,000$ $13.4\%$ $85.0\%$ Sterling $(6.9\% - 18.7\%)$ $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.3\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$64,\$10 - \$85,190)$ $(9.5\% - 17.3\%)$ $(78.5\% - 91.5\%)$ Stonington $5.1\%$ $6.5\%$ $16.0\%$ $\$775,972$ $44.8\%$ $\uparrow$ $71.6\%$ $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford $5.5\%$ $5.4\%$ $18.3\%$ $\uparrow$ $\$67,761$ $\downarrow$ $28.9\%$ $\uparrow$ $80.5\%$ Stratford $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\%$ $\downarrow$ $0.5\%$ $\downarrow$ $6.1\%$ $\downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\%$ $\downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982$ $\downarrow$ $24.5\%$ $\uparrow$ $77.6\%$	Stamford	(9.8% - 12.2%)	(10.6% - 15.6%)	(23.1% - 27.1%)	(\$75,749 - \$80,653)	(42.5% - 45.3%)	(55.1% - 57.7%)
Stonington $(4.4\% - 26.4\%)$ $(14.5\% - 29.9\%)$ $(\$64,\$10 - \$85,190)$ $(9.5\% - 17.5\%)$ $(78.5\% - 91.5\%)$ Stonington $5.1\%$ $6.5\%$ $16.0\%$ $\$75,972$ $44.8\% \uparrow$ $71.6\%$ $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford $5.5\%$ $5.4\%$ $18.3\% \uparrow$ $\$67,761 \downarrow$ $28.9\% \uparrow$ $80.5\%$ Stratford $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$	0, 1	12.8% ↑	15.4%	22.1%	\$75,000		85.0%
Stonington $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$71,626 - \$80,318)$ $(42.0\% - 47.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford $5.5\%$ $5.4\%$ $18.3\%$ $\$67,761\downarrow$ $28.9\%$ $80.5\%$ $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\%\downarrow$ $0.5\%\downarrow$ $6.1\%\downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\%\downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982\downarrow$ $24.5\%\uparrow$ $77.6\%$	Sterling	(6.9% - 18.7%)	(4.4% - 26.4%)	(14.3% - 29.9%)	(\$64,810 - \$85,190)	(9.5% - 17.3%)	(78.5% - 91.5%)
Stratford $(3.4\% - 6.8\%)$ $(2.5\% - 10.5\%)$ $(12.9\% - 19.1\%)$ $(\$/1,626 - \$80,518)$ $(42.0\% - 4/.6\%)$ $(68.7\% - 74.5\%)$ Stratford $5.5\%$ $5.4\%$ $18.3\%$ $\$67,761$ $28.9\%$ $80.5\%$ $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\%$ $0.5\%$ $6.1\%$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\%$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982$ $24.5\%$ $77.6\%$	Q	· · · · ·	( /	16.0%		44.8% ↑	71.6%
Stratford $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(81.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$	Stonington	(3.4% - 6.8%)	(2.5% - 10.5%)	(12.9% - 19.1%)	(\$71,626 - \$80,318)	(42.0% - 47.6%)	(68.7% - 74.5%)
Stratford $(4.2\% - 6.8\%)$ $(3.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(15.9\% - 20.8\%)$ $(\$64,237 - \$71,285)$ $(27.3\% - 30.5\%)$ $(78.8\% - 82.2\%)$ Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(81.7\% - 87.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$		5.5%	5.4%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(
Suffield $2.2\% \downarrow$ $0.5\% \downarrow$ $6.1\% \downarrow$ $\$89,802$ $36.6\%$ $84.8\%$ $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - \$7.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$	Stratford	(4.2% - 6.8%)	(3.3% - 7.5%)			•	(78.8% - 82.2%)
Suffield $(1.2\% - 3.2\%)$ $(0.0\% - 1.2\%)$ $(4.4\% - 7.7\%)$ $(\$84,615 - \$94,989)$ $(33.2\% - 40.0\%)$ $(\$1.7\% - \$7.9\%)$ Thomaston $2.8\%$ $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$	0 00 11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					(
2.8% $1.5\% \downarrow$ $12.4\%$ $\$64,982 \downarrow$ $24.5\% \uparrow$ $77.6\%$	Suttield	•	•	•	· · · ·	(33.2% - 40.0%)	
Thomaston	711	· · · · · ·		( /		( /	( /
	Thomaston	(1.6% - 4.0%)	(0.0% - 3.2%)	(8.6% - 16.3%)	(\$58,934 - \$71,030)	(20.9% - 28.1%)	(73.4% - 81.8%)

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Residents in Poverty	All Children in Poverty	Residents Below 200% of the FPL*	Median Household Income**	Residents with Bachelor's Degree <sup>†</sup>	Home Ownership
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Compositions	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Connecticut	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		6.2%	5.0%	20.2%	\$61,517	19.1%	83.2%
	mompson	(3.3% - 9.1%)	(0.8% - 9.2%)	(15.1% - 25.3%)	(\$57,367 - \$65,667)	(15.9% - 22.3%)	(78.9% - 87.5%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Talland	3.2%	2.3%	10.9% ↑	\$102,370	47.0%	92.3%
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Tolland	(1.7% - 4.7%)	(0.0% - 5.0%)	(7.5% - 14.4%)	(\$93,484 - \$111,256)	(43.0% - 51.0%)	(89.8% - 94.8%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tominaton	12.0% ↑	15.1% ↑	28.0% ↑	\$48,742↓	19.7% ↑	66.4%
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Tornington	(10.0% - 14.0%)	(10.8% - 19.4%)	(25.0% - 31.1%)	(\$45,985 - \$51,499)	(18.0% - 21.4%)	(64.2% - 68.6%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	T	2.3%	2.4%	7.5%	\$106,058	50.8% ↑	89.4%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Trumbull	(1.5% - 3.1%)	(0.8% - 4.0%)	(5.9% - 9.0%)	(\$101,613 - \$110,503)	(48.7% - 52.9%)	(87.9% - 90.9%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	TT ·	0.7%↓	1.0%↓	6.9%	\$84,028	28.0%	94.7% ↑
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Union	(0.0% - 1.5%)	(0.0% - 2.7%)	(0.0% - 16.9%)	(\$76,457 - \$91,599)	(18.8% - 37.2%)	(89.8% - 99.6%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<b>V</b> 7	8.5% ↑	12.8%	20.4%	\$62,115	30.2% ↑	59.2%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Vernon	(6.5% - 10.5%)	(7.4% - 18.2%)	(17.4% - 23.3%)	(\$58,399 - \$65,831)	(27.9% - 32.5%)	(57.0% - 61.4%)
Wallingford $(0.0\% \circ - 6.8\%)$ $(4.7\% - 15.5\%)$ $(8.1,865 - $80,948)$ $(1.1.9\% - 27.0\%)$ $(76.9\% - 87.9\%)$ Wallingford $6.0\% \uparrow$ $6.7\%$ $15.7\% \uparrow$ $$72,540 \downarrow$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $72.9\%$ Warren $4.2\%$ $6.4\%$ $11.9\%$ $$97,794$ $40.4\%$ $88.8\%$ Warren $4.2\%$ $6.4\%$ $11.9\%$ $$97,794$ $40.4\%$ $88.8\%$ Washington $6.3\%$ $5.2\%$ $14.0\%$ $$72,540 \downarrow$ $40.4\%$ $88.8\%$ Washington $6.3\%$ $5.2\%$ $14.0\%$ $$70,068 \downarrow$ $40.1\%$ $72.6\%$ Waterbury $20.6\% \uparrow$ $31.7\% \uparrow$ $40.9\% \uparrow$ $$84,499 \downarrow$ $17.2\% \uparrow$ $49.6\% \uparrow$ $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $(539,887 - 543,111)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(48.3\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford $4.3\%$ $2.3\% \downarrow$ $10.3\% - 15.2\%$ $(568,148 - $75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown $3.4\%$ $2.3\%$ $10.4\%$ $$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $85.2\% \uparrow$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ $5.9\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\% \uparrow$ $$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\% \uparrow$ $$85,057 \downarrow$ $$2.0\% \uparrow$ $$72,536$ $$52.9\% \uparrow$ $$72,536$ $$52.9\% \uparrow$ $$72,536$ $$52.9\% \uparrow$ Westbrook $6.1\% \uparrow$ $5.2\%$ $10.4\%$ $$85.9\% \uparrow$ $$80,061$ $$9.1\% \uparrow$ $$72.5\% \uparrow$ $$85,057 \downarrow$ $$2.0\% \uparrow$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ $$72,056$ <	<b>N7 1</b>	2.2%↓	3.4%	9.1%	\$76,208	22.0%	82.4%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Voluntown	(0.8% - 3.6%)	(0.0% - 6.8%)	(4.7% - 13.6%)	(\$71,868 - \$80,548)	(17.0% - 27.0%)	(76.9% - 87.9%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W/ 11. C 1	6.0%	6.7%	15.7% ↑	\$72,540↓	32.5% ↑	72.9%
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Wallingford	(4.6% - 7.4%)	(3.7% - 9.7%)	(13.5% - 17.9%)	(\$68,536 - \$76,544)	(30.7% - 34.3%)	(71.0% - 74.8%)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	W7	4.2%	6.4%	11.9%	\$97,794	40.4%	88.8%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	warren	(1.9% - 6.5%)	(0.7% - 12.1%)	(4.5% - 19.3%)	(\$83,171 - \$112,417)	(34.5% - 46.3%)	(83.6% - 94.0%)
Waterbury $(3.5\% - 9.3\%)$ $(0.0\% - 11.0\%)$ $(9.2\% - 18.5\%)$ $(852,064 - $7,8,072)$ $(32.8\% - 47.4\%)$ $(65.2\% - 80.0\%)$ Waterbury $20.6\%$ ↑ $31.7\%$ ↑ $40.9\%$ ↑ $$41,499$ ↓ $17.2\%$ ↑ $49.6\%$ ↑ $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $($39,887 - $43,111)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(48.3\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford $4.3\%$ $2.3\%$ ↓ $12.8\%$ $$72,036$ $35.9\%$ ↑ $85.3\%$ Watertown $3.4\%$ $2.3\%$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $($68,148 - $75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\%$ ↑ $5.9\%$ $10.4\%$ $$81,203$ $32.5\%$ ↑ $85.2\%$ ↑West Hartford $6.1\%$ ↑ $5.9\%$ $15.8\%$ ↑ $$80,061$ $59.1\%$ ↑ $73.8\%$ ↑West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ ↑ $$53,057$ ↓ $23.0\%$ ↑ $57.7\%$ ↑West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ ↑ $$53,057$ ↓ $23.0\%$ ↑ $57.7\%$ ↑Westbrook $4.3\%$ ↑ $7.2\%$ ↓ $(1.0\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $($77,806 - $82,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ ↑ $$53,057$ ↓ $23.0\%$ ↑ $57.7\%$ ↑Westbrook $4.3\%$ ↑ $7.2\%$ ↓ $35.3\%$ ↑ $79.9\%$ ↑ $$60,422$ ↓ $35.3\%$ ↑ $79.9\%$ ↑Weston $2.4\%$ ↓ $1.5\%$ ↓ $4.3\%$ $$205,563$ $80.8\%$ ↑ $93.0\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ ↓ $1.5\%$ ↓ $4.3\%$ ↓ $$205,563$ $80.8\%$ ↑ $93$	W/1	6.3%	5.2%	14.0%	\$70,068↓	40.1%	72.6%
Waterbury $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $(\$39,887 - \$43,111)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(48.3\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford $4.3\%$ $2.3\%$ $12.8\%$ $\$72,036$ $35.9\%$ $85.3\%$ $(3.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(0.8\% - 3.8\%)$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $(\$68,148 - \$75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown $3.4\%$ $2.3\%$ $10.4\%$ $\$81,203$ $32.5\%$ $85.2\%$ $(2.3\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\%$ $5.9\%$ $15.8\%$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\%$ $73.8\%$ $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057$ $23.0\%$ $57.7\%$ $(8.7\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(29.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $90.9\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $90.1\%$ Weston $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $$155,792$ $(74.2\%)$ $85.5\%$	Washington	(3.3% - 9.3%)	(0.0% - 11.0%)	(9.2% - 18.9%)	(\$62,064 - \$78,072)	(32.8% - 47.4%)	(65.2% - 80.0%)
Waterford $(19.3\% - 21.9\%)$ $(28.6\% - 34.8\%)$ $(38.8\% - 43.1\%)$ $(15.9\% - 18.5\%)$ $(148.5\% - 50.9\%)$ Waterford $4.3\%$ $2.3\% \downarrow$ $12.8\%$ $\$72,036$ $35.9\% \uparrow$ $85.3\%$ Waterford $3.4\%$ $2.3\% \downarrow$ $10.4\%$ $\$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $88.2\% \uparrow$ Watertown $3.4\%$ $2.3\% \downarrow$ $10.4\%$ $\$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $85.2\% \uparrow$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ $5.9\%$ $15.8\% \uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ $5.9\%$ $15.8\% \uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\% \uparrow$ $\$53,057 \downarrow$ $23.0\% \uparrow$ $57.7\% \uparrow$ West Haven $(0.0\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422 \downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\% \uparrow$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ $93.0\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ $\$3.4\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$16,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$16,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\% \uparrow$ $85.5\%$		20.6% ↑	31.7% ↑	40.9% ↑	\$41,499↓	17.2% ↑	49.6% ↑
Waterford $(3.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(0.8\% - 3.8\%)$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $(\$68,148 - \$75,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown $3.4\%$ $2.3\%$ $10.4\%$ $\$81,203$ $32.5\% \uparrow$ $85.2\% \uparrow$ $(2.3\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\% \uparrow$ $5.9\%$ $15.8\% \uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\% \uparrow$ $73.8\% \uparrow$ $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\% \uparrow$ $\$53,057 \downarrow$ $23.0\% \uparrow$ $57.7\% \uparrow$ $(8.7\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422 \downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\% \uparrow$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ $93.0\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ $93.0\%$ Weston $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $$155,792$ $(74.2\% \uparrow$ $85.5\%$	waterbury	(19.3% - 21.9%)	(28.6% - 34.8%)	(38.8% - 43.1%)	(\$39,887 - \$43,111)	(15.9% - 18.5%)	(48.3% - 50.9%)
Watertown $(3.1\% - 5.5\%)$ $(0.8\% - 3.8\%)$ $(10.3\% - 15.2\%)$ $(\$68,148 - \$/5,924)$ $(33.1\% - 38.7\%)$ $(\$83.0\% - 87.6\%)$ Watertown $3.4\%$ $2.3\%$ $10.4\%$ $\$81,203$ $32.5\%$ $85.2\%$ $\$65.2\%$ West Hartford $6.1\%$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\%$ $5.9\%$ $15.8\%$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\%$ $73.8\%$ $73.8\%$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057$ $23.0\%$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057$ $23.0\%$ $57.7\%$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$20.563$ $80.8\%$ $93.0\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$20.5563$ $80.8\%$ $93.0\%$ Westort $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $$7.3\%$ $$155,792$ $74.2\%$ $93.0\%$	Watarford	4.3%	2.3%↓	12.8%	\$72,036	35.9% ↑	85.3%
Watertown $(2.3\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$76,527 - \$85,879)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\%$ ↑ $5.9\%$ $15.8\%$ ↑ $\$80,061$ $59.1\%$ ↑ $73.8\%$ ↑West Hartford $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ ↑ $\$53,057$ ↓ $23.0\%$ ↑ $57.7\%$ ↑West Haven $10.5\%$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422$ ↓ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ ↑Westbrook $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\%$ ↑ $93.0\%$ Weston $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\%$ ↑ $85.5\%$	waterford	(3.1% - 5.5%)	(0.8% - 3.8%)	(10.3% - 15.2%)	(\$68,148 - \$75,924)	(33.1% - 38.7%)	(83.0% - 87.6%)
West Hartford $(0.2\% - 4.5\%)$ $(0.2\% - 4.4\%)$ $(8.5\% - 12.4\%)$ $(\$/6,527 - \$85,8/9)$ $(29.8\% - 35.2\%)$ $(82.7\% - 87.7\%)$ West Hartford $6.1\%$ $\uparrow$ $5.9\%$ $15.8\%$ $\uparrow$ $\$80,061$ $59.1\%$ $\uparrow$ $73.8\%$ $\uparrow$ West Haven $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\uparrow$ $\$53,057$ $\downarrow$ $23.0\%$ $\uparrow$ $57.7\%$ $\uparrow$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\uparrow$ $\$53,057$ $\downarrow$ $23.0\%$ $\uparrow$ $57.7\%$ $\uparrow$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422$ $\downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ $\uparrow$ Westbrook $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$60,422$ $\downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ $\uparrow$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $\uparrow$ $93.0\%$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $\uparrow$ $93.0\%$ Weston $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\%$ $\uparrow$ $85.5\%$	W/ - + +	3.4%	2.3%	10.4%	\$81,203	32.5% ↑	85.2% ↑
West Hartford $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$77,806 - \$82,316)$ $(57.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(72.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057$ $23.0\%$ $57.7\%$ $57.7\%$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057$ $23.0\%$ $57.7\%$ $57.7\%$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057$ $23.0\%$ $57.7\%$ $57.7\%$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $93.0\%$ Westont $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\%$ $85.5\%$	watertown	(2.3% - 4.5%)	(0.2% - 4.4%)	(8.5% - 12.4%)	(\$76,527 - \$85,879)	(29.8% - 35.2%)	(82.7% - 87.7%)
West Haven $(5.2\% - 7.0\%)$ $(4.3\% - 7.5\%)$ $(14.1\% - 17.4\%)$ $(\$/7,806 - \$82,316)$ $(5/.4\% - 60.8\%)$ $(7/2.4\% - 75.2\%)$ West Haven $10.5\%$ $14.5\%$ $29.0\%$ $\$53,057\downarrow$ $23.0\%$ $57.7\%$ $(6.7\% - 12.3\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422\downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\%$ Westbrook $(1.1\% - 7.5\%)$ $(0.0\% - 16.1\%)$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\%$ $93.0\%$ (1.1\% - 3.7\%) $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westort $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\%$ $85.5\%$	W/aat II. at faud	6.1% ↑	5.9%	15.8% ↑	\$80,061	59.1% ↑	73.8% ↑
West Haven $(8.7\% - 12.3\%)$ $(10.8\% - 18.2\%)$ $(25.9\% - 32.2\%)$ $(\$50,798 - \$55,316)$ $(21.3\% - 24.7\%)$ $(55.6\% - 59.8\%)$ Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $\$60,422 \downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\% \uparrow$ $(1.1\% - 7.5\%)$ $(0.0\% - 16.1\%)$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ $93.0\%$ $(1.1\% - 3.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westort $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\% \uparrow$ $85.5\%$	west martifierd	(5.2% - 7.0%)	(4.3% - 7.5%)	(14.1% - 17.4%)	(\$77,806 - \$82,316)	(57.4% - 60.8%)	(72.4% - 75.2%)
(8. /% - 12.3%)(10.8% - 18.2%)(25.9% - 32.2%)(\$50, /98 - \$55,316)(21.3% - 24. /%)(55.6% - 59.8%)Westbrook $4.3\%$ $7.2\%$ $21.7\%$ $$60,422 \downarrow$ $35.3\%$ $79.9\% \uparrow$ (1.1% - 7.5%)(0.0% - 16.1%)(14.9% - 28.5%)(\$53,346 - \$67,498)(29.1% - 41.5%)(75.0% - 84.8%)Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ \$205,563 $80.8\% \uparrow$ $93.0\%$ (1.1% - 3.7%)(0.0% - 3.1%)(2.6% - 5.9%)(\$186,625 - \$224,501)(77.7% - 83.9%)(90.1% - 95.9%)Westort $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ \$155,792 $74.2\% \uparrow$ $85.5\%$	West Harrow	10.5%	14.5%	29.0% ↑	\$53,057↓	23.0% ↑	57.7% ↑
Westbrook $(1.1\% - 7.5\%)$ $(0.0\% - 16.1\%)$ $(14.9\% - 28.5\%)$ $(\$53,346 - \$67,498)$ $(29.1\% - 41.5\%)$ $(75.0\% - 84.8\%)$ Weston $2.4\%$ $1.5\%$ $4.3\%$ $\$205,563$ $80.8\% \uparrow$ $93.0\%$ $(1.1\% - 3.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - 83.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westort $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\% \uparrow$ $85.5\%$	west maven	(8.7% - 12.3%)	(10.8% - 18.2%)	(25.9% - 32.2%)	(\$50,798 - \$55,316)	(21.3% - 24.7%)	(55.6% - 59.8%)
(1.1% - 7.5%) $(0.0% - 16.1%)$ $(14.9% - 28.5%)$ $($53,346 - $67,498)$ $(29.1% - 41.5%)$ $(75.0% - 84.8%)$ Weston $2.4%$ $1.5%$ $4.3%$ $$205,563$ $80.8%$ $93.0%$ $(1.1% - 3.7%)$ $(0.0% - 3.1%)$ $(2.6% - 5.9%)$ $($186,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7% - 83.9%)$ $(90.1% - 95.9%)$ Westport $3.5%$ $3.4%$ $7.3%$ $$155,792$ $74.2%$ $85.5%$	Waathraal		7.2%	21.7%	\$60,422↓	35.3%	79.9% ↑
Weston $(1.1\% - 3.7\%)$ $(0.0\% - 3.1\%)$ $(2.6\% - 5.9\%)$ $(\$186,625 - \$224,501)$ $(77.7\% - \$3.9\%)$ $(90.1\% - 95.9\%)$ Westport $3.5\%$ $3.4\%$ $7.3\%$ $\$155,792$ $74.2\%$ $85.5\%$	Westbrook	(1.1% - 7.5%)	(0.0% - 16.1%)	(14.9% - 28.5%)	(\$53,346 - \$67,498)	(29.1% - 41.5%)	(75.0% - 84.8%)
(1.1% - 3.7%) $(0.0% - 3.1%)$ $(2.6% - 5.9%)$ $($186,625 - $224,501)$ $(77.7% - $3.9%)$ $(90.1% - 95.9%)$ Westport $3.5%$ $3.4%$ $7.3%$ $$155,792$ $74.2%$ $85.5%$	Wester	2.4%	1.5%	4.3%	\$205,563	80.8% ↑	93.0%
Workport	weston	(1.1% - 3.7%)	(0.0% - 3.1%)	(2.6% - 5.9%)	(\$186,625 - \$224,501)	(77.7% - 83.9%)	(90.1% - 95.9%)
$(2.3\% - 4.7\%) \qquad (1.2\% - 5.6\%) \qquad (5.4\% - 9.2\%) \qquad (\$146,945 - \$164,639) \qquad (72.1\% - 76.3\%) \qquad (83.1\% - 87.9\%)$	Westport	3.5%	3.4%	7.3%	\$155,792	74.2% ↑	85.5%
	westport	(2.3% - 4.7%)	(1.2% - 5.6%)	(5.4% - 9.2%)	(\$146,945 - \$164,639)	(72.1% - 76.3%)	(83.1% - 87.9%)

	Residents in	All Children in	Residents Below	Median Household	Residents with	Home
	Poverty	Poverty	200% of the FPL*	Income**	Bachelor's Degree <sup>+</sup>	Ownership
Connecticut	9.5% ↑	12.6% ↑	21.6% ↑	\$69,243 ↓	35.7% ↑	68.9% ↑
	(9.3% - 9.7%)	(12.6% - 12.6%)	(21.3% - 21.9%)	(\$68,834 - \$69,652)	(35.4% - 36.0%)	(68.6% - 69.2%)
Wethersfield	4.5%	5.2%	14.5%	\$72,041	40.6% ↑	78.5%
	(3.3% - 5.7%)	(2.7% - 7.7%)	(11.8% - 17.3%)	(\$68,189 - \$75,893)	(38.4% - 42.8%)	(76.2% - 80.8%)
Willington	16.5%	4.8%	27.8%	\$67,736	36.5%	68.7%
	(11.5% - 21.5%)	(0.0% - 11.2%)	(21.1% - 34.6%)	(\$55,421 - \$80,051)	(30.4% - 42.6%)	(63.4% - 74.0%)
Wilton	2.1%	0.9%	4.7%	\$159,720 ↓	73.9%	90.8%
	(1.1% - 3.1%)	(0.1% - 1.7%)	(3.5% - 6.0%)	(\$148,647 - \$170,793)	(71.3% - 76.5%)	(88.7% - 92.9%)
Winchester	6.7%	4.6% ↓	21.3%	\$57,050	19.9%	69.4%
	(4.1% - 9.3%)	(0.4% - 8.8%)	(16.1% - 26.6%)	(\$51,193 - \$62,907)	(16.5% - 23.3%)	(64.8% - 74.0%)
Windham	21.9% ↑	34.0% ↑	44.6% ↑	\$42,178 ↓	19.4%	50.6%
	(18.9% - 24.9%)	(27.6% - 40.4%)	(39.7% - 49.5%)	(\$38,965 - \$45,391)	(17.2% - 21.6%)	(47.6% - 53.6%)
Windsor Locks	8.2% ↑	9.1%	18.9% ↑	\$62,212	21.8% ↑	81.6% ↑
	(5.0% - 11.4%)	(3.0% - 15.2%)	(14.6% - 23.3%)	(\$57,531 - \$66,893)	(18.3% - 25.3%)	(78.1% - 85.1%)
Windsor	4.0%	5.2%	11.7%	\$79,927 ↓	35.3% ↑	81.6%
	(2.8% - 5.2%)	(2.6% - 7.8%)	(9.6% - 13.8%)	(\$77,309 - \$82,545)	(32.8% - 37.8%)	(79.4% - 83.8%)
Wolcott	3.3%	2.5%	11.1%	\$80,529	24.5% ↑	88.8%
	(2.0% - 4.6%)	(0.2% - 4.8%)	(8.5% - 13.6%)	(\$74,849 - \$86,209)	(21.2% - 27.8%)	(86.1% - 91.5%)
Woodbridge	2.2%	2.7%	7.0%	\$129,583	66.6% ↑	90.1%
	(0.5% - 3.9%)	(0.0% - 7.2%)	(3.5% - 10.4%)	(\$115,000 - \$144,166)	(62.1% - 71.1%)	(86.8% - 93.4%)
Woodbury	4.7%	4.3%	10.9%	\$86,802	49.6% ↑	82.6% ↑
	(2.6% - 6.8%)	(0.2% - 8.4%)	(7.9% - 14.0%)	(\$72,431 - \$101,173)	(45.3% - 53.9%)	(78.9% - 86.3%)
Woodstock	5.2%	4.5%	10.7% ↓	\$81,320	35.6%	87.7%
	(2.4% - 8.0%)	(0.0% - 10.0%)	(7.0% - 14.5%)	(\$71,987 - \$90,653)	(30.0% - 41.2%)	(84.0% - 91.4%)

Data from the U.S. Census American Community Survey (ACS) 2007-2011 five-year estimate.

\* 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) approaches Connecticut's Self-Sufficiency Standard, the state's official measure of the income necessary for a family to meet basic needs. However, in most areas of the state, the Self Sufficiency Standard is far higher than 200% of the FPL.

\*\* Median household income is 2011 (inflation-adjusted) dollars.

† Percent of residents over 25 who have completed a bachelor's degree.

## All Residents in Poverty: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of all Connecticut residents in poverty increased from 7.9% in Census 2000 (1999 figures) to 9.5% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in poverty estimates over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in other towns.

#### Towns with Increase in All Residents in Poverty

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011	
Ansonia	7.6% (6.5% -8.7%)	11.6% ↑ (8.7% - 14.5%)	Midd
Avon	1.7% (1.1% -2.3%)	5.0% ↑ (3.2% - 6.8%)	New
Berlin	2.5% (1.9% -3.1%)	6.4% ↑ (3.8% - 9.0%)	New
Branford	4.1% (3.5% -4.7%)	5.8% ↑ (4.5% - 7.1%)	Norw
Bridgeport	18.4% (17.8% -19.0%)	21.9% ↑ (20.7% - 23.1%)	Plain
Bristol	6.6% (6.0% -7.2%)	8.2% ↑ (7.0% - 9.4%)	Putna
Burlington	1.1% (0.5% -1.7%)	4.0% ↑ (2.0% - 6.0%)	Rock
Chester	1.3% (0.5% -2.1%)	6.3% ↑ (3.6% - 9.0%)	Salen
Cornwall	3.0% (2.0% -4.0%)	11.4% ↑ (5.0% - 17.8%)	Stam
Danbury	8.0% (7.4% -8.6%)	10.0% ↑ (8.6% - 11.4%)	Sterli
Darien	2.0% (1.6% -2.4%)	5.1% ↑ (3.1% - 7.1%)	Torri
East Hartford	10.3% (9.5% -11.1%)	16.0% ↑ (14.2% - 17.8%)	Verno
East Haven	5.2% (4.5% -5.9%)	7.8% ↑ (6.0% - 9.6%)	Walli
Enfield	4.0% (3.6% -4.4%)	7.2% ↑ (5.7% - 8.7%)	Water
Glastonbury	2.1% (1.7% -2.5%)	3.2% ↑ (2.4% - 4.0%)	West Hartf
Hartford	30.6% (29.9% -31.3%)	32.9% ↑ (31.2% - 34.6%)	Wind
Meriden	11.0% (10.3% -11.7%)	14.8% ↑ (13.0% - 16.6%)	Wind Locks

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Middletown	7.5% (6.8% -8.2%)	11.5% ↑ (9.8% - 13.2%)
New Britain	16.4% (15.6% -17.2%)	20.9% ↑ (19.0% - 22.8%)
New Haven	24.4% (23.7% -25.1%)	26.3% ↑ (24.9% - 27.7%)
Norwich	11.5% (10.6% -12.4%)	14.6% ↑ (12.1% - 17.1%)
Plainfield	7.0% (5.8% -8.2%)	10.7% ↑ (7.6% - 13.8%)
Putnam	7.7% (6.1% -9.3%)	15.4% ↑ (10.2% - 20.6%)
Rocky Hill	2.9% (2.2% -3.6%)	6.0% ↑ (3.4% - 8.6%)
Salem	1.0% (0.1% -1.9%)	3.2% ↑ (1.3% - 5.1%)
Stamford	7.9% (7.5% -8.3%)	11.0% ↑ (9.8% - 12.2%)
Sterling	6.0% (4.1% -7.9%)	12.8% ↑ (6.9% - 18.7%)
Torrington	7.4% (6.6% -8.2%)	12.0% ↑ (10.0% - 14.0%)
Vernon	5.9% (5.1% -6.7%)	8.5% ↑ (6.5% - 10.5%)
Wallingford	3.6% (3.1% -4.1%)	6.0% ↑ (4.6% - 7.4%)
Waterbury	16.0% (15.4% -16.6%)	20.6% ↑ (19.3% - 21.9%)
West Hartford	4.5% (4.0% -5.0%)	6.1% ↑ (5.2% - 7.0%)
Windham	17.5% (16.0% -19.0%)	21.9% ↑ (18.9% - 24.9%)
Windsor Locks	4.4% (3.4% -5.4%)	8.2% ↑ (5.0% - 11.4%)

# Towns with Decrease in All Residents in Poverty:

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Barkhamsted	3.0% (1.7% -4.3%)	0.9% ↓ (0.0% - 2.1%)
Canterbury	4.5% (3.2% -5.8%)	1.5% ↓ (0.4% - 2.6%)
Easton	2.4% (1.6% -3.2%)	0.9% ↓ (0.2% - 1.6%)
Granby	3.1% (2.2% -4.0%)	1.3% ↓ (0.5% - 2.1%)
Scotland	4.7% (3.5% -5.9%)	1.1% ↓ (0.3% - 1.9%)
Suffield	3.6% (2.7% -4.5%)	2.2% ↓ (1.2% - 3.2%)
Voluntown	4.9% (3.0% -6.8%)	2.2% ↓ (0.8% - 3.6%)

### All Children Under 18 in Poverty: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of related children under 18 statewide in poverty increased from 10.4% in Census 2000 (1999 figures) to 12.6% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in estimates of poverty among related children over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in estimates for related children in poverty in other towns.

#### Towns with Increase in All Children in Poverty:

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011		Census 2000
Avon	1.3% (0.3% -2.3%)	6.2% ↑ (2.9% - 9.5%)	Harwinton	0.7% (0.0% -1.7%)
Berlin	1.2% (0.3% -2.1%)	12.2% ↑ (6.1% - 18.3%)	Middletown	7.7% (6.2% -9.2%)
Bethel	1.3% (0.6% -2.0%)	5.6% ↑ (1.8% - 9.4%)	New Britain	25.3% (23.5% -27.1%)
Bridgeport	25.1% (23.9% -26.3%)	30.6% ↑ (28.1% - 33.1%)	North Canaan	3.1% (0.3% -5.9%)
Chaplin	0.9% (0.0% -2.7%)	8.5% ↑ (1.2% - 15.8%)	Canaan	5.6% (2.4% -8.8%)
Colebrook	0.6% (0.0% -1.5%)	6.7% ↑ (0.8% - 12.6%)	Norwich	14.8% (12.7% -16.9%)
Cornwall	3.0% (0.9% -5.1%)	25.4% ↑ (8.3% - 42.5%)	Plainfield	9.6% (7.0% -12.2%)
Darien	1.8% (1.1% -2.5%)	6.0% ↑ (2.7% - 9.3%)	Preston	2.4% (0.3% -4.5%)
Derby	10.1% (6.9% -13.3%)	26.8% ↑ (12.4% - 41.2%)	Stamford	8.9% (7.9% -9.9%)
East Hartford	16.0% (14.1% -17.9%)	25.1% ↑ (20.7% - 29.5%)	Torrington	8.8% (7.1% -10.5%)
East Haven	5.3% (3.7% -6.9%)	13.2% ↑ (8.4% - 18.0%)	Waterbury	23.9% (22.5% -25.3%)
Enfield	3.8% (3.0% -4.6%)	9.2% ↑ (5.7% - 12.7%)	Windham	23.8% (20.5% -27.1%)

# Towns with Decrease in All Children in Poverty: (margins of error included for each estimate)

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Canterbury	5.2% (2.4% -8.0%)	0.0% ↓ (0.0% - 3.4%)
Granby	4.2% (2.1% -6.3%)	0.4% ↓ (0.0% - 1.5%)
Morris	11.4% (5.5% -17.3%)	2.9% ↓ (0.0% - 7.1%)
New Canaan	2.2% (1.2% -3.2%)	0.8% ↓ (0.2% - 1.4%)
Seymour	5.6% (3.5% -7.7%)	2.1% ↓ (0.0% - 4.9%)
Sharon	10.4% (5.1% -15.7%)	0.0% ↓ (0.0% - 7.8%)
Suffield	3.0% (1.3% -4.7%)	0.5% ↓ (0.0% - 1.2%)
Thomaston	5.8% (3.4% -8.2%)	1.5% ↓ (0.0% - 3.2%)
Union	5.9% (1.7% -10.1%)	1.0% ↓ (0.0% - 2.7%)
Waterford	5.7% (3.7% -7.7%)	2.3% ↓ (0.8% - 3.8%)

## All Residents Under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level: Changes from Census 2000

The percent of residents under 200% of the Federal Poverty Level\* increased from 19.3% in Census 2000 (1999 figures) to 21.6% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in estimates of the percent of residents under 200% of the federal poverty level over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in estimates of the percent of residents under 200% of the federal poverty level over the federal poverty level in other towns.

#### Towns with Increase in Residents Under 200% of the FPL:

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011		Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Andover	6.7%	13.4% ↑	New Milford	9.5%	13.3% ↑
Alluover	(4.7% -8.7%)	(7.4% - 19.4%)		(8.5% -10.5%)	(10.9% - 15.6%)
Avon	6.4%	9.6% ↑	Newington	11.2%	14.2% ↑
Avon	(5.4% -7.5%)	(7.3% - 11.8%)	rewington	(10.2% -12.2%)	(11.9% - 16.4%)
Berlin	8.2%	13.5% ↑	Newtown	6.9%	10.6% ↑
Denni	(7.1% -9.3%)	(9.9% - 17.0%)		(6.2% -7.6%)	(8.3% - 12.9%)
Bridgeport	39.3%	45.0% ↑	Norwich	28.5%	32.8% ↑
-8-1	(38.5% -40.0%)	(42.7% - 47.3%)		(27.2% -29.8%)	(29.2% - 36.5%)
Canaan	15.3%	22.6% (1 ( 40( 20.00()	Preston	11.6%	20.0% ↑
	(12.8% -17.7%)	(16.4% - 28.9%)		(9.6% -13.7%)	(12.0% - 28.0%)
Clinton	11.2%	16.4%	Prospect	5.1%	9.9%
	(10.0% -12.4%) 11.4%	(12.6% - 20.2%) 18.9% ↑		(3.8% -6.4%) 22.2%	(6.9% - 12.8%) 33.9% ↑
Cornwall	(9.6% -13.3%)	(12.2% - 25.7%)	Putnam	(19.8% -24.7%)	(26.6% - 41.3%)
	21.6%	(12.27% - 23.77%) 25.7% ↑		9.9%	17.0% ↑
Danbury	(20.7% -22.4%)	(23.2% - 28.1%)	Rocky Hill	(8.6% -11.1%)	(12.7% - 21.3%)
	19.8%	27.9% ↑		4.9%	<u>6.7%</u> ↑
Derby	(17.8% -21.7%)	(20.9% - 34.9%)	Simsbury	(4.2% -5.5%)	(5.2% - 8.2%)
	25.7%	34.3% ↑		5.4%	9.2% ↑
East Hartford	(24.6% -26.8%)	(31.2% - 37.5%)	South Windsor	(4.6% -6.2%)	(6.1% - 12.3%)
East Windsor	14.0%	20.5% ↑	Southbury	11.4%	15.3% ↑
East windsor	(12.0% -15.9%)	(15.2% - 25.8%)	Southbury	(10.1% -12.7%)	(12.0% - 18.5%)
Hartford	55.1%	58.2% ↑	Stamford	21.4%	25.1% ↑
Hannond	(54.3% -55.9%)	(55.6% - 60.8%)	Staimord	(20.7% -22.1%)	(23.1% - 27.1%)
Lebanon	11.5%	20.4% ↑	Stratford	14.5%	18.3% ↑
Leounon	(9.4% -13.7%)	(12.5% - 28.4%)		(13.6% -15.4%)	(15.9% - 20.8%)
Madison	4.8%	9.0% ↑	Tolland	5.9%	10.9% ↑
	(3.9% -5.7%)	(6.4% - 11.6%)		(4.8% -7.1%)	(7.5% - 14.4%)
Manchester	19.7%	23.5% ↑	Torrington	22.0%	28.0% ↑
	(18.7% -20.6%)	(21.3% - 25.8%)		(20.7% -23.2%)	(25.0% - 31.1%)
Meriden	27.7% (26.7% -28.7%)	32.5% ↑ (29.6% - 35.3%)	West Hartford	13.0%	15.8% ↑
	19.7%	(29.6% - 33.5%) 24.6% ↑		(12.3% -13.8%) 23.6%	(14.1% - 17.4%) 29.0% ↑
Middletown	(18.6% -20.8%)	(22.1% - 27.1%)	West Haven	(22.5% -24.6%)	(25.9% - 32.2%)
	11.1%	13.0% ↑	Windham	37.8%	44.6%
Milford	(10.5% -11.7%)	(11.3% - 14.7%)		(35.9% - 39.7%)	(39.7% - 49.5%)
	17.8%	21.6% ↑		13.8%	18.9% ↑
Naugatuck	(16.6% -19.0%)	(18.2% - 25.1%)	Windsor Locks	(12.1% -15.6%)	(14.6% - 23.3%)
	35.7%	43.9% ↑	<u> </u>	1 ( 10:0,0)	
New Britain	(34.7% -36.7%)	(41.0% - 46.9%)			

\* 200% of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) approaches Connecticut's Self-Sufficiency Standard, the state's official measure of the income necessary for a family to meet basic needs. However, in most areas of the state, the Self Sufficiency Standard is far higher than 200% of the FPL.

## Towns with Decrease in Residents Under 200% of the FPL:

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Barkhamsted	11.7% (9.3% -14.1%)	5.3% ↓ (1.7% - 9.0%)
Canterbury	13.9% (11.6% -16.1%)	7.1% ↓ (4.4% - 9.7%)
Coventry	14.0% (12.2% -15.7%)	7.5% ↓ (4.8% - 10.2%)
Easton	6.6% (5.3% -7.9%)	2.7% ↓ (1.3% - 4.1%)
Granby	7.8% (6.4% -9.3%)	5.5% ↓ (3.6% - 7.3%)
Roxbury	11.0% (8.0% -13.9%)	5.7% ↓ (3.4% - 7.9%)
Somers	12.9% (11.3% -14.6%)	8.0% ↓ (4.9% - 11.0%)
Sprague	25.8% (22.3% -29.4%)	16.5% ↓ (8.7% - 24.2%)
Suffield	9.7% (8.2% -11.2%)	6.1% ↓ (4.4% - 7.7%)
Woodstock	16.1% (13.7% -18.5%)	10.7% ↓ (7.0% - 14.5%)

# Median household income: Changes from Census 2000<sup>a</sup>

After adjusting for inflation, the statewide median household income decreased from \$70,593 in Census 2000 (1999 income) to \$69,243 in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in inflation-adjusted income estimates over this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in inflation-adjusted estimates of median household income in other towns.

#### Towns with increase in median household income:

(margins of error included for each estimate)

	Census 2000 ACS 2007-2	
Fairfield	\$112,756 (\$108,720 -\$116,792)	\$118,476 ↑ (\$114,477 - \$122,475)
Somers	\$88,130 (\$82,345 -\$93,915)	\$100,573 ↑ (\$94,911 - \$106,235)

<sup>a</sup>All income figures are reported in 2011 (inflation-adjusted) dollars. Median income figures from Census 2000 (1999 income) have been adjusted to 2011 dollars by multiplying by the CPI-U-RS adjustment factor of 1.35017407, as advised by the Census Bureau. Note that this is a nationwide measure of inflation and does not account for regional differences in the rate of inflation.

## Towns with decrease in median household income:

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Avon	\$122,777	\$107,733↓
	(\$116,986 -\$128,567)	(\$102,156 - \$113,310)
Branford	\$78,322	\$71,314↓
	(\$75,048 -\$81,596)	(\$66,667 - \$75,961)
Bridgeport	\$46,794 (\$45,726 -\$47,863)	\$40,947 ↓ (\$39,561 - \$42,333)
	\$108,581	\$85,481 \$
Bridgewater	(\$100,889 -\$116,273)	(\$72,293 - \$98,669)
D : / 1	\$64,028	\$60,032↓
Bristol	(\$61,050 -\$67,006)	(\$58,062 - \$62,002)
Canaan	\$73,838	\$56,161↓
Callaali	(\$66,338 -\$81,338)	(\$48,101 - \$64,221)
Clinton	\$81,646	\$75,122↓
	(\$77,802 -\$85,491)	(\$70,943 - \$79,301)
Danbury	\$72,456 (\$70,138 -\$74,773)	\$65,656↓ (\$63,129 - \$68,183)
	\$92,752	\$73,188 \
East Granby	(\$84,849 -\$100,655)	(\$65,799 - \$80,577)
	\$55,930	\$49,611
East Hartford	(\$54,137 -\$57,723)	(\$47,017 - \$52,205)
Fast Luma	\$89,839	\$80,293↓
East Lyme	(\$86,435 -\$93,244)	(\$75,985 - \$84,601)
Easton	\$169,524	\$141,372↓
	(\$155,829 -\$183,219)	(\$129,292 - \$153,452)
Enfield	\$71,303	\$67,809 ↓
	(\$69,183 -\$73,422)	(\$65,689 - \$69,929)
Franklin	\$83,823 (\$79,494 -\$88,152)	\$78,342 ↓ (\$76,033 - \$80,651)
	\$106,085	\$87,883 \
Haddam	(\$99,669 -\$112,500)	(\$77,623 - \$98,143)
I I a net fa n d	\$33,511	\$29,107↓
Hartford	(\$32,633 -\$34,390)	(\$28,057 - \$30,157)
Madison	\$118,136	\$106,609↓
	(\$111,950 -\$124,322)	(\$100,700 - \$112,518)
Manchester	\$66,734	\$62,436↓
	(\$64,876 -\$68,591)	(\$59,804 - \$65,068)
Meriden	\$58,377 (\$56,608 -\$60,147)	\$53,722 ↓ (\$51,075 - \$56,369)
	\$74,376	\$67,734 \
Montville	(\$71,454 -\$77,297)	(\$62,606 - \$72,862)
NT	\$69,192	\$63,414 \
Naugatuck	(\$66,645 -\$71,740)	(\$60,957 - \$65,871)
New Britain	\$46,156	\$39,838↓
INCW DIILAIII	(\$45,062 -\$47,249)	(\$38,102 - \$41,574)

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
New Fairfield	\$113,921	\$102,159 ↓ (©05 864 . ©109 454)
	(\$107,996 -\$119,846)	(\$95,864 - \$108,454)
Newington	\$77,119 (\$75,308 -\$78,930)	\$71,817↓ (\$68,507 - \$75,127)
	\$87,003	\$78,720 J
North Branford	(\$83,206 - \$90,799)	(\$72,591 - \$84,849)
	\$88,710	\$81,789 J
North Haven	(\$85,618 -\$91,803)	(\$76,592 - \$86,986)
NT 11	\$80,793	\$76,384↓
Norwalk	(\$78,804 - \$82,782)	(\$74,000 - \$78,768)
	\$58,071	\$51,171↓
Putnam	(\$54,020 -\$62,122)	(\$46,649 - \$55,693)
Deddlar	\$140,603	\$113,697↓
Redding	(\$132,556 -\$148,650)	(\$95,955 - \$131,439)
Shelton	\$90,856	\$83,128↓
Shelton	(\$88,154 -\$93,558)	(\$79,436 - \$86,820)
Southbury	\$83,601	\$72,177↓
Southbury	(\$78,588 -\$88,615)	(\$64,879 - \$79,475)
Stamford	\$81,761	\$78,201↓
Staimoru	(\$79,794 -\$83,728)	(\$75,749 - \$80,653)
Stratford	\$72,226	\$67,761↓
	(\$70,261 -\$74,192)	(\$64,237 - \$71,285)
Thomaston	\$73,310	\$64,982↓
11101110000	(\$68,113 -\$78,508)	(\$58,934 - \$71,030)
Torrington	\$56,493	\$48,742↓
- 01111 <b>9</b> 1011	(\$55,076 -\$57,910)	(\$45,985 - \$51,499)
Wallingford	\$77,376	\$72,540↓
8	(\$74,984 -\$79,768)	(\$68,536 - \$76,544)
Washington	\$88,150	\$70,068↓
0	(\$76,101 -\$100,199)	(\$62,064 - \$78,072)
Waterbury	\$46,291	\$41,499↓
•	(\$45,142 -\$47,440)	(\$39,887 - \$43,111)
West Haven	\$57,238 (\$55,375,\$50,101)	\$53,057↓ (\$50,708 \$55,316)
	(\$55,375 -\$59,101)	(\$50,798 - \$55,316)
Westbrook	\$77,677 (\$70,815 -\$84,539)	\$60,422↓ (\$53,346 - \$67,498)
	\$190,952	\$159,720 \
Wilton	(\$177,529 -\$204,376)	(\$148,647 - \$170,793)
	\$47,374	\$42,178 \
Windham	(\$44,551 -\$50,197)	\$42,178↓ (\$38,965 - \$45,391)
	\$86,596	\$79,927 J
Windsor	(\$83,583 -\$89,609)	(\$77,309 - \$82,545)
	(405,505-407,007)	(# <i>11,307 - 402,343)</i>

## **Residents with Bachelor's Degrees: Changes from Census 2000**

The percent of Connecticut residents over the age of 25 with bachelor's degrees increased from 31.4% in Census 2000 to 35.7% in ACS 2007-2011. Below are the towns that experienced statistically significant changes in estimates of the percent of residents over 25 with bachelor's degrees during this time period. There were no statistically significant changes in estimates for percent of residents with bachelor's degrees in other towns.

#### Towns with Increase in Residents with Bachelor's Degrees:

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011		Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Ansonia	15.0%	18.8% ↑	Fairfield	52.2%	60.1% ↑
misoma	(13.6% -16.4%)	(15.6% - 22.0%)	Tannelu	(51.1% -53.3%)	(58.8% - 61.4%)
Berlin	30.8%	35.1% ↑	Farmington	49.2%	55.5% ↑
	(29.0% -32.6%)	(32.3% - 37.9%)	1 unington	(47.5% -50.9%)	(52.9% - 58.1%)
Bethel	36.8%	40.8% ↑	Glastonbury	55.0%	59.3% ↑
	(35.2% -38.4%)	(37.3% - 44.3%)	j	(53.5% -56.5%)	(57.3% - 61.3%)
Bloomfield	30.8%	39.6% ↑	Goshen	32.4%	46.5% ↑
	(29.1% -32.5%) 38.7%	<u>(36.8% - 42.4%)</u> 42.8% ↑		(28.5% -36.3%) 58.8%	(39.3% - 53.7%)
Branford			Greenwich		$63.7\%$ $\uparrow$
	(37.2% -40.2%) 12.2%	(40.5% - 45.1%) 15.2% ↑		(57.8% -59.8%) 15.1%	(62.1% - 65.3%) 19.6% ↑
Bridgeport	(11.7% -12.7%)	(14.2% - 16.2%)	Griswold	(13.2% -17.0%)	(15.8% - 23.4%)
	16.2%	21.7% ↑		26.0%	31.9% ↑
Bristol	(15.4% -17.0%)	(20.4% - 23.0%)	Groton	(24.8% -27.2%)	(30.2% - 33.6%)
D 1011	43.9%	52.5% ↑	0 110 1	50.0%	<u>53.9%</u> ↑
Brookfield	(41.8% -46.0%)	(49.1% - 55.9%)	Guilford	(48.2% -51.8%)	(50.9% - 56.9%)
Canton	43.0%	50.3%↑	Haddam	33.6%	40.6% ↑
Canton	(40.7% -45.3%)	(46.0% - 54.6%)	пациан	(30.7% -36.5%)	(35.9% - 45.3%)
Chaplin	20.3%	28.0% ↑	Hamden	36.5%	41.5% ↑
Спаріш	(16.5% -24.1%)	(22.7% - 33.3%)	Tamuch	(35.4% -37.6%)	(39.3% - 43.7%)
Clinton	33.3%	38.2% ↑	Hampton	30.6%	41.3% ↑
	(31.5% -35.1%)	(34.8% - 41.6%)	manpton	(28.2% -33.0%)	(36.2% - 46.4%)
Colchester	31.6%	41.2% ↑	Hartford	12.4%	14.3% ↑
	(29.5% -33.7%)	(37.1% - 45.3%)		(11.8% -13.0%)	(13.2% - 15.4%)
Cornwall	47.4%	56.4% ↑	Hartland	28.6%	37.4% ↑
	(44.6% -50.2%)	(49.6% - 63.2%)		(26.4% -30.8%)	(32.9% - 41.9%)
Coventry	28.2%	33.6% (20, 20/, 27, 00/)	Killingly	12.7%	19.2% (1( 50/ 21.00/)
_	(25.9% -30.5%) 33.1%	(29.3% - 37.9%) 40.6% ↑		(11.3% -14.1%) 35.9%	(16.5% - 21.9%) 42.5% ↑
Cromwell	(31.0% -35.2%)	(36.6% - 44.6%)	Litchfield	(33.6% -38.2%)	(38.7% - 46.3%)
	27.1%	30.0% ↑		57.2%	<u>(38.7/0 - 40.3/0)</u> 62.4% ↑
Danbury	(26.2% -28.0%)	(28.3% - 31.7%)	Madison	(55.2% -59.2%)	(59.3% - 65.5%)
<b>D</b> 1	70.4%	77.1% ↑		29.4%	34.9% ↑
Darien	(68.9% -71.9%)	(74.7% - 79.5%)	Manchester	(28.4% -30.4%)	(33.4% - 36.4%)
East	30.2%	39.4% ↑	Maridan	16.4%	19.9% ↑
Hampton	(27.9% -32.5%)	(35.4% - 43.4%)	Meriden	(15.6% -17.2%)	(18.6% - 21.2%)
East	13.4%	18.5% ↑	Middlebury	41.5%	47.6% ↑
Hartford	(12.6% -14.2%)	(16.9% - 20.1%)	Wildlebury	(38.8% -44.2%)	(42.7% - 52.5%)
East	20.2%	26.4% ↑	Middlefield	26.4%	34.6% ↑
Windsor	(18.1% -22.3%)	(22.3% - 30.5%)		(23.4% -29.4%)	(29.3% - 39.9%)
Easton	59.5%	67.4%	Middletown	30.4%	33.4% ↑
	(56.9% -62.1%)	(63.3% - 71.5%)		(29.2% -31.6%)	(31.4% - 35.4%)
Ellington	32.1%	38.7% ↑	Milford	29.3%	38.6% ↑
	(29.9% -34.3%)	(35.3% - 42.1%)		(28.4% -30.2%)	(36.8% - 40.4%)
Enfield	18.2%	23.5% ↑	Monroe	41.3%	46.2% ↑
	(17.4% -19.0%)	(21.9% - 25.1%)		(39.4% -43.2%)	(42.4% - 50.0%)

	Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011		Census 2000	ACS 2007-2011
Morris	25.3%	33.4% ↑	Southbury	42.5%	46.8% ↑
	(21.3% -29.3%)	(27.5% - 39.3%)		(40.6% -44.4%)	(43.5% - 50.1%)
Naugatuck	19.0%	23.2% ↑	Southington	27.2%	33.0% ↑
8	(17.8% -20.2%)	(20.7% - 25.7%)	8	(26.2% -28.2%)	(31.1% - 34.9%)
New Canaan	71.6%	76.2% ↑	Sprague	14.6%	27.2% ↑
	(69.8% -73.4%)	(73.3% - 79.1%)	1 0	(11.7% -17.5%)	(21.0% - 33.4%)
New Haven	27.1%	32.1%	Stamford	39.6%	43.9% ↑
	(26.4% -27.8%) 30.5%	(30.9% - 33.3%) 38.0% ↑		(38.9% -40.3%) 34.6%	(42.5% - 45.3%) 44.8% ↑
New Milford	(29.0% -32.0%)	(35.1% - 40.9%)	Stonington	(33.1% -36.1%)	(42.0% - 47.6%)
	29.1%	32.6% ↑		25.7%	28.9% ↑
Newington	(27.7% -30.5%)	(30.6% - 34.6%)	Stratford	(24.7% -26.7%)	(27.3% - 30.5%)
	27.8%	34.9% ↑		18.5%	24.5% ↑
North Branford	(25.8% -29.8%)	(31.5% - 38.3%)	Thomaston	(16.5% -20.5%)	(20.9% - 28.1%)
	32.3%	37.3% ↑		15.7%	19.7% ↑
North Haven	(30.7% -33.9%)	(34.9% - 39.7%)	Torrington	(14.7% -16.7%)	(18.0% - 21.4%)
North	29.3%	43.4% ↑	77 1 11	43.4%	50.8% ↑
Stonington	(26.4% -32.2%)	(37.8% - 49.0%)	Trumbull	(42.0% -44.8%)	(48.7% - 52.9%)
Norwalk	34.2%	40.2% ↑	Vernon	26.8%	30.2% ↑
INOFWAIK	(33.3% -35.1%)	(38.7% - 41.7%)	vernon	(25.4% -28.2%)	(27.9% - 32.5%)
Old Lyme	44.9%	54.7% ↑	Wallingford	28.8%	32.5% ↑
Old Lynic	(42.4% -47.4%)	(50.4% - 59.0%)	wannigioiu	(27.6% -30.0%)	(30.7% - 34.3%)
Lyme	55.5%	61.4%	Waterbury	13.9%	17.2% ↑
Lynic	(50.8% -60.2%)	(56.3% - 66.5%)	waterbary	(13.3% -14.5%)	(15.9% - 18.5%)
Orange	45.6%	55.1%↑	Waterford	28.1%	35.9% ↑
8-	(43.3% -47.9%)	(51.8% - 58.4%)		(26.4% -29.8%)	(33.1% - 38.7%)
Oxford	32.2%	38.7% ↑	Watertown	25.0%	32.5% ↑
	(29.7% -34.7%)	(34.9% - 42.5%)		(23.4% -26.6%)	(29.8% - 35.2%)
Plymouth	13.9%	$20.9\%$ $\uparrow$	West	53.0%	59.1% ↑
	(12.2% -15.6%)	(17.7% - 24.1%)	Hartford	(51.9% -54.1%)	(57.4% - 60.8%)
Portland	32.4%	39.1%	West Haven	19.1%	23.0% (21.20/ 24.70/)
	(30.2% -34.6%) 22.8%	(34.6% - 43.6%) 32.3% ↑		(18.2% -20.0%) 74.4%	(21.3% - 24.7%) 80.8% ↑
Prospect	(20.4% -25.2%)	(28.4% - 36.2%)	Weston	(72.4% -76.4%)	(77.7% - 83.9%)
	65.9%	70.2% ↑		<u>(72.470-70.470)</u> <u>69.4%</u>	74.2% ↑
Ridgefield	(64.5% -67.3%)	(67.9% - 72.5%)	Westport	(67.9% -70.9%)	(72.1% - 76.3%)
	37.1%	43.1%↑		33.3%	40.6% ↑
Rocky Hill	(35.2% - 39.0%)	(40.1% - 46.1%)	Wethersfield	(31.8% -34.8%)	(38.4% - 42.8%)
0 1: 1	45.3%	62.0% ↑	Windsor	17.2%	21.8% ↑
Salisbury	(41.9% -48.7%)	(54.7% - 69.3%)	Locks	(15.4% -19.0%)	(18.3% - 25.3%)
Source out	19.4%	26.0% ↑	Windson	30.9%	35.3% ↑
Seymour	(17.7% -21.1%)	(22.6% - 29.4%)	Windsor	(29.4% -32.4%)	(32.8% - 37.8%)
Shelton	29.9%	34.4% ↑	Wolcott	19.3%	24.5% ↑
	(28.7% -31.1%)	(32.2% - 36.6%)	worcon	(17.6% -21.0%)	(21.2% - 27.8%)
Sherman	42.3%	57.9% ↑	Woodbridge	60.9%	66.6% ↑
Cherman	(38.8% -45.8%)	(52.1% - 63.7%)	woodbildge	(58.6% -63.2%)	(62.1% - 71.1%)
Somers	23.0%	30.1%↑	Woodbury	41.8%	49.6% ↑
	(21.2% -24.8%)	(26.4% - 33.8%)		(39.1% -44.5%)	(45.3% - 53.9%)
South Windsor	41.6%	45.3% ↑			
	(39.9% -43.3%)	(42.9% - 47.7%)			

#### **Technical Notes on the Data**

*Data Source.* The United States Census Bureau released 2007-2011 five-year estimates from the American Community Survey (ACS) on December 6, 2012. These data are based on a rolling sample collected between January 2007 and December 2011. Consequently, these data do not represent a specific point in time and may mask trends within the five year period. For more information, refer to the ACS Handbooks for Data Users, available online at http://www.census.gov/acs/www/guidance for data users/handbooks/.

*Comparing Data Over Time or Between Geographic Areas.* The numbers reported in ACS surveys are estimates because only a sample of the entire population is surveyed. For this reason, estimates reported by the ACS are published with additional data that allow us to estimate the range of values within which the population's actual poverty or uninsured rate is likely to fall. This enables us to determine whether or not the change in an estimate from one time period to the next or between two geographic areas is large enough to conclude that an actual difference in the population exists, or whether the change in the estimate may have been due to random chance. For example, in the field of opinion polling, the "margin of error" of a poll helps to assess whether there has been a significant change in polling results over time. **A change in Census estimates is called** "statistically significant" if it is unlikely to have occurred by chance (this term describes the statistical evidence of change, not whether it is important or meaningful). Unless a difference in Census estimates is statistically significant, it is not accurate to say, for example, that poverty rates have increased or declined over time.

*Margins of Error.* The numbers reported in ACS surveys are estimates because only a sample of the entire population is surveyed. The margin of error estimates the range of values within which the population's actual poverty rate, median income, etc. is likely to fall. For example, there is a 90% probability that the actual percentage of people in poverty in Derby is between 7.6% and 18.0% (12.8% with a margin of error of +/- 5.2%). Because margins of error around town estimates can be wide, comparisons between estimates for towns should be made with caution. Some margins of error reported here are estimated based on methodology provided by the Census Bureau. These values may differ from margins of error calculated using other methodologies.

ACS and Census 2000. As ACS data for all 169 Connecticut towns are not available for an earlier, non-overlapping time period, to make comparisons over time it is necessary to compare to the results of the 2000 Decennial Census. Data users should be aware there are slight differences in survey methodology between the 2000 Census and the ACS. Specifically, questions regarding income on the ACS use a reference period of "the past 12 months," while questions on Census 2000 asked about the "previous calendar year." The Census Bureau has suggested that respondents may report slightly lower incomes when asked the version of the question used by the ACS. Therefore, the Census Bureau advises that data users exercise caution when comparing income and poverty estimates between ACS and Census 2000.